

Carter, Gregory, Lyons, Minna and
Brewer, Gayle (2018) Lifetime offspring and the Dark Triad.
Personality and Individual Differences, 132. pp. 79-83.

Downloaded from: <https://ray.yorks.ac.uk/id/eprint/3136/>

The version presented here may differ from the published version or version of record. If
you intend to cite from the work you are advised to consult the publisher's version:
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2018.05.017>

Research at York St John (RaY) is an institutional repository. It supports the principles of
open access by making the research outputs of the University available in digital form.
Copyright of the items stored in RaY reside with the authors and/or other copyright
owners. Users may access full text items free of charge, and may download a copy for
private study or non-commercial research. For further reuse terms, see licence terms
governing individual outputs. [Institutional Repository Policy Statement](#)

RaY

Research at the University of York St John

For more information please contact RaY at ray@yorks.ac.uk

1 There is a paucity of literature investigating the extent to which human personality
2 predicts lifetime (age-controlled) offspring. The present study contributes to this field in
3 assessing whether the inter-related 'dark' personalities that have been linked to mating
4 success (i.e., narcissism, Machiavellianism, and psychopathy: the 'Dark Triad') predict
5 number of children. Analyses from an online sample ($N = 314$) revealed that for men,
6 psychopathy was a negative predictor, and narcissism a positive predictor of lifetime
7 offspring. For women, psychopathy emerged as a negative predictor of lifetime offspring.
8 Results are discussed in respect of the importance of these traits to fitness-related
9 outcomes, including reproduction, and the need to consider sex differences, as these traits
10 may have a different function in men and women.

11
12 **Keywords:** Reproduction, Dark Triad, narcissism, Machiavellianism, psychopathy

Introduction

The Dark Triad is a trio of sub-clinical personality traits, encompassing narcissism, Machiavellianism, and psychopathy (Paulhus & Williams, 2002). These traits are moderately intercorrelated, and share a disagreeable, callous, and self-interested core, yet each also has unique defining aspects, correlates, and outcomes. Narcissism is defined by an exaggerated sense of self-importance and need for success in competition with others (Raskin & Terry, 1988). Machiavellianism is characterised by behavioural flexibility and the use of interpersonally manipulative and exploitative tactics (Christie & Geis, 1970). Psychopathy represents a cold, emotionless inter-personal orientation, coupled with a tendency for risk-taking, impulsive behaviour (Hare, Neumann, & Widiger, 2012).

Although historically considered to be maladaptive (e.g., McHoskey et al., 1999), evolutionary psychologists have articulated multiple ways in which the Dark Triad relate to beneficial mating outcomes. All three Dark Triad traits are associated with success in short-term mating (Jonason, Li, Webster, & Schmitt, 2009), which could be achieved via inter- or intra-sexual selection, or sexually-coercive strategies. Indeed, although individuals high in the Dark Triad are considered initially attractive (e.g., Aitken, Lyons, & Jonason, 2013; Jauk et al., 2016), it is well-documented that they also engage in coercive and exploitative mating (Blinkhorn, Lyons, & Almond, 2015; Holtzman & Strube, 2012; Jonason, et al., 2009; Jones & Olderbak, 2014; Muñoz, Khan, & Cordwell, 2011). Further, the Dark Triad is also associated with higher degrees of intra-sexual competition for mates (Brewer & Abell, 2015a; Carter, Montenegro, Linney, & Campbell, 2015) and mate poaching (Jonason, Li, & Buss, 2010). The elevated interest in short-term

mating and the use of diverse mating tactics should, theoretically, have a positive impact on reproductive success.

However, relatively little work has addressed the extent to which manipulative attitudes and behaviour translate into increased lifetime offspring. Ample empirical evidence in non-human animals suggests that boldness and aggression, especially in males, has a positive correlation with fitness (e.g., Smith & Blumstein, 2008). In humans, high extraversion (Alvergne, Jokela, & Lummaa, 2010; Jokela, Alvergne, Pollet, & Lummaa, 2011), delinquency (Wei, Loeber, & Stouthamer-Loeber, 2002), and leadership (Jokela & Keltikangas-Jarvinen, 2009) have a positive relationship with number of children. This suggests that traits that are associated with status-seeking and risk-taking may correlate with number of lifetime offspring. The Dark Triad is characterised by boldness, aggression (Jones & Paulhus, 2010), and increased search for status and power (Lee et al., 2013), hence these traits may also have an association with increased fitness as measured by the number of offspring.

