Campbell, Amy, Grace, Fergal, Ritchie, Louise, Beaumont, Alexander ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5773-6356 and Sculthorpe, Nick (2019) Long-Term Aerobic Exercise Improves Vascular Function in Old Age: A Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis and Meta Regression of Observational and Interventional Studies. Frontiers in Physiology.

Downloaded from: http://ray.yorksj.ac.uk/id/eprint/3683/

The version presented here may differ from the published version or version of record. If you intend to cite from the work you are advised to consult the publisher's version: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphys.2019.00031/

Research at York St John (RaY) is an institutional repository. It supports the principles of open access by making the research outputs of the University available in digital form. Copyright of the items stored in RaY reside with the authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full text items free of charge, and may download a copy for private study or non-commercial research. For further reuse terms, see licence terms governing individual outputs. Institutional Repository Policy Statement

RaY

Research at the University of York St John

For more information please contact RaY at ray@yorksj.ac.uk



Long-Term Aerobic Exercise Improves Vascular Function in Old Age: A Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis and Meta Regression of Observational and Interventional Studies

Amy Campbell¹, Fergal Grace², Louise Ritchie¹, Alexander Beaumont³, Nick Sculthorpe^{1*}

¹University of the West of Scotland, United Kingdom, ²Federation University, Australia, ³York St John University, United Kingdom

Submitted to Journal: Frontiers in Physiology

Specialty Section: Exercise Physiology

Article type: Systematic Review Article

Manuscript ID: 434781

Received on: 02 Nov 2018

Revised on: 20 Dec 2018

Frontiers website link: www.frontiersin.org



Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest

Author contribution statement

The literature search and selection of studies was performed by authors AC and AB. Data analysis was performed by NS, AC, and AB. Interpretation of data was performed by NS< FG, LR and AC. Manuscript preparation and revision was undertaken by all authors

Keywords

Exercise, Flow mediated dilatation (FMD), vascular health, Ageing, Study quality, Meta regression

Abstract

Word count: 277

There is an emerging body of literature relating to the effectiveness of frequent aerobic exercise as a prophylactic for age-associated dysfunction of large arteries, yet systematic evaluation and precise estimate of this effect is unknown. We conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled studies examining flow mediated dilatation (FMD) of athletic older persons and otherwise healthy sedentary counterparts to (i) compare FMD as a determinant of endothelial function between athletes and sedentary (ii) summarise the effect of exercise training on FMD in studies of sedentary ageing persons. Studies were identified from systematic search of major electronic databases from inception to January 2018. Study quality was assessed before conducting a random effects meta-analysis to calculate a pooled ES (mean difference) with 95% Cl's. Thirteen studies [10 cross-sectional (n=485): 4 intervention (n=125) with age ranges from 62-75 years underwent quantitative pooling of data. Older athletes had more favourable FMD compared with sedentary controls (2.1%; Cl: 1.4%, 2.8%; P<0.001). There was no significant improvement in the vascular function of sedentary cohorts following a period of exercise training (0.7%; CI: -0.675%, 2.09%; P=0.316). However, there was a significant increase in baseline diameter from pre to post intervention (0.098%; CI: 0.066%, 0.130%; P<0.001). In addition, there was no significant difference in endothelial independent vasodilation between the trained and sedentary older adults (1.57%; CI: -0.13%, 3.27%; P=0.07) or from pre to post exercise intervention (1.48%; CI: -1.34%, 4.3%; P=0.3). In conclusion, long-term aerobic exercise appears to attenuate the decline in endothelial vascular function, a benefit which is maintained during chronological ageing. However, currently there is not enough evidence to suggest that exercise interventions improve vascular function in previously sedentary healthy older adults.

Funding statement

This research was funded wholly by the University of the West of Scotland

Data availability statement

Generated Statement: No datasets were generated or analyzed for this study.

1 Long-Term Aerobic Exercise Improves Vascular Function in Old

2 Age: A Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis and Meta Regression of

Observational and Interventional Studies

- 4 Amy Campbell ^a, Fergal Grace ^b, Louise Ritchie ^a, Alexander Beaumont ^c and Nicholas
- 5 Sculthorpe **
- ^a Institute of Clinical Exercise & Health Sciences, School of Health and Life Sciences,
- 7 University of the West of Scotland, Hamilton, UK
- 8 b School of Health Science & Psychology, Faculty of Health, Federation University Australia,
- 9 Ballarat, VIC, Australia.
- ^c School of Sport, York St John University, UK

12

13

11

- * Correspondence:
- 15 Prof. N Sculthorpe
- nicholas.sculthorpe@uws.ac.uk

17 18

- 19 Number of tables: 6
- Number of figures: 5
- 21 Article word count: 7,861

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

Keywords: vascular ageing, vascular function, flow mediated dilation, healthy older adults, exercise

Abstract

There is an emerging body of literature relating to the effectiveness of frequent aerobic exercise as a prophylactic for age-associated dysfunction of large arteries, yet systematic evaluation and precise estimate of this effect is unknown.

We conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled studies examining flow mediated dilatation (FMD) of athletic older persons and otherwise healthy sedentary counterparts to (i) compare FMD as a determinant of endothelial function between athletes and sedentary individuals and, (ii) summarise the effect of exercise training on FMD in studies of sedentary ageing persons. Studies were identified from systematic search of major electronic databases from inception to January 2018. Study quality was assessed before conducting a random effects meta-analysis to calculate a pooled ES (mean difference) with 95% CI's. Thirteen studies [4 interventional (n=125); 10 cross-sectional (including one study from the interventional analysis; n=485)] with age ranges from 62-75 years underwent quantitative pooling of data. The majority of study participants were male.

Older athletes had more favourable FMD compared with sedentary controls (2.1%; CI: 1.4%, 2.8%; P<0.001). There was no significant improvement in the vascular function of sedentary cohorts following a period of exercise training (0.7%; CI: -0.675%, 2.09%; P=0.316). However, there was a significant increase in baseline diameter from pre to post intervention (0.1mm; CI: 0.07mm, 0.13mm; P<0.001). In addition, there was no significant difference in endothelial independent vasodilation between the trained and sedentary older adults (1.57%; CI: -0.13%, 3.27%; P=0.07), or from pre to post exercise intervention (1.48%; CI: -1.34%, 4.3%; P=0.3).

In conclusion, long-term aerobic exercise appears to attenuate the decline in endothelial vascular function, a benefit which is maintained during chronological ageing. However, currently there is not enough evidence to suggest that exercise interventions improve vascular function in previously sedentary healthy older adults.

1. Introduction

Impaired vascular function as a result of ageing occurs due to the coalition of environment, oxidative stress and inflammation (Donato et al., 2007; Seals et al., 2011). These factors result in reduced nitric oxide (NO) bioavailability, causing a failure of the vasculature to dilate in response to increases in shear stress during hyperaemia (Taddei et al., 2000, 2001; Virdis et al., 2010). Furthermore, vascular structure is also compromised with age as wall stiffness increases, reducing flexibility. Therefore, vascular dysfunction promotes cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk and contributes both to a reduction in health span and overall life expectancy (Roger et al., 2012). Given this premise there is an increasingly important but unmet need for interventions which aim to reduce inflammation and oxidative stress, while developing an environment conducive to vascular function (Seals et al., 2009).

Modifiable lifestyle factors, such as increased physical activity (PA) and/or exercise have been advocated to reduce vascular impairment and restore NO dependent vasodilatation, even in apparently healthy older cohorts (Grace et al., 2015; Taddei et al., 2000). Multiple lines of evidence, including both human and pre-clinical models demonstrate that those individuals who are regularly active enjoy superior vascular function, with lower levels of systemic inflammation and oxidative stress (Eskurza et al., 2004; Grace et al., 2015; Lesniewski et al., 2013; Seals, 2014a). Despite this more than 1 in 4 of all adults¹, and 85 to 90% of older adults in developed countries fail to meet the PA guidelines to maintain cardiovascular health (Sparling et al., 2015). This represents a contemporary challenge for researchers and healthcare providers to provide evidence-based strategies to improve engagement with PA, and to improve vascular function in older adults as a primary therapeutic target ².

Vascular function, or specifically endothelial function, is commonly assessed non-invasively using the flow mediated dilation (FMD) technique. As cardiovascular events can be independently predicted by endothelial compliance, FMD has emerged as a conventional method to determine vascular function (Inaba et al., 2010). Although assessment of whole vascular function includes measures of arterial stiffness, FMD is specific to measuring endothelial function whereby endothelial NO contributes to the vasodilation of vessels after a temporary occlusion of blood flow (Green et al., 2014). However, there are few systematic interrogations of literature examining vascular function of healthy older adults, and those that have been performed, while well executed, have key limitations. For example, (Ashor et al., 2015) and (Early et al., 2017) reported that exercise training improved vascular function in healthy and diseased cohorts, but in both cases, data pooling mixed young and old participants, preventing direct assessment of the effect of exercise on vascular function exclusively in older individuals. Moreover, since disease may superimpose additional vascular dysfunction on top of ageing alone, it is unclear whether exercise improves vascular function due to direct effects of disease, on age *per se*, or a combination of the two. A further review by (Montero et al.,

¹ The World Health Organization (2017). The World Health Organization Physical Activity Fact Sheet. Available at: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs385/en/.

² The World Health Organization (2010). Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health. Available at: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/44399/1/9789241599979 eng.pdf.

2014) identified that exercise trained adults had displayed superior vascular function compared to their untrained counterparts. However, this review compared trained and untrained adults and did not address the effects of training programmes on vascular function in older adults. Moreover, their inclusion criteria encompassed studies of both middle and old age cohorts. Given that the beneficial effects of exercise may reduce with increasing age, it is difficult to interpret the results of Montero et al., (2014) in an exclusively older population.

