

Est.
1841

YORK
ST JOHN
UNIVERSITY

Addison, Michelle, Stockdale, Kelly, McGovern, Ruth, McGovern, William, MacKinnon, Iain, Crowe, Lisa, Hogan, Lisa and Kaner, Eileen (2017) Exploring the intersections between Novel Psychoactive Substances (NPS) and other substance use in a police custody suite setting in the North East of England. *Drugs: Education, Prevention and Policy*.

Downloaded from: <https://ray.yorks.ac.uk/id/eprint/2537/>

The version presented here may differ from the published version or version of record. If you intend to cite from the work you are advised to consult the publisher's version:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/09687637.2017.1378620>

Research at York St John (RaY) is an institutional repository. It supports the principles of open access by making the research outputs of the University available in digital form. Copyright of the items stored in RaY reside with the authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full text items free of charge, and may download a copy for private study or non-commercial research. For further reuse terms, see licence terms governing individual outputs. [Institutional Repositories Policy Statement](#)

RaY

Research at the University of York St John

For more information please contact RaY at
ray@yorks.ac.uk

Exploring the intersections between Novel Psychoactive Substances (NPS) and other substance use in a police custody suite setting in the North East of England

Addison, M.¹; Stockdale, K.²; McGovern, R.¹; McGovern, W.³; McKinnon, I.^{1,5}; Crowe, L.¹; Hogan, L.⁴; and Kaner, E.¹

1. Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, Baddiley Clark Building, Richardson Road, Newcastle Upon Tyne NE2 4AX, UK.
2. School of Psychological and Social Sciences, York St John University, Lord Mayor's Walk, North Yorkshire, YO31 7EX
3. Social Work and Communities, University of Northumbria, Faculty of Health and the Life Sciences, Manor House, M005, Coach Lane Campus West, Benton, Newcastle
4. Northumbria Police, Forth Banks, Newcastle upon Tyne
5. Northumberland Tyne and Wear NHS Foundation Trust

Correspondence address:

Dr Michelle Addison, michelle.addison@ncl.ac.uk

Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, Baddiley Clark Building, Richardson Road, Newcastle Upon Tyne NE2 4AX, UK

Exploring the intersections between Novel Psychoactive Substances (NPS) and other substance use in a police custody suite setting in the North East of England

Abstract

Aims: Novel Psychoactive Substances (NPS), a range of plant-based/synthetic substances that mimic effects of other illicit substances (e.g. cannabis), are now illegal in the UK (May 2016) to produce/supply. Negative behavioural consequences of NPS use mean that users frequently transgress the law, are arrested and detained in police custody suites. Evidence shows a link between traditional substance use and offending behaviour, with significant police time spent on alcohol-related incidents. We explore the intersections between NPS and other substances with police staff and users in custody; specifically the similarities and differences in treatment, management and policing of these substances.

Methods: A qualitative study using semi-structured interviews and thematic analysis. We recruited 15 police staff (4 women/11 men) and 25 NPS users (9 women/16 men).

Results: Police staff perceived NPS users to be extremely volatile in custody and reported feeling less knowledgeable about how to manage and respond to their needs compared to other substance users (e.g. alcohol, heroin). Users rarely took NPS in isolation and often compared them to other illicit substances, balancing effects versus costs.

Conclusion: NPS use has a striking effect on custody work, primarily because of unpredictable user behaviour, adding further pressure to already overstretched police staff.

Keywords: Novel Psychoactive Substances, alcohol, intersections, police, custody suites, Psychoactive Substance Act 2016

Exploring the intersections between Novel Psychoactive Substances (NPS) and other substance use in a police custody suite setting in the North East of England

Introduction

Novel Psychoactive Substances (NPS) are a range of plant-based/synthetic substances that mimic the effects of other well-known illicit substances (e.g. cannabis and cocaine). NPS encompasses a diverse range of plant-based substances (e.g. *salvia divinorum*) and synthetically produced drugs derived from cannabinoids (e.g. spice), cathinones (e.g. mephedrone) and piperazines (e.g. BZP) (Winstock & Wilkins, 2011; Zawilska and Andrzejczak, 2015). Initially called "legal highs" (in UK prior to May 2016), most of these substances fell outside of existing drug legislation, which could account for their notable rise in popularity. The European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA) reported that there were nearly 560 NPS being monitored across Europe in 2015 (EMCDDA, 2016). Reported deaths involving NPS have increased year on year: in 2013, 60 deaths involved NPS in the UK (ONS, 2013), 2014 163 deaths, and in 2015 204 deaths (Home Office, 2016). The number of deaths in or following police custody (2016/17) show 14 deaths occurring (8 report mental health problems; 11 linked to alcohol and/or drugs) (Grace, 2017: 9). It is not possible to ascertain if NPS featured within this substance use. Elsewhere, the Prison and Probation Ombudsman report new psychoactive substances as an 'epidemic' (2017: 9) with 79 prisoner deaths linked to NPS (2016) since 2013. NPS are now illegal in the UK to produce or supply, although not illegal for personal consumption except within a custodial setting (Psychoactive Substances Act (PSA), 2016).