Much of the research on the Dark Triad has focussed on reproductive success as measured by the number of matings, reflecting that individuals high in these traits prioritise mating over parenting effort (Jonason, Valentine, Li, & Harbeson, 2011). However, to our knowledge, there are few studies investigating the Dark Triad in relation to actual number of offspring produced, something that we intend to address in the present study. One exception is a study by Međedović, Petrović, Želeskov-Đorić, and Savić (2017), who investigated psychopathy and reproductive success in a sample of male prisoners in Serbia. They found that the “lifestyle” (i.e., impulsive, irresponsible) facet of psychopathy had a relationship with having fewer offspring, and interpersonal-

facet (i.e., manipulation and dishonesty) was associated with an increased number of children. Interestingly, the interpersonal facet has also been suggested to be part of “successful psychopathy”, which could facilitate an evolutionarily adaptive cheater strategy (Lyons, 2015). However, Međedović et al. (2017) also found that another aspect of successful psychopathy (i.e., affective) had a negative correlation with the number of children. Thus, at least in a sample of Serbian prisoners, the associations between psychopathy and the number of offspring is related to different facets of psychopathy in a very specific manner.

Another relevant study looked at outpatients at a personality disorder unit in Spain, and found no association between the number of children and psychopathy-like traits (antagonism, asociality, impulsive sensation seeking; Vall et al., 2016). This study would imply that the impulsivity aspect of psychopathy is not a relevant factor in determining reproductive success. However, the participants for these two studies come from forensic and clinical settings, which may not be generalizable to other populations (e.g., student or community samples). Thus, these confusing findings could be partially due to sample characteristics. It would be beneficial to extend the research to non-incarcerated, non-clinical populations, using all three Dark Triad measurements simultaneously to control for the shared variance between the traits.

With regards to narcissism and Machiavellianism, we are not aware of any studies that have looked at the offspring number of people high in these traits. Narcissism seems to be the most adaptive trait in the Dark Triad constellation, demonstrated by an association with increased self-reported physical and mental health (Jonason, Baughman, Carter, & Parker, 2014), physical attractiveness (Holtzman & Strube, 2010), and higher

current and childhood socio-economic status (Jonason, Icho, & Ireland, 2016). Further, using a facial morphing methodology, Marcinkowska, Lyons, and Helle (2016) demonstrated that those women who had a higher number of children had also an increased preference for high narcissistic facial morphs. It is possible that having offspring with narcissistic men is beneficial to women's reproductive success, and supports the idea that narcissism serves an adaptive function for men in relation to mating (e.g., Holtzman & Strube, 2012). Thus, we would expect that narcissism has a positive relationship with offspring number, especially in men. Machiavellianism, in turn, has been associated with a more cautious approach to short-term mating (e.g., Jones & de Roos, 2017). If short-term mating orientation is a reasonable proxy for the number of children produced, we would expect that Machiavellianism has either no association, or has a negative association with the number of children.

In summary, the present study adds to the existing literature by investigating the association between the Dark Triad traits and self-reported number of children in a community sample of heterosexual male and female participants. This study is important in terms of looking at the actual number of children, rather than proxies for reproductive success (e.g., mating interests) that have been used in previous studies. We hope to highlight the possible adaptive functions of the Dark Triad in both sexes, rather than focussing on the traits as "male mating adaptations" (Jonason et al., 2009). On the bases of previous research on short-term mating orientation, we would expect that psychopathy and narcissism are associated with higher number of offspring, and Machiavellianism is either negatively related, or has no association with the number of children.

Method

Participants, materials, and procedure

Following ethical approval, we recruited 314 heterosexual participants (174 men), primarily from the United Kingdom, United States, and Canada (95%), via advertisement on participant-recruitment websites and snowball sampling. Participant age ranged from 18-69 years ($M_{AGE} = 35.04$; $SD = 11.18$). We asked participants whether they were partnered ($n = 170$), single ($n = 142$), or other ($n = 2$), and their socio-economic status (SES) based on their annual income before tax (grouped into ten categories, varying between 1 = less than £10 000 and 10 = more than £100 000).