Consequently, no meta-analysis has assessed the degree to which older (>60 years) trained individuals may have greater indices of vascular function than their untrained counterparts. Equally, there are no meta-analyses assessing the effectiveness of exercise or PA interventions in improving vascular function in similarly aged, but otherwise healthy adults. Unpicking the relationships between vascular function, ageing, and exercise is necessary to enable evidence-based proposals to support health in old age. Therefore, given these gaps in the literature, the aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to address the following questions:

- (i) Do longer-term trained older persons have more favourable vascular function, as determined by FMD, than age matched sedentary controls?
- (ii) Do short-term exercise training interventions improve vascular function in previously sedentary but healthy older individuals?

2. Methods

The current systematic review and Meta-analysis was conducted in accordance with the 2009 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) checklist, and the 2000 Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology checklist (Moher et al., 2009; Stroup et al., 2000).

2.2 Search strategy

An electronic database search was conducted to identify relevant exercise studies using FMD to determine vascular function of older healthy exercising and sedentary adults. PubMed/MEDLINE (abstract/title), Web of Science (title only) and ScienceDirect (abstract/title/keywords) online databases were searched, and all studies from inception to the date searched (January 2018) were included. The search string included (brachial artery flow mediated dila* OR vasculation OR vascular function OR vascular reactivity OR vascular health OR endothelial function OR brachial artery) AND (exercise OR train* OR physical activity OR untrain* OR fitness OR program*). Filters were applied to ensure that only records in English with human participants were included in the search results. Reference lists from eligible articles were reviewed to search for additional relevant studies which may not have been present during the database search, before being subsequently screened for potential inclusion into the meta-analysis.

2.3 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria consisted of: (1) non-pharmacological studies of male and female human participants; (2) aged 60 years and over, and (3) employing either cross-sectional, cohort or randomised control trial (RCT) study designs. (4) Cross sectional design studies had to have an aged matched control group, while in the aerobic exercise intervention, studies both pre and post intervention (cohort) or RCT designs were included. (5) Studies had to include physically healthy cohorts comprising of sedentary individuals for intervention studies, or regular exercisers and sedentary individuals for cross-sectional studies. (6) Vascular function

was determined using endothelium dependent FMD of the brachial artery (BA) using valid ultrasonic techniques and occlusion of the lower arm. (7) Studies must have also been published in English language literature. FMD was used as the main measure of vascular function as it is the most widely used non-invasive assessment of endothelial function, and thus gives an accurate representation of endothelial health. As FMD can predict vascular events within asymptomatic persons, it can identify impairments in vascular function within healthy older adults. There was no limitation imposed on the method of subsequent analysis, thus studies using either fixed post-deflation time points or continuous edge detection methods were included. Other measures of vascular function such as pulse wave velocity (PWV) were not included within the meta-analysis as we were specifically interested at measuring endothelial function, rather than arterial stiffness. However, since arterial diameter has been suggested as a potential confounder when assessing FMD (Atkinson and Batterham, 2015) we incuded analysis of this structural measure. There were also no limitations regarding the length, duration or intensity of exercise interventions.

Studies were excluded if they (i) used pharmacological stimulus, (ii) assessed a single acute exercise bout (iii) assessed resistance interventions only, or (iv) assessed vascular function using a method other than FMD. In addition, (v) studies which occluded the upper arm during the FMD protocol were also excluded as this can cause a greater vasodilatory response after ischemia, possibly from mechanisms other than NO (Berry et al., 2000). As the current study aimed to assess the function of the vascular endothelium as a measure of vascular function, only lower arm occlusion was included as the post occlusion vasodilation is mainly NO mediated, and more representative of endothelium function (Doshi et al., 2001; Green et al., 2011). For example, there is evidence that increases in arterial diameter as a result of hyperaemic shear are abolished in the presence of a selective blockage of NO production within lower arm occlusion, but not upper arm occlusion (Doshi et al., 2001). We therefore excluded studies which occluded the upper arm during the FMD protocol as this method causes a greater ischemic response which may not be exclusively due to NO, and thus endothelial function. Furthermore, including lower arm occlusion also helped to standardise the FMD protocol between the included studies.

2.4 Study selection

The literature search and selection of studies was performed by authors AC and AB. Following an initial screen of titles and abstracts (AC), full scrutiny of potentially eligible studies were independently screened by AC and AB using the specific inclusion criteria. NS arbitrated any disagreements in study inclusion.

2.5 Data extraction

Data from the final list of eligible studies were extracted and entered into a spreadsheet (Microsoft Excel 2010). Extracted data included the following for all participant groups in each study: (1) participant ages; (2) participant activity status; (3) participant maximum oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}O_{2max}$); (4) sample size; (5) study type; (6) intervention type, frequency, duration and intensity (for interventional studies); (7) relative BA FMD percentage change (Δ FMD%); (8) BA baseline diameter (mm, when reported); (9) endothelial independent vasodilation (EIDV) (%, when measured); (10) supervised and non-supervised interventions; (11) shear rate/stress (when measured) and (12) details of FMD protocols. When studies reported both cross-sectional and interventional data from their analysis, each were screened individually to

determine their eligibility. Given the suggestions of morphological adaptations in response to training (Green et al., 2012), we also sought to examine structural changes. Arterial diameter was extracted from studies to determine whether structural adaptations also occurred as a result of exercise. As arterial hyperaemic response is influenced by baseline diameter, extracting arterial diameter may help to understand why some studies show an increase in FMD or not.

 Δ FMD% data were extracted as the main outcome variable. If not reported, Δ FMD% was calculated as: [(post occlusive peak BA diameter – baseline BA diameter)/ (baseline BA diameter) * 100], where post-occlusive diameter was the peak artery diameter which occurred following cuff deflation, and baseline was the diameter determined at rest. All data were entered as mean ± standard deviation (SD). When studies reported standard error of the mean (SEM), conversion to SD was performed using the equation $SD = SEM * \sqrt{N}$, where N was the number of participants. Authors of several eligible studies were contacted by email when data were not available from the text, figures or tables. Where authors failed to respond, mean and standard deviation were extracted from graphs using the calibrated measuring function within the software 'ImageJ' (Image Processing and Analysis in Java, Maryland, USA) (Abramoff et al., 2004).

2.6 Study quality assessment

Appraisal of study quality was undertaken using an assessment tools established by the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI, Bethesta, MD). Individual quality assessment tools specific to the RCT³, cohort⁴ and cross-sectional study designs⁵ were used and subsequently classified as good, fair, or poor.

2.7 Statistical analysis

All study data were analysed using the Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software (Biostat: V 2.2.064, Englewood, NJ, USA). Data were entered in accordance with the research questions: (1) Δ FMD% of sedentary participants compared with those who were long-term trained; and (2) pre and post Δ FMD% of previously sedentary participants who had completed an exercise intervention.

The meta-analysis calculated the mean difference (MD) of BA ΔFMD%, BA diameter (mm) and BA EIDV (%), between the long-term trained versus sedentary participants (question 1) and pre to post intervention in sedentary participants (question 2). A meta-analysis comparing the ΔFMD% of supervised and non-supervised interventions was also included within the analysis. Pooled data were analysed using a random effects model, and differences in means in a positive direction represented an increase in FMD, baseline diameter and EIDV in favour of exercise, whereas a negative direction indicated a decrease. Between study heterogeneity was calculated for each study question, and reported as Cochran's Q and I², with variability of measurement characterised as low, medium or high as 25%, 50% and 75%,

Quality Assessment of Controlled Intervention Studies (2014). *Natl. Heart Lung Blood Inst.* Available at: https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-pro/guidelines/in-develop/cardiovascular-risk-reduction/tools/rct.

⁴ Quality Assessment Tool for Before-After (Pre-Post) Studies With No Control Group (2014). *Natl. Heart Lung Blood Inst.* Available at: https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-pro/guidelines/in-develop/cardiovascular-risk-reduction/tools/before-after

⁵ Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies (2014). *Natl. Heart Lung Blood Inst.*Available at: https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-pro/guidelines/in-develop/cardiovascular-risk-reduction/tools/cohort

respectively (Higgins et al., 2003). A method of moments mixed effects meta-regression was conducted to determine if age significantly moderated the effect on Δ FMD%. Publication bias was assessed by Egger's regression. All data are presented as mean \pm SD, and a P-value of \leq 0.05 identified statistical significance.

3. Results

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251252

253

254255

256257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282 283

284

3.1 Study selection

Following the literature search from all three databases, 3199 records were identified after the removal of duplicates. Based on title and abstract, 3132 records were excluded, primarily due to the inclusion of participant morbidity. With the inclusion of 1 study from an external source following reference list examination, full texts of the remaining 68 articles were screened in accordance with the study inclusion and exclusion criteria. Fifty-five studies were excluded for the following reasons: non-reporting of scaling used in FMD analysis (n=1); occlusion of the upper arm during the FMD protocol (n=3); no intervention group (n=1); no sedentary group (n=2); participants were under 60 years of age (n=11); participants were unhealthy (n=2); FMD was not used (n=6); BA FMD was not performed (n=1); conference abstract only (n=26) and no full text available (n=2). Consequently, 13 studies were deemed eligible for analysis. One study consisted of both an intervention and a cross-sectional design and analysis, however only the cross-sectional section met all inclusion criteria (Pierce et al., 2011b). Additionally, one of the interventional studies contained both baseline and post intervention data and was therefore included in both comparisons (Grace et al., 2015). As a result the overall analysis contained 10 cross-sectional (DeVan et al., 2013; Eskurza et al., 2004, 2005b; Franzoni et al., 2005; Galetta et al., 2006; Grace et al., 2015; Jensen-Urstad et al., 1999; Pierce et al., 2011a, 2011b; Walker et al., 2009) and 4 interventional studies (Grace et al., 2015; Klonizakis et al., 2014; Suboc et al., 2014; Thijssen et al., 2007) (Figure 1). The included studies were believed to be mainly of 'good' quality as measured using the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute study quality assessment criteria (Tables 3-5).

The cross-sectional and interventional analyses were found to have moderate and low heterogeneity (I²=63.6%; P=0.003 and I²=47.4 respectively). To compensate for the heterogeneity identified, the meta-analysis was conducted using random effect models, as recommended by the Cochrane guidelines (Higgins and Green, 2011).

3.2 Question 1: Cross-sectional study analysis

The 10 cross-sectional studies included 485 participants (210 long-term trained and 275 sedentary) (DeVan et al., 2013; Eskurza et al., 2004, 2005a; Franzoni et al., 2005; Galetta et al., 2006; Grace et al., 2015; Jensen-Urstad et al., 1999; Pierce et al., 2011a, 2011b; Walker et al., 2009). Ages ranged from 62 to 75 years in the sedentary participants (mean of 65 years) and from 61 to 75 years in the long-term trained participants (mean of 65 years). Cohort sizes ranged from n=9 to n=65 participants in the long-term trained and n=9 to n=102 in the sedentary groups. Seven of the long-term trained groups and 5 of the sedentary groups contained less than 20 participants (Eskurza et al., 2005b; Franzoni et al., 2005; Grace et al., 2015; Jensen-Urstad et al., 1999; Pierce et al., 2011a; Walker et al., 2009). Two of the 10 studies contained both male and female participants (DeVan et al., 2013; Pierce et al., 2011b). Studies with both males and females were included as it has previously been identified that post-menopausal females display a similar BA FMD compared to males of the same age (Jensen-Urstad and Johansson, 2001). Additionally, as only one study presented male and female data individually, results for each sex were analysed together. Long-termed trained participants consisted of endurance runners, swimmers and cyclists who trained at least three times per week and with regular exercise participation between 2 and 37 years.

Studies described cuff occlusion pressures to range from 40mmHg above systolic blood pressure to 300mmHg and remained inflated between 4 and 5min. Δ FMD% was analysed from all 10 cross-sectional studies and ranged from $4.8 \pm 5\%$ to $7 \pm 1.8\%$ in the long-term trained participants and $1.1 \pm 2.1\%$ to $5.3 \pm 2.2\%$ in sedentary participants. Δ FMD% values normalised for shear stress were reported in one study (Eskurza et al., 2005b), and for hyperaemic shear in one other (Eskurza et al., 2004). All 10 cross-sectional studies reported mean baseline BA diameter data, whereas only 9 studies reported EIDV data.

Data pooling from the meta-analysis indicated that Δ FMD% was significantly greater in long-term trained, versus sedentary older adults (MD: 2.1%, 95% CI: 1.4%, 2.8%; P<0.001; Figure 2). Moderate heterogeneity was observed between the 10 cross-sectional studies (I² = 63.6%; P = 0.003). Egger's regression determined that there was a low risk of publication bias (P=0.7). The meta-regression found no significant effect of age on Δ FMD% (P=0.08; Table 8). Data pooling identified that EIDV was not significantly different between the trained and untrained participants (MD: 1.57%; 95% CI: -0.132%, 3.274%; P=0.07; Figure 4), and baseline diameter of the BA was also similar between the two groups (MD: -0.1mm; 95% CI: -0.09mm, 0.29mm; P=0.31; Figure 3).

3.3 Question 2: Intervention study analysis

The 4 intervention studies consisted of 3 cohort designs (Grace et al., 2015; Klonizakis et al., 2014; Thijssen et al., 2007) and one RCT (Suboc et al., 2014). Included in the 4 studies were 125 sedentary participants. Sample sizes ranged from 8 to 77 participants, where two of the studies contained less than 20 participants (Klonizakis et al., 2014; Thijssen et al., 2007). The ages of participants ranged from 62 ± 7 to 70 ± 1 years, with a mean age of 65 years. One of the studies contained both male and female participants (Suboc et al., 2014), and one study recruited only postmenopausal females (Klonizakis et al., 2014). Again, as the study containing both males and females did not present their results separately, data for each sex was analysed together.

Cuff inflation during the FMD protocol ranged from 50mmHg above systolic blood pressure to approximately 220mmHg for a 5min period. The duration of study interventions lasted between approximately 9 to 84 sessions from 2 to 12 weeks, with 3 of the studies including exercise interventions of a moderate to high intensity. One of the cohort studies included two separate interventions - one consisting of high intensity, and the other a moderate intensity intervention (Klonizakis et al., 2014). Frequency of interventions ranged from once every 5 days to 7 days per week and lasting between 20min to one hour per day.

For the cohort studies Δ FMD% was calculated from baseline and post measures, while post intervention and control values were analysed in the RCT study. Δ FMD% ranged from $3.4 \pm 1.5\%$ and $8.9 \pm 7.9\%$ pre-intervention to $5.4 \pm 1.4\%$ and $7 \pm 4.3\%$ post intervention. All four of the studies reported baseline BA diameter data, whilst only two studies reported data for EIDV.

The meta-analysis suggests that there was no significant improvement in Δ FMD% after the exercise interventions in previously sedentary older adults (MD: 0.707%, 95% CI: -0.68%, 2.1%; P = 0.316; Figure 2). Heterogeneity of the 5 intervention studies were calculated as low ($I^2 = 47.4\%$, P = 0.107, and Egger's regression determined that some publication bias may be present (P = 0.047). The meta-analysis identified that there was no increase in EIDV from pre to post intervention (MD: 1.48%; 95% CI: -1.3%, 4.3%; P=0.303; Figure 4), however there was a significant increase in BA baseline diameter post intervention (MD: 0.1mm; 95% CI:

0.07mm, 0.13mm P<0.001; Figure 3). Finally, ΔFMD% was not affected by whether the interventions were supervised or non-supervised (heterogeneity p=0.927; Figure 5).

4. Discussion

This systematic review and meta-analysis set out to determine the effects of short and long-term exercise training on vascular function and has 2 main findings. First, pooled data from cross-sectional studies demonstrate that long-term trained healthy older adults have superior vascular function compared with their sedentary but otherwise healthy counterparts; and second that FMD may not improve in sedentary individuals who undertake shorter-term aerobic exercise interventions although there may be an increase in arterial diameter. These data are the first pooled synthesis of controlled observational and interventional studies using healthy older cohorts. The current meta-analysis also allows some comparison between observational and interventional studies since we used the same inclusion and exclusion criteria for studies in both comparisons. Moreover, since all participants were apparently healthy and not taking any medication, the results may provide some insight into the effect of short and long-term training on ageing per se rather than on ageing in combination with comorbidities. The current study therefore differs from previous meta-analyses which combined healthy and diseased participants, or mixed middle aged and older participants together in their analysis (Ashor et al., 2015; Early et al., 2017; Montero et al., 2014). Therefore, to our knowledge the current study is the first meta-analysis to identify vascular function exclusively in healthy adults aged 60 years and over who are either endurance trained or untrained, or who have completed an endurance intervention having previously been sedentary.

4.1 Question 1 - Do long-term trained older individuals demonstrate superior vascular function, as determined by FMD, than age matched sedentary controls?

As outlined above, the meta-analysis indicated that endurance exercise training is associated with improved vascular function, despite considerable differences in study designs. Indeed, within these 10 studies there was a wide range in prior exercise experience, with trained cohorts ranging from a minimum of 2 years training through to life-long exercisers and which may have contributed to the heterogeneity in this comparison.

Nevertheless, the data indicates that exercise provides a protective mechanism in long-term trained participants, who experience a slower deterioration of vascular function, compared with sedentary but otherwise healthy older adults. While the protective mechanism of exercise remains to be fully elucidated it is widely believed that the hyperaemic effects of exercise, and the repeated exposure of the endothelium to bouts of increased shear stress, act to reduce the deleterious effects inflammation and oxidative stress (Tinken et al., 2010). The combination of these effects enhances the bioavailability of NO, increasing vasodilation during the hyperaemic response following an ischaemic stimulus (Taddei et al., 2000). From the data presented it appears that the vasculature of healthy older long-term trained adults may be exposed to these physiological mechanisms at a level which preserves vascular function relative to their sedentary counterparts.

Previous work has suggested that trained individuals have shown to exhibit wider peripheral artery diameters when compared to untrained individuals. Often referred to as the athletes artery, it is thought to represent arterial remodelling in response to repeated bouts of shear stress which occur during exercise. It is hypothesised that a widened vessel requires less vasodilation during periods of reactive hyperaemia, resulting in reduced dilatation during FMD (Green et al., 2012, 2013). However, the analysis of long-term trained athletes, in whom any such adaptation may be greatest fails to support this concept since arterial diameters of the

trained individuals did not differ significantly from the sedentary cohort. Although allometric scaling of the data would have been beneficial, a lack of anthropometric data within the studies meant that scaling was not possible. Nevertheless, the present meta-analysis identified that the trained group had a greater vascular function compared to the untrained group, whilst both displaying a similar baseline artery diameter (Figure 3). These findings agree with a meta-analysis by (Montero et al., 2014) who identified that masters athletes BA diameters were similar to untrained controls, whilst FMD was also significantly greater.