The current status of NPS has some ambiguity from the perspective of community-based law enforcers, 3rd sector organisations and users. The legislative change has received criticism from leading scientists, and 40 prominent scientific and policy figures have described it as 'unenforceable in practice' (Reuter & Pardo 2017: 26). This is echoed in the EMCDDA (2016) report which states that the rapid change across European illicit drug markets has meant that public authorities enforcing drug control laws have struggled to keep up. In particular, this context makes it challenging for the criminal justice system in the UK to enforce punishments to deter and punish supply of NPS because, in criminal law, there must be certainty around what the substance *is*, that it also produces psychoactive effects upon consumption, whilst navigating specific legal exemptions (EMCDDA, 2016; Elie, et al 2013; Baron, 2011; Reuter and Pardo, 2017; Easton, 2017).

In the UK, the maximum penalty for production or supply of NPS is seven years imprisonment. Whilst controlling the supply of NPS is challenging, negative behavioural consequences of NPS consumption mean that the users of these substances also frequently transgress the law, are arrested and detained in police custody suites (Winstock & Wilkins, 2011). Police staff (and other emergency responders) report a rapidly increasing workload due to NPS use. This is alongside a lack of confidence about identifying precisely *what* substance has been used and its likely effects, possible interactions with other substance use (PHE, 2016) and challenges regarding how to manage and provide appropriate care for detainees. Whilst police staff have a duty of care towards detainees, there remain uncertainties about staff and detainee safety in the custody suite setting, compounded by other factors influencing behavioural disturbances such as diagnosed and undiagnosed (or unrecognised) mental disorders (McKinnon et al., 2016).

Detention in police custody can be for unlawful activity but also to provide a place of safety for individuals who are a risk to themselves or others (Boyd et al, 2016; Birch et al, 2015; McKinnon et al. 2016; Borschmann, et al. 2010). Thus detention can provide an opportunity to link in with services

that could support the individual through a strategic care pathway around NPS (Lord Bradley, 2009; Newbury-Birch et al. 2016). This is in line with the UK government's focus on the way that police custody should be responding to the wider health and social care needs of arrestees (HM Government, 2009, Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Constabulary (HMIC) 2015).

Reuter and Pardo (2017) identify that part of the complexity of managing NPS use involves their consumption with or alongside other substances (polysubstance use). Specifically, this raises the possibility of harmful drug interactions and effects. There is also concern raised about the types, methods, potency, and stability of NPS. However, it is also possible that NPS are being substituted by users for what are considered to be more harmful drugs (e.g. heroin) (Reuter and Pardo, 2017).

There is a pressing need to understand the intersections between NPS and other substances and how they impact on police custody (Kaner, 2012; Newbury-Birch et al. 2016). Drawing on data from a qualitative study of police staff and NPS users, we explore the similarities and differences in treatment and management of NPS in custody, and how these substances are policed compared with other substances.

Methods

We used in-depth qualitative, semi-structured interviews to explore the impact of NPS use on police staff and users within a custody suite setting. To reach NPS users we used purposive and snowball sampling techniques. Participants were also recruited via publicity material from custody suites (police staff only), through social media, and 3rd sector organisations.

To be eligible to take part in this study the participant had to be aged 18 or over, present as alert and orientated; able to speak English, and have some experience with NPS. Exclusion criteria included: suffering from a serious mental health problem, injury, gross intoxication or unable to consent. Eligible participants gave written, informed consent to be enrolled into the study.

We conducted in-depth interviews with 15 police staff (11 male; 13 Detention officers, 2 senior) and 25 self-identified NPS usersⁱ (9 female/16 male; 18-46 YRS; (self-identifying) 8 infrequent user; 13 current user; 4 ex user; all were Caucasian; 3 LGBT) during the 12 month study period. Interviews were structured via topic guides but emergent (unforeseen) issues were explored further in subsequent interviews. Recruitment of interviewees continued until data saturation was judged to have occurred on the basis of the study objectives and in discussions with the external steering group. All interviews were audio-recorded and fully transcribed and narrative accounts were used to enable thematic analysis of key issues.