Following demographic questions (as above), participants were asked “how many children do you have?”, which was used as an outcome variable in the analyses. Number ranged from 0 – 4 children ($M = .56$, $SD = .97$). Participants then completed the Short Dark Triad (SD3) inventory (Jones & Paulhus, 2014). The SD3 assesses each of the Dark Triad traits across three nine-item subscales. Participants respond on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = *strongly disagree*; 5 = *strongly agree*) to statements including “I insist on getting the respect I deserve” (narcissism), “Make sure your plans benefit you, not others” (Machiavellianism), and “People who mess with me always regret it” (psychopathy). Items were averaged to create indices of narcissism (Cronbach’s $\alpha = .80$), Machiavellianism ($\alpha = .65$), and psychopathy ($\alpha = .83$). Participants were subsequently debriefed, and thanked for their time.

Data analysis

Data were first explored with cross-correlational analyses separately for each sex. This was followed by step-wise linear multiple regression analyses, where the number of offspring was entered as the outcome variable. We entered SES, age, and relationship

status as predictor variables at Step 1, and the three Dark Triad traits as predictors at Step 2. We decided to add SES, age, and relationship status as control variables in regression analyses, as they each had a significant relationship with number of offspring (SES $r = .19, p < .001$; age $r = .54, p < .001$; relationship status $F(2, 311) = 11.92, p < .001$; We created dummy variables for the categorical variable of relationship status, where 1 = in a relationship ($n = 170$), and 0 = single or other ($n = 144$).

Results

Men scored higher for each of the Dark Triad traits, in keeping with existing findings (e.g., Jonason et al., 2009). There was no significant difference for lifetime offspring between the sexes. Descriptive statistics and sex differences are provided in Table 1.

Table 1

Descriptive statistics and sex differences for Dark Triad traits and lifetime offspring

	<i>M (SD)</i>			<i>t</i>	<i>d</i>
	Overall	Women	Men		
	(<i>N</i> = 314)	(<i>n</i> = 140)	(<i>n</i> = 174)		
Narcissism	2.67 (.75)	2.43 (.75)	2.87 (.69)	5.32**	.61
Machiavellianism	3.09 (.57)	3.00 (.57)	3.12 (.57)	2.36*	.20
Psychopathy	2.38 (.78)	2.19 (.94)	2.54 (.72)	4.08**	.42
Lifetime offspring	.56 (.97)	.66 (1.00)	.48 (.94)	-1.70	.18

Note. ** $p < .001$, * $p < .05$

To calculate the relationship between the Dark Triad traits and lifetime offspring, we conducted a series of correlation and regression analyses. Analyses were undertaken separately for men and women. Relationships between the Dark Triad lifetime offspring are presented in Table 2, which shows the Pearson's cross-correlations and standardised regression coefficients (controlling for age, SES, relationship status, and the shared variance between the Dark Triad variables).

Table 2

Pearson correlations and standardised regression coefficients for Dark Triad traits and lifetime offspring

Lifetime offspring	r (β)		
	Narcissism	Machiavellianism	Psychopathy
Men	.10 (.27**)	.03 (.03)	-.30** (-.16*)
Women	-.31** (.01)	-.11 (.09)	-.42** (-.26*)

Note. * $p < .01$, ** $p < .001$. The Beta coefficients are based on regressions where the age, SES, relationship status, and the two other Dark Triad variables are controlled for.

The cross-correlations indicate that psychopathy has an inverse association with number of children in both sexes, and narcissism had an association with fewer children

in women. The correlation co-efficients were significantly different between the sexes only for narcissism and the number of children (Fisher's $z = 3.67, p < .001$).

For the regression analyses, we first entered age, SES, and dummy-coded relationship status variable, followed by the three Dark Triad variables. For women, the model was significant at Step 1, where age, SES, and relationship status collectively explained 26% of the variance in the number of children ($F(3, 136) = 17.30, p < .001$). Age ($\beta = .48, t = 6.58, p < .001$) and relationship status ($\beta = .17, t = 2.36, p < .01$) were both positive predictors for the number of children in women. At Step 2, the model was still significant ($F(6, 133) = 9.95, p < .001$), with all the variables predicting 31% of the variance in number of children. The Dark Triad variables added only 3.4% to the variance at Step 2, with psychopathy emerging as a significant negative predictor ($\beta = -.26, t = 2.40, p < .01$). At Step 2, age ($\beta = .35, t = 3.70, p < .01$) and relationship status ($\beta = -.17, t = 2.39, p < .01$) remained as significant predictors.

For men, the model was significant at Step 1, where age, SES, and relationship status collectively explained 40% of the variance in the number of children ($F(3, 170) = 37.81, p < .001$). Age ($\beta = .53, t = 8.88, p < .001$), SES ($\beta = .22, t = 3.65, p < .001$), and relationship status ($\beta = .13, t = 2.08, p < .05$) were all positive predictors for the number of children in men. At Step 2, the model was still significant ($F(6, 167) = 23.25, p < .001$), with all the variables predicting 46% of the variance in number of children. The Dark Triad variables added 6% to the variance at Step 2, with narcissism as a significant positive ($\beta = .27, t = 3.97, p < .01$), and psychopathy as a significant negative ($\beta = -.16, t = -2.19, p < .01$) predictor. Age ($\beta = .55, t = 8.50, p < .01$), SES ($\beta = .15, t = 2.43, p <$

.02), and relationship status ($\beta = .12$, $t = 1.97$, $p < .05$) remained significant predictors in Step 2.

Discussion

Previous research has suggested that the Dark Triad evolved as a mating adaptation (e.g., Carter et al., 2015; Jonason et al., 2009). However, rather than investigating the actual number of offspring produced, most studies have utilised sexual behaviour and attitudes as a proxy for reproductive success. In this brief survey, we investigated the relationship between the Dark Triad of personality, and an important reproductive outcome variable: the number of children an individual has. We found that narcissism in men was a positive predictor, and psychopathy in both sexes was a negative predictor of self-reported number of offspring. The results are intriguing, suggesting that any reproductive benefits of the Dark Triad may be localised to narcissism, and only in men. Despite mating-oriented behaviours in high Dark Triad individuals, the three traits are not equally adaptive when it comes to measuring a crucial reproductive currency, the number of children.

The results for narcissism are in line with theoretical literature suggesting that narcissism serves an adaptive function for men in relation to mating (e.g., Holtzman & Strube, 2012). In the contemporary Western world, narcissism is associated with higher socio-economic class (Jonason et al., 2016; Piff, 2014), and higher class is related to greater number of children, but only in men (Hopcroft, 2015). Women may be able to increase their reproductive success by choosing high-status (Bereczkei & Csanaky, 1996) and high-narcissistic (Marcinkowska et al., 2016) partners. Thus, the positive association between male narcissism and number of children could be due to women's choice for

higher-status mates for both partnerships and extra-marital affairs (see also Von Rueden, Gurven & Kaplan, 2010 for evidence in a small-scale society).

The finding that psychopathy had a relationship with fewer children in both sexes concurs with recent finding from a study on prisoners in Serbia (Međedović et al., 2017). These results contradict the notion that psychopathy is adaptive as a personality trait promoting a fast life history strategy (Jonason, Koenig, & Tost, 2010). Psychopathy in women is related to sexual health (including miscarriages and pain during sex), suggesting that the trait may have more costs and fewer benefits for women (Jonason & Lavertu, 2017). It is possible that poor sexual health explains the link between psychopathy and a reduced number of children in women. Further, psychopathy is linked to risky sexual behaviour in both sexes (Kastner & Sellbom, 2012), including lower likelihood of condom use (Fulton, Marcus, & Payne, 2010; Jonason et al., 2015), and higher incidence of sexually-transmitted diseases (Beaver et al., 2014), which, in turn, reduces fertility (Apari, de Sousa, & Müller, 2014). It is possible that the costs of risky sexual behaviour in psychopathy outweigh the benefits, casting doubt over the idea that psychopathy is an adaptive trait promoting a fast life history strategy, at least in large-scale societies (see also Gladden, Figueredo, & Jacobs, 2009). In industrial societies with widespread access to contraceptives, it is of course difficult to separate proximate and ultimate relationships (Pérusse, 1993) and additional research in traditional populations is required.

In the present study, Machiavellianism did not relate to number of offspring for either sex. The emotional detachment and cynicism which characterise Machiavellianism (Christie & Geis, 1970) may suggest a reluctance to produce children. Susceptibility to

infidelity and engagement in sexual behaviour for physical pleasure or to attain a goal, etc. (each related to Machiavellianism) may, however, increase opportunities for reproduction (Brewer & Abell, 2015b). The strategic and exploitative nature of Machiavellianism may result in children only where the costs and benefits can be managed. For example, those high on Machiavellianism may be more likely to produce children if this confers status and reduces the stigma associated with childlessness. Those high on Machiavellianism may be particularly encouraged to reproduce if their partner or extended family provide substantial resources and support.

In terms of limitations, we used the SD3, a short-form measure of Dark Triad, which has been criticised for failing to capture some facets of the Dark Triad traits, such as vulnerable narcissism (Maples, Lamkin, & Miller, 2014). Future work could use longer-form measures of the traits, in order to allow investigation of theoretically relevant sub-components of narcissism and psychopathy. Second, we do not differentiate between planned and non-planned pregnancies (Berg, Rotkirch, Vaisanen, & Jokela, 2013) and include data from one parent only. Future research may obtain a more detailed record of participant reproductive histories and examine dyadic predictors of offspring number (Hutteman, Bleidorn, Penke, & Denissen, 2013). Third, most of our participants were from Western, Educated, Industrialised, Rich and Democratic countries (i.e., WEIRD; Henrich, Heine, & Norenzayan, 2010). Previous research has found differential correlates between extraversion and number of children in women in pre-industrial (Alvergne et al., 2010) and industrialised (Jokela et al., 2011) societies, demonstrating that the fitness consequences of personality traits could be highly context-specific. For instance, the prevalence of sexually-transmitted diseases is lower in small-scale, traditional societies

(Ohenjo et al., 2006), and it is possible that the benefits of promiscuous mating may outweigh the costs in these circumstances. Thus, the association between psychopathy and number of offspring could be different in small-scale communities than in large, more anonymous societies.

Despite these limitations, our findings are important for a number of reasons. Firstly, they pertain to a discernable, critical measurement of reproductive success: age-adjusted number of offspring, previously considered in relation to one Dark Triad trait only. The findings also support previous research which has found that the outcomes associated with the Dark Triad vary by sex (Jonason, Lyons, Bethell & Ross, 2013; Lyons et al., 2017) and trait (Paulhus & Williams, 2002). Research on the Dark Triad is advancing at an exponential rate (Marcus & Zeigler-Hill, 2015), yet relatively little work has been undertaken in areas such as this. Increasingly, researchers are emphasising the importance of these traits from an evolutionary perspective. The present study joins formative work on issues including longevity, and health-related behaviours (Hudek-Knežević, Kardum, & Mehić, 2016; Jonason et al., 2015; Jonason et al., 2010).

Finally, we hope we have drawn attention to the need to both explore and explain how the Dark Triad functions in different ways for males and females. The traits do not function identically across sex: research has identified instances of comparable outcomes related to mating (e.g., Jonason, Lyons, & Jones, 2013) but also cases where these differ (e.g., Lyons & Rice, 2014). In respect of the current finding, though none of the Dark Triad predicted increased lifetime offspring for women, there may be other factors that we were not able to directly assess. Hence future research should consider offspring quality and longer term reproductive success as measured by number of grandchildren

(Berg, Lummaa, Lahdenpera, Rotkirch, & Jokela, 2014). Good genes, acquired from a short-term partner, may represent a functional trade-off for women for a lack of investment, and also contribute to reproductive success (Fisher, 1915; Gangestad, 1993; Li & Kenrick, 2006). Given existing knowledge about highly-narcissistic women's sexual competitiveness (Carter et al., 2015) and women's relatively high demand for mate quality (compared with men's) in short-term mating (Jonason et al., 2011), this might explain how high levels of the Dark Triad traits function for women characterised by them. Future work should continue to consider and study this issue.

In conclusion, the present study reinforced earlier work (Lynn, 1995; Međedović et al., 2017) regarding 'dark' personality and reproductive output. We specifically identified narcissism as a positive predictor of offspring in men, suggesting that narcissism could have evolutionary roots as a male mating adaptation (Holzman & Strube, 2012). Psychopathy emerged as a negative predictor of offspring for both sexes, casting doubt over the adaptiveness of the trait in modern populations. Rather than using promiscuous mating in Western populations as a proxy for reproductive success, future research should focus on outcomes such as the number and quality of children and the generations beyond.

References:

Aitken, S. J., Lyons, M., & Jonason, P. K. (2013). Dads or cads? Women's strategic decisions in the mating game. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 55(2), 118-122.

- 293 Alvergne, A., Jokela, M., & Lummaa, V. (2010). Personality and reproductive success in
 294 high-fertility human population. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
 295 *Sciences*, 107(26), 11745-11750.
- 296 Apari, P., de Sousa, J. D., & Müller, V. (2014). Why sexually transmitted infections tend
 297 to cause infertility: an evolutionary hypothesis. *PLoS pathogens*, 10(8), e1004111.
- 298 Beaver, K. M., Nedelec, J. L., da Silva Costa, C., Poersch, A. P., Stelmach, M. C., Freddi,
 299 M. C., ... & Boccio, C. (2014). The association between psychopathic personality
 300 traits and health-related outcomes. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 42(5), 399-407.
- 301 Bereczkei, T., & Csanaky, A. (1996). Mate choice, marital success, and reproduction in a
 302 modern society. *Ethology and Sociobiology*, 17(1), 17-35.
- 303 Berg, V., Lummaa, V., Lahdenpera, M., Rotkirch, A., & Jokela, M. (2014). Personality
 304 and long-term reproductive success measured by the number of grandchildren.
 305 *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 35, 533-539.
- 306 Berg, V., Rotkirch, A., Vaisanen, H., & Jokela, M. (2013). Personality is differentially
 307 associated with planned and non-planned pregnancies. *Journal of Research in*
 308 *Personality*, 47, 296-305.
- 309 Blinkhorn, V., Lyons, M., & Almond, L. (2015). The ultimate femme fatale? Narcissism
 310 predicts serious and aggressive sexually coercive behaviour in
 311 females. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 87, 219-223.
- 312 Brewer, G., & Abell, L. (2015). Machiavellianism in long-term relationships:
 313 Competition, mate retention and sexual coercion. *Scandinavian Journal of*
 314 *Psychology*, 56(3), 357-362.

- 315 Brewer, G., & Abell, L. (2015b). Machiavellianism and sexual behavior: Motivations,
 316 deception and infidelity. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 74, 186-191.
- 317 Carter, G. L., Montanaro, Z., Linney, C., & Campbell, A. C. (2015). Women's sexual
 318 competition and the Dark Triad. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 74, 275-
 319 279.
- 320 Christie, R., & Geis, F. L. (1970). *Studies in Machiavellianism*. London: Academic Press.
- 321 Fisher, R. A. (1915). The evolution of sexual preference. *The Eugenics Review*, 7(3),
 322 184-192.
- 323 Fulton, J. J., Marcus, D. K., & Payne, K. T. (2010). Psychopathic personality traits and
 324 risky sexual behavior in college students. *Personality and Individual*
 325 *Differences*, 49(1), 29-33.
- 326 Gangestad, S. (1993). Sexual selection and physical attractiveness: Implications for
 327 mating dynamics. *Human Nature – An Interdisciplinary Biosocial Perspective*, 4,
 328 205-235.
- 329 Gladden, P. R., Figueredo, A. J., & Jacobs, W. J. (2009). Life history strategy,
 330 psychopathic attitudes, personality, and general intelligence. *Personality and*
 331 *Individual Differences*, 46(3), 270-275.
- 332 Hare, R. D., Neumann, C. S., & Widiger, T. A. (2012). Psychopathy. In T. A. Widiger
 333 (Ed.) *Oxford Library of Psychopathy. The Oxford Handbook of Personality*
 334 *Disorders* (pp. 478-504). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- 335 Holtzman, N. S. (2011). Facing a psychopath: Detecting the dark triad from emotionally-
 336 neutral faces, using prototypes from the Personality Faceaurus. *Journal of*
 337 *Research in Personality*, 45, 648-654.