The meta-regression aimed to identify if increasing age reduced the improvement in FMD seen in trained individuals compared to sedentary controls. In this case the lack of a significant association indicated that the difference between the two cohorts was not reduced with advancing age Therefore, the present findings underline the notion that exercise can support vascular function, well into the eight decade (Grace et al., 2015). It is also notable, that most (DeVan et al., 2013; Pierce et al., 2011a; Walker et al., 2009), but not all (Franzoni et al., 2005), previous work has demonstrated that the vascular function of healthy young untrained adults is greater than older trained adults. These data suggest that, even in well trained individuals, there may some unavoidable decrement in vascular function with age. In addition, the range in mean ages of the included observational studies was relatively narrow (62 to 75 years); future research should attempt to determine if improvements in vascular function is maintained in those who participate in long-term endurance exercise.

4.2 Question 2 – Do exercise training interventions improve vascular function in previously sedentary healthy older persons?

The results of observational studies support the use of exercise to ameliorate the age related decline in vascular function. However, as is the case in all observational studies, the inability to directly link the main outcome (i.e. FMD) to the exposure of interest (i.e. exercise training) limits the confidence that may be placed in conclusions from such studies (Higgins and Green, 2011). In an attempt to overcome this, the present review further assessed the effectiveness of prospective aerobic intervention studies with otherwise sedentary participants.

Data pooling indicated that the short term training programmes within the included studies (2 to 12 weeks) did not significantly improve vascular function. This finding is at odds with the results of the cross-sectional assessment of endurance trained participants and controls reported above. However, the training interventions were associated with a significant increase in diameter of the BA at baseline (i.e. immediately prior to cuff inflation; Figure 3). Since included studies where not mechanistic in nature, assessing the lack of effect is speculative. For example, it may be that in this age group the recovery of vascular function is slow and vascular remodelling (i.e. .' the athletes artery') becomes a primary method of adaptation (Green et al. 2012). Similarly, it may be that since most studies used cycling exercise, early adaptation is focussed on the most active vascular beds of the lower limbs, and assessment of brachial arterial function is insufficiently sensitive (Thijssen et al., 2007).

Alternatively, there may not be any vascular dysfunction, and the lack of effect on FMD is a result of the increased baseline diameter which aids total blood flow. Indeed increased shear stress, as a result of an exercise has been suggested to cause systemic arterial remodelling (Maiorana et al., 2011). It is noteworthy that long-term training improved FMD without morphological changes (rationale 1), while short term training increased baseline diameter without improving FMD. Given the interventions were between 2 and 12 weeks, and that vascular remodelling may occur within that time frame (Tinken et al., 2008), it is possible that this is responsible for increased baseline diameter within short term interventions. However, the majority of the interventional studies only measured vascular function before and after the

exercise intervention. The 'pre-post' nature of FMD assessments means that it is possible that improvements were missed by the time post measures where performed. Indeed, future work should assess the presence (or otherwise) of changes in vascular function throughout the time course of training interventions. However, it is also worth noting that there was no improvement in vascular function after 2 weeks of either continuous or high intensity training within the study by Klonizakis et al., (2014), suggesting that relatively fast improvements in vascular function may not always occur.

It is also worth noting several limitations of the literature pertaining to interventions in this age group. There were a relatively small number of interventional studies which met the inclusion criteria, meaning that again there was a relatively limited age range of (62 to 70 years). Moreover although the studies included within the meta-analysis were endurance based, the 4 included studies consisted of low, moderate and high exercise intensities, and ranged between 2 and 12 weeks, all of which may have contributed to the moderate heterogeneity of the pooled data. Future analyses may benefit from analysing intensity zones as these may affect vascular function differently (Ashor et al., 2015; Early et al., 2017; Ramos et al., 2015), and the greatest effect in the available literature was observed following high intensity interval training (Grace et al., 2015). However, due to the limited number of studies included within the current analysis, it was not possible to categorise studies based on their intensities.

However, the lack of improvements in vascular function following exercise interventions, there are a number of other physiological advantages of exercise such as increased muscle strength and power (Reid and Fielding, 2012), as well as a reduction in other risk factors involved in ageing (Barnes, 2015; Seals, 2014b). It therefore seems prudent to advise older adults who begin exercising to remain active indefinitely in order to enjoy other health benefits associated with PA and exercise; not least as detraining may reverse potential improvements in various physiological factors (Pullin et al., 2004).

Additionally, the meta-analysis identified that EIDV did not change significantly in either the trained versus untrained participants in the cross-sectional analysis, or pre versus post training within the interventional analysis, however combined pooling suggested a small effect. As EIDV is commonly used as a control test to assess whether improvements in FMD are mainly NO mediated, these data suggest that improvements in trained participants FMD may be due to exercise induced improvements of the vascular endothelium, rather than alterations in vascular smooth muscle within the tunica media (Maruhashi et al., 2013). Conversely, the previously sedentary participants who completed an exercise intervention found no overall improvements in either endothelial function, or vascular smooth muscle cell function.

4.3 Study quality

 The quality of most studies was determined as 'good' (see tables 3-5); however, some limitations were noted. Firstly, the majority of both observational and cohort studies recruited only a small number of participants (less than 20 participants per group) which increases the chances of type 2 error, while also increasing the risk of finding a disproportionately large effect size (Button et al., 2013). Furthermore, the current meta-analysis only identified a single RCT from the literature which met the study's inclusion criteria. Given that RCTs are widely considered the most internally valid design to determine cause and effect (Evans, 2003), future studies should investigate the use of this approach. Furthermore, few studies reported that outcome assessors were blind to the participant's group allocation during assessment of FMD, increasing the risk of performance bias (Higgins and Green, 2011).

Moreover, while the included intervention studies performed outcome assessments at the cessation of the training programme, no studies included a sufficient follow-up to allow for determination of the longevity of any beneficial effect. Although FMD is a useful predictor of vascular endothelial function, longer follow-up periods would be useful to identify whether improvements in FMD from exercise translates into a decreased incidence of vascular disease and mortality. Additionally, there is also the need for more comprehensive reporting of participant characteristics, including confirmation of medical history, training status, training frequency and duration (e.g. mins per week), and intensity as many studies lack sufficient detail.

4.4 Strengths and limitations

This is the first systematic review and meta- analysis to focus on the effects of exercise on vascular function via FMD in healthy older adults using both cross-sectional and interventional studies; however, a number of limitations should be noted. Firstly, although FMD measurement protocols were similar between studies, some minor differences in protocols were evident. Studies measured post occlusion BA diameter for different durations, ranging from 90 seconds to 10 minutes after cuff deflation. In addition to differences in methodology, there were also a wide range of baseline Δ FMD% values in intervention studies $(3.4 \pm 1.5\% \text{ to } 8.9 \pm 4.9\%)$. Furthermore, only a small number of studies normalised FMD values for hyperaemic stimulus (Eskurza et al., 2004, 2005a). Therefore, the varied protocols and lack of FMD normalisation by shear may have all contributed to the differences between the studies. Additionally, despite the majority of the cross-sectional studies including EIDV within their analysis, only 2 of the 4 interventional studies reported EIDV data. This therefore reduces the confidence that any improvements in FMD were mediated by only the endothelium, and not by vascular smooth muscle cells. Also, for the purposes of this analysis we defined vascular function as brachial artery FMD response. However, it is plausible that analysis of micro-vascular function, different regions of the vascular system, or analysis of other measures of vascular health (e.g. pulse wave analysis, or pulse wave velocity) may provide different results.

Moreover, although the interventional studies were specifically aerobic in nature, the intensities of the exercises differed considerably. For example, (Suboc et al., 2014) conducted a walking intervention, which was of relatively low intensity, whereas (Klonizakis et al., 2014) documented a high intensity protocol requiring participants to work at 100% of their peak power output. However, due to the lack of interventional studies meeting the inclusion criteria, all 4 studies had to be analysed together. A greater number of interventional studies are therefore required within this specific cohort to investigate whether varying exercise intensities would influence vascular improvements differently. Additionally, within the cross-sectional studies the lack of detail, and the use of qualitative descriptors of training intensity in the trained older individuals (e.g. 'vigorous exercise') makes more detailed comparison of the effects of long-term training in this cohort difficult. Furthermore, although there were no differences in $\Delta FMD\%$ between supervised and non-supervised interventions, only one non-supervised intervention was included in the meta-analysis. Therefore, until further non-supervised interventional protocols meeting the inclusion criteria become available, this result should be interpreted with caution.

All of the studies included within the meta-analysis assessed vascular function of the BA via FMD, despite the interventions and longer-term exercise routines consisting primarily of lower-limb exercise. However, it has previously been identified that cycling can significantly improve vascular function of the non-exercising upper limbs (Birk et al., 2012). Although (Birk et al., 2012) assessed only young male participants, their results suggest that

lower-limb exercise can cause systemic adaptations to vascular function, which is likely caused by increases in shear stress. Therefore, it seems likely that the improvement in BA vascular function identified within the cross-sectional studies is due to systemic vascular adaptations from many years of lower-limb exercise training.

Additionally, it has been previously shown that vascular function in older females decreases at a faster rate than in males (Celermajer et al., 1994). As the current systematic review and meta-analysis included both male and female participants, it is possible that our results could have differed if males and females were analysed separately. However, there were too few studies containing female participants to split the analysis by sex. Furthermore, it has been previously found that oestrogen may be required to induce the benefits of endurance exercise on vascular function, potentially by increasing NO bioavailability further (Moreau et al., 2013). As the females included in the meta-analysis were post-menopausal and not receiving oestrogen supplementation, perhaps intake of the hormone after menopause alongside aerobic exercise may have helped to improve vascular function further. However, as the majority of the cross-sectional participants within the current meta-analysis were male, the results from the cross-sectional analysis may better represent the male population. Future studies may wish, where possible, to report male and female results separately, and to further report the menstrual status of female participants.

