

Min, You, Laborde, Sylvain, Agnes, S and Vaughan, Robert S. ORCID logoORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1573-7000 (2021) Influence of personality and emotional competences on academic performance: direct and indirect pathways mediated by perceived stress. Current Issues in Personality Psychology, 10 (1). pp. 61-70.

Downloaded from: https://ray.yorksj.ac.uk/id/eprint/5655/

The version presented here may differ from the published version or version of record. If you intend to cite from the work you are advised to consult the publisher's version: https://doi.org/10.5114/cipp.2021.111423

Research at York St John (RaY) is an institutional repository. It supports the principles of open access by making the research outputs of the University available in digital form. Copyright of the items stored in RaY reside with the authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full text items free of charge, and may download a copy for private study or non-commercial research. For further reuse terms, see licence terms governing individual outputs. Institutional Repository Policy Statement

# RaY

Research at the University of York St John For more information please contact RaY at <u>ray@yorksj.ac.uk</u>

1	Influence of personality and emotional competences on academic performance: direct
2	and indirect pathways mediated by perceived stress
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	Min, Y <sup>1</sup> ., Laborde, S <sup>1,2</sup> ., Agnes, S <sup>2</sup> .,
9	&
10	Vaughan, R. S <sup>3</sup> .
11	
12	
13	<sup>1</sup> Normandie Université, UFR Psychologie, EA3918 CERREV, Caen France
14	<sup>2</sup> German Sport University Cologne, Institute of Psychology, Department of Performance
15	Psychology, Germany
16	<sup>3</sup> York St John University, UK
17	
18	
19	
20	Word Count: 4850 inclusive
21	
22	
23	
24	Contact Details: Sylvain Laborde, German Sport University Cologne, Institute of Psychology,
25	Department of Performance Psychology, Germany; Email: s.laborde@dshs-koeln.de

### Abstract

27 Among the factors influencing academic performance (AP), individual differences at the trait level such as personality and emotional competences (EC) have been found to play a 28 29 critical role, similarly to state variables such as perceived stress (PS). The aim of this study was to clarify whether the influence of personality (big five) and EC on AP (general point 30 average) is direct and/or mediated via PS. 537 undergraduate students from a French 31 32 university (112 male and 425 female,  $M_{age} = 19.84$  years,  $SD_{age} = 1.74$  years, range = 18 - 30 years; first year: n = 293 - 55%; second year: n = 162 - 30%, third year: n = 82 - 15%) filled 33 out the test battery around three weeks before final examination. Path analysis showed that 34 35 AP was directly predicted by conscientiousness (+), neuroticism (+), extraversion (-) and perceived stress (-), while perceived stress was predicted by neuroticism (+) and by 36 intrapersonal EC (-). Results illustrate the robust influence of conscientiousness on AP, while 37 38 EC was not found to influence directly AP, but indirectly via its effect on PS.

# Introduction

41	Understanding the predictors of academic performance is of utmost interest for
42	educational researchers, teachers, and of course for students themselves (Droppert et al.,
43	2019; Kim et al., 2017; Stajkovic et al., 2018). Academic performance can be influenced by
44	factors beyond intelligence such as meta-cognitions (Ohtani & Hisasaka, 2018), health (Shaw
45	et al., 2015), class attendance and social support (Kassarnig et al., 2018), behavioral and
46	emotional characteristics (Park et al., 2019), and also by personality traits, e.g., the Big Five
47	(McCrae & Costa, 2008), and emotional dispositions (e.g., Saklofske et al., 2012). The current
48	study focuses on the latter. We aim to clarify whether the influence of the big five and
49	emotional competences (EC) on academic performance is direct, or mediated via a state
50	affective variable, perceived stress.
51	Perceived stress, reflecting an appraisal of the situation where demands tax or
52	overcome resources (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984). University students usually perceive
53	academic life to be stressful and demanding (Hammer et al., 2010; Kausar, 2010; Wan et al.,
54	1992). Specifically, they report experiencing a range of emotional and cognitive reactions to
55	this perceived stress, in particular due to external pressures and self-imposed expectations,
56	involving adjusting to both academic and social demands (Misra & McKean, 2000). In
57	students, perceived stress was found to be negatively associated with academic performance
58	(Duchesne & Larose, 2018; Frazier et al., 2019; Gustems-Carnicer et al., 2019). Among
59	students, perceived stress is also negatively associated with performance-related factors such
60	as coping self-efficacy, resilience, and social support (Frazier et al., 2019). Students
61	perceiving less stress use less avoidant-coping strategies and more problem-focused coping