Results

NPS users

NPS users were seen as a diverse group by police staff. Most officers reported that use was most prevalent in vulnerable people that they had encountered within custody. Particularly those who were homeless, in supported accommodation, or had been in care as a child. However, it was also recognised that NPS could be used by anyone:

One is your drunk and disorderly [...] those type of individuals could be absolutely anybody. It could be your professionals; it could be people that partake in crime in other types of criminal activity. [...] Then, you've probably got that separate group of some other people who are struggling with, possibly, mental health issues, don't have the support network around them, the likes of your homeless people. People who are on the fringes of criminality. [Senior police staff 13, FEMALE]

Almost all users felt stigmatized for using NPS (termed '*smeghead*') and some purposely consumed NPS out of sight of the general public to avoid being shamed.

I don't do it in the town centre and fall asleep in the town centre. I take it back to my bedroom. Because I know when you walk about and you see people, how many of the people in the town centre are looking at you saying "Look at the state of that. *Look at the state of that.*" [NPS USER 4, MALE]

Mental health was a significant issue amongst users but it was unclear whether issues were a direct cause or consequence of initiation into NPS use.

My substance abuse problems continued throughout that and I experienced periods of, you know, like nervous breakdowns and getting in trouble, you know, with the police and getting arrested. [NPS USER 6, MALE, 43 YRS]

The use of NPS was also linked to exploitation. Some reported taking advantage of others in order to fund their usage:

I was getting Carer's Allowance for my uncle. I was actually meant to be caring for my uncle and I wasn't. I was just... I wasn't doing anything, I just looked after myself and wasn't even doing that. I looked ill. [NPS USER 14, MALE, 25 YRS]

Whilst users might not acknowledge the risks taken when using NPS some did express concern for others who were deemed to expose themselves to increased risk of harm.

The way I've seen them on the streets anything could happen to them and I mean anything. They could, the girls could get raped or anything like that [NPS USER 18, FEMALE, 37 YRS]

Participants described the appeal of NPS being linked to the brightly coloured foil packaging. NPS were often specifically given names that were related to youth culture around computer gaming, adventure sports, superhero characters, and iconic films.

Psychoactive Substances Act 2016

Whilst the PSA deterred a minority of participants, those who self-identified as still using (n=21) reported that they were accessing NPS illicitly through street dealers and at social events via friends and associates. Few reported using the Internet to access NPS. A number of these participants were already involved in criminal activity to fund their lifestyles prior to the PSA. Further, participants often found themselves in custody because of acquisitive, violent or Public Order offences, whilst also using NPS.

I would steal things like aftershave and perfume and stuff. Instead of selling them and getting more money than what I could I was swapping them for the 3gm. If it was a really expensive perfume 6gm or 4gm. [NPS USER 16, FEMALE, 18 YRS]

There was some ambiguity amongst users about how long NPS would be illegal under the PSA.

So when is this blanket coming off? It's only a blanket ban for now, isn't it? It's not banned completely. [NPS USER 4, MALE]

One participant commented that the PSA would not deter those who started using prior to the law change because usage was already established:

Even though the government have tried to address it and make it illegal, a lot of people still started using it when it was classed as being legal. It's more- I think it's more accessible now [...] a lot of the premises are still selling- that used to sell it are still selling it, but they've just made it- they've put it into the backroom or upstairs, hidden away [NPS USER 6, MALE]

Everyday experiences of NPS and other substance use: similarities and differences

Cannabinoid or cathinone varieties of NPS tended to be the most popular amongst users in this study, producing effects similar to depressants and amphetamine type stimulants respectively. NPS were variously compared by participants in their everyday experiences to a range of other illicit substances that they used like cannabis, cocaine, ecstasy, and most notably, heroin. Interestingly, NPS were described as producing distinctly different effects compared to alcohol. It was emphasized by some participants that NPS should be controlled as a Class A substance under the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971 because of their perceived effects and social harms – this mainly related to Spice and it's recurring comparison to heroin:

At least with heroin, if you take that you couch out and you fall asleep, or with cocaine you're high, you can still move, you know what's going on. With that you were high, you couldn't move, but you knew what was going on and it was just not a buzz that I liked. [EX NPS USER, MALE 02, 40 YRS]

Experienced users attempting to transfer knowledge and practices from traditional illicit substances to unfamiliar NPS described experiencing negative effects:

I thought for two years that you had to smoke it like weed to get a buzz, but no one actually realises you only need a couple of flakes to get that buzz [NPS USER 7, MALE, 20 YRS].