5. Conclusion

In summary, the current systematic review and meta-analysis identifies that aerobic exercise training during advancing age can maintain healthy vascular function compared with otherwise healthy sedentary peers. These findings emphasise the importance of remaining active throughout the life-span. However, currently there is not enough evidence to suggest that aerobic exercise interventions ranging from 2 to 12 weeks can improve vascular function in previously sedentary older adults. Nonetheless, sedentary older individuals should still be encouraged to become active until more evidence becomes available.

Author contributions

The literature search and selection of studies was performed by authors AC and AB. Following an initial screen of titles and abstracts (AC), full scrutiny of potentially eligible studies were independently screened by AC and AB using the specific inclusion criteria. NS arbitrated any disagreements in study inclusion. Study quality assessment was performed by AC.

Funding

This work was solely supported via internal University funding

References

- Abramoff, M., Magalhaes, P., and Ram, S. (2004). Image Processing with ImageJ. Biophotonics Int. 11, 36–42.
- Atkinson, G., & Batterham, A. M. (2015). The Clinical Relevance of the Percentage Flow-Mediated Dilation Index. Current Hypertension Reports, 17(2).
- Ashor, A. W., Lara, J., Siervo, M., Celis-Morales, C., Oggioni, C., Jakovljevic, D. G., et al. (2015). Exercise Modalities and Endothelial Function: A Systematic Review and Dose-Response Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Sports Med. 45, 279–296. doi:10.1007/s40279-014-0272-9.
- 562 Barnes, J. N. (2015). Exercise, cognitive function, and aging. Adv. Physiol. Educ. 39, 55–62. doi:10.1152/advan.00101.2014.
- Berry, K. L., Skyrme-Jones, R. A. P., and Meredith, I. T. (2000). Occlusion cuff position is an important determinant of the time course and magnitude of human brachial artery flow-mediated dilation. Clin. Sci. 99, 261–267.
- Birk, G. K., Dawson, E. A., Atkinson, C., Haynes, A., Cable, N. T., Thijssen, D. H. J., et al. (2012). Brachial artery adaptation to lower limb exercise training: role of shear stress. J. Appl. Physiol. 112, 1653–1658. doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.01489.2011.
- Button, K. S., Ioannidis, J. P. A., Mokrysz, C., Nosek, B. A., Flint, J., Robinson, E. S. J., et al. (2013). Power
 failure: why small sample size undermines the reliability of neuroscience. Nat. Rev. Neurosci.
 14, 365–376. doi:10.1038/nrn3475.
- Celermajer, D., Sorensen, K., Spiegelhalter, D., and Georgakopoulos, D. (1994). Aging is associated with
 endothelial dysfunction in healthy men years before the age-related decline in women. JACC
 24, 471–476.
- 576 Chodzko-Zajko, W. J., Proctor, D. N., Fiatarone Singh, M. A., Minson, C. T., Nigg, C. R., Salem, G. J., et 577 al. (2009). Exercise and Physical Activity for Older Adults: Med. Sci. Sports Exerc. 41, 1510– 578 1530. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181a0c95c.
- 579 DeVan, A. E., Eskurza, I., Pierce, G. L., Walker, A. E., Jablonski, K. L., Kaplon, R. E., et al. (2013). Regular 580 aerobic exercise protects against impaired fasting plasma glucose-associated vascular 581 endothelial dysfunction with aging. Clin Sci (Lond) 124, 325–331. doi:10.1042/CS20120291.
- Donato, A. J., Eskurza, I., Silver, A. E., Levy, A. S., Pierce, G. L., Gates, P. E., et al. (2007). Direct Evidence of Endothelial Oxidative Stress With Aging in Humans: Relation to Impaired Endothelium-Dependent Dilation and Upregulation of Nuclear Factor- B. Circ. Res. 100, 1659–1666. doi:10.1161/01.RES.0000269183.13937.e8.
- Doshi, S. N., Naka, K. K., Payne, N., Jones, C. J. H., Ashton, M., Lewis, M. J., et al. (2001). Flow-mediated dilatation following wrist and upper arm occlusion in humans: the contribution of nitric oxide.

 7.
- Early, K. S., Stewart, A., Johannsen, N., Lavie, C. J., Thomas, J. R., and Welsch, M. (2017). The Effects of Exercise Training on Brachial Artery Flow-Mediated Dilation: A Meta-analysis. J. Cardiopulm. Rehabil. Prev. 37, 77–89. doi:10.1097/HCR.000000000000206.

- 592 Eskurza, I., Monahan, K. D., Robinson, J. A., and Seals, D. R. (2004). Effect of acute and chronic ascorbic acid on flow-mediated dilatation with sedentary and physically active human ageing. J. 593 594 Physiol. 556, 315–324. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2003.057042.
- 595 Eskurza, I., Myerburgh, L. A., Kahn, Z. D., and Seals, D. R. (2005a). Tetrahydrobiopterin augments 596 endothelium-dependent dilatation in sedentary but not in habitually exercising older adults. 597 J. Physiol. 568, 1057–1065. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2005.092734.
- 598 Eskurza, I., Myerburgh, L. A., Kahn, Z. D., and Seals, D. R. (2005b). Tetrahydrobiopterin augments 599 endothelium-dependent dilatation in sedentary but not in habitually exercising older adults: 600 Endothelium-dependent dilatation. Physiol. 568, 1057-1065. 601 doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2005.092734.
- 602 Evans, D. (2003). Hierarchy of evidence: a framework for ranking evidence evaluating healthcare 603 interventions. J. Clin. Nurs. 12, 77-84.
- 604 Franzoni, F., Ghiadoni, L., Galetta, F., Plantinga, Y., Lubrano, V., Huang, Y., et al. (2005). Physical 605 activity, plasma antioxidant capacity, and endothelium-dependent vasodilation in young and 606 older men. Am. J. Hypertens. 18, 510–516. doi:10.1016/j.amjhyper.2004.11.006.
- 607 Galetta, F., Franzoni, F., Plantinga, Y., Ghiadoni, L., Rossi, M., Prattichizzo, F., et al. (2006). Ambulatory 608 blood pressure monitoring and endothelium-dependent vasodilation in the elderly athletes. 609 Biomed. Pharmacother. Biomedecine Pharmacother. 60, 443-447. 610 doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2006.07.013.
- Grace, F. M., Herbert, P., Ratcliffe, J. W., New, K. J., Baker, J. S., and Sculthorpe, N. F. (2015). Age 611 612 related vascular endothelial function following lifelong sedentariness: positive impact of cardiovascular conditioning without further improvement following low frequency high 613 614 intensity interval training. Physiol. Rep. 3, e12234-e12234. doi:10.14814/phy2.12234.
- 615 Green, D. J., Dawson, E. A., Groenewoud, H. M. M., Jones, H., and Thijssen, D. H. J. (2014). Is Flow-616 Mediated Dilation Nitric Oxide Mediated?: A Meta-Analysis. Hypertension 63, 376-382. 617 doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.113.02044.
- 618 Green, D. J., Jones, H., Thijssen, D., Cable, N. T., and Atkinson, G. (2011). Flow-Mediated Dilation and 619 Cardiovascular Event Prediction: Does Nitric Oxide Matter? Hypertension 57, 363-369. 620 doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.110.167015.
- 621 Green, D. J., Rowley, N., Spence, A., Carter, H., Whyte, G., George, K., et al. (2013). Why Isn't Flow-622 Mediated Dilation Enhanced in Athletes?: Med. Sci. Sports Exerc. 45, 75-82. 623 doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e318269affe.
- 624 Green, D. J., Spence, A., Rowley, N., Thijssen, D. H. J., and Naylor, L. H. (2012). Vascular adaptation in 625 athletes: is there an 'athlete's artery'?: Is there an 'athlete's artery'? Exp. Physiol. 97, 295-626 304. doi:10.1113/expphysiol.2011.058826.
- 627 Higgins, J., and Green, S. (2011). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Version 628 5.1.0. The Cochrane Collaboration Available at: http://handbook.cochrane.org.
- 629 Higgins, J. P. T., Thompson, S. G., Deeks, J. J., and Altman, D. G. (2003). Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 327, 557-560. doi:10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557. 630

- Inaba, Y., Chen, J. A., and Bergmann, S. R. (2010). Prediction of future cardiovascular outcomes by flow-mediated vasodilatation of brachial artery: a meta-analysis. Int. J. Cardiovasc. Imaging 26, 631–640. doi:10.1007/s10554-010-9616-1.
- Jensen-Urstad, K., Bouvier, F., and Jensen-Urstad, M. (1999). Preserved vascular reactivity in elderly male athletes. Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports 9, 88–91.
- Jensen-Urstad, K., and Johansson, J. (2001). Gender difference in age-related changes in vascular function. J. Intern. Med. 250, 29–36. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2796.2001.00843.x.
- Klonizakis, M., Moss, J., Gilbert, S., Broom, D., Foster, J., and Tew, G. A. (2014). Low-volume highintensity interval training rapidly improves cardiopulmonary function in postmenopausal women. Menopause N. Y. N 21, 1099–1105. doi:10.1097/GME.000000000000208.
- Lesniewski, L. A., Zigler, M. L., Durrant, J. R., Nowlan, M. J., Folian, B. J., Donato, A. J., et al. (2013).