62 strategies (Gustems-Carnicer et al., 2019). Understanding how individual differences affect

63 perceived stress and appraisal is therefore relevant to understand how to deal with it (Kilby et

al., 2018). In an academic context (Saklofske et al., 2012), the five subcomponents (self-

perception, interpersonal, decision making, self-expression, and stress management) measured with the Emotional Quotient Inventory (Bar-On, 2002) were found to be negatively related to perceived stress, while for the big five, extraversion, agreeableness, and conscientiousness were found to be negatively related, and neuroticism positively related. However, whether perceived stress mediates the relationship between individual differences and academic performance has not yet been examined, thus we aim to address this gap.

According to meta-analyses, the big five has been consistently found to be related to 71 academic performance (Poropat, 2009; Stajkovic et al., 2018; Vedel, 2014). Specifically, a 72 positive association was reported between grade point average and agreeableness, and 73 74 openness, with the strongest relationship found with conscientiousness. Conscientious 75 students usually show greater motivation and effort toward their studies (Chamorro-Premuzic & Furnham, 2014; De Raad & Schouwenburg, 1996). Neuroticism and extraversion seem to 76 77 be less connected with academic achievement, and hypotheses about potential connections are rather ambiguous (Tetzner et al., 2019). Among the big five traits, neuroticism may be most 78 79 relevant when considering potential mediation via perceived stress, while the other traits may be mediated by other mechanisms. Neuroticism is expected to increase perceived stress in 80 81 students due to focusing on negative affectivity (Schmidt et al., 2013), which may in turn 82 influence negatively academic performance.

EC refer to how individuals differ in the way they identify, express, understand, regulate, and use own (i.e., intrapersonal) and others' (i.e., interpersonal) emotions (Brasseur et al., 2013). They are assessed with self-report measures such as the profile of emotional competences (PEC; Brasseur et al., 2013). The theory of EC builds on emotional intelligence (EI) research, but uses the concept of competences, given competences contrary to intelligence can be taught and learned. The current study is to our knowledge, the first based on the theory of EC using the PEC to investigate its relationship with academic performance.

To date, previous research showed that trait EI was related positively to academic 90 91 performance, as found in a meta-analysis (Perera & DiGiacomo, 2013). Several pathways 92 have been suggested to explain this relationship (Perera, 2016), specifically its association with perceived stress (Laborde et al., 2010; Watson & Watson, 2016). 93 Regarding the respective influence of the big five and EC on academic performance, 94 previous research showed that trait EI - measured via self-report - (Di Fabio & Palazzeschi, 95 2009, 2015; Downey et al., 2013; Mancini et al., 2017; Saklofske et al., 2012; Siegling et al., 96 2015) and ability EI - measured with performance tests - (Di Fabio & Palazzeschi, 2009) 97 usually predict additional academic performance variance beyond the big five. Trait EI was 98 99 also found to predict academic motivation beyond the big five (Siegling et al., 2015). Some 100 contrary evidence exists as well, for example, academic performance was predicted by conscientiousness and openness positively, and neuroticism negatively, while only one of the 101 102 EI subcomponents (adaptability) was found to be related to academic performance, with a small effect size (Saklofske et al., 2012). Two drawbacks can be identified in this line of 103 research: first, so far, differences between intrapersonal and interpersonal EC on the way they 104 influence academic performance has received little attention (for an exception see Saklofske 105 106 et al., 2012), while this may help to better understand how EC may be related to academic 107 performance. Second, potential mediators were not taken into account, and we focus in this 108 research on perceived stress.

To sum up, this study aims to clarify the pathways linking the big five and EC to academic performance, and to clarify whether some of these relationships may be mediated via perceived stress. Using path analysis and based on theory and on previous research findings, we hypothesize that for the big five and academic performance, direct positive relationships with conscientiousness, agreeableness, and openness will be found (Poropat, 2009; Vedel, 2014), while no direct relationships are expected with neuroticism and

115	extraversion. In addition, we predicted that neuroticism will have an influence on academic
116	performance via perceived stress (Schmidt et al., 2013; Tetzner et al., 2019). Regarding
117	intrapersonal and interpersonal EC, we hypothesize both a direct pathway to academic
118	performance as well as an indirect pathway mediated via perceived stress (Brasseur et al.,
119	2013; Di Fabio & Palazzeschi, 2009, 2015; Downey et al., 2013; Mancini et al., 2017).
120	Method
121	Participants
122	537 undergraduate psychology students from a French university (112 male and 425
123	female, $M_{age} = 19.84$ years, $SD_{age} = 1.74$ years, range = 18 - 30 years; first year: $n = 293 - 100$
124	55%; second year: $n = 162 - 30\%$ , third year: $n = 82 - 15\%$ ) participated in the study and
125	gave permission for their exam results to be retrieved at the end of the academic year. In order
126	to determine mediation effects with bias-corrected bootstrapping, Fritz and Mackinnon (2007)
127	recommend a minimum sample of 400 participants for medium indirect effects. The study
128	was approved by the Ethics committee of the local university (N $^{\circ}$ 07/2017).
129	Materials
130	The Profile of Emotional Competences (PEC; Brasseur et al., 2013)
131	The PEC comprises 50 items and encompasses 10 subscales (intrapersonal
132	identification, intrapersonal expression, intrapersonal comprehension, intrapersonal
133	regulation, intrapersonal utilization, interpersonal identification, interpersonal expression,
134	interpersonal comprehension, interpersonal regulation and interpersonal utilization) of 5 items
135	each, grouped into two factors (intrapersonal EC and interpersonal EC) and one global EC
136	score. Each item consists of a short statement, to which participants are asked to indicate how
137	closely they identify using a five-point scale, from 1 "The proposal does not fit you at all or
138	that you never react in this way" to 5 "you recognize yourself completely in what is described

or that it happens to you very often". Sample items are: "I use my feelings to improve my

choices in life" or "I feel uncomfortable if people tell me about their problems, so I try toavoid it".

#### 142 Big-Five Inventory (Plaisant et al., 2010)

The French version of the Big Five Inventory (Plaisant et al., 2010) is a 45-item self-143 reported scale, reflecting the five main dimensions: extraversion, conscientiousness, openness, 144 agreeableness, and neuroticism. The Big Five Inventory French version (BFI-Fr) does not use 145 single adjectives as items because such items are answered less consistently than when they 146 are accompanied by definitions or elaboration. It uses 45 short phrases based on the trait 147 adjectives known to be prototypical markers of the Big Five. Each item consists of a short 148 149 statement begin with "I see myself as someone who...", to which participants are asked to indicate how closely they identify using a five-point scale, from 1 "Disagree strongly" to 5 150 "Agree strongly". Sample items are: "Tends to be lazy" or "Can be somewhat careless". 151

# 152 Perceived Stress Scale (PSS; Bellinghausen et al., 2009)

153 Compared to the original 14-item scale (Cohen et al., 1983), this 10-item version of 154 the French adaptation is validated within the French working population. The scale comprises 155 two distinct factors: perceived work overload and perceived personal efficacy. Each item 156 consists of a short statement, to which participants are asked to indicate how often they felt or 157 thought a certain way by using a five-point scale, from 1 "Never" to 5 "Very often". Sample 158 items are: "In the last month, how often have you felt that things were going your way?" or 159 "In the last month, how often have you felt nervous and stressed?".

160 **Procedure** 

A convenience sampling procedure was used in 2018. The students participated in the study during class settings around three weeks before end of year examination. The survey included the instruments listed above, a set of demographic questions, and a section where students could give their student ID number and allow this to be used to retrieve their final

