

Est.
1841

YORK
ST JOHN
UNIVERSITY

Dunstan, David, Dogra, Shilpa, Carter, Sophie ORCID logo ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2815-7360> and Owen, Neville (2021) Sit less and move more for cardiovascular health: emerging insights and opportunities. *Nature Reviews Cardiology*, 18. pp. 637-648.

Downloaded from: <https://ray.yorks.ac.uk/id/eprint/5243/>

The version presented here may differ from the published version or version of record. If you intend to cite from the work you are advised to consult the publisher's version:
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41569-021-00547-y>

Research at York St John (RaY) is an institutional repository. It supports the principles of open access by making the research outputs of the University available in digital form. Copyright of the items stored in RaY reside with the authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full text items free of charge, and may download a copy for private study or non-commercial research. For further reuse terms, see licence terms governing individual outputs. [Institutional Repository Policy Statement](#)

RaY

Research at the University of York St John

For more information please contact RaY at ray@yorks.ac.uk

Sit less and move more for cardiovascular health: emerging insights and opportunities

David W. Dunstan ^{1,2†}, Shilpa Dogra ³, Sophie E. Carter ⁴ and Neville Owen ^{5,6}

¹ Physical Activity Laboratory, Baker Heart and Diabetes Institute, Victoria, Australia.

² Behaviour, Environment and Cognition Research Program, Mary MacKillop Institute for Health Research, Australian Catholic University, Victoria, Australia.

³ Faculty of Health Sciences (Kinesiology), University of Ontario Institute of Technology, Ontario, Canada.

⁴ School of Science, Technology and Health, York St John University, York, UK.

⁵ Behavioural Epidemiology Laboratory, Baker Heart and Diabetes Institute, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.

⁶ Centre for Urban Transitions, Swinburne University, Melbourne, Australia.

†e-mail: david.dunstan@baker.edu.au

Abstract | Sedentary behaviour — put simply, too much sitting as distinct from too little exercise — is a novel determinant of cardiovascular risk. This definition provides a perspective that is complementary to the well-understood detrimental effects of physical inactivity. Sitting occupies a majority of the daily waking hours in most adults and has become even more pervasive owing to the COVID-19 pandemic. Potential for a broad cardiovascular health benefit exists through an integrated approach that involves ‘sitting less and moving more’. In this Review, we first consider observational and experimental evidence on the adverse effects of prolonged uninterrupted sitting and the evidence identifying possible mechanisms underlying the associated risk. We summarise the results of randomized controlled trials demonstrating the feasibility of changing sedentary behaviour. We also highlight evidence on the deleterious synergies of sedentary behaviour and physical inactivity as the underpinnings of our case for addressing them jointly in mitigating cardiovascular risk. This integrated approach should not only reduce the specific risks of too much sitting, but also have a positive effect on the total amount of physical activity, with the potential to benefit more broadly the health of individuals living with or at risk of developing cardiovascular disease.

[H1] Introduction

Sedentary behaviours [G] that typically involve long periods of **sitting [G]** during waking hours might have physiologically distinct consequences than those of a lack of moderate-to-vigorous intensity **physical activity [G]**, often referred to as **exercise [G]**). Many adults spend more than half of their waking hours sitting, and this pattern has been further amplified by the COVID-19 pandemic ¹. In this Review, we make a case for an approach to preventing and managing cardiovascular disease that involves ‘sitting less and moving more’. This approach can build on the well-established role of exercise in cardiovascular disease prevention and rehabilitation. Observational and experimental evidence on the likely cardiovascular health benefits of reducing and regularly interrupting sitting time are the basis of this approach, as well as an emerging understanding of the biological mechanisms that point to a rational basis for doing so.

Physical inactivity [G], defined as a level of activity that is insufficient to meet current **physical activity guidelines [G]** ², has long been known to be a major contributor to the risk of cardiovascular disease. Physical activity levels are the lowest among older adults (≥ 65 years), who are also at the highest risk of cardiovascular disease, compared with all other age groups ³. New ways to understand and influence the health risks of physical inactivity are now emerging. Lack of regular physical activity and large amounts of time spent in sedentary behaviours (defined as any waking behaviour characterised by an energy expenditure < 1.5 times the basal metabolic rate (that is, 1.5 **metabolic equivalents of task [G]** (METs)), while in a sitting, **lying [G]** or **reclining [G]** posture) ² can have both distinct and interrelated influences on the cardiovascular risk. Furthermore, adults can meet or exceed public health guidelines for physical activity, but also spend most of their waking hours sitting (Fig. 1).

Particularly informative insights have come from advances in **device-based measurement [G]** of physical activity. Over the past seven decades of physical activity and health research, the observational studies and intervention trials relied on participant reports of exposures and outcomes. Measurement error was a widely-recognized problem, limiting what could be inferred from the research findings. Technological advances have overcome many of the inherent limitations of the previous generation of studies and the more precise measurements available are delivering new research insights. Small,

wearable, research-grade devices (accelerometers) are now able to provide data across an entire day (Fig. 2). Findings from studies that used device-based measurement capacities have provided a more precise and comprehensive perspective than self-reported measures of the total spectrum of activity through identifying the large amounts of time that most adults spend sitting, in light-intensity activity (both of which were poorly characterized by self-report measures); and, in moderate-intensity and vigorous-intensity physical activity.

Of note, device-based measures have reaffirmed that high levels of sedentary behaviour are unfavourably associated with overall physical activity levels⁴. Specifically, a strong inverse relationship exists between sedentary behaviour and **total physical activity [G]**, with the strongest associations observed for **light-intensity physical activity [G]**⁴. This inverse relationship highlights a fundamental principle that any time spent in sedentary behaviour displaces time spent in total physical activity. The effects of the COVID-19 pandemic have amplified the importance of addressing the balance between sedentary behaviour and physical activity. For example, early evidence suggests that risk mitigation strategies such as social distancing and ‘stay at home’ orders have resulted in large reductions in physical activity and substantial increases in sedentary time, particularly among individuals who were previously physically active^{5,6} (Fig. 2a). The extraordinary challenges and remaining uncertainties imposed by the global COVID-19 health crisis have the potential to escalate further the pandemic of physical inactivity and sedentary behaviour (Fig. 2a). Therefore, we have a strong imperative, perhaps more than ever, to find ways to create a healthier balance through sitting less and moving more^{1,7}.

Figure 2b shows examples of daily patterns of sitting time and movement assessed by an activity monitor device and illustrates how these device-based measurement capacities can provide new perspectives through more powerful and finer-resolution lenses. These devices have considerably sharpened the scientific focus that can help to characterize daily activity with higher degrees of precision, particularly with new insights into the under-recognized role of **sedentary behaviour [G]** (not only the total time spent sitting but also the patterns of sitting time, including brief, physically active interruptions).

[H1] Observational evidence

Understanding the health risks of sitting has consolidated rapidly over the past two decades in a broad body of scientific findings⁸. Sedentary behaviour is now identified explicitly in new clinical and public-health guidelines on reducing sitting time, in addition to increasing physical activity and exercise⁹⁻¹¹. Notably, the first recommendation of the 2018 US Physical Activity Guidelines for adults (18–64 years) and older adults (≥ 65 years) emphasizes the promotion of sitting less and moving more for all, and that doing some physical activity is better than none; whilst those who sit less and do any amount of moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity gain some health benefits¹⁰. Below, we elaborate on this evidence and highlight the population health implications of excessive sitting for cardiovascular outcomes.

Population-based studies using self-report measures suggest that daily sitting time in adults can typically range between 5 h to 8 h¹²⁻¹⁴. Examination of trends over the past 10 years suggests that self-reported sedentary time has increased by around 1 h per day^{15,16}. In the USA, findings from the NHANES study revealed that, on the basis of **self-reported measures [G]**, the proportion of adults who do not adhere to physical activity guidelines and sit for >6 h per day increased from 16.1% in 2007–2008 to 18.8% in 2015–2016¹⁵. These findings are plausible and accord with common sense observations of social, technological and other changes currently influencing the lifestyle of large numbers of adults. However, device-based estimates from population studies and large cohorts show that mean daily sedentary time in adults might actually be much higher than those previous estimates that were based on self-reports, and indeed could be in the range of 7.7 h to 11.5 h per day¹⁷⁻¹⁹. This increased sitting time has substantial implications for cardiovascular risk.

Findings from numerous observational studies using device-based measurement have provided important insights into the health consequences of these large volumes of sitting time. For example, in a geographically diverse, biracial US sample of middle-aged and older adults (≥ 45 years), device-measured **total sedentary time [G]** and prolonged uninterrupted sedentary bouts were both associated with increased risk of all-cause death, after taking into account the influence of physical activity²⁰. Further examination of these data modelled the influence of replacing sedentary time with more active time²¹. This analysis identified a substantial reduction in all-cause mortality in less active adults in the study but not among those who were more active (who engaged in a total of 3.5 h per day

of light-intensity and **moderate-intensity physical activity [G]**). Concordant findings emerged from the study of older women (mean age 79 years) participating in the Women's Health Initiative (a racially and ethnically diverse study subcohort aged 63–97 years). Both high sedentary time and longer mean sedentary bout durations were associated with the risk of cardiovascular disease in a dose–response manner. This association remained after accounting for health status, physical function and cardiovascular disease risk factors, including moderate-to-vigorous physical activity²². A further examination of this cohort found a relationship between sedentary time and the prevalence of diabetes mellitus²³. Together, this set of prospective epidemiological findings that are based on device-derived measures emphasize the importance of less time spent in sedentary behaviours and of shorter sedentary bouts in those aged 45 years and older.

Despite the effects of sedentary behaviour on total physical activity levels, studies in young (mean age 22 years) and older adults (mean age 64 years) have shown that device-measured sedentary time is inversely associated with measures of cardiorespiratory fitness and functional fitness, even after adjusting for time spent in moderate-to-vigorous intensity activity^{24,25}, suggesting that the health risks associated with sedentary behaviour might be attenuated by increasing fitness levels. Furthermore, evidence from the Danish Health Examination Survey indicates that the influence of self-reported sitting time on cardiorespiratory fitness is most pronounced in individuals with low levels of physical activity²⁶. Epidemiological evidence suggests that cardiorespiratory fitness moderates the association of sedentary behaviour with cardiometabolic risk factors, such that weaker associations are observed in individuals with higher fitness levels^{27,28}. Only high levels of cardiorespiratory fitness (>43.3 ml per kg per min in men and >35.2 ml per kg per min in women) seem to mitigate fully the deleterious associations of high levels of sitting time and cardiometabolic risk²⁷. Although an increase in fitness levels might lead to greater improvements in cardiovascular risk factors, the activity levels required to achieve this improvement are high. Furthermore, frequent, brief physically active **interruptions to sedentary time [G]** have the potential to lead to improved fitness and health.

The evidence described above is part of a broader body of work reported over the past decade, with accumulating, highly-informative evidence from prospective

epidemiological studies documenting that long periods of time spent in sedentary behaviour can lead to adverse health outcomes — particularly for cardiovascular disease. The comprehensive review undertaken by the 2018 Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee for the second edition of the *Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans* has been pivotal in synthesizing the evidence in terms of the relationships between sedentary behaviour (at this point in time largely from studies using self-report measures) and health outcomes in adults²⁹.

Table 1 summarizes the findings from the Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee that are relevant to cardiovascular disease. The main conclusion is that strong evidence is available to support that exposure to high volumes of sitting time significantly increases the risk of all-cause and cardiovascular death and the incidence of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Furthermore, findings from a systematic review and harmonized meta-analysis of accelerometer-assessed sedentary time showed that higher amounts of sedentary time are associated with increased risk of all-cause death¹⁷. Conversely, higher levels of total physical activity— regardless of intensity level — are associated with a lower risk of all-cause death¹⁷. Across increasing quartiles of sedentary time (relative to the first quartile — median range: 371-519 min per day), the hazard ratios for all-cause mortality were 1.28, 1.71 and 2.63, for the second quartile (469–588 min per day), the third quartile (542–639 min per day) and fourth quartile (624-705 min per day) respectively. Relative to the first quartile of total physical activity (53–196 counts per min (CPM)), those hazard ratios were 0.48, 0.34 and 0.27 for the second quartile (134-291 CPM), third quartile (199-371 CPM) and fourth quartile (304-522 CPM) respectively¹⁷.

Further insights from studies using isotemporal modelling approaches indicate that replacing bouts of sitting time (30–60 mins) with either light or moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity is beneficially associated with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality and cardiometabolic risk markers, particularly among less active adults³⁰⁻³³. Consistently, stronger associations are seen when sitting time is replaced by moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity. This association has been confirmed in later studies using device-based measures in older populations aged 70 years and older^{34,35}. For example, in a study of >3,000 older men and women, every 30-min increment per day in light-intensity activity or moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity was associated

with an 11% and 36% decrease in the risk of cardiovascular disease or all-cause death, respectively. By contrast, every 1 h per day increment in sedentary time increased the risk of these outcomes by 33%³⁴.

[H1] Mechanisms of sitting-related risk

Biological systems related to the adverse health consequences of physical inactivity have been reviewed extensively³⁶, but less is known about the pathways that underlie the risks of too much sitting. Experimental evidence is beginning to accumulate that elucidates some of the critical biological associations of sitting time with decreased cardiovascular health. Laboratory studies with healthy and unhealthy adults have identified experimentally the effect of prolonged periods of sitting, with and without brief, physically active interruptions, on cardiovascular risk factors. The scientific rationale of these experimental approaches is underpinned by the crucial principle that, by definition, physical activity (that is, any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that requires energy expenditure) must be the countermeasure to sitting during waking hours. The relevant pathways are multifaceted, function across major biological systems and interact to increase the overall risk of cardiovascular disease (Fig. 3).

[H2] Vascular function

Vascular function is affected during prolonged periods of sitting, particularly in the lower limbs³⁷ (Fig. 3). A meta-analysis of 17 studies showed that prolonged sitting led to an acute impairment of vascular function, as measured by flow-mediated dilation (standardized mean difference (SMD) = -0.84)³⁸. By contrast, breaking up prolonged periods of sitting with physically active interruptions significantly improved lower-limb vascular function (SMD = 0.57)³⁸. Reductions in blood flow and shear stress have been attributed to acute, sitting-induced vascular dysfunction. Indeed, lower blood flow and shear stress decrease nitric oxide availability and increase the production of vasoconstrictors, such as endothelin 1, that impair vascular function³⁹. Evidence to support these mechanisms comes from trials of interventions that attenuate the reduction in blood flow and shear stress during sitting via lower-limb heating⁴⁰, increasing metabolic flow via fidgeting⁴¹ or introducing regular activity breaks^{42,43}. All interventions preserved vascular function.

The mechanisms underlying the reduction in blood flow and shear stress during sitting are probably multifaceted. The diminished muscular activity when sitting, particularly in the large, lower-limb weight-bearing muscles and the subsequent reduction in energy demand leads to decreased peripheral blood flow, resulting in reduced shear stress⁴⁴. Additionally, decreases in blood flow and shear stress might relate to prolonged gravitational forces increasing the hydrostatic pressure within the lower limbs, a mechanism supported by observations of increased calf circumference after prolonged sitting, which indicates venous pooling⁴⁵. Sitting-induced increases in muscle sympathetic nerve activity⁴⁶ and blood viscosity⁴⁷ might also contribute to altered blood flow and shear stress.

[H2] Blood pressure

The reduction in metabolic demand and blood flow during prolonged sitting is likely to contribute to acute increases in blood pressure⁴⁸, with several, but not all⁴⁹, studies reporting reductions in blood pressure when sitting time is interrupted by regular brief bouts of physical activity⁵⁰⁻⁵² (Fig. 3). The magnitude of the effect of prolonged sitting in increasing blood pressure, or the blood pressure-lowering effect of regular physically active interruptions, seems to be greater in individuals with existing cardiovascular disease risk factors, such as obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus⁴⁸. The lower metabolic demand of sitting, coupled with reduced levels of vasodilatory metabolites, might lead to vasoconstriction in inactive muscles and, consequently, to increased peripheral resistance and mean arterial pressure⁴⁸. However, these mechanisms underlying the blood-pressure lowering effects of interrupting sitting time remain hypothetical, given the current lack of relevant experimental evidence.

Elevated sympathetic nervous system activity might also contribute to acute increases in blood pressure during prolonged sitting⁴⁸. In patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, prolonged sitting increased plasma noradrenaline levels, with a concurrent increase in blood pressure; interrupting sitting with regular brief bouts of physical activity resulted in blood pressure reductions⁵⁰. These blood pressure variations might be caused by changes in total peripheral resistance, owing to the vasoconstricting influence of noradrenaline⁵³.

In the blood pressure context, the biomechanics of sitting itself might increase the risk of cardiovascular disease. Sitting causes bending and angulation of lower-limb arteries owing to hip and knee flexion, which in addition to contributing to decreased blood flow, can also induce turbulent blood flow and shear stress patterns⁴⁴ (Fig. 3). Importantly, low and oscillatory shear stress can increase oxidative stress and decrease vascular function⁵⁴. Consistent with this perspective, blood flow and shear stress can be lower when lying supine with a bent leg compared with a straight leg⁴⁴. Under these experimental conditions, only the prolonged leg bending resulted in an impairment in vascular function⁴⁴. Furthermore, 3 h of standing, thereby avoiding arterial bending in the legs, can preserve leg vascular function compared with prolonged sitting⁵⁵. Arterial angulation during sitting might also increase peripheral vascular resistance, contributing to sitting-induced elevations in blood pressure⁴⁸.

[H2] Blood glucose levels

Postprandial glucose, insulin and triacylglycerol levels in blood are acutely elevated after periods of prolonged sitting (Fig. 3), which might also contribute to the previously described effects of sitting on vascular function because insulin resistance and hyperglycaemia are associated with vascular dysfunction⁵⁶. This sitting-induced metabolic dysfunction is attenuated by regular interruptions with physical activity⁵⁷. A meta-analysis of 37 studies showed that regular interruptions with physical activity during prolonged sitting had a significant beneficial effect in acutely reducing glucose (SMD = -0.54) and insulin (SMD = -0.56) levels compared with continuous sitting⁵⁷. Furthermore, individuals at higher risk of cardiovascular disease (physically inactive, type 2 diabetes mellitus and impaired fasting glucose) had greater reductions in glucose levels (SMD = -0.62) with regular active interruptions. Although most studies have investigated the acute changes in glycaemic control during a single day of sitting, with or without brief, physically active interruptions, some studies have shown that the improved glycaemic regulation induced by regular active interruptions to sitting persists overnight^{58,59}.

The primary mechanism potentially explaining the influence of sitting on glucose metabolism relates to glucose uptake by skeletal muscle via insulin-mediated and

contraction-mediated pathways⁶⁰. Both pathways result in glucose transporter 4 translocation to the plasma membrane, facilitating glucose uptake and thereby reducing blood glucose levels. Experimental evidence from skeletal muscle biopsy samples has shown that interrupting prolonged sitting with regular active bouts for 1 day or 3 days increased the expression of proteins involved in both pathways compared with 1 day or 3 days of uninterrupted sitting⁶⁰. Furthermore, physically active interruptions during prolonged sitting lead to increased expression in skeletal muscle of genes related to the regulation of carbohydrate metabolism compared with uninterrupted sitting⁶¹. Therefore, frequent muscular contractions resulting from physically active interruptions in prolonged sitting might promote increased muscle cell glucose uptake, via increased glucose transporter 4 expression.

Regular, physically active interruptions during prolonged sitting had a small significant beneficial effect in acutely reducing triacylglycerol levels (SMD = -0.26) compared with uninterrupted sitting⁵⁷. The smaller effect of physically active interruptions during sitting on triacylglycerol levels compared with the effects on glucose and insulin levels might relate to the delayed activation of lipoprotein lipase after physical activity⁶².

Consequently, studies assessing acute effects (single day designs) do not capture the long-term beneficial effect of physically active interruptions during sitting time that have been observed in two-day or multi-day study designs⁵⁷. Studies in animals have shown that prolonged muscle inactivity lowers lipoprotein lipase activity⁶³. Therefore, muscle inactivity while sitting, might attenuate muscle-mediated uptake of fatty acids⁶⁴.

Experimental research in humans is required to explore further the cardiovascular-health relevance of this hypothesis. Studies in humans have investigated alternative mechanisms underlying the beneficial effects of physically active interruptions during prolonged sitting on triacylglycerol levels. Lipidomic analysis in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus showed that regular interruptions to sitting reduced the plasma levels of pro-inflammatory lipids and increased the concentrations of lipids associated with antioxidant capacity compared with prolonged sitting⁶⁵. However, in those who are overweight, physically active interruptions to sitting time reduced postprandial insulinaemic responses but did not affect adipose tissue gene expression compared with uninterrupted sitting⁶⁶.

[H2] Cerebral blood flow

Sitting-induced impairments in blood glucose regulation might also affect cerebrovascular function (Fig. 3). Cerebrovascular function encompasses mechanisms that maintain constant cerebral perfusion, preventing ischaemic brain injury and damage⁶⁷. Importantly, impaired cerebrovascular function is involved in diseases such as vascular dementia and stroke⁶⁸. Acute hyperglycaemia has been suggested to reduce regional cerebral blood flow and increase insulin secretion, promoting glucose clearance and creating a glucose nadir⁶⁹. This glucose nadir can impair endocrine counter-regulation to subsequent decreases in glucose, exacerbating the hypoglycaemia and impairing vascular function⁶⁹. Given that uninterrupted sitting can induce hyperglycaemia, this process might occur during prolonged sitting periods leading to vascular dysfunction of cerebral arteries. However, this mechanism, while biologically plausible, requires support from relevant human experimental evidence.

Increases in blood pressure after prolonged sitting might also affect cerebral blood flow. Cerebral autoregulation maintains constant blood flow despite changes in blood pressure⁶⁷. Increased blood pressure might evoke cerebral vasoconstriction to increase cerebral resistance, maintaining a constant flow of blood. Indeed, in older adults (mean age 78 years), 3 h of sitting increased blood pressure and cerebrovascular resistance⁷⁰. Increased vascular resistance causes arterial remodelling, reducing lumen size⁷¹, which over time, might reduce cerebral blood flow. Experimental investigations in healthy adults have shown that interrupting prolonged sitting with regular, brief, physical activity bouts can attenuate the reductions in cerebral blood flow velocity induced during prolonged sitting⁷². This benefit might be caused in part by alterations in the neural control of the cerebrovasculature. Cerebral blood vessels are innervated by cholinergic fibres, which are stimulated during physical activity, contributing to increased cerebral blood flow⁷³. Therefore, frequent physically active interruptions might increase cholinergic activity, thereby maintaining cerebral blood flow⁷². By contrast, the cerebral vasculature is also innervated by sympathetic fibres, which cause vasoconstriction⁷⁴. Given that sitting elevates muscle sympathetic nerve activity⁴⁶, prolonged sitting might induce cerebral vasoconstriction, reducing cerebral blood flow. Despite these potential mechanisms, studies in older adults (mean age 78 years) showed no change in cerebral blood flow after prolonged sitting⁷⁰. Age-related decreases in cerebral blood flow attenuating absolute blood flow reductions might explain the lack of cerebral blood

change after sitting in older adults⁷⁰. Importantly, however, chronic exposure to acute sitting-induced reductions in cerebral blood flow might contribute to this age-related decline⁷². Further human experimental studies are now needed to elucidate these potential mechanisms.

[H2] Inflammation

Increased systemic inflammation caused by prolonged sitting might broadly contribute across different systems to factors that can increase the risk of cardiovascular disease. For example, chronic low-grade systemic inflammation is associated with the development of cardiovascular disease⁷⁵. Cross-sectional studies have shown that increased amounts of sitting time are detrimentally associated with levels of C-reactive protein and IL-6 in the plasma⁷⁶⁻⁷⁸. Furthermore, as mentioned above, prolonged sitting time can induce postprandial hyperglycaemia, and postprandial spikes in glucose have been shown to increase the levels of circulating markers of inflammation⁷⁹. Although experimental research to date on prolonged sitting and inflammation is limited, one study showed that interrupting sitting with high-intensity exercise lowered the acute increase in salivary IL-8 levels induced by uninterrupted sitting⁸⁰.

Inflammation might also contribute to sitting-induced impairments in vascular function, given that inflammatory markers are associated with reduced nitric oxide availability and activate vascular production of reactive oxygen species (ROS)⁸¹ (Fig. 3). However, research on this link remains unclear. Oral administration of vitamin C, a ROS scavenger, prevented vascular dysfunction after 3 h of sitting, but blood markers of oxidative stress were not measured⁸². Moreover, in another study, the same sitting duration impaired vascular function, but no concomitant changes in plasma markers of systemic oxidative stress were observed, suggesting that oxidative stress-independent mechanisms were responsible for the change in vascular function⁸³. Finally, the possibility that chronic low-grade inflammation and oxidative stress, resulting in arterial stiffening, might contribute to chronic elevations in blood pressure owing to prolonged sitting remains open to question⁴⁸

[H2] Sitting-induced 'exercise resistance'

Prolonged, uninterrupted sitting might further increase the risk of cardiovascular disease by promoting the development of sitting-induced ‘exercise resistance’, involving reductions in the typical responses observed after acute exercise⁸⁴. Acute exercise lowers plasma glucose, insulin and triglyceride levels. However, 4 days of prolonged sitting prevents these expected beneficial postprandial responses to acute exercise^{84,85}. Furthermore, despite the known blood-pressure-lowering effects of acute exercise, when this activity is followed by prolonged sitting, the benefits are attenuated⁵². Alternatively, the blood-pressure-lowering response to acute exercise is increased if sitting is interrupted with brief bouts of physical activity⁵². In this perspective, sitting might contribute to the risk of cardiovascular disease in two ways: through the adverse processes described above that occur during sitting and by blunting the cardioprotective benefits of exercise.

[H2] Future directions

Experimental evidence relevant to understanding the mechanisms by which sedentary behaviour affects major pathways implicated in cardiovascular disease is, at present, largely restricted to the acute effects of prolonged sitting. Extension of this work to longer-term mechanistic investigations is warranted. Furthermore, the majority of studies have been conducted in healthy populations and often include only male participants. Therefore, sex-differences are unclear, although some differences are evident for vascular function and blood pressure^{52,86}. Women have demonstrated a greater protection from acute sitting-induced vascular dysfunction⁸⁶ and an enhanced acute blood pressure-lowering response to physically active interruptions to prolonged sitting⁵². Given the links between the biological pathways underlying the influence of sitting on cardiovascular risk factors (Fig. 3), experimental research also needs to consider an integrated approach that will enable the identification of potential adverse synergies.

[H1] Sedentary behaviour reduction trials

The heightened interest in sedentary behaviour as a public-health issue has stimulated the conduct of >30 controlled trials of interventions to reduce sedentary behaviour in adult populations since 2003⁸⁷. These interventions can be categorized into three types: environmental interventions designed to make changes to a particular behavioural setting

(for example, sit–stand workstations in workplaces); educational and motivational interventions that target the behaviour of the individual (for example, smartphone apps, educational programmes); and multicomponent interventions that incorporate both environmental and educational or motivational components.

A meta-analysis of the findings of trials of sedentary behaviour reduction interventions has identified the high feasibility of changing sedentary behaviour in adults, reporting that the pooled effect of the intervention groups was a significant reduction in daily sitting time of -30.4 min per day⁸⁷. Environmental interventions yielded the largest reduction (-40.6 min per day), followed by multicomponent (-35.5 min per day) and behavioural (-23.8 min per day) interventions. This new evidence updates and builds upon an earlier meta-analysis that reported high feasibility of change and that interventions that focus solely on sedentary behaviours yield much greater reductions in sedentary time than physical activity interventions or combined physical activity and sedentary behaviour interventions⁸⁸.

The observed reductions in sedentary behaviour, particularly for environmental and multicomponent interventions, are clinically relevant because sedentary time has a high inverse correlation with light-intensity physical activity (Spearman's $\rho = 0.98$)⁷⁶. Modelling the effect of reallocating just 30 min of sitting time to light-intensity physical activity suggests a potential 2–4% improvement in major cardiovascular risk factors⁸⁹. This improvement is supported by the findings of a meta-analysis of free-living interventions targeting sedentary behaviour reductions alone or in combination with increases in physical activity. Pooled effects showed small, significant beneficial effects of the interventions on weight (~ -0.6 kg), waist circumference (~ -0.7 cm), percentage of body fat ($\sim -0.3\%$), systolic blood pressure (~ -1.1 mmHg), and insulin (~ -1.4 pM) and HDL-cholesterol (~ 0.04 mmol/l) levels in plasma⁹⁰. The effects observed for sedentary behaviour reduction interventions are generally inferior to those reported after exercise training interventions^{91,92}. However, to date, most of the evidence comes from sedentary behaviour reduction interventions conducted in the workplace setting. By comparison, a meta-analysis revealed that workplace physical activity interventions have yielded modest pooled effects on weight (~ -2.6 kg) and waist circumference (~ -1.9 cm), whereas reductions in blood pressure, blood lipid and glucose levels were not significant⁹³.

However, the findings supporting interventions to target sedentary behaviour reductions are from studies with limited representation of individuals with clinical conditions pertinent to cardiovascular health (for example, those with cardiovascular disease or type 2 diabetes mellitus), different racial/ethnic groups and older populations (that is, non-working age adults). Furthermore, as is the case for trials of physical activity interventions, a need exists for studies intervening for ≥ 12 months and including maintenance evaluations from which to consider sustainability and longer-term effectiveness.

As a consequence of the emerging evidence described above, intriguing possibilities arise for future research and clinical innovation. Technological advances in consumer devices provide particular opportunities. Data from wrist-worn activity trackers now deliver feedback on interruptions to sitting time and on light-intensity activity, and moderate-to-vigorous intensity activity. These data can already provide clinical starting points to address reducing sitting time and increasing total physical activity, along with relevant goal setting and objective feedback for the individual. Evidence from randomized trials to determine the feasibility and cardiovascular health benefits of the relevant behavioural changes might provide a future basis for specific clinical recommendations.

[H1] Sitting less and moving more

Increased understanding of the effects of sedentary behaviour and physical activity on cardiovascular outcomes and mortality has increased the interest in understanding the interplay between these behaviours to optimize behavioural-based strategies designed to reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease⁹⁴. Specifically, the interactions of sedentary behaviour and physical activity on the risk of cardiovascular disease have received intense scrutiny in a series of prospective epidemiological studies²⁹; the crucial conclusions relating to this interplay are summarized⁹⁴.

First, physical inactivity and sedentary behaviour are both associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease incidence and death. Replacing sedentary behaviour with any intensity of physical activity (that is, movement) will have health benefits, with greater benefits seen when sedentary behaviour is replaced with moderate-to-vigorous

intensity physical activity. Finally, the effects of sedentary behaviour on the risk of cardiovascular disease are most pronounced in individuals who are physically inactive. Furthermore, high levels of moderate-intensity physical activity can ameliorate the increased risk of cardiovascular disease associated with excessive sedentary behaviour. This benefit was revealed in a meta-analysis that included individual-level data from >1 million participants⁹⁵. Higher levels of self-reported sitting time were associated with increased all-cause mortality across categories of moderate-intensity physical activity. However, this correlation did not exist in the highest category of physical activity (>35.5 MET-h per week, equivalent to ~60–75 min per day of moderate-intensity physical activity) in which the risks of sitting are mitigated⁹⁵. Similarly, amelioration of the excess risk associated with high levels of sitting for cardiovascular death (>8 h per day) and incident cardiovascular disease (≥ 10 h per day) is evident only in individuals with higher physical activity levels (~40 to >60 min per day)⁹⁵. Consistently across all the studies to date, the detrimental associations of excessive sitting with adverse cardiovascular outcomes are particularly evident among physically inactive individuals (that is, those not meeting the minimum recommendations of >150 min per week of moderate-intensity activity).

Although the joint associations of prolonged sitting and physical inactivity with other health outcomes (for example, cardiovascular events and type 2 diabetes mellitus) are beginning to be elucidated, we can nevertheless consider how the evidence for all-cause mortality can be utilized to create a mortality ‘matrix’. This matrix will uniquely combine sitting time and physical activity in a way that has relevance to the application of a novel management approaches in clinical practice. Despite the widespread use of prediction matrices for the total risk of cardiovascular disease in clinical practice⁹⁶, little attention has been given to similar risk matrix approaches for both of these behaviours. It is now possible to begin to do so through the findings of meta-analyses of studies that have measured both sitting time and physical activity in relation to mortality risk.

A SIT-ACT all-cause death risk matrix can assist clinicians to develop treatment decisions for patients who are living with or at risk of developing cardiovascular disease (Fig. 4). Responses to two separate questions that ascertain daily sitting time and physical activity time are fundamental to the application of this risk prediction model. With the use of relevant all-cause death hazard ratios⁹⁵, the estimates for sitting time and

physical activity can be applied to directly compare the percentage of risk increment from the combined sitting and physical activity status against the reference category used in the harmonized meta-analysis⁹⁵ (individuals who sat the least (<4 h per day) and those who had the most physical activity (top quartile equivalent to > 60 min per day of moderate-intensity activity)). The potential clinical utility of considering the interplay between sitting time and physical activity for risk reduction, particularly in physically inactive patients, is provided in Figure 5⁹⁷, which also describes how to achieve a reduction from a high-risk to a medium-risk of death. This transition could be achieved through two distinct means. One strategy is increasing physical activity to recommended levels (>150 min per week or ~30 min per day) without changes to sitting time (that is, sitting time remains at >8 h per day). Another method is substantially reducing sitting time (reduce from >8 h per day to <4 h per day) without changes in physical activity. Further risk reduction (that is, from high risk to low-medium risk) could be achieved through the combination of an increase in physical activity to recommended levels and a substantial reduction in sitting time (to <4 h per day).

However, the available evidence that can currently be used to populate the SIT-ACT matrix is from all-cause mortality findings. Therefore, extrapolations to more specific outcomes such as cardiovascular disease events or type 2 diabetes mellitus require caution. Nevertheless, the SIT-ACT matrix provides a framework to consider how different combinations of time spent being physically active and spent sitting might determine particular health risks. As evidence from large-scale epidemiological studies with cardiovascular disease and other specific health outcomes becomes available, it will be possible to use this framework with a greater degree of specificity, with a more disease-specific focus.

[H1] Implications for clinical practice

Although regular, structured physical activity (exercise) effectively reduces cardiovascular risk and improves relevant outcomes, adherence to exercise, even within structured cardiac rehabilitation programmes, can be suboptimal⁹⁸. Furthermore, sitting-induced 'exercise resistance' (as described above) might attenuate the benefits of exercise among those performing suboptimal levels throughout the day. Multimorbidity is pervasive among individuals with cardiovascular disease risk factors and negatively

affects health outcomes and mortality, thus complicating treatment strategies^{99,100}. Given the physical complications and pain often associated with multimorbidity, particularly in patients with angina or arthritis¹⁰¹, sedentary time reduction could be a feasible starting point to improve cardiovascular risk factors in these individuals. Given that physically inactive individuals are at greater total risk of acute cardiac events than physically active counterparts¹⁰², the American College of Sports Medicine recommends light-to-moderate intensity exercise in the first instance, especially for individuals who are habitually inactive¹⁰³. Specifically, among inactive adults, reducing sedentary time and thereby increasing light-intensity activity might provide sufficient stimulus and progressive overload to lead to worthwhile improvements in cardiorespiratory and musculoskeletal function²⁴.

A ‘staircase’ approach can be applied that focuses initially on reducing and interrupting sitting time (Fig. 5). This approach initially increases standing and stepping time, progressing to increasing light-intensity physical activity volumes and then to increasing moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity. The staircase approach contrasts with the salutary but formidable primary goal of transitioning from a chronic inactive state to regular engagement in moderate-to-vigorous intensity activity and improved cardiorespiratory fitness. In many patients with cardiovascular disease, this approach might seem unrealistic and includes practical challenges and risks, especially for older adults (≥ 65 years) and those with multiple morbidities. Nevertheless, for individuals who are young (≤ 45 years) and have an athletic or fitness-training history or who might otherwise be so inclined, starting with increasing moderate-to-vigorous activity and cardiorespiratory fitness could be appropriate and most beneficial.

Although the conclusions of the meta-analysis described above suggest that **total sitting time [G]** should be limited to 4 h per day for individuals who are inactive⁹⁵, this goal is likely to be too ambitious for most patients who have a compromised cardiovascular health. Consequently, the optimal prescription should build on the interplay between sitting time and physical activity. This combined approach is particularly relevant in light of findings on sitting-induced ‘exercise resistance’, because focusing on physical activity alone might not lead to the desired outcomes.

A first step towards integrating more movement into patients' daily lives could include goals of reducing total sitting time by 30 min per day or interrupting prolonged bouts of sitting throughout the day. This approach can enable a simultaneous reduction in sitting time and an increase in total physical activity. Although initial interruptions in sitting time might be limited to standing or light-intensity activities, this added movement could increase functional capacity or physical conditioning, thus preparing individuals for higher intensities of physical activity. An older adult with cardiovascular disease might, for example, be able to increase their leg strength by simply adding several sit-to-stand transitions throughout their day. This added movement might increase their capacity for more physical activity, such as walking the stairs. Indeed, several individual-specific and disease-specific factors should be considered when providing advice to patients with cardiovascular disease. However, the message to sit less and move more might be more effective at integrating more movement into the day of an individual rather than a primary focus of accumulating at least 150 min per week of exercise. A focus on sitting time reduction has considerable potential for clinical settings in which some patients with cardiovascular disease are likely to require supervised sessions to engage safely in moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity. Focusing on reducing sitting time (Fig. 5) might be an important first step in making sustainable changes to movement patterns that will support a higher level of overall physical activity for the benefit of cardiovascular health⁹⁴.

[H1] Conclusions

Prolonged, uninterrupted periods of sitting contribute to the risk of cardiovascular disease. Time spent sitting also reduces total physically active time, resulting in diminished overall skeletal muscle activity, leading to detrimental effects on cardiorespiratory fitness and multiple metabolic processes related to cardiovascular health. Observational evidence shows interactions between sitting time and physical inactivity concerning all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. High volumes of sitting can be particularly harmful in individuals who are also physically inactive. In this context, active interruptions to sitting time have an important role, with evidence from acute laboratory studies showing beneficial glycaemic, vascular and other changes consistent with lower cardiovascular risk. The findings of real-world intervention trials show that changing sedentary behaviours can be feasible and acceptable, and that modest improvements in cardiovascular risk factors can be achieved. However, this evidence is

fairly new and requires further confirmatory findings. Taken on balance, both the epidemiological and experimental evidence suggests that less sitting will lead to a cardiovascular health benefit. In clinical practice, a combined approach emphasizing sitting less and moving more could amplify the transition to more physically active lifestyles with cardiovascular-health benefits. In this Review, we have considered the current strengths and limitations of the available evidence, highlighting some of the emerging opportunities for further research and suggesting initial implications for clinical practice. The body of evidence needs to be developed and consolidated further to inform future clinical guidelines on sedentary behaviour and cardiovascular health, particularly on dose–response relationships and on appropriate quantitative change targets. However, as we have illustrated in our concluding sections, novel implications arise from the evidence already available, which can help to inform realistic, acceptable and beneficial innovations in clinical practice.

References

- 1 Hall, G., Laddu, D. R., Phillips, S. A., Lavie, C. J. & Arena, R. A tale of two pandemics: How will COVID-19 and global trends in physical inactivity and sedentary behavior affect one another? *Prog. Cardiovasc. Dis.* doi:10.1016/j.pcad.2020.04.005 (2020).
- 2 Tremblay, M. S. *et al.* Sedentary Behavior Research Network (SBRN) - Terminology Consensus Project process and outcome. *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act* **14**, 75 (2017).
- 3 Hallal, P. C. *et al.* Global physical activity levels: surveillance progress, pitfalls, and prospects. *Lancet* **380**, 247-257 (2012).
- 4 Saunders, T. J. *et al.* Sedentary behaviour and health in adults: an overview of systematic reviews. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab.* **45**, S197-s217 (2020).
- 5 Castañeda-Babarro, A., Arbillaga-Etxarri, A., Gutiérrez-Santamaría, B. & Coca, A. Physical Activity Change during COVID-19 Confinement. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **17**, 6878 (2020).
- 6 Meyer, J. *et al.* Changes in physical activity and sedentary behavior in response to COVID-19 and their associations with mental health in 3052 US adults. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **17**, 6469 (2020).
- 7 Smirmaul, B. P. C. & Arena, R. The urgent need to sit less and move more during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J. Cardiopulm Rehabil. Prev.* **40**, 287-289 (2020).
- 8 Owen N *et al.* Sedentary behavior & public health: integrating the evidence and identifying potential solutions. *Ann. Rev. Public Health* **41**, 265-287. (2020).
- 9 Colberg, S. R. *et al.* Physical Activity/Exercise and Diabetes: A Position Statement of the American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care* **39**, 2065-2079 (2016).
- 10 Piercy, K. L. *et al.* The Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans. *JAMA* **320**, 2020-2028 (2018).
- 11 Young, D. R. *et al.* Sedentary behavior and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality: a science advisory from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* **134**, e262-279 (2016).
- 12 Bauman, A. *et al.* The descriptive epidemiology of sitting. A 20-country comparison using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ). *Am. J. Prev. Med.* **41**, 228-235 (2011).
- 13 Bennie, J. A. *et al.* The prevalence and correlates of sitting in European adults - a comparison of 32 Eurobarometer-participating countries. *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.* **10**, 107 (2013).
- 14 Luis de Moraes Ferrari, G. *et al.* Socio-demographic patterning of self-reported physical activity and sitting time in Latin American countries: findings from ELANS. *BMC Public Health* **19**, 1723 (2019).
- 15 Du, Y. *et al.* Trends in adherence to the physical activity guidelines for Americans for aerobic activity and time spent on sedentary behavior among US adults, 2007 to 2016. *JAMA Netw Open* **2**, e197597 (2019).
- 16 Yang, L. *et al.* Trends in sedentary behavior among the US population, 2001-2016. *JAMA* **321**, 1587-1597 (2019).
- 17 Ekelund, U. *et al.* Dose-response associations between accelerometry measured physical activity and sedentary time and all cause mortality: systematic review and harmonised meta-analysis. *BMJ* **366**, 14570 (2019).
- 18 Matthews, C. E. *et al.* Amount of time spent in sedentary behaviors in the United States, 2003-2004. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **167**, 875-881 (2008).

- 19 Matthews, C. E. *et al.* Accelerometer-measured dose-response for physical activity, sedentary time, and mortality in US adults. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **104**, 1424-1432 (2016).
- 20 Diaz, K. M. *et al.* Patterns of sedentary behavior and mortality in U.S. middle-aged and older adults: a national cohort study. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **167**, 465-475 (2017).
- 21 Diaz, K. M. *et al.* Potential effects on mortality of replacing sedentary time with short sedentary bouts or physical activity: a national cohort study. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **188**, 537-544 (2019).
- 22 Bellettiere, J. *et al.* Sedentary behavior and cardiovascular disease in older women: The Objective Physical Activity and Cardiovascular Health (OPACH) Study. *Circulation* **139**, 1036-1046 (2019).
- 23 Bellettiere, J. *et al.* Sedentary behavior and prevalent diabetes in 6,166 older women: The Objective Physical Activity and Cardiovascular Health Study. *J. Gerontol. A. Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* **74**, 387-395 (2019).
- 24 Dogra, S., Clarke, J. M. & Copeland, J. L. Prolonged sedentary time and physical fitness among Canadian men and women aged 60 to 69. *Health Rep.* **28**, 3-9 (2017).
- 25 Kulinski, J. P. *et al.* Association between cardiorespiratory fitness and accelerometer-derived physical activity and sedentary time in the general population. *Mayo Clin Proc.* **89**, 1063-1071 (2014).
- 26 Eriksen, L., Grønbaek, M., Helge, J. W. & Tolstrup, J. S. Cardiorespiratory fitness in 16 025 adults aged 18-91 years and associations with physical activity and sitting time. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports* **26**, 1435-1443 (2016).
- 27 Nauman, J., Stensvold, D., Coombes, J. S. & Wisløff, U. Cardiorespiratory fitness, sedentary time, and cardiovascular risk factor clustering. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **48**, 625-632 (2016).
- 28 Shuval, K. *et al.* Sedentary behavior, cardiorespiratory fitness, physical activity, and cardiometabolic risk in men: the cooper center longitudinal study. *Mayo Clin Proc.* **89**, 1052-1062 (2014).
- 29 Katzmarzyk, P. T. *et al.* Sedentary Behavior and Health: Update from the 2018 Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **51**, 1227-1241 (2019).
- 30 Del Pozo-Cruz, J. *et al.* Replacing sedentary time: meta-analysis of objective-assessment studies. *Am. J. Prev. Med.* **55**, 395-402 (2018).
- 31 Matthews, C. E. *et al.* Mortality benefits for replacing sitting time with different physical activities. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **47**, 1833-1840 (2015).
- 32 Rees-Punia, E. *et al.* Mortality risk reductions for replacing sedentary time with physical activities. *Am. J. Prev. Med.* **56**, 736-741 (2019).
- 33 Schmid, D., Ricci, C., Baumeister, S. E. & Leitzmann, M. F. Replacing sedentary time with physical activity in relation to mortality. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **48**, 1312-1319 (2016).
- 34 Ballin, M., Nordström, P., Niklasson, J. & Nordström, A. Associations of objectively measured physical activity and sedentary time with the risk of stroke, myocardial infarction or all-cause mortality in 70-year-old men and women: A prospective cohort study. *Sports Med.* 339-349 (2020).
- 35 LaCroix, A. Z. *et al.* Association of light physical activity measured by accelerometry and incidence of coronary heart disease and cardiovascular disease in older women. *JAMA Netw Open* **2**, e190419 (2019).

- 36 Booth, F. W., Laye, M. J., Lees, S. J., Rector, R. S. & Thyfault, J. P. Reduced physical activity and risk of chronic disease: the biology behind the consequences. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* **102**, 381-390 (2008).
- 37 Thosar, S. S., Bielko, S. L., Wiggins, C. C. & Wallace, J. P. Differences in brachial and femoral artery responses to prolonged sitting. *Cardiovasc. Ultrasound* **12**, 50 (2014).
- 38 Paterson, C. *et al.* The effects of acute exposure to prolonged sitting, with and without interruption, on vascular function among adults: A meta-analysis. *Sports Med.* (2020).
- 39 Thosar, S. S., Johnson, B. D., Johnston, J. D. & Wallace, J. P. Sitting and endothelial dysfunction: The role of shear stress. *Med. Sci. Monit.* **18**, RA173-180 (2012).
- 40 Restaino, R. M. *et al.* Endothelial dysfunction following prolonged sitting is mediated by a reduction in shear stress. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* **310**, H648-653 (2016).
- 41 Morishima, T. *et al.* Prolonged sitting-induced leg endothelial dysfunction is prevented by fidgeting. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* **311**, H177-182 (2016).
- 42 Climie, R. E. *et al.* Simple intermittent resistance activity mitigates the detrimental effect of prolonged unbroken sitting on arterial function in overweight and obese adults. *J. Appl. Physiol. (1985)* (2018).
- 43 Thosar, S. S., Bielko, S. L., Mather, K. J., Johnston, J. D. & Wallace, J. P. Effect of prolonged sitting and breaks in sitting time on endothelial function. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **47**, 843-849 (2015).
- 44 Walsh, L. K., Restaino, R. M., Martinez-Lemus, L. A. & Padilla, J. Prolonged leg bending impairs endothelial function in the popliteal artery. *Physiol. Rep.* **5** (2017).
- 45 Restaino, R. M., Holwerda, S. W., Credeur, D. P., Fadel, P. J. & Padilla, J. Impact of prolonged sitting on lower and upper limb micro- and macrovascular dilator function. *Exp. Physiol.* **100**, 829-838 (2015).
- 46 Ray, C. A., Rea, R. F., Clary, M. P. & Mark, A. L. Muscle sympathetic nerve responses to dynamic one-legged exercise: effect of body posture. *Am. J. Physiol.* **264**, H1-7 (1993).
- 47 Howard, B. J. *et al.* Impact on hemostatic parameters of interrupting sitting with intermittent activity. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **45**, 1285-1291 (2013).
- 48 Dempsey, P. C., Larsen, R. N., Dunstan, D. W., Owen, N. & Kingwell, B. A. Sitting less and moving more: implications for hypertension. *Hypertension* **72**, 1037-1046 (2018).
- 49 Bailey, D. P. & Locke, C. D. Breaking up prolonged sitting with light-intensity walking improves postprandial glycemia, but breaking up sitting with standing does not. *J. Sci. Med. Sport* (2014).
- 50 Dempsey, P. C. *et al.* Interrupting prolonged sitting with brief bouts of light walking or simple resistance activities reduces resting blood pressure and plasma noradrenaline in type 2 diabetes. *J. Hypertens.* **34**, 2376-2382 (2016).
- 51 Larsen, R. N. *et al.* Breaking up prolonged sitting reduces resting blood pressure in overweight/obese adults. *Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis.* **24**, 976-982 (2014).
- 52 Wheeler MJ *et al.* Effect of morning exercise with or without breaks in prolonged sitting on blood pressure in older overweight/obese adults: evidence for sex differences. *Hypertension* **73**, 859-867 (2019).

- 53 Joyner, M. J., Charkoudian, N. & Wallin, B. G. A sympathetic view of the sympathetic nervous system and human blood pressure regulation. *Exp. Physiol.* **93**, 715-724 (2008).
- 54 Thijssen, D. H., Dawson, E. A., Tinken, T. M., Cable, N. T. & Green, D. J. Retrograde flow and shear rate acutely impair endothelial function in humans. *Hypertension* **53**, 986-992 (2009).
- 55 Morishima, T., Restaino, R. M., Walsh, L. K., Kanaley, J. A. & Padilla, J. Prior exercise and standing as strategies to circumvent sitting-induced leg endothelial dysfunction. *Clin. Sci. (Lond)* **131**, 1045-1053 (2017).
- 56 Carter, S., Hartman, Y., Holder, S., Thijssen, D. H. & Hopkins, N. D. Sedentary behavior and cardiovascular disease risk: mediating mechanisms. *Exerc. Sport Sci. Rev.* **45**, 80-86 (2017).
- 57 Loh, R., Stamatakis, E., Folkerts, D., Allgrove, J. E. & Moir, H. J. Effects of interrupting prolonged sitting with physical activity breaks on blood glucose, insulin and triacylglycerol measures: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Med.* **50**, 295-330 (2020).
- 58 Crespo, N. C., Mullane, S. L., Zeigler, Z. S., Buman, M. P. & Gaesser, G. A. Effects of standing and light-intensity walking and cycling on 24-h glucose. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **48**, 2503-2511 (2016).
- 59 Dempsey, P. C. *et al.* Interrupting prolonged sitting in type 2 diabetes: nocturnal persistence of improved glycaemic control. *Diabetologia* **60**, 499-507 (2017).
- 60 Bergouignan, A. *et al.* Frequent interruptions of sedentary time modulates contraction- and insulin-stimulated glucose uptake pathways in muscle: Ancillary analysis from randomized clinical trials. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 32044 (2016).
- 61 Latouche, C. *et al.* Effects of breaking up prolonged sitting on skeletal muscle gene expression. *J. Appl. Physiol.* **114**, 453-460 (2013).
- 62 Peddie, M. C., Rehrer, N. J. & Perry, T. L. Physical activity and postprandial lipidemia: are energy expenditure and lipoprotein lipase activity the real modulators of the positive effect? *Prog. Lipid Res.* **51**, 11-22 (2012).
- 63 Bey, L. & Hamilton, M. T. Suppression of skeletal muscle lipoprotein lipase activity during physical activity: A molecular reason to maintain daily low-intensity activity. *J. Physiol.* **551**, 673-682 (2003).
- 64 Hamilton, M. T., Hamilton, D. G. & Zderic, T. W. Exercise physiology versus inactivity physiology: An essential concept for understanding lipoprotein lipase regulation. *Exerc. Sport Sci. Rev.* **32**, 161-166 (2004).
- 65 Grace, M. S. *et al.* Breaking up prolonged sitting alters the postprandial plasma lipidomic profile of adults with type 2 diabetes. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **102**, 1991-1999 (2017).
- 66 Chen, Y. C., Betts, J. A., Walhin, J. P. & Thompson, D. Adipose tissue responses to breaking sitting in men and women with central adiposity. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **50**, 2049-2057 (2018).
- 67 Willie, C. K., Tzeng, Y. C., Fisher, J. A. & Ainslie, P. N. Integrative regulation of human brain blood flow. *J. Physiol.* **592**, 841-859 (2014).
- 68 Keage, H. A. *et al.* Cerebrovascular function in aging and dementia: a systematic review of transcranial Doppler studies. *Dement. Geriatr. Cogn. Dis. Extra* **2**, 258-270 (2012).
- 69 Wheeler MJ *et al.* Sedentary behaviour as a risk factor for cognitive decline: A focus on the influence of glycaemic control in brain health. *Alzheimers Dement.* **3**, 291-300 (2017).

- 70 Maasackers, C. M. *et al.* The short-term effects of sedentary behaviour on cerebral hemodynamics and cognitive performance in older adults: a cross-over design on the potential impact of mental and/or physical activity. *Alzheimers Res. Ther.* **12**, 76 (2020).
- 71 Pires, P. W., Dams Ramos, C. M., Matin, N. & Dorrance, A. M. The effects of hypertension on the cerebral circulation. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* **304**, H1598-1614 (2013).
- 72 Carter, S. E. *et al.* Regular walking breaks prevent the decline in cerebral blood flow associated with prolonged sitting. *J. Appl. Physiol. (1985)* **125**, 790-798 (2018).
- 73 Seifert, T. *et al.* Glycopyrrolate abolishes the exercise-induced increase in cerebral perfusion in humans. *Exp. Physiol.* **95**, 1016-1025 (2010).
- 74 Ogoh, S. & Ainslie, P. N. Cerebral blood flow during exercise: mechanisms of regulation. *J. Appl. Physiol. (1985)* **107**, 1370-1380 (2009).
- 75 de Rooij, S. R. *et al.* Low-grade chronic inflammation in the relationship between insulin sensitivity and cardiovascular disease (RISC) population: associations with insulin resistance and cardiometabolic risk profile. *Diabetes Care* **32**, 1295-1301 (2009).
- 76 Healy, G. N., Matthews, C. E., Dunstan, D. W., Winkler, E. A. & Owen, N. Sedentary time and cardio-metabolic biomarkers in US adults: NHANES 2003-06. *Eur. Heart J.* **32**, 590-597 (2011).
- 77 Henson, J. *et al.* Reallocating sitting time to standing or stepping through isotemporal analysis: associations with markers of chronic low-grade inflammation. *J. Sports Sci.* **36**, 1586-1593 (2018).
- 78 Howard, B. J. *et al.* Associations of overall sitting time and TV viewing time with fibrinogen and C reactive protein: the AusDiab study. *Br. J. Sports Med.* **49**, 255-258 (2015).
- 79 Esposito, K. *et al.* Inflammatory cytokine concentrations are acutely increased by hyperglycemia in humans: role of oxidative stress. *Circulation* **106**, 2067-2072 (2002).
- 80 Dogra, S. *et al.* Disrupting prolonged sitting reduces IL-8 and lower leg swell in active young adults. *BMC Sports Sci. Med. Rehabil.* **11**, 23 (2019).
- 81 Zhang, N., Andresen, B. T. & Zhang, C. Inflammation and reactive oxygen species in cardiovascular disease. *World J. Cardiol.* **2**, 408-410 (2010).
- 82 Thosar, S. S. *et al.* Antioxidant vitamin C prevents decline in endothelial function during sitting. *Med. Sci. Monit.* **21**, 1015-1021 (2015).
- 83 Ballard, K. D. *et al.* Effects of prior aerobic exercise on sitting-induced vascular dysfunction in healthy men. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* **117**, 2509-2518 (2017).
- 84 Akins, J. D. *et al.* Inactivity induces resistance to the metabolic benefits following acute exercise. *J. Appl. Physiol. (1985)* **126**, 1088-1094 (2019).
- 85 Kim, I. Y., Park, S., Chou, T. H., Trombold, J. R. & Coyle, E. F. Prolonged sitting negatively affects the postprandial plasma triglyceride-lowering effect of acute exercise. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **311**, E891-e898 (2016).
- 86 Vranish, J. R. *et al.* Influence of sex on microvascular and macrovascular responses to prolonged sitting. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* **312**, H800-h805 (2017).
- 87 Peachey, M. M., Richardson, J., A, V. T., Dal-Bello Haas, V. & Gravesande, J. Environmental, behavioural and multicomponent interventions to reduce adults' sitting time: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br. J. Sports Med.* **54**, 315-325 (2020).

- 88 Prince, S. A., Saunders, T. J., Gresty, K. & Reid, R. D. A comparison of the effectiveness of physical activity and sedentary behaviour interventions in reducing sedentary time in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials. *Obes. Rev.* (2014).
- 89 Buman, M. P. *et al.* Reallocating time to sleep, sedentary behaviors, or active behaviors: associations with cardiovascular disease risk biomarkers, NHANES 2005-2006. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **179**, 323-334 (2014).
- 90 Hadgraft, N. T. *et al.* Effects of sedentary behaviour interventions on biomarkers of cardiometabolic risk in adults: systematic review with meta-analyses. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 144-154 (2020).
- 91 Glenney, S. S. *et al.* Effect of exercise training on cardiac biomarkers in at-risk populations: A systematic review. *J. Phys. Act. Health* **14**, 968-989 (2017).
- 92 Lin, X. *et al.* Effects of exercise training on cardiorespiratory fitness and biomarkers of cardiometabolic health: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* **4** (2015).
- 93 Mulchandani, R. *et al.* Effect of workplace physical activity interventions on the cardio-metabolic health of working adults: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.* **16**, 134 (2019).
- 94 Katzmarzyk, P. T., Ross, R., Blair, S. N. & Després, J. P. Should we target increased physical activity or less sedentary behavior in the battle against cardiovascular disease risk development? *Atherosclerosis* 107-115 (2020).
- 95 Ekelund, U. *et al.* Does physical activity attenuate, or even eliminate, the detrimental association of sitting time with mortality? A harmonised meta-analysis of data from more than 1 million men and women. *Lancet* **388**, 1302-1310 (2016).
- 96 Damen, J. A. *et al.* Prediction models for cardiovascular disease risk in the general population: systematic review. *BMJ* **353**, i2416 (2016).
- 97 Matthews, C. E. Minimizing risk associated with sedentary behavior: should we focus on physical activity, sitting, or both? *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **73**, 2073-2075 (2019).
- 98 Ruano-Ravina, A. *et al.* Participation and adherence to cardiac rehabilitation programs. A systematic review. *Int. J. Cardiol.* **223**, 436-443 (2016).
- 99 Dunlay, S. M. & Chamberlain, A. M. Multimorbidity in older patients with cardiovascular disease. *Curr. Cardiovasc. Risk Rep.* **10** (2016).
- 100 Glynn, L. G. *et al.* Multimorbidity and risk among patients with established cardiovascular disease: a cohort study. *Br. J. Gen. Pract.* **58**, 488-494 (2008).
- 101 Vancampfort, D. *et al.* Chronic physical conditions, multimorbidity and physical activity across 46 low- and middle-income countries. *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.* **14**, 6 (2017).
- 102 Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee. Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee Report, 2008. (Washington, D.C., 2008).
- 103 Riebe, D. *et al.* Updating ACSM's Recommendations for Exercise Preparticipation Health Screening. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **47**, 2473-2479 (2015).
- 104 Healy, G. N. *et al.* Television time and continuous metabolic risk in physically active adults. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **40**, 639-645 (2008).
- 105 Caspersen, C. J., Powell, K. E. & Christenson, G. M. Physical activity, exercise, and physical fitness: definitions and distinctions for health-related research. *Public Health Rep.* **100**, 126-131 (1985).

Highlighted References

- 8.** Owen N *et al.* Sedentary behavior & public health: integrating the evidence and identifying potential solutions. *Ann Rev Public Health* **41**, 265-287. (2020).
* Explains and illustrates a public-health research strategy on sedentary behaviour, making a case for environmental and policy initiatives and demonstrating how sitting time reduction approaches can be effective in the workplace and school settings.
- 17.** Ekelund U, Tarp J, Steene-Johannessen J, Hansen BH, Jefferis B, Fagerland MW, et al. Dose-response associations between accelerometry measured physical activity and sedentary time and all cause mortality: systematic review and harmonised meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2019;**366**:l4570.
* Demonstrates significant increments in mortality when sedentary time is >9.5 h per day and a substantially-reduced mortality with higher levels of total physical activity of any intensity.
- 29.** Katzmarzyk PT, Powell KE, Jakicic JM, Troiano RP, Piercy K, Tennant B. Sedentary Behavior and Health: Update from the 2018 Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2019;**51**(6):1227-41.
* Summarizes the evidence on the associations between sedentary behaviour and health from the comprehensive review undertaken by the US 2018 Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee.
- 48.** Dempsey PC, Larsen RN, Dunstan DW, Owen N, Kingwell BA. Sitting less and moving more: implications for hypertension. *Hypertension*. 2018;**72**(5):1037-46.
* Proposes new ways to address the wide-scale public-health problem of too much sitting and too little physical activity and discusses potential underlying biological mechanisms.
- 56.** Carter S, Hartman Y, Holder S, Thijssen DH, Hopkins ND. Sedentary behavior and cardiovascular disease risk: mediating mechanisms. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev*. 2017;**45**(2):80-6.
* Summarizes the evidence showing that sedentary behaviour modulates biological processes that impair arterial health, directly and indirectly contributing to the development of cardiovascular disease.
- 57.** Loh R, Stamatakis E, Folkerts D, Allgrove JE, Moir HJ. Effects of interrupting prolonged sitting with physical activity breaks on blood glucose, insulin and triacylglycerol measures: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Med*. 2020;**50**(2):295-330.
* Summarizes experimental evidence on the biological effects of accumulating physical activity in brief bouts throughout the day through interruptions to sitting time, and the benefits for individuals who do not, or are unable to, undertake regular exercise.
- 87.** Peachey, M. M., Richardson, J., A, V. T., Dal-Bello Haas, V. & Gravesande, J. Environmental, behavioural and multicomponent interventions to reduce adults' sitting time: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med* **54**, 315-325, doi:10.1136/bjsports-2017-098968 (2020).

- * Identifies the high feasibility of changing sedentary behaviour in adults, reporting the pooled effect of a significant reduction in daily sitting time of –30.4 min per day favouring the intervention groups.

95. Ekelund, U. *et al.* Does physical activity attenuate, or even eliminate, the detrimental association of sitting time with mortality? A harmonised meta-analysis of data from more than 1 million men and women. *Lancet* **388**, 1302-1310, doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(16)30370-1 (2016).

- * Highlights the interplay between sitting time and physical activity with all-cause mortality, and shows the very high volumes of physical activity required to offset the risks of sitting time.

Acknowledgements

D.W.D. and N.O. thank the National Health and Medical Research Council, the Heart Foundation of Australia and the Victorian Government Operational Infrastructure programme for ongoing support of their sedentary behaviour research programme. We are extremely grateful for the insights and guidance provided by Peter Katzmarzyk (Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Baton Rouge, LA, USA) in the development of the SIT-ACT all-cause mortality matrix. Thanks to David Kaye (Baker Heart & Diabetes Institute, Australia) for stimulating some key ideas and perspectives that have been incorporated into the Review. Thanks also to Elisabeth Winkler (University of Queensland, Australia) for providing assistance with the preparation of the figures relating to the device-based measured sitting and physical activity time from the Australian Diabetes, Obesity and Lifestyle Study (AusDiab).

Author contributions

All authors researched the data for the article, provided substantial contributions to discussions of its content, wrote the article and undertook review and/or editing of the manuscript before submission.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Peer review information

Nature Reviews Cardiology thanks U. Wisløff and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Key points

Sedentary behaviour — that is, too much sitting as distinct from too little exercise — has been shown through observational and experimental findings to adversely affect cardiovascular health.

Observational evidence shows that sitting occupies the majority of adults' waking hours and that excessive sitting contributes to cardiovascular risk, particularly among individuals who do not meet the current physical activity recommendations.

Prolonged, uninterrupted sitting detrimentally affects several biological processes related to cardiovascular risk; high levels of sitting displace total physically active time, negating the cardiovascular benefits of skeletal muscle activity.

New evidence suggests the potential for broad cardiovascular health benefits through reducing and interrupting sitting time through practical and acceptable approaches involving 'sitting less and moving more'.

Table | 1 Effects of sedentary behaviour on health outcomes

Health outcomes	Level of evidence for the increased risk		
	Association	Dose–response	Variation in association by physical activity
All-cause mortality	Strong	Strong	Strong
Cardiovascular disease mortality	Strong	Strong	Moderate
Incident cardiovascular disease	Strong	Strong	NA
Incident type 2 diabetes mellitus	Strong	Limited	NA
Weight status	Limited	Limited	NA

Based on data from the 2018 Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee Scientific Report²⁹. Grading of the magnitude and precision of effect criteria used by the 2018 Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee. NA, not assignable.

Fig. 1| Daily activities that involve sitting. For non-working adults who sleep 8 h in a 24-h cycle, the remaining waking hours (16 h) can be occupied with various recreational activities and activities of daily living. In this hypothetical example, these adults can be considered physically active according to current physical activity guidelines because they accumulate up to 30 min of physical activity over the course of the day. However, these individuals can also spend multiple hours (14.5 h) sitting during meal times, socializing and enjoying recreational activities. Therefore, although meeting current physical activity guidelines, non-working adults can spend up to 90% of their remaining waking hours sitting. This substantial sitting time is not an atypical pattern for many older adults and might be characterized as being 'active but also highly sedentary'¹⁰⁴. This example highlights the importance of not only targeting time spent being active, but

also reducing the time spent sitting during waking hours (or ‘sitting less and moving more’).

Fig. 2 | Single-day activity data generated from the activPAL device.

a | Device-based measures have confirmed the fundamental principle that any time spent in sedentary behaviour displaces time spent in total physical activity (the sum of the activity of all intensities). Consequently, the only countermeasure to sitting during waking hours must be through increases in physical activity (irrespective of intensity). The ‘balance’ (that is, the equivalent time spent sitting and in total physical activity) might be shifted if increases in sitting lead to the displacement of total physical activity (left panel; red arrow = less-desired balance). By contrast, increases in total physical activity invariably lead to decreases in sitting time (green arrow = more desired balance). In the hypothetical example (right panel), we illustrate how changes resulting from COVID-19 restrictions might result in a reversal of the balance in a physically active person, whereby opportunities for undertaking both light-intensity and moderate-to-vigorous intensity might have diminished, leading to increased sitting time¹. These COVID-19 induced changes can subsequently result in the less-desired balance (that is, more sitting than total physical activity)

b | Two participants from the AusDiab3 study who have similar total sitting time (~13 h) and moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (~0.7 h) but contrasting patterns of sitting time (red) and physical activity (light-intensity physical activity (yellow) and moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity (orange)). These individuals are classified as either a ‘prolonger’ or an ‘interrupter’. These data show the full 24h period for the waking hours. Of note, the pattern of the red, yellow and orange bars indicate that the ‘prolonger’ sits for extended periods and infrequently interrupts this sitting time with physical activity, whereas the ‘interrupter’, accumulates a similar amount of sitting time during waking hours but has a higher frequency of transitions from sitting to physical activity.

Fig.3 | Potential mechanisms for the sitting-induced risk of cardiovascular disease.

Sitting probably acts across multiple biological systems to regulate blood pressure (bottom left), vascular function (bottom right), cerebral blood flow (top left), and blood glucose (top right). Initial evidence suggests that regular physically active interruptions to sitting time might attenuate these physiological perturbations to reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease. These pathways may interact in increasing cardiovascular disease risk. ET-1, endothelin 1; GLUT4, glucose transporter type 4; NO, nitric oxide; ROS, reactive oxygen species.

Fig. 4 | The SIT-ACT risk matrix. Representation of the SIT-ACT risk matrix⁹⁵

showing the interacting influences of sedentary behaviour and physical activity (physical activity includes walking and moderate-to-vigorous activities) on all-cause mortality. The highest risk of death is evident in individuals who sit the longest and do the least amount of physical activity. Opportunities for risk reduction include both increases in physical activity (to >5 min per day), reductions in time spent sitting (to <8 h per day) or the combination of both increases in physical activity and reductions in sitting time (for example, transition to low-medium risk by increasing physical activity to >5 min per day and decreasing sitting time to <4 h per day). Based on data from REF.⁹⁵

Fig. 5 | Sitting less and moving more strategy. Sitting less and moving more might be addressed in clinical practice through a ‘staircase approach’. This approach involves modest transitional steps, beginning with a focus on reducing overall sitting time through

initially increasing standing and moving and then progressing to increasing light-intensity physical activity. Progressive increases in movement through sitting less and moving more can provide a 'preparation base' for transitioning to higher-intensity physical activities over the longer term. The first step could be a small but manageable step focused on interrupting sitting time with light-intensity physical activity, before taking the larger step of incorporating more light-intensity physical activity throughout the day.

Glossary terms

Sedentary behaviours

Specific categories of sedentary behaviour, the most common include sitting during TV viewing, video game playing, computer use (collectively termed 'screen time'), sitting in automobiles and sitting while reading.

Sitting

A position in which the individual's weight is supported by the buttocks rather than the feet and in which the back is upright.

Physical activity

Any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that results in energy expenditure.

Exercise

A component of physical activity; refers to activity that is planned, structured and repetitive for the purpose of improving or maintaining one or more components of physical fitness.

Physical inactivity

A level of weekly physical activity that is insufficient to meet present physical activity and health guidelines.

Physical activity guidelines

Recommendations from authoritative health-care bodies for practitioners and the public, specifying the type, amount and intensities of physical activity from which worthwhile health benefits should accrue.

Metabolic Equivalents of Task

(METs) unit corresponding to multiples of the resting metabolic rate in humans (3.5ml O₂/kg/min).

Lying

Being in a horizontal position on a supporting surface.

Reclining

A body position between sitting and lying.

Device-based measurement

Measures of physical activity on the basis of hip-worn, wrist-worn or thigh-worn devices, from which minute-by-minute measures of bodily acceleration and posture can be captured across a whole day.

Total physical activity

Sum of time spent in light, moderate and vigorous intensity physical activity.

Light-intensity physical activity

Physical activities of 1.5 METs to <3.0 METs.

Sedentary behaviour

Any waking behaviour characterized by an energy expenditure <1.5 METs, while in a sitting, reclining or lying posture.

Self-reported measure

The type of exposure assessment that has been most typically used in epidemiological studies on physical activity and health outcomes, often using 1-week recall via a self-completion survey or interview.

Total sedentary time

Time spent in sedentary behaviour that can be inferred from minimal measured movement on the basis of an accelerometer reading; for example, the total time accumulated below a defined threshold.

Moderate-intensity physical activity

Physical activities of 3 METs to <6 METs.

Interruptions to sedentary time

Transition from sitting to standing or moving so that prolonged periods of sitting time are regularly interrupted, with observational and experimental evidence suggesting health benefits from doing so.

Total sitting time

Time in which a specific measure of sitting can be derived from a thigh-worn monitor device (for example, activPAL, PAL Technologies, Glasgow, UK), which uses accelerometer-derived information about both thigh position and acceleration to determine body posture (that is, sitting, lying or upright).

Vigorous-intensity physical activity

Physical activities >6 METs.

ToC

In this Review, Dunstan and colleagues make a case for an approach to preventing and managing cardiovascular disease that involves sitting less and moving more, which will build on the well-established role of exercise in cardiovascular disease prevention and rehabilitation.