For some, getting the combination of quantity, potency and method imbalanced (or indeed relying on pre-made joints) led to serious consequences to their health, with one participant stating:

I got something called Red Dragon. It's a pre-rolled spliff type thing of legal high. It is so strong and so lethal that I took one drag from it and ended up in the back of an ambulance [NPS USER 10, MALE, 28 YRS].

NPS was perceived to be better value-for-money due to greater potency than traditional illicit drugs:

I was taking speed and everything and got to the point where they weren't doing anything for us and I thought I want something stronger. Legal highs were stronger [EX NPS USER 14, MALE, 25 YRS]

Users reported concerning adverse effects resulting from the combination of NPS consumption with alcohol, as well as increased vulnerability:

He'll drink [four cans] and get mad drunk and smoke legal high and you have to watch him "Joe, people will rob you. You'll fall asleep. Watch what you're doing!" I wouldn't like to see him end up in hospital. [NPS USER 4, MALE]

Furthermore, one participant described his experience in hospital after consuming alcohol and NPS. He gave an insight into the uncertainty expressed by emergency staff regarding treatment.

I was in hospital once and that was because I was drinking on a legal high so I had to get my stomach pumped. It was the worst thing ever [...] they weren't going to do my stomach, they weren't going to pump it because of the legal highs, they said they didn't know which way to go about it. Then they just done it because I was in agony I couldn't cope, I was spewing and everything. I think I give myself alcohol poisoning as well. [EX NPS USER 14, MALE, 25 YRS]

Intersections of NPS with other substances in custody: Staff perspectives

The use of NPS with other substances by users impacted on their experience of custody. Further, detention officers faced a number of issues identifying whether a person had taken NPS, taken another drug, and/or consumed alcohol. This impacted upon their ability to manage risk, safety and provide care to NPS users. Often these officers were reliant on the user declaring the substance they had taken, or the officer finding the substance when booking the detainee into custody. Detainees demonstrating erratic and harmful behaviours were often placed under level 4 'close proximity' surveillance. This is used for detainees risk-assessed as being at the 'highest risk of self-harm' under the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PACE), and allows for quick and immediate intervention in the event that there is a threat to life.

The problem comes if they start harming themselves; if they tie things around their neck, or bang their heads on the wall, or even fall over by accident, then we have to enter the cell and stop it – untie whatever's round their neck, or stop them banging their head – that's when it becomes a problem, because it puts officers and staff at risk. It's also resource intensive; we might have to handcuff them, we might have to leave an officer with them on close proximity supervision, and it's just very resource intensive. [Senior police staff 2, MALE]

This senior officer described the NPS users he and his staff interacted with in custody as mainly long-term users, presenting with ongoing polysubstance and dependency issues:

They're generally not people that we haven't seen before. There's very few, in my experience, [detainees new to custody]. Because of legal highs, I would think it tends to be those who are more prone to offend while on drink or drugs anyway. [Senior police staff 2, MALE]

Some detainees were described as 'addicted' to NPS, however a number of custody staff were dubious about its addictive potential:

We've got a couple of lads that come through who are addicted to legal highs, or that they tell us they're addicted to legal highs. Whether you can be addicted to whatever is in these, *I don't know*. I guess you probably could if you're taking them as frequently as that.

[DETENTION OFFICER 01, MALE]

Detention officers described different typographies of NPS consumption, these include those who *only* used NPS, to those who combined NPS with alcohol or other drugs:

...they're just generally using everything ... everything they can get their hands on. Well, any street drug. [DETENTION OFFICER 07, MALE]

Staff noted a divide between traditional drug users and NPS users; patterns of alcohol /polysubstance use also varied:

There is a slight divide where you've got your alcoholics who won't touch drugs, but then you've got the drug users who don't drink because it reverses what they're getting from drugs. There's definitely people who do dabble in both who come in. I've had conversations with people ...and they'll say, "Oh no, I wouldn't touch legal highs, I've just done heroin"

[DETENTION OFFICER 12, MALE]

Detention officers reported that NPS use formed only part of a spectrum of different substances frequently used by custody detainees. Ultimately, however, the custody officers spoke of not knowing for sure what the users had taken and in what combinations. Often the detainees themselves would express ambiguity about the contents of their NPS. This lack of certainty about the content, quantity, and potency of NPS were mirrored in the unpredictability of detainees' behaviour which became very challenging to manage within a custody environment. Although unpredictability was also a factor with traditional substances to an extent, what was distinctive about NPS users was that staff had not witnessed such erratic behaviour previously. Staff had a limited knowledge base of managing the physical and mental effects NPS.

Sometimes you just don't know what they've taken. They can be fine one minute, then they're kicking off the next, then they're crying, and then they're trying to fight the world, and then they start self-harming, and then they go back crying, and then they're fine again. You just don't know what they're going to do next. [DETENTION OFFICER 11, MALE]

It was believed by some officers that it was the cocktail of substances: NPS with either other illicit drugs, prescription, medication and alcohol that was problematic. For others, the key issue was NPS itself because the substances lacked standardisation and changed within and between batches:

I think the problem is not just the drugs themselves and the effects they're having but what they've been mixed with. [...] we don't know what's in legal highs there's no standard ... you don't know what's going to be reacting [with] whatever else they could be taking .

[DETENTION OFFICER 15, MALE]

NPS and the unpredictability of user's behaviour when taking NPS was often compared with alcohol, which was seen by many staff as "the worst" substance in terms of the behaviours exhibited, and management of them within a custody setting. Having knowledge of what kind of behaviours might be associated with different levels of intoxication assisted officers in managing these detainees. In contrast, the production techniques involved in NPS created large variation in dosage and potency, making it difficult to predict how a user would react in custody:

Because [NPS are] made in clandestine laboratories, because there is no control measures in relation to what's going in and what's going out, what you get in one packet might not be the same [...] so therefore your tolerances are not the same. Also, if you were to take cocaine or heroin, the purity of that is relatively small: 5, 10%. When you take an NPS, you think, "Well, I can take a gram of cocaine." For example – take a gram of NPS, because it's in an unadulterated form, it's a massive difference, and that's where we have the problems.
[DETENTION OFFICER 03, MALE]

Whilst managing people who had consumed alcohol was a real concern to officers, especially when detainees exhibited problematic behaviour and heightened risks around alcohol withdrawal. These concerns were amplified further when trying to manage the potent and unpredictable mix of alcohol and NPS:

Sometimes their behaviour can be very bad, almost like they don't know what to do sometimes, just very strange behaviour. Some go to sleep, but some don't [...] . I've seen people with some very strange/odd behaviours, especially with it mixed with alcohol. You don't know, is it the psychoactive substance or is the alcohol causing it? [DETENTION OFFICER 06, MALE]

Care provision within the custody environment

The custody environment is challenging. Officers have to handle some of the most volatile, vulnerable, and demanding members of society whilst undertaking their duties. Unlike other police positions, the key role of detention staff is the welfare of detainees as they either enter into the criminal justice system or are released back into the community. As one of the officers described:

We're the in-between, we're just caring for them whilst that is going on and we're facilitating that. We're not here to give them a hard time or to make it any worse. If they're cold, we should be giving blankets. If they're dehydrated, we should be giving water. [DETENTION OFFICER 05, MALE]

Officers generally considered themselves to be well equipped through their basic training, knowledge, and experience on the job to deal with a wide range of issues and behaviours as part of their role. They felt confident in dealing with people who presented under the influence of alcohol, heroin, cocaine, and other substances. However, NPS presented a new challenge to these staff. Those attending custody having consumed NPS displayed unusual, intense behaviours compared with other substances. Some officers described how many users were in such a high state of intoxication that it was challenging to ascertain what had been consumed. Therefore, other processes, particularly the on-site custody nurse formed a crucial part of the risk assessment process. Having the nurse within a

custody environment 24 hours a day / 7 days a week, was described by all detention officers as being crucial in monitoring and managing risk. Staff were not clinically trained and were faced with other pressures, for example, having to deal with, care for and manage other detainees presenting with complex needs. Detention officers were cautious about managing the potentially harmful effects of NPS consumption, and would frequently refer detainees to hospital if there was any uncertainty about the behaviour they presented.