 Aging compounds western diet-associated large artery endothelial dysfunction in mice:

 Prevention by voluntary aerobic exercise. Exp. Gerontol. 48, 1218–1225.

 doi:10.1016/j.exger.2013.08.001.
- 645 Maiorana, A. J., Naylor, L. H., Exterkate, A., Swart, A., Thijssen, D. H. J., Lam, K., et al. (2011). The impact 646 of exercise training on conduit artery wall thickness and remodeling in chronic heart failure 647 patients. Hypertens. Dallas Tex 1979 57, 56–62. doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.110.163022.
- Maruhashi, T., Soga, J., Fujimura, N., Idei, N., Mikami, S., Iwamoto, Y., et al. (2013). Nitroglycerine Induced Vasodilation for Assessment of Vascular Function: A Comparison With Flow-Mediated
 Vasodilation. Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 33, 1401–1408.
 doi:10.1161/ATVBAHA.112.300934.
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., and PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. BMJ 339, b2535.
- Montero, D., Padilla, J., Diaz-Canestro, C., Muris, D. M. J., Pyke, K. E., Obert, P., et al. (2014). Flow-mediated dilation in athletes: influence of aging. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc. 46, 2148–2158. doi:10.1249/MSS.000000000000341.
- Moreau, K. L., Stauffer, B. L., Kohrt, W. M., and Seals, D. R. (2013). Essential role of estrogen for improvements in vascular endothelial function with endurance exercise in postmenopausal women. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 98, 4507–4515. doi:10.1210/jc.2013-2183.
- Pierce, G. L., Donato, A. J., LaRocca, T. J., Eskurza, I., Silver, A. E., and Seals, D. R. (2011a). Habitually
 exercising older men do not demonstrate age-associated vascular endothelial oxidative stress.
 Aging Cell 10, 1032–1037. doi:10.1111/j.1474-9726.2011.00748.x.
- Pierce, G. L., Eskurza, I., Walker, A. E., Fay, T. N., and Seals, D. R. (2011b). Sex-specific effects of habitual aerobic exercise on brachial artery flow-mediated dilation in middle-aged and older adults. Clin. Sci. Lond. Engl. 1979 120, 13–23. doi:10.1042/CS20100174.
- 666 Pullin, C. H., Bellamy, M. F., Damien, B., Ashton, M., and Davies, W. (2004). Time Course of Changes in 667 Endothelial Function Following Exercise in Habitually Sedentary Men. J Ex Physiol 7, 14–22.
- Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies (2014). Natl. Heart Lung Blood Inst. Available at: https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-pro/guidelines/indevelop/cardiovascular-risk-reduction/tools/cohort.

- Ramos, J. S., Dalleck, L. C., Tjonna, A. E., Beetham, K. S., and Coombes, J. S. (2015). The Impact of High-Intensity Interval Training Versus Moderate-Intensity Continuous Training on Vascular Function: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Sports Med. 45, 679–692.
- 674 doi:10.1007/s40279-015-0321-z.
- Reid, K. F., and Fielding, R. A. (2012). Skeletal Muscle Power: A Critical Determinant of Physical Functioning in Older Adults. Exerc. Sport Sci. Rev. 40, 4–12. doi:10.1097/JES.0b013e31823b5f13.
- Roger, V. L., Go, A. S., Lloyd-Jones, D. M., Benjamin, E. J., Berry, J. D., Borden, W. B., et al. (2012). Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics--2012 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. Circulation 125, e2–e220. doi:10.1161/CIR.0b013e31823ac046.
- Seals, D. R. (2014a). Edward F. Adolph Distinguished Lecture: The remarkable anti-aging effects of aerobic exercise on systemic arteries. J. Appl. Physiol. 117, 425–439.
- Seals, D. R. (2014b). Edward F. Adolph Distinguished Lecture: The remarkable anti-aging effects of aerobic exercise on systemic arteries. J. Appl. Physiol. 117, 425–439. doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.00362.2014.
- Seals, D. R., Jablonski, K. L., and Donato, A. J. (2011). Aging and vascular endothelial function in humans. Clin. Sci. Lond. Engl. 1979 120, 357–375. doi:10.1042/CS20100476.
- Seals, D. R., Walker, A. E., Pierce, G. L., and Lesniewski, L. A. (2009). Habitual exercise and vascular ageing. J. Physiol. 587, 5541–5549. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2009.178822.
- Sparling, P. B., Howard, B. J., Dunstan, D. W., and Owen, N. (2015). Recommendations for physical activity in older adults. BMJ 350, h100–h100. doi:10.1136/bmj.h100.
- Stroup, D., Berlin, J., Morton, S., Olkin, I., Williamson, G., Rennie, D., et al. (2000). Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. JAMA 283, 2008–2012.
- Suboc, T. B., Strath, S. J., Dharmashankar, K., Coulliard, A., Miller, N., Wang, J., et al. (2014). Relative importance of step count, intensity, and duration on physical activity's impact on vascular structure and function in previously sedentary older adults. J. Am. Heart Assoc. 3, e000702. doi:10.1161/JAHA.113.000702.
- Taddei, S., Galetta, F., Virdis, A., Ghiadoni, L., Salvetti, G., Franzoni, F., et al. (2000). Physical activity prevents age-related impairment in nitric oxide availability in elderly athletes. Circulation 101, 2896–2901.
- Taddei, S., Virdis, A., Ghiadoni, L., Salvetti, G., Giampaolo, B., Magagna, A., et al. (2001). Age-Related Reduction of NO Availability and Oxidative Stress in Humans. Hypertension 38, 274–279.
- Thijssen, D. H. J., de Groot, P. C. E., Smits, P., and Hopman, M. T. E. (2007). Vascular adaptations to 8week cycling training in older men. Acta Physiol. Oxf. Engl. 190, 221–228. doi:10.1111/j.1748-1716.2007.01685.x.
- Tinken, T. M., Thijssen, D. H. J., Black, M. A., Cable, N. T., and Green, D. J. (2008). Time course of change
 in vasodilator function and capacity in response to exercise training in humans: Arterial
 adaptations to training. J. Physiol. 586, 5003–5012. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2008.158014.

/09	Tinken, T. M., Thijssen, D. H. J., Hopkins, N., Dawson, E. A., Cable, N. T., and Green, D. J. (2010). Shear
710	Stress Mediates Endothelial Adaptations to Exercise Training in Humans. Hypertension 55,
711	312–318. doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.109.146282.
712 713	Virdis, A., Ghiadoni, L., Giannarelli, C., and Taddei, S. (2010). Endothelial dysfunction and vascular disease in later life. Maturitas 67, 20–24. doi:10.1016/j.maturitas.2010.04.006.
714	Walker, A. E., Eskurza, I., Pierce, G. L., Gates, P. E., and Seals, D. R. (2009). Modulation of vascular
715	endothelial function by low-density lipoprotein cholesterol with aging: influence of habitual
716	exercise. Am. J. Hypertens. 22, 250–256. doi:10.1038/ajh.2008.353.
717	
718	
719	



Table 1. Cross-sectional Studies Characteristics. Study characteristics of cross-sectional studies within question 1 (\uparrow identifies that a significant increase in FMD% change occurred (P \leq 0.05) and \rightarrow identifies no change (P>0.05)). N = number of participants; % Male = percentage of male participants: [V O] = 2max = maximum aerobic capacity; FMD% change = flow mediated dilation percentage change; PA = physical activity). Values are displayed as mean \pm SD

Study	Training Status	N	Age (years)	% Male	Physical Activity Levels	VO _{2max} (ml.kg.min ⁻¹)	FMD % Change	Overall Findings	Study Quality
DeVan et al. (2013)	Trained	23	62 ± 4	91	>45min day ⁻¹ , ≥5days week ⁻¹ for previous 2 years.	$(\dot{V}O_{2peak}): 42 \pm 9.6$	6.4 ± 1.7	↑ Trained	Fair
	Sedentary	35	62 ± 5	86	<30min day ⁻¹ , <2days per week for previous 2 years.	$(\dot{V}O_{2peak}): 31 \pm 5.9$	5.3 ± 2.2		
Eskurza et al. (2005)	Trained	12	66 ± 4	100	>3 sessions week ⁻¹ of vigorous endurance exercise >2 years.	41.3 ± 4.2	5.9 ± 1.7	↑ Trained	Good
	Sedentary	9	62 ± 6	100	No regular PA >2 years.	29.1 ± 6.3	3.9 ± 2.1		
Franzoni et al. (2005)	Trained	16	64 ± 6	100	VO _{2max} >50ml.kg.min ⁻¹ . Vigorous endurance exercise >5 times week ⁻¹ & participate in national & international road- running races. Training for 37 ±	54.7 ± 3.7	5.3 ± 3.2	↑ Trained	Good
	Sedentary	16	64 ± 4	100	5 years. VO _{2max} <45 ml.kg.min ⁻¹	28 ± 5.9	2.3 ± 1		
Galetta et al. (2006)	Trained	30	65 ± 5	100	VO _{2max} >40 ml.kg.min ⁻¹ Competitive endurance runners since 40 years. 1-2hour day ⁻¹ for 5 days (3 days long distance running & 2 days walk-weight training) or 5-10km weekly or 20km once every 2 weeks. VO _{2max} <35 ml.kg.min ⁻¹ and no	45.7 ± 3.7	6.2 ± 2	↑ Trained	Good
	Sedentary	28	66 ± 6	100	regular exercise	28 ± 5.9	2.4 ± 1.5		

Study	Training Status	N	Age (years)	% Male	Physical Activity Levels	VO _{2max} (ml.kg.min ⁻¹)	FMD % Change	Overall Findings	Study Quality
Grace et al. (2015)	Trained	17	61 ± 5	100	Life-long exercisers and completed on average 280min exercise training week-1. Most participants were active competing in endurance sports.	39.2 ± 5.6	5.4 ± 1.4	↑ Trained	Good
	Sedentary	22	63 ± 5	100	No formal exercise programme for ≥30 years.	27.2 ± 5.2	3.4 ± 1.5		
Jensen-Urstad et al. (1999)	Trained	9	75 ± 3	100	Participants had been and were still among the best in their respective age groups in running since ages of 15-25. Between 3-7 hours strenuous exercise week ⁻¹ .	41 ± 7	4.8 ± 5	↑ Trained	Good
	Sedentary	11	75 ± 2	100	Sedentary or moderately active.	27 ± 5	1.1 ± 2.1		
Pierce et al. (2011a)	Trained	13	62 ± 7	100	Vigorous aerobic exercise (competitive running, cycling and triathlons) ≥ 5days week ⁻¹ for ≥ 45min day ⁻¹ >5 years.	42 ± 3.6	6.3 ± 1.8	↑ Trained	Good
	Sedentary	28	63 ± 5	100	No regular aerobic exercise (<30min day⁻¹, <2days week⁻¹, ≥2years).	29 ± 5.3	4.9 ± 2.1		
Pierce et al. (2011b)	Trained	65	62 ± 6	69	Vigorous aerobic exercise (competitive running, cycling and triathlons) >5days week-1 for >45min day-1 >5 years.	41.5 ± 7.7	6.1 ± 2.9	↑ Trained	Good
	Sedentary	102	62 ± 10	59	No regular aerobic exercise (<30min day ⁻¹ , <2days week ⁻¹ , >2years).	27.4 ± 6.6	4.8 ± 2.3		

Study	Training Status	N	Age (years)	% Male	Physical Activity Levels	VO _{2max} (ml.kg.min ⁻¹)	FMD % Change	Overall Findings	Study Quality
Walker et al. (2009)	Trained	16	66 ± 4	100	>3sessions week-1 vigorous aerobic endurance exercise.	42.8 ± 5.2	6.2 ± 2.6	\rightarrow	Good
()	Sedentary	15	66 ± 4	100	No regular exercise for 2 years	29.9 ± 4.7	4.8 ± 1.6		
Eskurza et al. (2004)	Trained	9	64 ± 6	100	>3sessions week ⁻¹ vigorous aerobic endurance exercise for ≥ 2 years	40 ± 6	7 ± 1.8	↑ Trained	Good
	Sedentary	9	64 ± 6	100	Sedentary (No regular PA) for ≥ 2 years	32 ± 3	4.6 ± 0.6		

.

Table 2. Interventional Study Characteristics. ↑ identifies a significant increase in FMD% change (P \leq 0.05) and → identifies no significant change (P \geq 0.05)). N = number of participants; % Male = percentage of male participants; $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ = maximum aerobic capacity; FMD% change = flow mediated dilation percentage change; PA = physical activity; HRR = heart rate reserve; WR_{max} = maximum work rate; PP = peak power; HIT = high intensity training; CT = continuous training; ACSM = American College of Sports Medicine; HIIT = high intensity interval training; PED = pedometer; CON = control. Values are displayed as mean \pm SD.

					Exercise Inte	rvention	_ 1						
Study	Study Desig n	N	Age (years)	% Male	Exercise Type	Intensity	Session Duration	Frequency	Study Duration	VO _{2max} (ml.kg.min⁻¹)	FMD % Change	Overall Findings	Study Quality
Thijssen et al. (2007)	Cohort	8	70 ± 1	100	Cycling training on an ergometer	65% HRR & gradually increasing by 5% until 85%	20min	3days week-1	8 weeks	Pre: 30.8 ± 4.8 Post: 33.3 ± 5.5	Pre: 6.9 ± 3.4 Post: 6.4 ± 2.7	→ FMD%	Good
Suboc et al. (2014)	RCT	7 7	PED: 64 ± 7 CON: 62 ± 7	PED: 61 CON: 76	PED (n=36) walking CON (n=41)	Increase PA by 10% weekly above baseline to reach an average of 10,000 steps day ⁻¹		Daily	12 weeks		Post; CON:6.3 ± 2.7 HIT:6.7 ± 3.9	→ FMD%	Good
Kloniza kis et al. (2014)	Cohort	1 8	HIT: 64 ± 7 CT: 64 ± 4	0	HIT (n=11): cycling intervals on ergometer CT (n=7): continuous cycling	HIT: 100%PP & light active recovery intervals at 30W CT: 65% PP	HIIT: 10x1min intervals with 1min recovery between each CT: 40min	3 times week ⁻¹	2 weeks	HIT; Pre: 20.4 ± 3.4 Post: 22.6 ± 3.1 CT; Pre: 25 ± 7.4 Post: 26.7 ± 5.4	HIT; Pre:8.1 ± 7.2 Post:6.5 ± 3.7 CT; Pre: 8.9 ± 7.9 Post: 7 ± 4.3	→ FMD% → FMD%	Good
Grace et al. (2015)	Cohort	2 2	63 ± 5.2	100	Progressive conditioning exercise: ACSM guidelines HIIT: sprints on cycle ergometer.	Conditioning exercise ACSM guidelines (Chodzko- Zajko et al., 2009) & 50% PP HIIT sprints	Conditioning exercise: 150min week-1 ≥30min day-1 (ACSM guidelines (Chodzko-Zajko et al., 2009))	Conditioning: ≥5 days week-1 (ACSM guidelines (Chodzko-Zajko et al., 2009)) HIIT: once every 5 days	Conditioni ng: 6 weeks HIIT: 6 weeks	Pre: 27.2 ± 5.2 Post: 32.2 ± 5.6	Pre: 3.4 ± 1.5 Post: 5.4 ± 1.4	↑ FMD%	Good

HIIT: 6x30s sprints with 3min break between each

Table 3. Cross-Sectional Study Quality. Study quality assessment of the cross-sectional studies included in question 1 developed by the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI) (Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies, 2014). Y = yes; N = no; NR = not reported.

	Research Question Clear?	Study Population Clear? (including without date and place)	Criteria same within both groups?	Sample size calculation?	Exposure measures clear, reliable and valid? Implemented consistently?	Outcome measures clear, reliable and valid? Implemented consistently?	Blinded assessment of FMD?	Follow-up after baseline 20% or less?	Key potential confounding variables considered?
DeVan et al. (2013)	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	NR	Y	Y
Eskurza et al. (2005)	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Franzoni et al. (2005)	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	NR	Y	Y
Galetta et al. (2006) (Galetta et al., 2006)	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	NR	Y	Y
Grace et al. (2014)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
Jensen-Urstand et al. (1999)	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	NR	Y	Y
Pierce et al. (2011a)	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y

	Research Question Clear?	Study Population Clear? (including without date and place)	Criteria same within both groups?	Sample size calculation?	Exposure measures clear, reliable and valid? Implemented consistently?	Outcome measures clear, reliable and valid? Implemented consistently?	Blinded assessment of FMD?	Follow-up after baseline 20% or less?	Key potential confounding variables considered?
Pierce et al. (2011b)	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Walker et al. (2009)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	NR	Y	Y
Eskurza et al. (2004)	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Table 4. Cohort Study Quality. Study quality assessment of the cohort studies included in question 2 developed by the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI) (Quality Assessment Tool for Before-After (Pre-Post) Studies With No Control Group, 2014). Y = yes; N = no; NR = not reported; CD = cannot determine.

	Research Question Clear?	Participant eligibility criteria clear? (without date and names)	Were participants representative of general population of interest?	Were all eligible participants enrolled?	Was sample size sufficient to provide confidence in the findings?	Was the intervention clearly described and delivered consistently?	Outcome measures clear, reliable and valid? Implemented consistently?	Blinded assessment of FMD?	Loss to follow- up after baseline <20%	Did the statistical method examine changes pre and post intervention?
Grace et al. (2015)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	NR	Y	Y
Klonizakis et al. (2014) (17)	Y	Y	Y	Y	CD	Y	Y	NR	Y	Y
Thijssen et al. (2007)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	NR	Y	Y

Table 5. Randomised Control Trial Study Quality. Study quality assessment of the RCT study included in question 2 developed by the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI) (Quality Assessment of Controlled Intervention Studies, 2014). RCT = randomised controlled trial; Y = yes; N = no; NR = not reported.

	Described as a RCT?	Was the method of randomisation adequate?	Was the treatment allocation concealed?	Were the investigators blinded?	Were groups similar at baseline on important characteristics that could	Was overall drop- out rate ≤20%	Was the differential drop-out rate at endpoint ≤15%?	High adherence to intervention protocols?	Were other interventions avoided or similar in the groups?	Outcome measures clear, reliable and valid? Implemented	Sample size sufficient to detect difference with at	Were outcomes reported or subgroups analysed pre-	Were all randomised participants analysed in the group they were
					affect outcomes?					consistently?	least 80% power?	specified?	originally assigned?
Suboc et al. (2014)	Y	NR	NR	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

 Table 6. Meta-Regression Analysis.
 Meta-regressions assessing the effect of age on FMD percentage change

Comparison	Covariate	n	q	df	SE	β	95% CI	P-value
Trained v Sedentary	Age	10	3.17	1	0.12	0.22	0.02%, 0.46%	0.08

Figure Legends

- Figure 1. PRISMA flow chart of study selection from the original search on Pubmed, Wed of Science and ScienceDirect.
- Figure 2. Forest plot of the meta-analysis with mean differences of FMD percentage change between trained versus sedentary healthy older adults (question 1), and FMD percentage change pre to post exercise intervention in previously sedentary healthy older adults (question 2). Outcomes of question 1, 2 and the moderator analysis are also presented.
- Figure 3. Forest plot of the meta-analysis of brachial artery baseline diameter (mm) between trained versus sedentary healthy older adults, and pre to post exercise intervention in previously sedentary healthy older adults. The heterogeneity and moderator analysis are also presented.
- Figure 4. Forest plot of the meta-analysis of endothelial independent vasodilation percentage change (EIDV%) between pre to post exercise intervention in previously sedentary healthy older adults, and trained versus sedentary healthy older adults. The heterogeneity and moderator analysis are also presented.
- Figure 5. Forest plot of the meta-analysis of brachial artery FMD percentage change within supervised and non-supervised exercise interventions. Heterogeneity and moderator analysis are also presented.