- 338 Henrich, J., Heine, S. J., & Norenzayan, A. (2010). The weirdest people in the
 339 world? *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 33(2-3), 61-83.
- 340 Holtzman, N. S., & Strube, M. J. (2010). Narcissism and attractiveness. *Journal of*
 341 *Research in Personality*, 44(1), 133–136
- 342 Holtzman, N. S., & Strube, M. J. (2012). The intertwined evolution of narcissism and
 343 short-term mating. In W. K. Campbell & J. D. Miller (Eds.), *The Handbook of*
 344 *Narcissism and Narcissistic Personality Disorder: Theoretical Approaches,*
 345 *Empirical Findings, and Treatments*, (pp. 210-220). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley &
 346 Sons.
- 347 Hopcroft, R. L. (2015). Sex differences in the relationship between status and number of
 348 offspring in the contemporary US. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 36(2), 146-
 349 151.
- 350 Hudek-Knežević, J., Kardum, I., & Mehić, N. (2016). Dark triad traits and health
 351 outcomes: An exploratory study. *Psychological Topics*, 25(1), 129-156.
- 352 Hutteman, R., Bleidorn, W., Penke, L., & Denissen, J. J. A. (2013). It takes two: A
 353 longitudinal dyadic study on predictors of fertility outcomes. *Journal of*
 354 *Personality*, 81, 487-498.
- 355 Jauk, E., Neubauer, A. C., Mairunteregger, T., Pemp, S., Sieber, K. P., & Rauthmann, J.
 356 F. (2016). How alluring are dark personalities? The Dark Triad and Attractiveness
 357 in speed dating. *European Journal of Personality*, 30(2), 125-138.
- 358 Jokela, M., Alvergne, A., Pollet, T. V., & Lummaa, V. (2011). Reproductive behavior
 359 and personality traits of the Five Factor Model. *European Journal of*
 360 *Personality*, 25(6), 487-500.

- 361 Jokela, M., & Keltikangas-Järvinen, L. (2009). Adolescent leadership and adulthood
 362 fertility: revisiting the “central theoretical problem of human
 363 sociobiology”. *Journal of Personality*, 77, 213–230.
- 364 Jonason, P. K., Baughman, H. M., Carter, G. L., & Parker, P. (2015). Dorian Gray
 365 without his portrait: Psychological, social, and physical health costs associated
 366 with the Dark Triad. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 78, 5-13.
- 367 Jonason, P. K., Icho, A., & Ireland, K. (2016). Resources, harshness, and
 368 unpredictability: the socioeconomic conditions associated with the Dark Triad
 369 traits. *Evolutionary Psychology*, 14(1), 1474704915623699.
- 370 Jonason, P. K., Koenig, B. L., & Tost, J. (2010). Living a fast life. *Human Nature*, 21(4),
 371 428-442.
- 372 Jonason, P. K., & Lavertu, A. N. (2017). The reproductive costs and benefits associated
 373 with the Dark Triad traits in women. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 110,
 374 38-40.
- 375 Jonason, P. K., Li, N. P., & Buss, D. M. (2010). The costs and benefits of the Dark Triad:
 376 Implications for mate poaching and mate retention tactics. *Personality and*
 377 *Individual Differences*, 48, 373-378.
- 378 Jonason, P. K., Lyons, M., & Jones, A. (2013). Creatures of the night: Chronotypes and
 379 the Dark Triad traits. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 55(5), 538-541.
- 380 Jonason, P. K., Li, N. P., Webster, G. D., & Schmitt, D. P. (2009). The dark triad:
 381 Facilitating a short-term mating strategy in men. *European Journal of*
 382 *Personality*, 23(1), 5-18.

- Jonason, P. K., Lyons, M., Bethell, E. J., & Ross, R. (2013). Different routes to limited empathy in the sexes: Examining the links between the Dark Triad and empathy. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 54(5), 572-576.
- Jonason, P. K., Valentine, K. A., Li, N. P., & Harbeson, C. L. (2011). Mate-selection and the Dark Triad: Facilitating a short-term mating strategy and creating a volatile environment. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 51(6), 759-763.
- Jones, D. N., & de Roos, M. S. (2017). Differential reproductive behavior patterns among the dark triad. *Evolutionary Psychological Science*, 3(1), 10-19.
- Jones, D. N., & Olderbak, S. G. (2014). The associations among dark personalities and sexual tactics across different scenarios. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 29(6), 1050-1070.
- Jones, D. N., & Paulhus, D. L. (2010). Different provocations trigger aggression in narcissists and psychopaths. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 1(1), 12-18.
- Jones, D. N., & Paulhus, D. L. (2014). Introducing the short dark triad (SD3): A brief measure of dark personality traits. *Assessment*, 21(1), 28-41.
- Kastner, R. M., & Sellbom, M. (2012). Hypersexuality in college students: The role of psychopathy. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 53, 644-649.
- Li, N. P., & Kenrick, D. T. (2006). Sex similarities and differences in preferences for short-term mates: what, whether, and why. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 90(3), 468-489.

