

Donachie, Tracy ORCID logoORCID:
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5697-2974>, Hill, Andrew P. ORCID
logoORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6370-8901> and Hall,
Howard K. (2018) The relationship between multidimensional
perfectionism and pre-competition emotions of youth footballers.
Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 37. pp. 33-42.

Downloaded from: <https://ray.yorks.ac.uk/id/eprint/3091/>

The version presented here may differ from the published version or version of record. If
you intend to cite from the work you are advised to consult the publisher's version:
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2018.04.002>

Research at York St John (RaY) is an institutional repository. It supports the principles of
open access by making the research outputs of the University available in digital form.
Copyright of the items stored in RaY reside with the authors and/or other copyright
owners. Users may access full text items free of charge, and may download a copy for
private study or non-commercial research. For further reuse terms, see licence terms
governing individual outputs. [Institutional Repository Policy Statement](#)

RaY

Research at the University of York St John

For more information please contact RaY at ray@yorks.ac.uk

Corrigendum to “The relationship between multidimensional perfectionism and pre-competition emotions of youth footballers” [Psychology of Sport and Exercise 37 33–42]

The authors regret they have made a reporting error in the paper. As part of the preliminary analysis they evaluated each instrument using confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) and, when the instrument had multiple factors, exploratory structural equation modelling (ESEM). Unfortunately, they reported CFA results in all cases (as opposed to ESEM where necessary). In terms of the consequences of this error, all ESEM fit indices exceed the CFA fit indices currently reported. There are also no implications in terms of the primary analyses. However, this corrigendum is provided so to highlight the error, provide the correct results, and to ensure correspondence between the results reported in the published paper and future papers using the same data. Correct ESEM results are (1) PCI-Stoeber et al. (2014) version $\chi^2 = 406.81$, $p < .05$, $df = 228$, $\chi^2/df = 1.78$, CFI = 0.88, TLI = 0.84, RMSEA [90% CI] = 0.06 [0.05, 0.07], SRMR = 0.05, BIC = 14357.55; (2) CAPS-Flett et al. (1997) $\chi^2 = 519.76$, $p < .05$, $df = 188$, $\chi^2/df = 2.76$, CFI = 0.77, TLI = 0.72, RMSEA [90% CI] = 0.10 [0.09, 0.11], SRMR = 0.07, BIC = 12112.12; (3) CAPS-McCreary et al. (2014) version $\chi^2 = 166.41$, $p < .05$, $df = 52$, $\chi^2/df = 3.20$, CFI = 0.87, TLI = 0.78, RMSEA [90% CI] = 0.11 [0.09, 0.12], SRMR = 0.04, BIC = 7515.33; (4) CAPS-O'Connor, Dixon, & Rasmussen (2009) version $\chi^2 = 177.54$, $p < .05$, $df = 63$, $\chi^2/df = 2.82$, CFI = 0.89, TLI = 0.81, RMSEA [90% CI] = 0.10 [0.08, 0.11], SRMR = 0.04, BIC = 8050.89; (5) CAPS-10 $\chi^2 = 48.36$, $p < .05$, $df = 26$, $\chi^2/df = 1.85$, CFI = 0.95, TLI = 0.92, RMSEA [90% CI] = 0.07 [0.04, 0.10], SRMR = 0.04, BIC = 5328.76; (6) SEQ-Jones et al. (2005) $\chi^2 = 231.40$, $p < .05$, $df = 131$, $\chi^2/df = 1.77$, CFI = 0.95, TLI = 0.91, RMSEA [90% CI] = 0.06 [0.05, 0.08], SRMR = 0.02, BIC = 10860.30. The authors would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused.