Est. | YORK 1841 | ST JOHN | UNIVERSITY

Garlick, Ben ORCID logoORCID:

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7257-0430 (2019) Cultural geographies of extinction: animal culture amongst Scottish ospreys. Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers, 44 (2). pp. 226-241.

Downloaded from: https://ray.yorksj.ac.uk/id/eprint/3306/

The version presented here may differ from the published version or version of record. If you intend to cite from the work you are advised to consult the publisher's version: https://doi.org/10.1111/tran.12268

Research at York St John (RaY) is an institutional repository. It supports the principles of open access by making the research outputs of the University available in digital form. Copyright of the items stored in RaY reside with the authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full text items free of charge, and may download a copy for private study or non-commercial research. For further reuse terms, see licence terms governing individual outputs. Institutional Repository Policy Statement

RaY

Research at the University of York St John For more information please contact RaY at <u>ray@yorksj.ac.uk</u>

Cultural geographies of extinction: animal culture amongst Scottish ospreys

3 Ben Garlick

4 School of Humanities, Religion & Philosophy, York St John University.

5 Abstract

6 This paper explores cultural geographies of extinction. I trace the decline of the 7 Scottish osprey during the nineteenth century, and its enduring, haunting presence 8 in the landscape today. Taking inspiration from the environmental humanities, extinction is framed as an event affecting losses that exceed comprehension in terms 9 10 merely of biological species numbers and survival rates. Disavowing the 'species thinking' of contemporary conservation biopolitics, the osprey's extinction story 11 pays attention to the worth of 'animal cultures'. Drawing a hybrid conceptual 12 framework from research in the environmental humanities, 'speculative' ethology 13 14 and more-than-human geographies, I champion an experimental attention to the cultural geographies of animals in terms of historically contingent, communally 15 shared, spatial practices and attachments. In doing so, I propose nonhuman cultural 16 17 geographies as assemblages that matter, and which are fundamentally at stake in the 18 face of extinction.

19 Key Words

20 Extinction, Conservation, Cultural geography, More-than-human geography,21 Osprey, Scotland

23 Introduction

24 The species label Pandion haliaetus - the osprey - envelopes much lively difference and possibility. Across four subspecies of this brown and white piscavore are 25 26 variations in size, markings and geographical behaviour. Furthermore, past and 27 present observations suggest multiple osprey life-ways are possible, expressed 28 between, and within, these subspecies. Colonial behavior, for example, characterises certain communities (notably in North America) but not others, perhaps reflecting 29 30 prey or nest availability (Newton, 1979). In a similar vein, European and North American ospreys (*P.h. haliaetus* and *P.h. carolinensis*) are migratory, whilst 31 32 Australian (P.h. cristatus) and Caribbean (P.h. ridgwayi) birds are not. For mobile 33 communities, annual seasonal refrains correspond with sea ice coverage; spring melts driving prey into northern shallows (Poole, 1989). For Scottish ospreys (my 34 focus here), wintering grounds predominate on Africa's western coast. After an 35 initial successful migration south, the young birds reside here for around three years 36 before returning north to seek a mate and nest. Rearing young in summer, breeding 37 adults depart come autumn (Dennis, 2008). Migration studies posit that favoured 38 routes may be shared across generations and regional communities (see Dennis, 39 2008). 40

41

42 Regional differences, and preferences, suggest osprey lives are geographically 43 contingent. This paper explores such contingency in the context of extinction. Paying 44 close, geographical attention to the lives of birds, I sketch the historical cultural 45 geographies of the osprey in Scotland, from the late-eighteenth to the early-twenty-

46 first century. Following calls for more 'beastly' geographies (Hodgetts and Lorimer, 47 2015) I take seriously the lived spatio-temporal particulars of osprey life. In 48 conversation with recent work within the environmental humanities, I frame osprey 49 differences in terms of an 'animal culture' both spatially and temporally contingent, 50 and at stake amidst the unfolding geographies of extinction. Historical records of 51 ospreys in Scotland reveal such differences, emergent over time, raising questions 52 regarding the nature of extinction and loss.

53

Consider that in the early-nineteenth century the northern Scottish county of 54 Sutherland hosted a vibrant community of ospreys. In 1848, notorious sportsman 55 and naturalist Charles St John travelled here with professional egg-collector William 56 Dunbar (see St John, 1884). Visiting lochs where ospreys nested on ruins and rocky 57 outcrops - including Assynt, 'an Laig Aird' (possibly Laicheard) and an Iasgair 58 (Figure 1) – they took eggs and shot several adult birds. Afterwards, Dunbar wrote 59 60 to a southern client that they had 'finally done for the Ospreys in Sutherland' (Harvie-Brown and MacPherson, 1904: 186). 61

62

Over a century later, in August 1961, George Waterston, Scotland's representative for the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds, holidayed in northwest Sutherland. He had just overseen a third successful nesting season for the ospreys at Loch Garten, Speyside. Dubbed 'Operation Osprey', re-colonising birds had raised young in the Cairngorms under Society protections since 1959 (see Brown, 1979). Their presence marked a return from 40 years of breeding extinction in Britain. Now

69 stewarding the re-colonisation, it seems Waterston was curious to retrace St John's 70 footsteps. He drew on accounts of the 1848 tour when planning his own northern 71 vacation. Waterston subsequently recalled his palpable excitement when standing 72 'almost exactly' where the infamous sportsman had once observed nesting ospreys 73 (Waterston, 1962: 113).

- 74
- [Figure 1 Map showing the location of Scottish nest sites discussed in this paper. 75 , C , l 76 Credit to L. Schofield]
- 77

78 Whether scouting sites of potential re-colonisation or seeking sober reflection in remote surrounds, the account Waterston offers of his excursion in Sutherland 79 80 conjures a profound *curiosity* for the ospreys' former geography and lifeworld. This 81 historical vignette also raises a question: how should we reckon with such an absence – less of a biological species than of a particular way of living – when that 82 83 which was absent *comes back*? This paper, with a desire to craft more interesting, lively accounts of more-than-human historical geographies, argues in response for 84 the need to appreciate extinction in terms beyond the species biopolitics of 85 86 contemporary conservation. Considering questions of extinction with an eye on contemporary debates around reintroduction, re-wilding, and even 'de-extinction', 87 geographers must attend to that which remains lost even after a species returns. 88

Emerging out of a larger project seeking to explore the historical animal geographies of Scottish osprey conservation (Garlick, 2017), this paper reads the insights of scientific ornithology and empirical accounts of osprey life through a conceptual framework rooted in contemporary literature around affect, neovitalist materialism, biophilosophy and 'speculative ethology'. Thinking in speculative, risky and creative ways about histories and cultures beyond the human foregrounds important ethical questions about what is at stake in extinction.

98

My argument is based upon a speculative reading of the surviving traces and stories 99 100 of osprey presence, informed by the insights of a broader natural-scientific and conservation literature concerning their behaviour, ecology and breeding biology. I 101 am alert to the contradiction here: seeking to challenge essentialist notions of species 102 103 whilst relying on literature steeped in this mode of understanding life and its processes. This corpus enables me to better trace the activities and conditions 104 characteristic of osprey lived existence, such as it haunts the 'non-innocent' 105 eighteenth- and nineteenth-century documentary accounts of naturalists, travellers, 106 artists and sportsmen, comprising a nebulous 'animal archive' of ospreys in Scotland 107 108 (Benson, 2011).

109

However, I am careful not to allow such work to delimit *a priori* the capacities of ospreys, or figure them transcendent of history and geography. Drawing on scientific literature need not necessitate rigid adherence to a single scientific model,

or overly circumscribe the possible forms that osprey behaviour might take (Lestel *et al*, 2014). Rather, contemporary work on osprey ecology and conservation sharpens attention to how animal existence and agency are historically assembled and expressed in relation to a host of other actors and material conditions (Howell, *forthcoming*).

118

Similarly, many discussions held during the course of research with individuals who 119 have spent time working with these birds likewise inform my understanding of 120 ospreys' capabilities (see Midgely, 1988). Stories of humans living and working with 121 birds past and present provide 'narratives of affiliation' (H. Lorimer, 2009: 65), 122 helping tune into the elements of the environment affecting, and affected by, animal 123 existence (J. Lorimer et al, 2017: 6). In sum, extant writing and reflection on ospreys, 124 by those who have spent years researching with them, assists me in asking the 'right 125 questions' of documents bearing their trace (Despret, 2016). 126

127

As much a geographical thought experiment as act of historical-cultural geography 128 scholarship, this paper seeks to fulfil the ambitions of a more-than-human history by 129 speculating on the historical conditions for (and of) osprey existence (Despret, 2013). 130 131 This is also an ethical project of imagination and recognition. Perhaps, as Dominique 132 Lestel argues, we attribute 'too much' to humans, and 'too little' to others in social 133 theory (2014b: 99). A little epistemological 'courtesy' (albeit critically informed and 134 reflexive [Johnston, 2008: 644-645]) might stem from the recognition that, in certain ways and under certain conditions, animals are 'not so different from humans' (Philo 135

and Wilbert, 2000: 25, original emphasis). I frame osprey life as active and
contingent: an outcome of situated involvements between birds, humans, and other
agencies (after Woodward *et al*, 2010).