165	result (grade point average) at the end of academic year. Students gave also their permission
166	for their end-of-the-year grade to be accessed. The grade point average was based on a scale
167	from 0 to 20, and corresponds to the average of exam results related to a certain number of
168	subjects (see Table 1 for the detail of the subjects). For the first and second academic year,
169	there were 8 exams counting each for 6 ECTS (European Credit Transfer and Accumulation
170	System), and 6 exams counting for 2 ECTS each. In the third academic year, there were 10
171	exams counting for 6 ECTS each. The full description of subjects can be seen in Table 1. The
172	full test battery took around 20 minutes to complete. Students were informed that
173	participation in the study was voluntary, and that they could withdraw their participation in
174	the study at any point without giving explanations, and without consequences.
175	Insert Table 1 here
176	Data analysis
177	First zero-order Pearson correlations were computed. Then we tested the hypothesized
178	model via path analysis with the software AMOS 22.0 (see Figure 1). Goodness of fit was
179	assessed with the $\chi^2$ index, the Comparative Fit Index (CFI), the Tucker Lewis Index (TLI),
180	the standardized root mean square residual (SRMR), and the root mean square error of
181	approximation (RMSEA). Following recommendations (Hu & Bentler, 1999), values below
182	0.08 for the SRMR and below 0.06 for the RMSEA show an acceptable fit. Regarding CFI
183	and TLI, values higher than 0.95 indicate an acceptable model fit (Hu & Bentler, 1999). In
184	addition we provide the $\chi^2$ value as a subjective index of fit, with small values indicating a
185	good fit (Jöreskog, 1993).
186	Insert Figure 1 here
187	Results
188	All variables indicated acceptable internal consistency scores. Full descriptive
189	statistics and zero order correlations can be seen in Table 1. Zero-order correlations indicated

190	that four variables were significantly related to academic performance: conscientiousness (r
191	= .34, $p < .001$ ), extraversion ( $r =10$ , $p = .020$ ), neuroticism ( $r = .12$ , $p = .004$ ), and
192	perceived stress ( $r =10$ , $p = .020$ ). No correlations were found with global EC, inter-EC or
193	intra-EC and academic performance ( $p > .05$ ). However, they were correlated with perceived
194	stress, for global EC ( $r =37$ , $p < .001$ ), intra-EC ( $r =48$ , $p < .001$ ), and inter-EC ( $r =12$ ,
195	p = .006).

# Insert Table 2 here

The hypothesized model did not yield satisfactory fit. Based on estimates and 197 modifications indices suggestions fitting our theoretical background, we adapted the 198 hypothesized model (see Figure 2). The final model fit was  $\chi^2(5) = 19.544$ , CFI = .98, TLI 199 = .93, RMSEA = .07, SRMR = .04. Path analysis showed that academic performance was 200 directly predicted by (standardized estimates are provided): conscientiousness (.33), 201 202 neuroticism (.21), extraversion (-.11) and perceived stress (-.18), while perceived stress was predicted positively via neuroticism (.46) and negatively by intrapersonal emotional 203 competences (-.24). 204 Insert Figure 2 here 205 206 Discussion

207 Our study aimed to investigate the direct influence of the big five traits and emotional 208 competences on academic performance, as well as a potential mediation via perceived stress. Specifically, we hypothesized a direct pathway to academic performance for three of the big 209 210 five traits (i.e., openness, agreeableness, and conscientiousness) as well as for intra- and interpersonal emotional competences, and an indirect pathway for neuroticism, as well as for 211 212 intra- and interpersonal emotional competences via perceived stress. As our hypothesized 213 model did not show a good fit to the data, we refined our model based on estimates and modification indices analysis suggested by AMOS, in line with our theoretical background. 214

The final model showed a direct positive pathway between academic performance with conscientiousness, neuroticism, and a direct negative pathway with extraversion and perceived stress. In addition, an indirect pathway was found with perceived stress, predicted positively by neuroticism and negatively by intrapersonal emotional competences.

The findings regarding the direct pathway between conscientiousness and academic 219 performance is the most robust of the literature (Poropat, 2009; Stajkovic et al., 2018; Vedel, 220 221 2014). Regarding extraversion and neuroticism, their relationship with academic performance is considered as rather ambiguous (Tetzner et al., 2019). For extraversion, it may be that the 222 223 negative relationship found here could be explained by the fact extroverted students may be 224 distracted by non-relevant academic tasks (Bidjerano & Dai, 2007). The positive relationship 225 with neuroticism may be explained by the additional efforts put by students high in neuroticism into exam preparation in order to cope with a potential threatening event 226 227 (Rosander et al., 2011). The fact that openness and agreeableness did not appear as predictors 228 in our sample, contrary with what was found in previous meta-analyses (Poropat, 2009; 229 Vedel, 2014), may be due to the fact that the characteristics of the end of year exams (e.g., mostly multiple choice questionnaires) did not rely on aspects related to openness such as 230 231 curiosity (Gatzka & Hell, 2018), or regarding agreeableness that cooperation with peers and teachers had little influence on exam results (Miller et al., 2003). 232

The negative relationship between perceived stress and academic performance is in line with previous research (Duchesne & Larose, 2018; Frazier et al., 2019; Gustems-Carnicer et al., 2019). Pre-stress examination may be detrimental to academic performance in that it hinders learning and memory retrieval during the exam. Two traits were found to influence perceived stress, negatively with intrapersonal EC, and positively with neuroticism. Dealing optimally with one's own emotions may certainly help in reducing perceived stress, with the implementation of effective coping strategies (Saklofske et al., 2012); while dealing with

others' emotions was not found to have any influence here, which is potentially linked to the 240 finding with agreeableness noted above. Regarding neuroticism, the focus on negative 241 affectivity tends to increase perceived stress (Schmidt et al., 2013), potentially due to 242 increased anxiety and negative cognitions (Gallagher, 1990). The case of neuroticism is 243 244 interesting, given it was found to have either a positive direct influence on academic performance, and a negative influence when mediated via perceived stress, which speaks for 245 the ambiguity of the relationship between neuroticism and academic performance as pointed 246 247 out in previous research (Tetzner et al., 2019).

Regarding the relationship between EC and academic performance, no direct 248 249 relationship was found, contrary to previous research with EI (Di Fabio & Palazzeschi, 2009, 250 2015; Downey et al., 2013; Mancini et al., 2017; Perera & DiGiacomo, 2013). Our findings are rather similar to the ones of Saklofske et al. (2012), who found that academic performance 251 252 was more associated to the big five traits than with EI. Future research has to investigate whether the questionnaires used to assess EI/EC may play a role in the findings, given they 253 reflect different theoretical backgrounds (Laborde & Allen, 2016). Also differentiating self-254 report (trait perspective) and performance measures (ability perspective) of EC may prove 255 256 helpful, given previous research showed that ability EI predicted academic performance more 257 in comparison to trait EI (Di Fabio & Palazzeschi, 2009).

The main limitations of our study is that we did not control for cognitive ability (Meyer et al., 2019; Ohtani & Hisasaka, 2018) or previous academic performance (Thomas et al., 2017). Further, only psychology students of one university took part to this study, which makes it difficult to generalize the findings regarding academic performance. Additionally, we could not check the distribution of achievement for each separate subject constituting the grade point average. This issue should be investigated in future research, given different emotion regulation factors will be involved in challenging (i.e., where few students achieve

high grades) vs. less challenging exams (i.e., where most students achieve high grades).

266 Finally, our design was cross-sectional, which impedes any causal interpretation of the data.

#### 267 Conclusion

Our study investigated the influence of the big five and EC on academic performance, 268 and specifically whether the relationship with specific traits would be mediated via perceived 269 stress. We found that academic performance was directly predicted by conscientiousness (+), 270 neuroticism (+), extraversion (-) and perceived stress (-), while perceived stress was predicted 271 by neuroticism (+) and by intrapersonal emotional competences (-). Future research should 272 clarify whether these results extend to other samples, and also to which extent the EI/EC 273 274 assessment (choice of instrument; self-report vs. performance test) influences the results. 275 These findings provide a further understanding about how individual differences may influence academic performance, and may therefore inform the development of interventions, 276 identifying the students who may benefit most from a stress management intervention to 277 prepare them for exams and future related outcomes. 278

At the practical level, the development of stress management interventions can be 279 informed by the findings of a recent meta-analysis (Amanvermez et al., 2020) showing that 280 stress management interventions for college students were particularly effective in reducing 281 282 stress, depression, and anxiety, and specifically for students reporting high-stress levels. Based on the categorization used in this meta-analysis, the stress management interventions 283 may target the following aspects: cognitive-behavioral therapy with for example cognitive 284 restructuring and stress inoculation; third-wave concepts<sup>1</sup> focusing on acceptance, defusion, 285 values, and mindfulness; mind-body interventions, including meditation, muscle relaxation, 286

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In short, first wave therapy refers to the first "wave" of scientifically-based psychotherapy, and corresponds to behavioral therapy as developed in the 1950's, second wave therapy refers to cognitive behavioral therapy as developed in the 1970's, and third wave therapy is seen as an evolution of traditional cognitive behavioral therapy emphasizing contextual and experiential change strategies in addition to more direct and didactive ones (for a detailed discussion, see Ost, 2008).

287	breathing exercises, guided imaginary techniques, and biofeedback, and finally skills training
288	interventions focusing at improving social, academic, or coping skills. As we see, the range of
289	potential stress interventions addressing students' needs is quite large. Although some of them
290	could be potentially learned autonomously by the students themselves, we would strongly
291	recommend educational institutions and universities to provide a dedicated support service to
292	help students coping with stress, given the impact it has on their academic performance.
293	
294	References
295 296 297	Amanvermez, Y., Rahmadiana, M., Karyotaki, E., de Wit, L., Ebert, D. D., Kessler, R. C., & Cuijpers, P. (2020). Stress management interventions for college students: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice</i> . <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/cpsp.12342</u>
298 299 300	Bar-On, R. (2002). Bar-On Emotional Quotient Inventory: Short. Technical manual. Multi-Health Systems.
301 302 303 304 305	Bellinghausen, L., Collange, J., Botella, M., Emery, JL., & Albert, É. (2009). Validation factorielle de l'échelle française de stress perçu en milieu professionnel [Factorial Validation of the French Scale for Perceived Stress in the Workplace]. Santé Publique, 21(4), 365-373. <u>https://doi.org/10.3917/spub.094.0365</u>
306 307 308 309	Bidjerano, T., & Dai, D. Y. (2007). The relationship between the big-five model of personality and self-regulated learning strategies. <i>Learning and Individual Differences</i> , 17(1), 69-81. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2007.02.001</u>
310 311 312 313 314	Brasseur, S., Grégoire, J., Bourdu, R., & Mikolajczak, M. (2013). The Profile of Emotional Competence (PEC): development and validation of a self-reported measure that fits dimensions of emotional competence theory. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , <i>8</i> , 1-8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0062635</u>
315 316 317	Chamorro-Premuzic, T., & Furnham, A. (2014). <i>Personality and Intellectual Competence</i> . Taylor & Francis. <u>https://books.google.fr/books?id=M0t4AgAAQBAJ</u>
318 319 320	Cohen, S., Kamarck, T., & Mermelstein, R. (1983). A Global Measure of Perceived Stress. <i>Journal of Health and Social Behavior</i> , 24(4), 385-396. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/2136404</u>
321 322 323 324	De Raad, B., & Schouwenburg, H. C. (1996). Personality in Learning and Education: A Review. <i>European Journal of Personality</i> , 10(5), 303-336. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1099-0984(199612)10:5</u> <303::aid-per262>3.0.co;2-2
325	

326 327 328	Di Fabio, A., & Palazzeschi, L. (2009). An in-depth look at scholastic success: Fluid intelligence, personality traits or emotional intelligence? <i>Personality and Individual Differences</i> , 46(5-6), 581-585. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2008.12.012</u>
329 330 331 332	Di Fabio, A., & Palazzeschi, L. (2015). Beyond fluid intelligence and personality traits in scholastic success: Trait emotional intelligence. <i>Learning and Individual Differences</i> , 40, 121-126. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2015.04.001</u>
333 334 335 336	Downey, L. A., Lomas, J., Billings, C., Hansen, K., & Stough, C. (2013). Scholastic Success. Canadian Journal of School Psychology, 29(1), 40-53. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0829573513505411</u>
337 338 339 340 341	Droppert, K., Downey, L., Lomas, J., Bunnett, E. R., Simmons, N., Wheaton, A., Nield, C., & Stough, C. (2019). Differentiating the contributions of emotional intelligence and resilience on adolescent male scholastic performance. <i>Personality and Individual Differences</i> , 145, 75-81. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2019.03.023</u>
342 343 344 345	Duchesne, S., & Larose, S. (2018). Academic competence and achievement goals: Self-pressure and disruptive behaviors as mediators. <i>Learning and Individual Differences</i> , 68, 41-50. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2018.09.008</u>
346 347 348 349	Frazier, P., Gabriel, A., Merians, A., & Lust, K. (2019, Aug-Sep). Understanding stress as an impediment to academic performance. <i>Journal of American College Health</i> , 67(6), 562-570. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2018.1499649</u>
350 351 352	Fritz, M. S., & Mackinnon, D. P. (2007, Mar). Required sample size to detect the mediated effect. <i>Psychological Science</i> , 18(3), 233-239. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2007.01882.x</u>
353 354 355 356	Gallagher, D. J. (1990). Extraversion, neuroticism and appraisal of stressful academic events. <i>Personality and Individual Differences, 11</i> (10), 1053-1057. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869(90)90133-c</u>
357 358 359 360	Gatzka, T., & Hell, B. (2018). Openness and postsecondary academic performance: A meta-analysis of facet-, aspect-, and dimension-level correlations. <i>Journal of Educational Psychology</i> , <i>110</i> (3), 355-377. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000194</u>
361 362 363 364	Gustems-Carnicer, J., Calderón, C., & Calderón-Garrido, D. (2019). Stress, coping strategies and academic achievement in teacher education students. <i>European Journal of Teacher Education</i> , 42(3), 375-390. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2019.1576629</u>
365 366 367 368	Hammer, L. B., Grigsby, T. D., & Woods, S. (2010). The Conflicting Demands of Work, Family, and School Among Students at an Urban University. <i>The Journal of Psychology</i> , 132(2), 220-226. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00223989809599161</u>
369 370 371 372	<ul> <li>Hu, L. t., &amp; Bentler, P. M. (1999, 1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. <i>Structural Equation Modeling: A</i> <i>Multidisciplinary Journal</i>, 6(1), 1-55. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10705519909540118</u></li> </ul>