It's a lot more stress, because we aren't medically trained. We've got a Level 3 First Aid ... We've got one custody nurse and she's great and she'll go round [but we are a 50 cell complex with other health issues] you've got such a massive strain and stress that [NPS] then on top - it's like the cherry on the cake [...] because they are so unknown: with heroin and cocaine and stuff you know what it is, you know how to treat it, you know what to look out for, you know the symptoms and you know the dangers of it. With this, you don't know, so we try to edge on the side of caution and send them to hospital or the nurse' [DETENTION OFFICER 12, MALE]

Some expressed a sense of frustration, perceiving the skills, tools, and experience they possessed were relatively useless in this situation. Dealing with problematic users could be time consuming for the officers involved, and costly. They felt helpless to provide support and were left providing standardised care following a strict protocol of checks and monitoring based on risk assessment:

We get the job based on our people skills and our negotiation skills, with fairly aggressive and volatile people. However, people skills go out of the window when they're on this, because their personhood has been affected. They're not people, in the classic sense of the word. They're a, kind of, jumble of animal instincts...It makes it impossible to know how to formulate an actual plan of action with them. You don't know whether you should be constantly monitoring them, or leaving them for a bit, thinking that they're going to be alright. It puts you on high alert, and you just, you can't help thinking you're not doing the best for that person, because their behaviour is so erratic. [DETENTION OFFICER 05, MALE]

Managing NPS use and providing appropriate care was priority for these officers, but they expressed feeling ill-prepared and uninformed, as well as under resourced to adequately deal with the impact of NPS on custody.

Discussion

The literature regarding NPS remains at an early stage of development. Our data show that emergency services (e.g. police) and 3rd sector organisations are still adjusting to the changes brought about by the PSA 2016. The speed of change in the production and distribution of NPS often makes it difficult for police staff to prove what a particular substance *is* and whether it is *psychoactive* upon consumption (EMCDDA, 2016; Elie, et al 2013; Baron, 2011; Reuter and Pardo, 2017). Suppliers of NPS are not concerned about maintaining particular versions of NPS, as Evans-Brown and Sedefov (2017: 37) state, 'In reality, most new substances are disposable, as manufacturers have replacement substances ready for sale even before a substance is controlled; the recipes for many thousands more are in the scientific and patent literature ripe for the picking' (for toxicology guidance see Abdulrahim and Bowden-Jones, 2015). These challenges make it difficult to enforce punishment within criminal

justice systems. The Home Office (2016) report that only 4 out of 500 individuals arrested under the PSA 2016 received a custodial sentence.

Over 23 varieties of NPS, ranging from stimulants to cannabinoids, were named by participants in this study. Often they were perceived by police staff as being aimed at younger substance users, however our data show that a wide age range were attracted to them. Part of the appeal of these substances was linked to low cost, the unique, brightly coloured packaging and brand names – an issue also recognised by the police staff.

NPS, both cathinones and cannabinoids, were having a striking effect on custody suites, adding pressure to already overstretched police staff. Within police custody, detention officers followed standardised risk assessment procedures but the level of risk for NPS users was difficult to ascertain. Young people and polydrug users were identified as being most at risk to themselves and to other people. Although officers recognised the general vulnerability to exploitation among users, it was the nature of NPS and the potentially explosive and unpredictable behaviour that caused most concern. The custody nurse was considered to be a particularly valuable asset when managing risk around NPS. It enabled shared responsibility for the care provision of NPS users and provided clinically informed support. This helped to bolster the confidence of these officers. Despite the employment of custody nurses being force specific – there are clear advantages of including a nurse within a custody setting across different forces (Hurley et al. 2013).

The notable intersections between NPS and other substances (including alcohol and heroin) suggest potential commonalities about how NPS can be managed alongside other substances (Abdulrahim et al. 2015; Kaner, 2012). However, police staff reported feeling inexperienced about responding to the needs of NPS users compared to 'traditional' substance users, which was further complicated by polysubstance use. Detention officers relied on their own initiative and support from colleagues (including custody nurses) when dealing with more problematic NPS users. Further basic training around these intersections of substances, particularly with alcohol, could potentially reduce risks for officers and detainees, and improve the detention officers' capacity and confidence to meet their obligation to detainees.

It is evident amongst participants that NPS are rarely used in isolation, and were frequently mixed with alcohol and other substances. NPS were often taken as a cheaper alternative to the substance they were supposed to mimic, but it was unclear to many users what NPS were 'cut' with during their formulation, compounding the unpredictability of effects and consequences. It is unclear how interactions between NPS and other substances might impact on longer-term health, particularly among vulnerable individuals experiencing social disadvantages, stigma, and co-morbid physical and mental health problems. Ultimately, custody is a challenging and highly pressurised environment which, as our data show, magnifies the complexity of issues around NPS use and places additional strain on custody staff.

Whilst the PSA 2016 has impacted on the supply and availability of NPS, thus restricting use amongst new users and experimental users, NPS is still available and used amongst vulnerable communities and was sometimes linked to situations of exploitation. Hence police staff often found themselves dealing with safeguarding as well as complex substance use challenges.