139

140 The aim is to write historical geography more attuned to ospreys' agential potential. 141 Rather than cry 'anthropomorphism!' I urge the reader to persist and consider the questions such an account opens onto. A more lively account of past ecologies, I 142 argue, offers one route by which to mobilise the care required to live with, and 143 respond to, past and present environmental losses (see Tsing, 2015; Chrulew, 2011). 144 The alternative - divesting ospreys of lived experience and specificity - merely 145 'mechano-morphises' (Crist, 1999) creatures that, like ourselves, demonstrably 146 perceive the world, respond to it, and 'really are alive' (Ingold, 1994: xxi). 147

148

149 Over the following paper I attend to the more-than-human cultural geographies at stake in extinction. I begin by establishing a conceptual framework that challenges 150 the 'species thinking' of conservation biopolitics and extends 'culture' beyond 151 humans. I then sketch the dimensions of a Scottish osprey 'cultural community' and 152 153 its unravelling until the point of eradication in 1916. Tracing a disjointed geography 154 of absence and presence, I emphasise the enduring losses that extinction (as the 155 cessation of a 'way of life') affects, demonstrating why thinking with animal culture 156 alerts us to the continuing ethical significance of such loss today.

158 Extinction, culture and more-than-human geographies

159 *Extinction beyond the biopolitical*

160 In contemporary wildlife conservation a creature's presence clearly matters, both 161 actually recorded and potentially emergent (Hinchliffe, 2007). Yet, acts of 162 classification, calculation, and distributional mapping often render such presence a series of multiple, differently valued, and sometimes contradictory collectives in (or 163 164 across) space (Beirmann and Mansfield, 2014; Hodgetts, 2017). Such initiatives, read by geographers through Michel Foucault's 'biopolitics' (see Foucault, 2003), figure 165 life primarily in terms of averaged characteristics, or norms. Despite a diversity of 166 167 animal presence on the ground, overall conservation strategy deals in populations, and the massifying metrics of bio- or genetic diversity (J. Lorimer, 2006; Srinivasan, 168 169 2014; Hennessey, 2015).

170

Since the mid twentieth century contemporary conservation has been increasingly 171 defined by the perception of an encroaching, human-instigated, 'sixth mass 172 extinction' that it seeks to prevent (Adams, 2004; Kolbert, 2014). Extinction labels 173 174 *collective* annihilation – potentially of entire taxa (see Smith-Patten *et al*, 2015). Whilst background rates of extinction may be 'ecologically necessary' – with fossil records 175 176 suggests a species disappearance on average every four years, creating space for 177 (better adapted) others to flourish -identified mass extinction events (where 178 disappearance rates reach 50-1000 times background levels) indicate episodes of 179 extreme ecological upheaval, generating much scientific and cultural interest (Heise, 180 2010).

182 Despite any extinction event entailing multiple, situated stories of decline - such as 183 that of the Sutherland ospreys - lived differences dissolve with losses rendered in 184 terms of quantifiable biological species units. In much conservation discourse, 185 extinction is enacted through numbers. Calculations of vulnerability prioritise what must be saved. The 'Red List', compiled by the International Union for the 186 Conservation of Nature since 1964 (see IUCN, 2012), exemplifies this biopolitical 187 (and ethical) triage in action (Pooley, 2015). Meanwhile, conservation looks to 188 genetics to promote new measures of collective diversity (or value) at molecular 189 scales (Waterton et al, 2013; Hennessey, 2015). Hybrid forms compromising genetic 190 purity are suppressed (Fredriksen, 2016). Violent incarcerations (and inseminations) 191 accompany attempts to care for fragmented, remnant populations of rare species 192 (van Dooren, 2014; Chrulew, 2011). Threatened creatures circulate through multiple 193 spaces including digital databases, zoos and re-introduction centres (Whatmore and 194 195 Thorne, 2000; Braverman, 2015). There remains limited scope for care-full attention to lived animal geographies within this biopolitical schema. 196

197

Marshalling recent humanities scholarship, I pay a different kind of attention to species life and death. Specfiically, work within 'extinction studies' (Rose *et al*, 2017 – further expanded below) offers opportunities for staying with the particularities of past osprey presence, and telling the story of the Scottish birds' decline *and* return whilst, at the same time, keeping hold of what *remains lost*. Grouping creatures into collectives on the basis of apparently essential qualities renders them mere 'units of 204 exchange' (Mitchell, 2016: 34), ultimately 'killable' to secure species wellbeing 205 (Haraway, 2008; Srinivasan, 2014). Yet crucially, such 'species thinking' fails 206 'absolutely' to recognise 'what [...] is actually lost' through extinction (van Dooren, 207 2010: 272). The vital relations and contingent differences comprising actual ways of *living* – what Thom van Dooren terms 'flight ways' (2014) – are excluded from any 208 such biopolitical reckoning with environmental destruction. Writing within the 209 environmental humanities (Rose et al, 2017), as well as geography (see Van Patter 210 and Hovorka, 2018: 291), has challenged species essentialism and concomitant 211 conservation discourses of the 'greater good'. Increasingly, 'species' - as atomised 212 units of concern and a 'concrete phenomenon of nature' (Mayr, 1996: 263) - become 213 'unthinkable' within posthumanism's rhizomatic ontologies (Haraway, 2016: 57; 214 More-than-human cultural geographies 215

216

To expand a sense of what is at stake in extinction, I make geographical and 217 historically specific osprey 'ways of living' tangible through the notion of 'animal 218 culture'. 'More-than-representational' (Lorimer, 2005) cultural geographies are just 219 as evidently 'more-than-human' (Whatmore, 2006; J. Lorimer *et al*, 2017). They elude 220 explanation merely in terms of autonomous, exceptional human figures (Whatmore, 221 222 2002; Hird, 2010; K. Anderson, 2014). Given 'making worlds is not limited to 223 humans' (Tsing, 2015: 22), consideration of osprey culture is entirely appropriate 224 amidst geographical scholarship long attendant to ways of living, doing and 225 distributing natures (Anderson *et al*, 2002; Kirsch, 2014).

227 And yet, concern with 'culture' in geography remains largely human-focussed 228 (Anderson et al, 2002: 18-21; Anderson, 2014; Hodgetts and Lorimer, 2015). 229 Meanwhile, biologists, particularly primatologists and cetologists, have long debated 230 the existence of nonhuman cultures (see Laland and Galef, 2009; Whitehead and 231 Rendell, 2015). Indeed, culture appears a practical (if implicit) consideration for many conservation scientists. Van Dooren (2014; 2016) describes various instances 232 where the management of captive-bred birds - such as crows and cranes - involves 233 carefully supporting the development of an 'authentic' species being comprising the 234 behaviours, perceptions and vocalisations that encompass a 'wild' subjectivity. Such 235 examples feature plastic animal subjects, and testify to the multiple forms of 236 'animality' possible within different assemblages (Lestel, 2002). 237

238

Championing early critical attention to animal geographies, Chris Philo and Chris 239 Wilbert emphasised the need for attention to animals' own geographies - their 240 'beastly places' (2000: 5) - alongside the social construction of 'animal spaces'. 241 Although methodological and conceptual developments have favoured the former 242 (Hodgetts and Lorimer, 2015), there is growing energy within more-than-human 243 geography to explore the spatial character of animal life beyond its 'placing' by 244 245 humans (H. Lorimer, 2006; Johnston, 2008; Buller, 2014, Buller, 2015; Van Patter and Hovorka, 2018; J. Lorimer et al, 2017). Animals, figured as 'geographers too' (Buller, 246 247 2015: 380), enact spatial lives and attachments. Birds, recognised as 'geographical 248 creatures' (Steinberg, 2010: iii), invest significance in place through migratory refrains, perceptions and attachments. Such geographies characterise the 'flight way'of osprey existence.

251

252 Through the osprey's story I challenge the 'residual humanism' (Lulka, 2009) surrounding cultural geography's central concept: culture. I argue that avian 253 254 cultures reflect creative capacities to find diverse ways of inhabiting with (or against) the limits of an environment, demonstrating non-linear, 'affective', ecologies 255 (Hustak and Myers, 2012). They take material form through 'non-essential' 256 behavioural adjustments – such as nest preferences – shared socially between groups 257 of birds, and with neither genetics nor environmental factors providing a 'truly 258 satisfying' explanation of their appearance (Lestel, 2014b: 98). Thus, ospreys have a 259 heritage exceeding biology, including group traditions, spatial arrangements and 260 individual experience (Lestel, 2011: 84), which constitutes their very 'personhood' 261 262 (Ingold, 1994).

263

I engage osprey cultural geographies via a hybrid conceptual frame (see Hovorka, 264 2017) drawing inspiration from 'speculative' approaches to ethology (the science of 265 animal behaviour). Such work continues the maverick, creative, creaturely spirit 266 championed by early pioneers of ethological study (see H. Lorimer, 2009). Rejecting 267 a traditional, 'Cartesian-realist' ethology equating behavioural signals with fixed, 268 269 universalising behavioural models, scholars including Vinciane Despret and 270 Dominique Lestel propose a more open-ended consideration of animals, and their 271 capacity to form contingent communities of meaning and relating (Despret, 2013).

Agential capacities are 'characterised by their historicity' (Lestel, 2002: 58), and constitute an open, empirical question (Despret, 2006).

274

275 Culture is thus figured with an emphasis on affect and sense (Lestel, 2014b: 95). I emphasise a corporeal reading of 'affect', foregrounding: the body's capacity to 276 register the impress of worldly forces; the manner in which such impressions 277 mediate a body's potential capabilities; and the various forces that emanate from 278 bodies to enact similar mediations upon surroundings and other bodies (Anderson, 279 2014). Specifically, I apply Sara Ahmed's concept of 'orientation' to characterize 280 'different ways of registering the proximity of objects and others' (Ahmed, 2006: 3) 281 as subjects affect and are affected by worlds. Orientations capture how spaces are 282 affectively inhabited: the aspects towards which the body extends, or from which it 283 retracts (Ahmed, 2010: 29). I (and others - Wright, 2015) see value in extending 284 Ahmed's thinking beyond humans. In an account of past and present osprey 285 nesting, orientation directs attention to the specific affects of a bird's worldy 286 situation, its 'point of view' (Ahmed, 2006: 12) as an emergent, multi-sensory, 287 perceptual attunement (Stewart, 2011). 288

289

In this manner, animal – specifically, avian – cultural life might be mapped, as Deleuze and Guattari suggest, by virtue of 'counting its affects' (2013: 299). That is, by documenting site- and relationally-specific ways of living as part of alwayshybrid communities (Lestel, 2014a); or through attention to the (re)articulation of animal being amidst particular 'atmospheres' or fields of forces (J. Lorimer *et al*,

295 2017). Exploring more-than-human cultural geographies therefore requires attention 296 to processes of 'learning to be affected' by the world, as to mediate future meetings 297 (Despret, 2004: 131). Arising from assembled agential capacities to perceive and 298 respond, 'different worlds [...] come into view'. In turning toward these worldly 299 offerings, bodies acquire 'the very shape of their direction' (Ahmed, 2006: 15-16). Understanding encounters with place, objects or 'others' requires situating subjects 300 amidst 'conditions of their arrival' (Ahmed, 2010: 33) and histories of relating. How 301 ospreys and nest sites become available to each other is a contingent process. Bodies 302 and places are entrained into the refrains of migration, assembly and return, 303 weaving together a creaturely ecology (H. Lorimer, 2009). 304

305

306 Osprey nesting geographies cohere as 'traditions' – social learning across 307 generations (McGrew, 2009) – marking out specific forms of difference *within* the 308 blanket category of 'genetic species'. These geographies emerge through the 309 accumulation of more-than-human traces – nests, perches, migration routes, feeding 310 grounds. In turn, attention to traces and trajectories proposes an ecology of dynamic 311 *places*, as opposed to static *habitats* (Massey, 2005; van Dooren and Rose, 2012: 10).

312

To conclude this section: cultural geography - in concert with scholarship drawn from across the environmental humanities - has both scope and resource to engage more-than-human culture. The specifics of 'nonhuman' presence matter, revealing difference and diversity (see Lulka, 2009: 382). Attention to animal culture means examining how orientations of creaturely being emerge in relation and become sustained through inheritance. Over the remainder of the paper I discuss the osprey
in Scotland, making specific lives (and losses) visible and significant amidst
processes of mass death.

321

322 An osprey cultural community

Ospreys are creatures with the capacity to form, share and inherit place attachments.
On the basis of shared orientations towards 'nestable' sites I advance the claim that
nineteenth-century Scottish ospreys constituted a now-lost cultural community.

326

Nesting is a fundament of bird life, offering containment, insulation and protection 327 for eggs and young (Hansell, 2000). Sites of vital reproductive work (biological and 328 cultural), nests are 'key nodes' (Reinert, 2013: 17) connecting individual existence to 329 the assemblage of collective being (Chrulew, 2011: 147). One can understand nests as 330 'animal architecture': nonhuman structures affecting local stability amidst volatile 331 environmental conditions (Hansell, 2000). Richard Dawkins theorises such 332 constructions in terms of an 'extended phenotype': the blueprints for building being 333 genetically encoded, as much a reflection of evolutionary development as 334 physiological capacities (Dawkins, 1982 in Ingold, 2000). Today, however, biologists 335 emphasise multiple inheritance systems beyond the genetic (e.g. Laland and Galef, 336 337 2009). Nests offer an example of 'niche construction'. Coined by biologist John 338 Odling-Smee, this concept refers to species' capacities for altering environments, 339 maintaining spaces across generations that mediate selection pressures and enable

340 the emergence - and persistence - of particular forms of (social) life (Laland et al, 341 2016).

342

343 My own speculative reading frames situated niche-building by a particular group of 344 the same 'species' as demonstrative of cultural diversity. I follow Tim Ingold (2000: 175) in rejecting the genetic essentialism of 'extended phenotypes', treating animal 345 dicati 346 dwelling as embodied, perceptive, active.

347

Cultures of nesting 348

Ospreys historically display wide-ranging recognition for 'nestable' places. By 349 nestable I mean evoking the capacities for successful nesting. This definition is 350 derived from Gaston Bachelard's phenomenological account of nesting as the 351 expression of locatable 'confidence in the world' (1994: 94-103). Crucially, such a 352 353 phenomenology defers to the animal: I place significance in where (and how) ospreys direct perception. 354

355

Cultural activity is embodied: the potential capacities of creatures in relation to 356 357 environmental affordances define the limits of emergent cultural permutations (Lestel, 2014b). Consequently, commonalities exist between osprey nest sites 358 359 globally. Proximate (<20km), plentiful fishing is key. Likewise, many birds favour prominent, elevated, open sites: 'landmarks' for human and osprey alike (Poole, 360 361 1989: 85). Such features offer easier landings when laden with prey, and a vantage to spot intruders (Hardrey et al, 2009). Being large raptors, osprey eyries (nest 362

structures) often exceed a metre in diameter. Viable sites offer a stable base for the
amassed sticks (and supplementary materials) held together by friction (Dennis,
2008).

366

Many places have hosted ospreys, so how do differences in site preference emerge? Some attention is given to the affects of site attachment in accounts of osprey nesting, noting the 'magical attraction' (Poole, 1989: 89) of 'special places' (Newton, 1979: 39). I offer here a speculative account of nesting processes, contextualising ospreys within their affective ecologies, and connecting emergent orientations to site within birds' unfolding 'lifelines' (Ahmed, 2006: 17).

373

In forming attachments to specific places, male ospreys demonstrate a particular 374 tendency to display 'natal philopatry': upon maturity they are likely to return to 375 their 'birth region' to breed. Sightings and recoveries of colour-ringed ospreys in 376 377 Scotland found 25 of 29 recorded birds nesting within 50km of their natal site – and 17 within 25km (compared to 2 of 34 females) (Dennis, 2008: 109). Results from 378 studies involving the ringing of Fennoscandian ospreys propose that 'a circle drawn 379 380 at 50km radius of the birth place' would account for over 40% of ospreys, again 381 reflecting the propensity for male birds to inherit attachments to place (Newton, 1979: 176). With regard to attachments to particular nesting *situations*, young ospreys 382 383 show a preference for sites echoing the characteristics of natal nests. Such a process 384 of 'imprinting to area' (Newton, 1979: 282) is elsewhere evoked to explain, for 385 example, the increasing colonisation of utility structures across generations by

386 ospreys in Europe (Meyburg et al, 1996). It is suggested that early flights from the 387 nest might orient fledgling ospreys to their surroundings, making 'sticky' (Ahmed, 388 2010) certain features within emergent avian geographical perceptions. Together, 389 such mechanisms demonstrate young ospreys' 'ontological openness' (van Dooren, 390 2014: 102) for geography.

391

Once a pair of ospreys has settled a site they will generally return to the same nest 392 annually, so long as both survive migration and the site remains productive (Poole, 393 1989). In this way, as adults maintain eyries, preferences for region (through male 394 progeny) and nesting situation become inheritable. Subsequently, orientations 395 towards particular kinds of nest site emerge as 'local traditions of preference' 396 (Newton, 1979: 82; Poole, 1989: 89). 397

398

Osprey nesting preference thus enacts landscape as a communally-inherited, 399 'learned skill' (H. Lorimer, 2006: 504) and recognition of the post-fledging period as 400 401 crucial for assembling the geographical subjectivity of young ospreys informs the contemporary practice of translocation. From 1996 to 2005 young ospreys were taken 402 from Scottish nests, cage-reared at Rutland Water, Oakham, and released at the 403 point of fledging. From 2001, the first of these birds returned to nest, establishing a 404 405 breeding population here (Mackrill *et al*, 2012). Given natal philopatry is unevenly observed (and varyingly expressed) an additional outcome of this project included 406 407 the tandem emergence of an osprey community in Wales with dispersal on return 408 migration.

410 The relocation example attests that despite certain tendencies being recorded, 411 geographical orientations are not pre-given. Rather, nesting geographies remain contingent over the life-course, textured by osprey experience, even 'memory' (see 412 413 Despret and Meuret, 2016). Site faith is tied to the persistence of seasonal 414 monogamy. If birds die on migration their remaining partners will likely return, drawn north by an enduring place association. Equally, sites can be abandoned if 415 eggs or young are lost due to extra-species intrusions or storms (Hardey et al, 2009). 416 In this way, nests are (re)opened to colonisation by roving, nestless birds, entrained 417 into new sets of osprey relations. A site's 'stickiness' for particular individuals 418 reflects an on-going, creaturely storying of place (van Dooren and Rose, 2012). I turn 419 to explore such processes at work amongst the ospreys of nineteenth-century 420 edt 421 Scotland.

422

Scottish osprey culture 423

Several authors label the demise of the Scottish osprey as 'extinction' (Brown, 1979; 424 Poole, 1989; Kitchener, 1998; Dennis, 2008). Yet, within a biological species-centred 425 definition of extinction such loss would be termed 'extirpation': the eradication of a 426 427 given population of a species 'in a specific area' (Smith-Patten et al, 2015: 482). 428 Extirpation implies that losses only register significance if genetic survival or 429 diversity is threatened. Here, in developing a conception of extinction in which *ways* 430 of life are at stake, I problematise extirpation as a concept for the way in which it 431 renders disparate populations interchangeable.

433 Extinction studies scholarship challenges the essentialism inherent to biological 434 definitions of species, expanding the registers of significant loss (Mitchell, 2016). 435 Doing so requires telling alternative 'extinction stories': offering generative openings 436 (van Dooren, 2010: 272-273) onto the 'intimate peculiarities' of environmental destruction (van Dooren, 2014: 7-8; Rose et al, 2017). Extinction is refigured as a 437 broader, slower process of detachment from conditions of dwelling in which the end 438 of a way of life precedes the disappearance of the last, lingering one (Rose, 2012). 439 Absence is felt beyond the biological, encompassing lost vocabularies, behaviours, 440 sensory knowledges and future possibilities (Smith, 2013). Recognising such losses 441 attests to more-than-human lives lived amidst relational *communities*, characterised 442 by situated forms of animal existence and worlding (see Yusoff, 2012: 587). As 443 Despret and Meuret articulate: 444

445

'Extinction begins when the world to which an animal was associated is reduced to
nothing, or almost nothing. Extinction begins when the ways an animal composes
the world and composes with the world are ended, when the ways he or she makes
a world exist, according to the ways his or her ancestors had created it, have
disappeared' (2016: 28-29)

451

In this spirit, I characterise the nineteenth-century Scottish osprey and its eradication
with reference to a collectively constituted orientation towards place. Doing so
makes legible osprey cultural geographies as a register of significant loss.

456 Prior to disappearance in the early twentieth century, there is limited data regarding 457 the osprey's extent in Britain. Virtually no records precede the 1800s (Waterston, 458 1962). Likewise, there is little evidence as to its persecution, particularly outside of 459 Scotland. By 1800 the birds had probably disappeared from Ireland and a handful remained in England until 1847 (Lovegrove, 2007). A clear (if loosely documented) 460 trajectory of decline accompanies this geographical contraction. With the osprev 461 confined effectively to Scotland by 1850, one estimate puts their numbers between 40 462 and 50 breeding pairs (Dennis, 1991). The same year, however, other writers note the 463 ultimate demise of the Sutherland-based population (see Brown, 1979; Lovegrove, 464 2007) described barely a decade earlier by Scottish naturalist William Jardine as so 465 abundant that one might see four or five birds a day in certain localities (Waterston, 466 1962: 81; also Selby, 1836: 287). By 1895 there were at most four pairs nesting 467 (Harvie-Brown and Buckley, 1895: 71). This had declined to just two by the early 468 years of the twentieth century (see Cameron, 1948), and a final (recorded) pair bred 469 at Loch Loyne in 1916 (Gordon, 1949). 470

471

472 A notable feature of nineteenth-century accounts documenting encounters with 473 Scottish ospreys is their descriptions of nests, which suggest particular site 474 preferences. In northwest Sutherland, ospreys nesting on rock and ruin sites – rather 475 than the trees recorded elsewhere – were apparently common. For example, in 476 Charles St John's (1863: 138) writing he describes eyries 'placed either on the highest 477 part of some old ruin, on the peak of some rock which stands out from the water in a

lonely highland loch, or, rarely on the very summit of an old tree'. Elsewhere, 478 479 Jardine alleged to *only* have observed such behaviour, asserting Scottish nests were 480 'always' sited on ruined structures (Jardine, 1838: 184). Despite trees in abundance, 481 ruins were 'preferred if near' (Jardine, 1832 quoted by Yarrell, 1871). Similarly, 482 ornithologist William Yarrell, writing five years later, endorsed Jardine's descriptions. Nesting ospreys are recalled on 'rocky islets' and 'old ruins', only 483 'sometimes on high trees' (1871: 32). In 1879, one newspaper article boldly claimed 484 ospreys built on trees only where ruins or rocks were not available ("Loch-an-Eilan 485 486 and its Ospreys', 9 June 1879). That rocks and ruins were central to natural historians' understanding of the Scottish osprey, suggests their prominence within 487 the birds' own spatial perception during this period. 488

489

Ruin eyries offer an early example of the osprey's widely documented adaptability 490 491 to local conditions. The earliest record of ruin nesting occurs in the late-eighteenthcentury travel writing of Welsh naturalist Thomas Pennant (Baxter and Rintoul, 492 1954). At Loch Lomond, he describes 'sea eagles'¹ that 'quit the country in winter' 493 nesting on the ruins of Inchgalbraith island (Pennant, 1771: 80). Their presence is 494 corroborated in other late-eighteenth-century accounts - notably the writings of 495 Samuel Johnson, and within Gilpin's Observations on the Picturesque, compiled 1776 496 497 (1792: 27). Birds allegedly returned here until at least 1840 (Colquhoun, 1840), suggesting cross-generational inhabitancy. In the diaries of Elizabeth Grant (1972: 498

¹ Whilst Pennant describes the birds as 'sea eagles', it is generally accepted that he was referring to ospreys (*Pandion haliaetus*) and not white-tailed eagles (*Haliaetus albicilla*), also persecuted during this period (see discussion in Baxter and Rintoul, 1954).

60) - of the Grants owning Rothiemurchus estate, Speyside - ospreys appear nesting
atop ruins at Loch an Eilein in 1808. Like Inchgalbraith, this site was long tenanted;
ospreys appearing here (with periods of absence) until 1902 (Cash, 1914).

502

503 Many of the structures reportedly colonised - including Kilchurn Castle, Loch Awe 504 (Pearson, 1987); Lochindorb Castle, Lochindorb (Wilson, 2007); and Ardvreck Castle, Loch Assynt (St John, 1884) - if not already long-abandoned (like Lochindorb) were 505 certainly in a ruined state by the nineteenth century (see Simpson, 1937). As 506 Highland society was violently restructured under Hanoverian rule, possibilities 507 emerged for recombinant osprey ecologies. The avian attraction of such sites is clear: 508 they were (relatively) stable, prominent, and often near water. I speculate that the 509 perception of ruins as 'nestable' may reflect their resonance with the form of those 510 rock sites utilised elsewhere. In such a reading, a distinctive culture of nesting 511 512 emerges at the 'contact zone' (Haraway, 2008) between birds and the detritus of 513 human activity, subsequently propagated across generations.

514

The distribution of this practice, and its documented persistence amidst periods of absence and re-colonisation, suggests rock and ruin nesting was not exceptional but typical of this osprey community. Sites were made recognisable according to the involvements orienting avian sensibilities to place. Once settled, the on-going association between birds and site emerged via the affects of nesting elaborated above. Ospreys nested on tree sites too (as observed today) but this does not contradict a claim that their spatial perception of nestable landscapes was

demonstrably *different*. If we understand extinction to result in a 'diminishment of the prospects for becoming' (Whale and Ginn, 2017: 98) then the demise of the Scottish osprey is significant, their absence marking the end of a particular kind of *being*.

526

527 Unravelling a cultural community

Conceptualising osprey existence as a communally-sustained way of life better-528 captures what is at stake in extinction. Attention to animals' geographies 529 530 foregrounds the lived spatiality of extinction stories. Scottish ospreys, as a cultural community, would become extinct as intergenerational ties were severed or 531 unravelled (van Dooren, 2014: 22-27). Where survival necessitated the forging of 532 'liveable collaborations' (Tsing, 2015: 28), osprey deaths occurred as violent and 533 death-filled relations proliferated. Importantly, the geographies and affects of 534 human-led extinctions appear less spectacular or discrete than the distributed 535 aggregate of 'business as usual' (Yusoff, 2012). The extinction of osprey culture 536 occurred with a sustained and cumulative violence enacted across lived geographies 537 and down through generations.² 538

² Due to the constraints of space this paper focuses primarily on the impacts of persecution carried out against ospreys in Britain and Ireland, rather than across the full stretch of their migratory geographies between Britain and West Africa.

In the nineteenth century, two sources of persecution emerged and combined to whittle away osprey existence. The first was a natural history epistemology of specimen collection, credited with fragmenting populations in the north. The second was highland sport, linked to the killing of ospreys on managed estate lands at the nest and on migration (McGowan, 2009).

546

Regarding collecting naturalism: a specific enthusiasm for the study and 547 548 classification of birds, emerging from the late eighteenth century, was predicated 549 upon the categorisation and comparison of specimens and eggs (see Farber, 1997). 550 Charles St John and William Dunbar's Sutherland tour typifies the 'peak' of such collecting enthusiasm during the 1840s, allegedly contributing to the near-total 551 annihilation of the region's ospreys. Collectors also visited other well-known sites, 552 553 such as Loch an Eilein (see Harvie-Brown and Buckley, 1895: 75). There, the nest was robbed by collector Lewis Dunbar (brother to St John's companion) annually from 554 1848 to 1852, his spoils going to southern clients (Wolley and Newton, 1864: 58-66). 555 556 Such actions prompted the ospreys to desert the ruin for over two decades (Cash, 557 1914).

558

Alongside collecting, ospreys suffered the wrath of landowners managing estates for Highland sport. The arrival of the Royal Family on Deeside popularised a natureculture of romantic Highlandism, including the hunting of red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) and grouse (*Lagopus lagopus scotica*) (Pringle, 1988). Hired gamekeepers zealously

563 pursued all raptors as 'vermin', fearing the propensity of some to predate game 564 (Lovegrove, 2007). Definitive figures for such destruction are elusive, with limited 565 information sourced from surviving estate and taxidermists' books (see McGhie, 566 1999). Oft-quoted records for Glengarry estate between 1837-1840 suggest the scale 567 of persecution: over three years 1,498 birds of prey were killed, including 18 ospreys (given in Ellice, 1898: 27). Appreciating that by 1850 the entire Scottish community 568 likely comprised 40-50 breeding pairs, such figures suggest major losses on estate 569 570 lands.

571

The relationship between osprey nesting culture and the impacts of persecution is 572 hard to determine. Their nests may have been more accessible than those of other 573 raptors (see Selby, 1836: 286). Moreover, a strong 'faith' for nests and favoured 574 perches made them easier to kill or trap (Lovegrove, 2007: 107). Sportsman John 575 Colquhoun recalls how, 'aware of their habit', he rowed to Inchgalbraith ruin, 576 577 waited, and killed both ospreys upon their return, emptying a site 'occupied for generations' (Colquhoun, 578 1840: 86-7).