Group by	Study name	Comparison	Age	Statis	tics for	each s	udy	Sampl	<u>e siz</u> e	Differen	ce in means an	d 95% CI
Study Type				Difference in means	Lower limit	Upper limit	p-Value	Sedentary	Trained			
Cross-Sectional	Franzoni et al. (2005)	-	64	3.00	1.36	4.64	0.00	16	16	- 1	-	-1
Cross-Sectional	Walker et al. (2009)		66	1.39	-0.12	2.90	0.07	15	16	- 1	⊢- -	
Cross-Sectional	Eskurza et al. (2005)	-	64	2.00	0.38	3.62	0.02	9	12	- 1		- 1
Cross-Sectional	Pierce et al. (2011a)		63	1.40	0.08	2.72	0.04	28	13	- 1	⊢- -	- 1
Cross-Sectional	DeVan et al. (2013)	-	62	1.11	0.05	2.17	0.04	35	23	- 1	⊢= -	- 1
Cross-Sectional	Galetta et al. (2006)	•	65	3.80	2.89	4.71	0.00	28	30	- 1	- 1 -	
Cross-Sectional	Jensen-Urstad et al. (1999)	-	75	3.70	0.46	6.94	0.03	11	9	- 1	I	
Cross-Sectional	Pierce et al. (2011b)	-	62	1.30	0.51	2.09	0.00	102	65	- 1	-= -	- 1
Cross-Sectional	Grace et al. (2015) 1	-	62	2.00	1.08	2.92	0.00	22	17	- 1	-=-	
Cross-Sectional	Eskurza et al. (2004)		64	2.40	1.16	3.64	0.00	9	9	- 1		-
Cross-Sectional				2.10	1.44	2.75	0.00			- 1	- ◆	8
ntervention	Thijssen et al. (2007)	Cohort	70	-0.50	-3.51	2.51	0.74	8	8	- 1 -		- 1
Intervention	Suboc et al. (2014)	RCT	64	0.40	-1.08	1.88	0.60	41	36	- 1	-	- 1
Intervention	Klonizakis et al. (2014) (HIT)	Cohort	64	-1.60	-6.38	3.18	0.51	11	11	_		
Intervention	Klonizakis et al. (2014) (CT)	Cohort	64	-1.90	-8.56	4.76	0.58	7	7			-1
Intervention	Grace et al. (2015) 2	Cohort	63	2.00	1.14	2.86	0.00	22	22	- 1	=	
Intervention				0.71	-0.67	2.09	0.32			- 1	•	- 1
Overal1				1.84	1.25	2.43	0.00		- 1	- 1	♦	- 1

Cross-sectional studies: Q: 24.75 df: 9 P=0.003 I^2 = 63.64% Intervention studies: Q: 7.61 df: 4 P=0.12 I^2 =47.45

df: 1 P=0.08

Q: 3.18

Between:

Favours No Exercise Favours Exercise



Group by	Study name	Comparison	Age	Stat	istics for	each stud	ły	Sample	e size		Difference	in means and	95% CI	
Study Type				Difference in means	Lower limit	Upper limit	p-Value	Sedentary	Trained					
Cross-Sectional	Franzoni et al. (2005)	Cross-Sectional	64	0.37	-0.13	0.87	0.15	16	16	1	- 1	+		— I
Cross-Sectional	Walker et al. (2009)	Cross-Sectional	66	-0.39	-0.72	-0.06	0.02	15	16	-	→-	-1		
Cross-Sectional	Eskurza et al. (2005)	Cross-Sectional	64	-0.37	-0.79	0.05	0.08	9	12	- -	+			
Cross-Sectional	Pierce et al. (2011a)	Cross-Sectional	63	-0.30	-0.61	0.01	0.06	28	13		+-			
Cross-Sectional	DeVan et al. (2013)	Cross-Sectional	62	-0.19	-0.41	0.03	0.08	35	23		 	•		
Cross-Sectional	Galetta et al. (2006)	Cross-Sectional	65	0.07	-0.30	0.44	0.71	28	30			—-		
Cross-Sectional	Jensen-Urstad et al. (1999)	Cross-Sectional	75	0.10	-0.25	0.45	0.58	11	9		- 1	- -	<u> </u>	
Cross-Sectional	Pierce et al. (2011b)	Cross-Sectional	62	-0.15	-0.36	0.06	0.15	102	65		-	• 		
Cross-Sectional	Grace et al. (2015) 1	Cross-Sectional	62	0.20	0.17	0.23	0.00	22	17		- 1	•		
Cross-Sectional	Eskurza et al. (2004)	Cross-Sectional	64	-0.30	-0.58	-0.02	0.03	9	9		+	_		
Cross-Sectional				-0.10	-0.29	0.09	0.30				-			
Intervention	Thijssen et al. (2007)	Intervention	70	0.00	-0.35	0.35	1.00	8	8		I —	_	-	
Intervention	Suboc et al. (2014)	Intervention	64	0.21	-0.08	0.50	0.15	41	36		- 1	+	\dashv	
Intervention	Klonizakis et al. (2014) (HIT)	Intervention	64	-0.01	-0.35	0.33	0.95	11	11		-	_	-	
Intervention	Klonizakis et al. (2014) (CT)	Intervention	64	-0.07	-0.44	0.30	0.71	7	7		I —	_	-	
Intervention	Grace et al. (2015) 2	Intervention	63	0.10	0.07	0.13	0.00	22	22		- 1			
Intervention				0.10	0.07	0.13	0.00				- 1	♦		
Overall				0.09	0.06	0.12	0.00				-	♦		
Heterogeneity:										-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00
Cross-sectional studies:	Q: 61.35 df: 9 P<0.001	$I^2 = 85.33\%$												

Q: 2.07 df: 4 P=0.72 I²=0%

Q: 4.03 df: 1 P=0.05

Intervention studies:

Between:

Favours No Exercise

Favours Exercise



Group by	Study name	Comparison	Age	Sto	tistics for	oo oh etud		Sampl	lo cizo		Difference	in means an	4 05% C
Study Type	Study name	Comparison	Age				ž	Sampi	ic size		Dillerence	in incans an	u 33 /0 C
				Difference in means	Lower limit	Upper limit	p-Value	Sedentary	Trained				
Cross-Sectional	Franzoni et al. (2005)	Cross-Sectional	64	0.14	-1.62	1.90	0.88	16	16		- 1	+	
Cross-Sectional	Walker et al. (2009)	Cross-Sectional	66	-2.02	-6.62	2.58	0.39	15	16		1-	╼┼╴	
Cross-Sectional	Eskurza et al. (2005)	Cross-Sectional	64	5.60	-2.17	13.37	0.16	9	12			-	-+
Cross-Sectional	Pierce et al. (2011a)	Cross-Sectional	63	2.00	-1.11	5.11	0.21	28	13			+-	-
Cross-Sectional	DeVan et al. (2013)	Cross-Sectional	62	4.80	3.31	6.29	0.00	35	23			- 1 -	-
Cross-Sectional	Galetta et al. (2006)	Cross-Sectional	65	1.00	-0.56	2.56	0.21	28	30				
Cross-Sectional	Jensen-Urstad et al. (1999)	Cross-Sectional	75	4.50	0.07	8.93	0.05	11	9				•—
Cross-Sectional	Pierce et al. (2011b)	Cross-Sectional	62	-0.45	-2.08	1.18	0.59	102	65			-	
Cross-Sectional	Eskurza et al. (2004)	Cross-Sectional	64	0.90	-3.62	5.42	0.70	9	9			 -	-
Cross-Sectional				1.57	-0.13	3.27	0.07						
Intervention	Suboc et al. (2014)	Intervention	64	2.70	-0.21	5.61	0.07	41	36			<u> </u>	-
Intervention	Klonizakis et al. (2014) (HIT)	Intervention	64	-1.60	-6.24	3.04	0.50	11	11		1-		
Intervention	Klonizakis et al. (2014) (CT)	Intervention	64	3.00	-4.18	10.18	0.41	7	7		- 1 -		-
Intervention				1.48	-1.34	4.30	0.30						-
Overall				1.55	0.09	3.00	0.04					ě	
deterogeneity:										-15.00	-7.50	0.00	7.50
Cross-sectional studies:	Q: 32.16 df: 8 P<0.001 I ²	= 75.12%											

Between: Q: 0.003 df: 1 P=0.96

Favours No Exercise Favours Exercise

roup by	Study name	Comparison	Age	Stat	istics for	each stu	dy
Intervention Type				Difference in means	Lower 1imit	Upper 1imit	p-Value
Non Supervised	Suboc et al. (2014)	Intervention	64	0.40	-1.08	1.88	0.60
Non Supervised				0.40	-1.08	1.88	0.60
Supervised	Thijssen et al. (2007)	Intervention	70	-0.50	-3.51	2.51	0.74
Supervised	Klonizakis et al. (2014) (HIT)	Intervention	64	-1.60	-6.38	3.18	0.51
Supervised	Klonizakis et al. (2014) (CT)	Intervention	64	-1.90	-8.56	4.76	0.58
Supervised	Grace et al. (2015) 2	Intervention	63	2.00	1.14	2.86	0.00
Supervised				0.52	-1.56	2.60	0.62
Overal1				0.44	-0.77	1.65	0.47
Heterogeneity:	df. 0 D_1 12 _00/						

Non-Supervised: Q: 0.00 df: 0 P=1 $I^2 = 0\%$ Supervised: Q: 5.47 df: 3 P=0.14 $I^2 = 45.1\%$

Between: Q: 0.008 df: 1 P=0.93

Favours No Exercise Favours Exercise