Conclusions

The intersections and associated harms of NPS with other substances are not clearly understood by users in this study nor staff responsible for keeping them safe. Moreover, the research evidence base around polysubstance use of this nature remains under-developed.

NPS should be considered a high public health priority because of their perceived addictive potential: 'for many users the drug turns out to be addictive and long-term dependence has dangerous behavioural and health consequences' (Reuter, and Pardo, 2017: 27). NPS are having a significant adverse effect on custody staff, adding pressure on already overstretched police services. Our study highlighted that this threat to public health and impact on custody is exacerbated when NPS are used in combination with other substances like alcohol or other illicit drugs, potentially producing harmful interactions as well as increasing risky and unpredictable behaviour.

Further research is needed to explore the impact of NPS on immediate safety concerns and longer term health consequences including addiction, costs to services, as well intervention development to reduce recidivism, improve health outcomes and relieve some of the workload impact NPS is having on the police. Many NPS users are highly vulnerable individuals with a number of physical, mental health and social problems. Thus future research and practice needs to focus on issues of care and safety, rather than emphasising control and containment.

Acknowledgements

Ethical approval for this study was acquired through Newcastle University Faculty of Medical Sciences Ethics Committee (REF: 01085/2016). We would like to thank the N8 Policing partnership for funding this study through the catalyst grant scheme 2016. Thank you to Jo French, a Medical Student at Newcastle University, for undertaking a short pilot scoping study regarding NPS use and the impact on emergency services. Thank you to the reviewers of this paper for their helpful comments.

Declaration of Interest

Nothing to declare.

References

- Abdulrahim, D. and Bowden-Jones, O., on behalf of the NEPTUNE Expert Group (2015), Guidance on the Clinical Management of Acute and Chronic Harms of Club Drugs and Novel Psychoactive Substances' Novel Psychoactive Treatment Network (NEPTUNE), London, pp: 1-355
- Baron, Mark and Elie, Mathieu and Elie, Leonie (2011) *An analysis of legal highs: do they contain what it says on the tin?*. Drug Testing and Analysis, 3 (9). pp. 576-581. ISSN: 1942-7603
- Birch, J. Scott, S. Newbury-Birch, D. Brennan, A. Brown, H. Coulton, S. Gilvarry, E. Hickman, M. McColl, E. McGovern, R. Muirhead, C. Kaner, E. (2015) A pilot feasibility trial of alcohol screening and brief intervention in the police custody setting (ACCEPT): Study protocol for a cluster randomised control trial. Pilot and Feasibility Studies, 1, 6
- Borschmann, R. D.; Gillard, S.; Turner, K.; Chambers, M.; O'Brien, A. (2010) 'Section 136 of the Mental Health Act: A new literature review', in *Medicine, Science and the Law*, 50(1), pp: 34-39. ISSN: 0025-8024
- Boyd, J.; Fast, D. and Small, W. (2016) 'Pathways to criminalization for street-involved youth who use illicit substances' in *Critical Public Health*, 26(5), pp: 530-541

British Medical Association (Jan, 2013) (chapter 5) 'Drug policy in the UK: from the 19th century to the present day' in *Drugs of Dependence: The role of medical professionals*, pp: 87-96, BMA Science and Education department and the Board of Science, ISBN – 10: 1-905545-67-3

Criminal Justice Act, 2003, Section 22-27; [website accessed April 2017]
[<https://www.cps.gov.uk/publications/others/conditionalcautioning04.html>]

Lord Bradley (2006) *The Bradley Report: Lord Bradley's review of people with mental health problems or learning disabilities in the criminal justice system*, Department of Health Publications, London

Easton, M (2017) 'Legal high' review after laughing gas cases collapse' [accessed Sept 2017:
<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-41098996>]

Elie, Mathieu P. and Elie, Leonie E. and Baron, Mark G. (2013) *Keeping pace with NPS releases: fast GC-MS screening of legal high products*. *Drug Testing and Analysis*, 5 (5). pp. 281-290. ISSN: 1942-7603

Evans-Brown, M. and Sedefov, R. (2017) 'New psychoactive substances: Driving greater complexity into the drug problem' in *Addiction*, 112(1), pp.36-37.

European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction and Eurojust (2016), *New psychoactive substances in Europe: Legislation and prosecution — current challenges and solutions*, EMCDDA–Eurojust joint publication, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.

Kaner, E. (2012). Health Sector Responses. 'Alcohol in the European Union. Consumption, harm and policy approaches'. P. M. Anderson, L. Galea, G. Copenhagen, World Health Organization: p. 40-48.

Grace, K (2017). Deaths during or following police contact. Statistics for England and Wales, 2016/17
[<https://www.yorks.ac.uk/media/content-assets/homepage/email-logos.gif>]

Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Constabulary (HMIC) (2015). *The welfare of vulnerable people in police custody*. London, HMIC

HM Government. (2009). *Improving Health, Supporting Justice*. London, Department of Health.

Home Office (2016) *New Psychoactive Substances (NPS): Resource pack for informal educators and practitioners*, ISBN: 978-1-78655-166-5

Home Office (Dec 2016) Psychoactive substances ban 6 months on: almost 500 arrests and first convictions [website accessed April, 2017: <https://www.gov.uk/government/news/psychoactive-substances-ban-6-months-on-almost-500-arrests-and-first-convictions>]

Hurley J, Linsley P, Elvins M, Jones M. (2013) Nurses leading care in custody suite environments: a qualitative study from Scotland. *Journal of Forensic Nursing*, 9:45-51.

McKinnon IG, Thomas SD, Noga HL, Senior J (2016) Police custody health care: a review of health morbidity, models of care and innovations within police custody in the UK, with international comparisons. *Risk Manag Healthc Policy*. 15;9: 213-226

Measham, F. (2011) 'Legal Highs: The challenge for government' in *Criminal Justice Matters*, 84(1), pp: 28-30

Misuse of Drugs Act 1971: [Website accessed April 2017:
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1971/38/contents>]

Newbury-Birch, D.; McGovern, R.; Birch, J.; O'Neill, G.; Kaner, H.; Sonhi, A.; Lynch, K. (2016) 'A rapid systematic review of what we know about alcohol use disorders and brief interventions in the criminal justice system' in *International Journal of Prisoner Health*, 12(1): 57-70

Office for National Statistics (October, 2013) Deaths related to drug poisoning [website accessed April 2017: www.ons.gov.uk/onsrel/subnational-health3/deaths-related-to-drug-poisoning-in-england-and-wales--2013/stb-deaths-related-to-drug-poisoning-in-england-and-wales--2013.html]

- Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PACE) [website accessed April 2017: <https://www.app.college.police.uk/app-content/detention-and-custody-2/detainee-care/#levels-of-observation>]
- Prison and Probation Ombudsman (2017) Annual Report 2016-2017. London
- Prisons and Probation Ombudsman's speech at Reform on Monday 9th May, 2016 : New Psychoactive Substances (NPS (2016) [accessed August 2017: http://www.ppo.gov.uk/app/uploads/2016/05/Ombudsman-speech_Reform_NPS_09.05.16.pdf]
- Psychoactive Substances Act 2016 [website accessed April 2017: <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2016/2/contents/enacted>]
- Public Health England (2016) Substance Misuse statistics from NDTMS: financial year ending March 2016 [website accessed April 2017: <https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/substance-misuse-statistics-from-ndtms-financial-year-ending-march-2016>]
- Reuter, P. and Pardo, B. (2017) 'Can new psychoactive substances be regulated effectively? An assessment of the British Psychoactive Substances Bill' in *Addiction*, 112, pp: 25-31
- Scott, S.; Baker, R.; Shucksmith, J; Kaner, E; (2014) Autonomy, Special Offers and Routines: A Q Methodological Study of Industry-driven Marketing Influences on Young People's Drinking Behaviour. *Addiction*; 109(11): 1833-44. DOI: 10.1111/add.12663
- Seddon, T. (2006) 'Drugs, Crime and Social Exclusion: Social Context and Social Theory in British Drugs – Crime Research' in *British Journal of Criminology*, 46, 680-703, pp: 680-703
- The Guardian, (18th July, 2004) 'Crack Crisis' [website accessed April 2017: <https://www.theguardian.com/society/2004/jul/18/drugsandalcohol.drugs>]
- Winstock, A. and Wilkins, C. (2011) "'Legal Highs": The Challenge of new psychoactive substances, in *Series on Legislative Reform of Drug Policies*, n.16, pp. 1-16
- Zawilska, J. and Andrzejczak, D. (2015) 'Next generation of novel psychoactive substances on the horizon – A complex problem to face', in *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 157, pp: 1-17

Endnotes

ⁱ No verification process (for example via the police) was used to check up on this status, instead we relied on self-identification processes