579

580 *Protection and decline*

581 On some estates ospreys were given sanctuary. Eyries around Loch Arkaig were 582 protected under instructions from laird Donald Cameron of Locheil until abandoned 583 in 1914 (Cameron, 1948). At Loch an Eilein, resident ospreys were celebrated by 584 early-century artists and travelers in search of the picturesque (see MacCulloch, 585 1824: 400; Beattie, 1834: 75). After visiting in 1879, HM Inspector for Schools William Jolly, writing for *The Scotsman*, bid the public, 'go to Rothiemurchus!' where they might come as close to the birds as to 'a specimen in a museum' ('Loch an Eilan', 1879: 5). Subsequent tourist interest stimulated the estate's proprietors to safeguard the nest, banning boats on the loch and setting keepers on watch (see Lambert, 2001).

Despite attempts to prevent persecution, 15 of 24 recorded osprey breeding attempts 591 at Loch an Eilein between 1846 and 1899 culminate with eggs being taken (Ritchie, 592 1920: 192). The removal of eggs likely spelled the end of the season. Given threats 593 594 faced on migration - estimate mortality rates for ospreys in the first year, derived from observations in the Eastern USA, are around 57% (Newton, 1979: 368) - any 595 disruption to reproduction threatened a small community's capacity to endure. By 596 1871 the osprey was being described as 'the rarest of our native species' (Gray, 1871: 597 598 18),

599

Even where successful, isolated protections achieved little given the mobile lives of 600 ospreys spanned a seasonal, migratory refrain. As early as the 1810s migrating 601 602 ospreys were shot annually in southern counties (Montagu, 1831: 347). The killing of birds on the move evokes recent criticism of 'static' conservation initiatives that fail 603 604 to recognise animal mobilities (see Lulka, 2004; Reinert, 2015). The osprey's existence 605 in Scotland was sustained through a migratory assemblage. Death *en route* was not a discrete event, but affected a delocalised, 'reverberating absence' (Reinert, 2015: 52) 606 607 felt through diminishing returns over following seasons. In autumn, birds travelling south stopped to roost or fish in less-friendly landscapes (see Harvie-Brown, 1896;Dennis, 2008).

610

611 Ospreys 'slipped through the cracks' of legal frameworks intended to protect them 612 (see Srinivasan 2013: 109). The earliest legislation to offer blanket protection to wild 613 birds, introduced in 1880, did little to stem the killing unless local councils granted special protections . However, by 1896 extra protection applied to a handful of UK 614 counties. A leaflet published by the fledgling 'Society for the Protection of Birds' the 615 same year decried this 'patchwork' of legislation as fatally mismatched to avian 616 flight-ways (Harvie-Brown, 1896). Protections fitted to human political boundaries 617 did little for birds running 'a gauntlet of innumerable shotguns' (Kearton, 1899: 61) 618 across a mobile, migratory geography (Lulka, 2004). 619

620

The maintenance of an osprey nesting culture required annual supplies of 'young 621 blood' (Harvie-Brown and MacPherson, 1904: 204). Yet at home, and on passage, the 622 community was diminishing. In the final 12 years of attempted breeding at Loch an 623 Eilein, just five produced young. In both 1888 and 1896, intruding ospreys instigated 624 skirmishes in which the eggs were smashed (and, in 1888, a female was killed) 625 (Cash, 1914: 115). The result was a frayed, precarious existence for birds at the 626 'edge' of extinction (van Dooren, 2014). The last pair to breed at Loch an Eilein did 627 so in 1899, though single ospreys appeared until 1902. Elsewhere, they bred at Loch 628 629 Arkaig until 1910 and Loch Loyne until 1916. A significant and specific form of osprey culture had vanished. 630

631

632 Hauntings of osprey culture

633 Today, absence haunts the nesting geographies of re-colonising Scottish ospreys. 634 Before concluding, I argue that encounters with past osprey culture in the present are both possible and necessary in the context of technoscientific discussions of 635 'genetic rescue' (Heatherington, 2012), rewilding (Lorimer and Dreissen, 2014), and 636 even 'de-extinction' (van Dooren and Rose, 2017). Haunted landscapes evoke the 637 'present-absence' of osprey life, serving to put contemporary avian geographies 'out 638 639 of joint' (see Derrida, 2006). The notion of haunting emphasises the composition of geographies through absence as well as presence (Wylie, 2009). Sites such as those 640 Sutherland lochs encountered by Waterston in the paper's opening – or the ruins at 641 Loch an Eilein (Figure 1) – exhibit 'shadowy density' (Pile, 2005: 142). Their ghosts 642 invite us into counter-histories; transforming, renegotiating and re-evaluating 643 celebrated pasts (Gordon, 2008: 8). Taking osprey culture seriously creates space 644 outside of triumphant conservation narratives to ask: what remains lost when a 645 species *comes back*? 646

647

648 Avian spectres

More-than-human cultural geographies are woven from the affective traces of lived activities, relations and attachments. Such traces outlast the existence of their authors. They are witnessed, amidst the collapse of ecological communities, as animals remain drawn 'to places that no longer exist' (van Dooren, 2014: 66). At 653 locations including Loch Awe and Loch Maree, ornithologist Robert Gray recalls, in 654 the latter half of the nineteenth century, lone ospreys 'hovering in the vicinity of 655 islets where nests were formerly placed' (Gray, 1871: 18-19). Similarly, at Loch an 656 Eilein, single birds appeared for three years following the last successful breeding 657 (Cash, 1914: 157). These ghosts map more-than-human geographies of absence affected by extinction. Osprey site faith manifests as a performative trace of the pan-658 generational work of pairs to invest in and maintain meaningful places. Spectral 659 birds conveyed the futility of such work in Gray's time of writing. They signal that 660 the loss of 'connectivity and mutuality' required to sustain communities often 661 precedes their 'final death' (Rose, 2012: 138). 662

663

Cultural expressions of avian life also haunted encounters with re-colonising 664 ospreys. In 1955, word reached George Waterston in Edinburgh, newly recruited by 665 the RSPB, of the species' potential return. Travelling to Speyside to investigate, his 666 tentative plans, sketched in conversation with local landowners and Nature 667 Conservancy representatives, were guided by an understanding of *past* osprey 668 cultural geographies. He assumed the birds would surely attempt to nest on Loch an 669 Eilein's ruins - the site now home to a large jackdaw colony (Corvus monedula) 670 requiring removal before re-colonisation could occur ('Ospreys at Loch Garten', 2 671 July 1955). Upon their return, however, it became clear the birds had different 672 interests. Failing to breed in 1956 and 1957, eventually a pair settled atop a Scot's 673 674 pine in the marshland south of Loch Garten. Following the robbery of that nest, they colonised another tree northeast of the loch in 1959. There they succeeded in rearing 675

chicks under RSPB guard and their kin continue to return to this day (Dennis, 2008).
As other ospreys began to re-colonize – all tree-nesting – Loch an Eilein remained
empty.

679

680 With jackdaws present, the ruin was a niche closed in ecological terms. Yet the enduring absence of ospreys from all former rock or ruin haunts in north and west 681 Scotland (see Dennis, 1983; Thom, 198: 146) suggests such places are also no longer 682 culturally available. The orientation of contemporary birds to the landscape is 683 different. Today's ospreys are predominantly tree-nesting, like those in Scandinavia 684 from where the current community is believed to have originated (Österlof, 1977: 685 75). These birds exist 'out of line' with the dimensions of a past affective community 686 (Ahmed, 2010: 37). Culturally, they are 'strangers [...] in a familiar land' (Lambert, 687 2011: 169). 688

689

Former sites like Loch an Eilein constitute 'signifiers for the dead' (Haraway, 2016: 690 69). I extend to place this concept developed by Haraway, after science fiction writer 691 Orson Scott Card, to characterise the spectral baggage that accompanies creatures 692 which, having evolved through symbiotic partnership, later find themselves 693 694 abandoned after extinction. She uses the example of an orchid, its flower continuing 695 to imitate the sexual organs of the now-absent bee once pollinating its kin. In a 696 similar vein, writer Connie Barlow discusses 'ecological anachronisms' like the 697 avocado. Characteristically large seeds and thick, oily flesh evoke the ghostly 698 presence of the long-extinct jungle herbivores once facilitating seed dispersal (Barlow, 2000). In the landscape the materiality of a previous osprey affective
ecology outlasts the birds' annihilation. These ruins and rocks, apparently
unrecognisable to contemporary ospreys, can still offer *us* a meaningful encounter
with past avian lives.

00

703

704 Haunted geographies

Appreciating a historical, cultural osprey existence attunes one in potentially 705 transformative ways to contemporary avian lives and landscapes. Annually in the 706 707 UK, the number and range of pairs expands (now 300 - Dennis, 2016, pers. comm.). 708 This growth has been aided by the construction of new nest sites since the 1970s, to which ospreys increasingly adapt (Dennis, 2008; 131-146). Evidence from mainland 709 Europe suggests successfully colonising such structures affects subsequent 710 711 geographical preferences. Young born of platform nests appear predisposed to settle 712 similar sites elsewhere (Henny and Kaiser, 1996). Nearly 40% of ospreys in the Scottish Highlands utilise human (re)constructed platforms over osprey-constructed 713 714 sites (Dennis, 2008: 142). They appear more tolerant of humans and elsewhere show 715 interest in landscape objects like utility pylons (R. Thaxton, 2014 - pers. comm.). 716 Arguably, a 'cultural shift' has occurred (Dennis, 2008: 130). The expansion of 717 conservation involvement with ospreys in the UK over the twentieth century 718 propagates new geographical associations within this re-colonised community, 719 activating new forms of osprey life (Garlick, 2017).

720

Meanwhile, ruins and rocks remain empty. On Speyside, attention to osprey culture unsettles narratives of triumphant return. Since 1959, ospreys have nested within a 15-kilometre flight of Loch an Eilein. They catch their prey at the Rothiemurchus estate fisheries, just four kilometres away (see Lambert, 1999). Such disjointed geographies of presence and absence haunt one another (Pile, 2005). This haunting emphasises qualitative differences in what it means to *be* a Scottish osprey, now and in the past.

728

What does this change mean? Is an absence from rocks and ruins significant? In their discussion of London's declining house sparrows (*Passer domesticus*), Whale and Ginn document the responses of local birders. One interviewee expresses sadness, but not merely at encountering sparrows less frequently. Rather, their rarity means that encounters with these usually convivial birds are themselves different. In the absence of other sparrows, '[s]omething is missing in the very appearance of sparrows themselves' (Whale and Ginn, 2017: 22). This is profoundly unsettling.

736

I likewise find the changes that extinction has wrought for Scottish osprey life unsettling. This is not a wish to wallow in the past or appeal to static concepts of Nature. Neither do I want to neglect the flourishing of today's birds, whose success is cause for celebration. Such nostalgia blinds us to the value of ecologies existing now, despite past destruction (Tsing, 2015). But I do want the loss of nineteenthcentury osprey lives to *matter*. Cultural concern expands and thickens creaturely presence in accounts of extinction and cautions against the arrogant presumption

744 that human innovation can reverse environmental wrongs. Rendering the decline of 745 historical animal culture as a *significant loss* invests it with ethical value (see Butler, 2009). 746

747

748 Understanding ecological existence in terms of shared cultural relations, rather than interchangeable species units serving set 'functions', means recognising that the loss 749 of one set of beings engenders a host of (often unforeseen) communal losses (Smith, 750 2013). What potential cultures - what 'lines of flight' (Deleuze and Guattari, 2013) 751 onto new forms of being - have been foreclosed upon by the eradication of this 752 osprey community? Equally, what alternative futures are now possible, following re-753 colonisation, that were not before? 754 FOT

755

756 Conclusion

This paper has drawn from the work of geography and the environmental 757 humanities to position 'animal culture' - the relationally-constituted, shared 758 orientations of a community of creatures – as a valid object of geographical inquiry. 759 Elaborated here in terms of material, embodied, affective and historically contingent 760 761 relations of perception, niche-building, maintenance, inheritance and site 762 attachment, osprey cultural geographies trace the lives of birds on 'beastly', dwelt 763 terms (after Philo and Wilbert, 2000; Johnston, 2008). I argue the lives of ospreys 764 matter on terms more than their contributions towards overall genetic integrity or species survival. Tracing the geographies of extinction and conservation means 765 766 attending to the differently lived geographies collected under general categories of 'species'. Crafting more nuanced extinction stories stays with the trouble ofbiopolitical conservation, and the (epistemological) violence of essentialist thought.

769

770 Such an argument demands a more speculative historical project, attentive to the 771 assembling of animal agency across sites and relations (Despret, 2013). My paper 772 demonstrates the potential to inject more lively animal presences into what might otherwise persist as primarily anthropocentric historical projects, regaling things 773 *done to* – rather than *with* or *by* – other creatures (see Howell, *forthcoming*). Defining 774 the limits of this project remains an on-going concern. How far might the cultural-775 historical animal geographies proposed here be extended into the past, and what 776 challenges arise when attempting to trace the stories of creatures less expressive of a 777 778 certain 'archival charisma'? More specific to my argument, can more (temporally) distant extinctions be made to matter ethically as those closer to hand? I invite others 779 780 to consider these questions.³

781

Crucially, appreciating the manner in which the Scottish ospreys' cultural extinction haunts contemporary landscapes counters the implicit narrative of conservation's 'molecular turn' (Hennessey, 2015) wherebyoften-distributed members of a species are collected, known and secured in terms of contributions to genetic diversity (Chrulew, 2011). Encounters with genetic material offer promises of technocratic redemption through re-wilding, de-domestication, and de-extinction initiatives. Yet, such narratives too-often require an essentialised animal referent, comprising little

³ I am grateful to an anonymous reviewer for these reflections.

more than a collection of genetic traits and ecological functions; trading on classic
dichotomies that set animal existence apart from collaborative human becomings
(see Jørgensen, 2015).

792

Such thinking is evident in the osprey's story as early as 1949. An article 793 794 summarising the history of the birds in Scotland by naturalist Seton Gordon concluded that whilst their eradication was lamentable, the reader should not fear: 795 'there is no danger of this fine bird disappearing from the face of the earth' (Gordon, 796 1949: 675). Such statements engage this 'fine bird' in terms of its collective 797 population status, rather than the myriad situated forms osprey life actually takes. 798 799 These sentiments resonate with contemporary conservation biopolitics in which threats of extinction are evaluated at the scale of the species-collective. The promise 800 of scientifically-worked atonement goes unchallenged (van Dooren and Rose, 2017). 801 As long as *some creatures exist somewhere* nothing has truly been lost. 802

803

I have shown how telling stories about animal cultures makes the lived specificities 804 of animal presence legible, perceptible and the subject of care. For some conservation 805 biologists, recognising animal culture might mean 806 acknowledging our responsibilities to steward more-than-human 'cultural diversity' and ensure other 807 achieve 'their varied cultural potentials' (McGrew, 2009: 69). As 808 creatures 809 cetologists Hal Whitehead and Luke Rendell note, incorporating culture into existing 810 conservation frameworks challenges the genetic basis upon which wildlife 'stocks' 811 are been safeguarded, or sacrificed (e.g. to meet hunting quotas). For whales,

36

'culture complicates conservation' (Whitehead and Rendell, 2015: 268). It is therefore
vital that more-than-human geographers engage with the arguments around the
existence, character, epistemology and significance of culture beyond humanity.

815

816 The return of the osprey is a story of conservation triumph. The re-colonisation of Britain's skies by native raptors is widely (and rightly) celebrated (Lambert, 2011). 817 However, narratives of success must be read critically. Exploring the meaning of 818 extinction beyond the loss of biological species does not mean abandoning the idea 819 that extinction is irreversible (as some suggest – Smith-Patten et al, 2015). Rather, it is 820 to question what counts as *significant* loss. Given the compatibility of genetic rescue, 821 restoration and rewilding schemes with neoliberal discourse - the fear that relational 822 ontologies of nature render ecology fungible (see J. Lorimer, 2015) - I make this 823 point emphatically. No return is clean, things remain lost. 824

825

826 References

827 Adams W 2004 Against extinction: the story of conservation Earthscan, London

828 Ahmed S 2006 *Queer Phenomenology* Duke University Press, London

Ahmed S 2010 Happy Objects in Gregg M and Seigworth G eds *The Affect Theory Reader* Duke University Press, London 29-51

831 Anderson K 2014 Mind over matter? On decentring the human in Human

832 Geography Cultural Geographies 21(1) 3-18

- 833 Anderson K, Domosh M, Pile S and Thrift N eds 2002 Handbook of cultural geography
- 834 Sage, London
- 835 **Bachelard G** 1993 *The Poetics of Space* Beacon, Boston MA.
- 836 Barlow C 2000 The ghosts of evolution: nonsensical fruit, missing partners, and other
- 837 *ecological anachronisms* Basic Books, New York
- 838 Baxter E and Rintoul L 1953 The Birds of Scotland: Their History, Distribution, and
- 839 *Migration Vol.* 1. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh
- 840 Beattie W 1838 Scotland Illustrated Vol. 2 George Virtue, London
- 841 Benson E 2011 Animal Writes: Historiography, Disciplinarity, and the Animal Trace

842 in Kalof L and Montgomery G eds Making Animal Meaning Michigan State

- 843 University Press, East Lansing MI 3-16
- 844 Biermann C and Mansfield B 2014 Biodiversity, purity, and death: conservation
- biology as biopolitics *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 32 257-73.
- 846 Braverman I 2015 Wild Life: The Institution of Nature Stanford University Press,
 847 London
- 848 **Brown P** 1979 *The Scottish Ospreys: From Extinction to Survival* Heineman, London
- 849 **Buller H** 2014 Animal geographies I *Progress in Human Geography* 38(2) 308-18
- Buller H 2015 Animal geographies II: Methods *Progress in Human Geography* 39(2)
 374-84
- 852 **Butler J** 2009 *Frames of War: When is Life Grieveable?* Verso, New York

- 853 Cameron D 1948 Recollections about the Ospreys at Achnacarry British Birds 36(9)
 854 184
- 855 Cash C 1914 History of the Loch an Eilein Ospreys *The Scottish Naturalist* 31(July)
 856 149-58
- 857 Chrulew M 2011 Managing Love and Death at the Zoo: The Biopolitics of
 858 Endangered Species Preservation Australian Humanities Review 50 137-57
- 859 **Colquhoun J** 1840 *The Moor and the Loch* William Blackwood & Sons, Edinburgh
- 860 Crist E 1999 Images of Animals: Anthropomorphism and the Animal Mind Temple
- 861 University Press, Philadelphia PA
- 862 **Deleuze G and Guattari F** 2013 *A Thousand Plateaus: Capitalism and Schizophrenia*
- 863 Bloomsbury, London
- 864 Dennis R 1983 Population Studies and Conservation of Ospreys in Scotland' in Bird
- 865 D ed Biology and Management of Bald Eagles and Ospreys Harpell Press, Montreal 207-
- 866 14
- 867 **Dennis R** 1991 *Ospreys* Colin Baxter, Lanark
- 868 Dennis R 2008 A Life of Ospreys Whittles Publishing, Caithness
- 869 **Derrida J** 2006 Specters of Marx: The State of the Debt, the Work of Mourning and the New
- 870 International Routledge, London
- 871 Despret V 2004 The Body We Care For: Figures of Anthropo-zoo-genesis *Body &*872 Society 10(2-3) 111-134

- 873 **Despret V** 2006 Sheep Do Have Opinions in Latour B and Weibel P eds Making
- 874 Things Public: Atmospheres of Democracy MIT Press, London 360-9
- 875 **Despret V** 2013 From Secret Agents to Interagency *History and Theory* 52(4) 29-44
- 876 **Despret V** 2016 *What Would Animals Say If We Asked The Right Questions?* University
- 877 of Minesotta Press, London
- 878 Despret V and Meuret M 2016 Cosmoecological sheep and the arts of living on a
- 879 damaged planet Environmental Humanities 8 24-36
- 880 Ellice E 1898 Place-Names in Glengarry and Glenquoich and Their Origin Swan
- 881 Sonnenschein & Co., London
- 882 **Farber P** 1997 Discovering Birds: The Emergence of Ornithology as a Scientific Discipline,
- 883 1760-1850 Johns Hopkins University Press, London
- **Foucault M** 2003 Society must be Defended: Lectures at the Collège de France, 1975-1976
- 885 Penguin, London
- 886 Fredriksen A 2016 Of wildcats and wild cats: Troubling species-based conservation
- in the Anthropocene Environment and Planning D: Society and Space 34(4) 689-705
- Garlick B 2017 Osprey Involvements: Historical animal geographies of extinction and
 return. PhD Thesis, University of Edinburgh
- 890 Gilpin W 1792 Observations on the Picturesque (Vol. 2) R. Blamire, London
- 891 Gordon A 2008 Ghostly Matters: Haunting and the Sociological Imagination University
- 892 of Minnesota Press, London
- 893 Gordon S 1949 'Haunts of the Osprey' Country Life 25 March 1949 674-5

- 894 Grant E 1972 Memoirs of a Highland Lady John Murray, London
- 895 Gray R 1871 The Birds of the West of Scotland Including the Outer Hebrides Thomas
- 896 Murray & Son, Glasgow
- 897 Hansell M 2000 Bird Nests and Construction Behavior Cambridge University Press,
- 898 Cambridge
- 899 Haraway D 2008 When species meet University of Minnesota Press, London
- 900 Haraway D 2016 Staying with the trouble: Making kin in the Chthulucene Duke
- 901 University Press, London
- 902 Hardey J, Crick H, Wernham C, Riley H, Etheridge B and Thompson D (2009)
- 903 *Raptors A Field Guide for Surveys and Monitoring (3rd Ed)* Stationary Office, Edinburgh
- 904 Harvie-Brown J 1896 No.6 Osprey The Society for the Protection of Birds Educational
 905 Series, 1896-1898
- 906 Harvie-Brown J and Buckley T 1887 A Vertebrate Fauna of Sutherland, Caithness, and
 907 West Cromarty David Douglas, Edinburgh.
- 908 Harvie-Brown J and MacPherson H 1904 A Fauna of the North-West Highlands and
 909 Skye David Douglas, Edinburgh
- 910 Heatherington T 2012 From Ecocide to Genetic Rescue: Can Technoscience Save the
- 911 Wild? in Sodikoff G ed The Anthropology of Extinction: Essays on Culture and Species
- 912 Death Indiana University Press, Indianapolis 39-66
- 913 Heise U 2010 Lost Dogs, Last Birds, and Listed Species: Cultures of Extinction
- 914 *Configurations* 18(1-2) 49-72

- 915 Hennessy E 2015 The Molecular Turn in Conservation: Genetics, Pristine Nature,
- 916 and the Rediscovery of an Extinct Species of Galapagos Giant Tortoise Annals of the
- 917 Association of American Geographers 105(1) 87-104
- 918 Henny C and Kaiser J 1996 Osprey Population Increase along the Willamette River,
- 919 Oregon, and the Role of Utility Structures, 1976-93 in Bird D, Varland D and Negro
- 920 J eds Raptors in Human Landscapes Academic Press, London 97-108
- 921 Hinchliffe S 2007 Geographies of nature Sage, London
- 922 Hird M 2010 Meeting with the microcosmos Environment and Planning D: Society and
- 923 Space 28 36-8
- 924 Hodgetts T 2017 Wildlife conservation, multiple biopolitics and animal
 925 subjectification: Three mammals' tales *Geoforum* 79 17-25
- 926 Hodgetts T and Lorimer J 2015 Methodologies for animals' geographies: cultures,
- 927 communication and genomics *Cultural Geographies* 22(2) 285-95
- 928 Hovorka A 2017 Animal geographies II: Hybrizing Progress in Human Geography
- 929 Early access, doi: 0309132517699924
- Howell P *forthcoming* Animals, agency and history in Kean H and Howell P eds *The*Routledge Companion to Animal-Human History Routledge, Abingdon.
- 932 Hustak C and Myers N 2012 Involutionary Momentum: Affective Ecologies and the
- 933 Sciences of Plant/Insect Encounters *differences* 25(3) 74-118
- 934 Ingold T ed 1994 What is an animal? Routledge, London.

- 935 Ingold T 2000 The Perception of the Environment: Essays on Livelihood, Dwelling and
 936 Skill Routledge, London
- 937 **IUCN** 2012 IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria Version 3.3 (2nd Ed) IUCN, Gland,
- 938 Switzerland.
- 939 Jardine W 1838 The Naturalist's Library, Ornithology Vol. IX, Birds of Great Britain and
- 940 Ireland Part 1: Birds of Prey W.H. Lizars, Edinburgh
- 941 Johnston C 2008 Beyond the clearing: towards a dwelt animal geography Progress in
- 942 Human Geography 32(5) 633-49
- 943 Jørgensen D 2015 Rethinking rewilding Geoforum 65 482-8
- 944 **Kearton R** 1899 *Our Rarer British Birds: Their Nests, Eggs and Summer Haunts* Cassell
- 945 and Company, London
- 946 **Kirsch S** 2014 Cultural geography II: Cultures of nature (and technology) *Progress in*
- 947 Human Geography 38(5) 691-702
- 948 Kitchener A 1998 Extinctions, Introductions and Colonisations of Scottish Mammals
- 949 and Birds since the Last Ice Age in Lambert R ed Species History in Scotland:
- 950 *Introductions and Extinctions since the Ice Age* Scottish Cultural Press, Edinburgh 63-92
- 951 **Kolbert E** 2014 *The sixth extinction: an unnatural history* Bloomsbury, London
- 952 Laland K and Galef B 2009 Introduction in Laland K and Galef B eds The Question
- 953 of Animal Culture Harvard University Press, London 1-18
- Laland K, Matthews B and Feldman M 2016 An introduction to the niche
 construction theory *Evolutionary Ecology* 30 191-202

- 956 Lambert R 1999 In Search of Wilderness, Nature and Sport: The Visitor to
 957 Rothiemurchus, 1780-2000 in Smout C and Lambert R eds *Rothiemurchus: Nature and*
- 958 *People on a Highland Estate, 1500-2000.* Scottish Cultural Press, Edinburgh. 32-59.
- 959 Lambert R 2001 Contested Mountains: Nature, Development and Environment in the
- 960 Cairngorms Region of Scotland, 1880-1980. White Horse, Cambridge
- 961 Lambert R 2011 Strangers in a Familiar Land: The Return of the Native 'Aliens' and
- 962 the Re-Wilding of Britain's Skies, 1850-2010 in Rotherham D and Lambert R eds
- 963 Invasive and Introduced Plants and Animals: Human Perceptions, Attitudes and
- 964 Approaches to Management Earthscan, London 169-84
- 965 Lestel D 2002 The biosemiotics and phylogenesis of culture *Biology and social life*966 41(1) 35-68
- 967 Lestel D 2011 What Capabilities for the Animal? Biosemiotics 4 83-102
- 968 Lestel D 2014a Hybrid Communities Angelaki 19(3) 61-73
- 969 Lestel D 2014b Dissolving Nature in Culture Angelaki 19(3) 93-110
- 970 Lestel D, Bussolini J and Chrulew M 2014 The Phenomenology of Animal Life
 971 Environmental Humanities 5 125-48
- 972 'Loch-an-Eilan and its Ospreys' The Scotsman 9 June 1879 Accessed via the Scotsman
- 973 Digital Archives, 25/2/16, BL0000540.18790609.119.0005
- 974 Lorimer H 2005 Cultural geography: the busyness of being more-than-
- 975 representational *Progress in Human Geography* 29(1) 83-94

976 Lorimer H 2006 Herding memories of humans and animals *Environment and*977 *Planning D: Society and Space* 24 497-518

Provide Provi

- 981 Lorimer J 2006 What about the nematodes? Taxonomic partialities in the scope of
 982 UK biodiversity conservation *Social and Cultural Geography* 7(4) 539-58
- 983 Lorimer J 2015 Wildlife in the Anthropocene: conservation after nature University of
- 984 Minnesota Press, London
- 985 Lorimer J and Driessen C 2014 Wild experiments at the Oostvaardersplassen:
- 986 rethinking environmentalism in the Anthropocene Transactions of the Institute of

987 British Geographers 39(2) 169-81

- 988 Lorimer J, Hodgetts T and Barua M 2017 Animal's atmospheres *Progress in Human*
- 989 *Geography* Early access, doi: 10.1177/0309132517731254
- 990 Lovegrove R 2007 Silent Fields: The long decline of a nation's wildlife Oxford University
 991 Press, Oxford
- **Lulka D** 2004 Stabilizing the herd: fixing the identity of nonhumans *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 22(3) 439-63
- 994 Lulka D 2009 The Residual Humanism of Hybridity: Retaining a Sense of the Earth
- 995 Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers 34(3) 378-93
- 996 MacCulloch J 1824 The Highlands and Western Isles of Scotland Longman & Co.,
- 997 London