- 404 Lee, K., Ashton, M. C., Wiltshire, J., Bourdage, J. S., Visser, B. A., & Gallucci, A.
 405 (2013). Sex, power, and money: Prediction from the Dark Triad and Honesty–
 406 Humility. *European Journal of Personality*, 27(2), 169-184.
- 407 Lynn, R. (1995). Dysgenic fertility for criminal behaviour. *Journal of Biosocial*
 408 *Science*, 27(4), 405-408.
- 409 Lyons, M. T. (2015). Evidence for an evolutionary cheater strategy—Relationships
 410 between primary and secondary psychopathy, parenting, and shame and guilt. *The*
 411 *Journal of Psychology*, 149, 570-581.
- 412 Lyons, M., Croft, A., Fairhurst, S., Varley, K., & Wilson, C. (2017). Seeing through
 413 crocodile tears? Sex-specific associations between the Dark Triad traits and lie
 414 detection accuracy. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 113, 1-4.
- 415 Lyons, M., & Rice, H. (2014). Thieves of time? Procrastination and the Dark Triad of
 416 personality. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 61, 34-37.
- 417 Maples, J. L., Lamkin, J., & Miller, J. D. (2014). A test of two brief measures of the dark
 418 triad: The dirty dozen and short dark triad. *Psychological Assessment*, 26(1), 326-
 419 331.
- 420 Marcinkowska, U. M., Lyons, M. T., & Helle, S. (2016). Women's reproductive success
 421 and the preference for Dark Triad in men's faces. *Evolution and Human*
 422 *Behavior*, 37(4), 287-292.
- 423 Marcus, D. K., & Zeigler-Hill, V. (2015). A big tent of dark personality traits. *Social and*
 424 *Personality Psychology Compass*, 9(8), 434-446.

- 425 McHoskey, J. W., Hicks, B., Betris, T., Szyarto, C., Worzel, W., Kelly, K., ... & Suggs,
 426 T. (1999). Machiavellianism, adjustment, and ethics. *Psychological*
 427 *Reports*, 85(1), 138-142.
- 428 Međedović, J., Petrović, B., Želeskov-Đorić, J., & Savić, M. (2017). Interpersonal and
 429 affective psychopathy traits can enhance human fitness. *Evolutionary*
 430 *Psychological Science*, 1-10.
- 431 Muñoz, L. C., Khan, R., & Cordwell, L. (2011). Sexually coercive tactics used by
 432 university students: A clear role for primary psychopathy. *Journal of Personality*
 433 *Disorders*, 25(1), 28-40.
- 434 Ohenjo, N. O., Willis, R., Jackson, D., Nettleton, C., Good, K., & Mugarura, B. (2006).
 435 Health of Indigenous people in Africa. *The Lancet*, 367(9526), 1937-1946.
- 436 Paulhus, D. L., & Williams, K. M. (2002). The dark triad of personality: Narcissism,
 437 Machiavellianism, and psychopathy. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 36(6),
 438 556-563.
- 439 Pérusse, D. (1993). Cultural and reproductive success in industrial societies: Testing the
 440 relationship at the proximate and ultimate levels. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*,
 441 16(2), 267-322.
- 442 Piff, P. K. (2014). Wealth and the inflated self: Class, entitlement, and
 443 narcissism. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 40(1), 34-43.
- 444 Raskin, R., & Terry, H. (1988). A principal-components analysis of the Narcissistic
 445 Personality Inventory and further evidence of its construct validity. *Journal of*
 446 *Personality and Social Psychology*, 54(5), 890-902.

- 447 Smith, B. R., & Blumstein, D. T. (2008). Fitness consequences of personality: a meta-
448 analysis. *Behavioral Ecology*, 19(2), 448-455.
- 449 Vall, G., Gutiérrez, F., Peri, J. M., Gárriz, M., Baillés, E., Garrido, J. M., & Obiols, J. E.
450 (2016). Seven dimensions of personality pathology are under sexual selection in
451 modern Spain. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 37(3), 169-178.
- 452 Von Rueden, C., Gurven, M., & Kaplan, H. (2010). Why do men seek status? Fitness
453 payoffs to dominance and prestige. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London*
454 *B: Biological Sciences*, rspb20102145.
- 455 Wei, E. H., Loeber, R., & Stouthamer-Loeber, M. (2002). How many of the offspring
456 born to teenage fathers are produced by repeat serious delinquents? *Criminal*
457 *Behaviour and Mental Health*, 12(1), 83-98.