998 Makrill T, Appleton T and McIntyre H 2012 The Rutland Water Ospreys Bloomsbury,

- 999 London.
- 1000 Massey D 2005 For space Sage, London
- 1001 Mayr E 1996 What Is a Species, and What Is Not? Philosophy of Science 63(2) 262-77
- 1002 McGhie H 1999 Persecution of birds of prey in north Scotland as evidenced by
- 1003 taxidermists' stuffing books Scottish Birds 20(2) 98–110
- 1004 McGowan R 2009 The decline of the Scottish Ospreys: who was to blame? Scottish
- 1005 Birds 29(1) 55-8
- 1006 McGrew W 2009 Ten Dispatches from the Chimpanzee Culture Wars, plus
- 1007 postscript (revisiting the battlefronts) in Laland K and Galef B eds *The Question of*
- 1008 Animal Culture Harvard University Press, London 41-69
- 1009 Meyburg B-U, Manowsky O and Meyburg C 1996 The Osprey in Germany: Its
- 1010 Adaption to Environments Altered by Man in **Bird D**, **Varland D** and **Negro J** eds
- 1011 Raptors in Human Landscapes: Adaptions to Built and Cultivated Environments Academic
- 1012 Press, London 125-35
- Midgely M 1988 Beasts, Brutes and Monsters in Ingold T ed What is an animal?
 Routledge, London 35-46
- 1015 Mitchell A 2016 Beyond Biodiversity and Species: Problematizing Extinction *Theory*,
 1016 *Culture and Society* 33(5) 23-42
- 1017 **Montagu G** 1831 *The Dictionary of Ornithology (2nd Ed.)* Hirst, Chance & Co., London.
- 1018 Newton I 1979 Population Ecology of Raptors T&A Poyser, Birkhamsted

- 1019 'Ospreys at Loch Morlich' 2 July 1955 Letter from George Waterston to Peter
- 1020 Conder, RSPB Archives, Sandy Classmark 01.05.709.
- 1021 Österlof S 1977 Migration, Wintering Areas, and Site Tenacity of the European
- 1022 Osprey Pandion h. haliaetus (L.) Ornis Scandinavica 8(1) 61-78
- 1023 Pearson W 1987 The Osprey Oriel Stringer, Brighton
- 1024 Pennant T 1771 A Tour In Scotland John Monk, Chester
- 1025 Philo C and Wilbert C 2000 Animal spaces, beastly places: an introduction in Philo
- 1026 C and Wilbert C eds Animal spaces, beastly places: new geographies of animal-human
- 1027 relations Routledge, London 1-34
- 1028 Pile S 2005 Real Cities: Modernity, Space and the Phantasmagorias of City Life Sage,
- 1029 London
- 1030 **Poole A** 1989 Ospreys: A Natural and Unnatural History Cambridge University Press,
- 1031 Cambridge
- 1032 **Pooley S** 2015 Endangered *Environmental Humanities* 7(1) 259-63
- 1033 **Pringle T** 1989 The privation of history: Landseer Victoria and the Highland myth in
- 1034 Cosgrove D and Daniels S eds *The Iconography of Landscape* Cambridge University
 1035 Press, Cambridge 142-61
- 1036 **Reinert H** 2013 The Care of Migrants: Telemetry and the Fragile Wild *Environmental*
- 1037 *Humanities* 3 1-24

- 1038 Reinert H 2015 'The Landscape Concept as Rupture: Extinction and Perspective in a
- 1039 Norwegian Fjord in Sooväli-Sepping H, Reinert H and Miles-Watson J eds
- 1040 Ruptured Landscape: Landscape, Identity and Social Change Springer, London 41-54
- 1041 **Ritchie J** 1920 The Influence of Man on Animal Life in Scotland Cambridge University
- 1042 Press, London
- 1043 **Rose DB** 2012 Multispecies knots of ethical time *Environmental Philosophy* 9(1) 127-40
- 1044 Rose DB, van Dooren T and Chrulew M eds 2017 Extinction Studies: Stories of Time,
- 1045 Death and Generations Colombia University Press, New York
- 1046 Selby P 1836 On the Quadrupeds and Birds inhabiting the County of Sutherland,
- 1047 observed there during an Excursion in the Summer of 1834 Part 2 *Edinburgh New*1048 *Philosophical Journal* 20(April 1836) 286-95
- 1049 Simpson W 1937 Lochaneilean Castle, Invernesshire Antiquarian's Journal 17 56-62
- 1050 Smith M 2013 Ecological community, the sense of the world, and senseless
 1051 extinction *Environmental Humanities* 2(1) 21-41
- Smith-Patten B, Bridge E, Crawford P, Hough D, Kelly J and Patten M 2015 Is
 extinction forever? *Public Understandings of Science* 24(4) 481-95
- 1054 Srinivasan K 2013 The biopolitics of animal being and welfare: dog control and care
- 1055 in the UK and India Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers 38(1) 106-19
- 1056 Srinivasan K 2014 Caring for the collective: biopower and agential subjectification in
- 1057 wildlife conservation Environment and Planning D: Society and Space 32 501-17

- 1058 St John C 1863 Natural History and Sport in Moray: Collected from the Journals and
- 1059 *Letters of the Charles St John* Edmonston and Douglas, Edinburgh
- 1060 **St John C** 1884 *A Tour in Sutherland with Extracts from the Field Books of a Sportsman*
- 1061 *and a Naturalist, Vol.* 1 (2ndEd.) David Douglas, Edinburgh
- 1062 Steinberg M 2010 Avifaunal Research and Geographical Perspectives Geographical
- 1063 *Review* 100(2) i-iii
- 1064 Stewart K 2011 Atmospheric attunements Environment and Planning D: Society and
- 1065 Space 29(3) 445-53
- 1066 Thom V 1986 Birds in Scotland T&AD Poyser, Edinburgh
- 1067 **Tsing A** 2015 *The Mushroom at the End of the World: On the possibility of life in Capitalist*
- 1068 *Ruin* Princeton University Press, Oxford
- 1069 van Dooren T 2010 Pain of extinction: The death of a vulture *Cultural Studies*1070 *Review* 16(2) 271-89
- 1071 van Dooren T 2014 Flight Ways: Life and Loss at the Edge of Extinction Colombia
- 1072 University Press, New York
- 1073 van Dooren T 2016 Authentic crows: Identity, captivity and emergent forms of
 1074 life *Theory*, *Culture & Society* 33(2) 29-52
- 1075 van Dooren T and Rose DB 2012 Storied-places in a multispecies city *Humanimalia*1076 3(2) 1-27
- 1077 van Dooren T and Rose DB 2017 Keeping Faith with the Dead: Mourning and De-
- 1078 extinction Australian Zoologist 38(3) 375-8

- 1079 Van Patter L and Hovorka A 2018 'Of place' or 'of people': exploring the animal
 1080 spaces and beastly places of feral cats in southern Ontario *Social & Cultural Geography*1081 19(2) 275-95
- 1082 Waterston G 1962 The Natural History of the Osprey in Brown P and Waterston G
- 1083 eds The Return of the Osprey Collins, London 65-160
- 1084 Waterton C, Ellis R and Wynne B 2013 Barcoding Nature: Shifting Cultures of
- 1085 Taxonomy in an Age of Biodiversity Loss Routledge, Abingdon
- 1086 Whale H and Ginn F 2017 In the Absence of Sparrows in Cunsolo A and Landman
- 1087 **K** eds *Mourning Nature: Hope at the Heart of Ecological Loss and Grief* McGill University
- 1088 Press, London 92-116
- 1089 Whatmore S 2002 Hybrid Geographies Sage, London
- 1090 Whatmore S 2006 Materialist returns: practising cultural geography in and for a
- 1091 more-than-human world *cultural geographies* 13(4) 600-9
- 1092 Whatmore S and Thorne L 2000 Elephants on the move: spatial formations of
- 1093 wildlife exchange Environment and Planning D: Society and Space 18 185-203
- 1094 Whitehead H and Rendell L 2015 The Cultural Lives of Whales and Dolphins
- 1095 University of Chicago Press, London
- 1096 Wilson J 2007 Tales and Travels of a School Inspector Birlinn, Edinburgh
- 1097 Wolley J and Newton A (1864) Ootheca Wolleyana: An Illustrated Catalogue of the
- 1098 Collection of Birds' Eggs Formed by the Late John Wolley (Part 1: Accipitres) John Van
- 1099 Voorst, London

- 1100 Woodward K, Jones J and Marston S 2010 Of eagles and flies: orientations toward
- 1101 the site *Area* 42(3) 271-80
- Wright S 2015 More-than-human, emergent belongings: A weak theory
 approach *Progress in Human Geography* 39(4) 391-411
- 1104 Wylie J 2009 Landscape, absence and the geographies of love Transactions of the
- 1105 Institute of British Geographers 34(3) 275-89
- 1106 Yarrell W 1871 A History of British Birds Vol. 1 (4th Ed) John Van Voorst, London
- 1107 Yusoff K 2012 Aesthetics of loss: biodiversity, banal violence and biotic subjects
- 1108 Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers 37 576-92

1109 Acknowledgements

I am grateful to the editorial team at Transactions ¬- particularly Simon Naylor and Fiona Nash – and to three anonymous reviewers for their constructive and generous feedback. My thanks to Lucy Schofield, for producing the map included as Figure 1; and to both Phil Howell and Thom van Dooren, for sharing draft chapters of (then) forthcoming work during the writing process. I am indebted to Pauline Couper, Phil Dodds, Louise Fellows, Eric Laurier and Fraser MacDonald for their comments and wisdom at various stages of drafting.