373 374 375	Jöreskog, K. G. (1993). Testing structural equation models. In K. A. Bollen & J. S. Long (Eds.), <i>Testing structural equation models</i> (pp. 294-316). Sage.
376 377 378 379	<ul> <li>Kassarnig, V., Mones, E., Bjerre-Nielsen, A., Sapiezynski, P., Dreyer Lassen, D., &amp; Lehmann, S. (2018, 2018/04/24). Academic performance and behavioral patterns. <i>EPJ Data Science</i>, 7(1), 10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1140/epjds/s13688-018-0138-8</u></li> </ul>
380 381 382	Kausar, R. (2010). Perceived Stress, Academic Workloads and Use of Coping Strategies by University Students. <i>Journal of Behavioral Sciences</i> , 20, 31-45.
383 384 385 386	Kilby, C. J., Sherman, K. A., & Wuthrich, V. (2018). Towards understanding interindividual differences in stressor appraisals: A systematic review. <i>Personality and Individual</i> <i>Differences</i> , 135, 92-100. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2018.07.001</u>
387 388 389 390	Kim, S., Fernandez, S., & Terrier, L. (2017). Procrastination, personality traits, and academic performance: When active and passive procrastination tell a different story. <i>Personality and</i> <i>Individual Differences</i> , 108, 154-157. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2016.12.021</u>
391 392 393	Laborde, S., & Allen, M. S. (2016). Comment: Measurement and the Interpretation of Trait EI Research. <i>Emotion Review</i> . <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073916650498</u>
394 395 396 397	Laborde, S., Dosseville, F., & Scelles, N. (2010). Trait emotional intelligence and preference for intuition and deliberation: Respective influence on academic performance. <i>Personality and</i> <i>Individual Differences</i> , 49(7), 784-788. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2010.06.031</u>
398 399	Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). Stress, appraisal and coping. Springer.
400 401 402 403 404	Mancini, G., Andrei, F., Mazzoni, E., Biolcati, R., Baldaro, B., & Trombini, E. (2017, Aug). Brief report: Trait emotional intelligence, peer nominations, and scholastic achievement in adolescence. <i>Journal of Adolescence</i> , 59, 129-133. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2017.05.020</u>
405 406 407 408	McCrae, R. R., & Costa, P. T. (2008). The five-factor theory of personality. In O. P. John, R. W. Robins, & L. A. Pervin (Eds.), <i>Handbook of personality: Theory and research</i> (3rd ed., pp. 159-181). Guilford Press.
409 410 411 412	Meyer, J., Fleckenstein, J., Retelsdorf, J., & Köller, O. (2019). The relationship of personality traits and different measures of domain-specific achievement in upper secondary education. <i>Learning and Individual Differences</i> , 69, 45-59. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2018.11.005</u>
413 414 415 416	Miller, J. D., Lynam, D., & Leukefeld, C. (2003). Examining antisocial behavior through the lens of the five factor model of personality. <i>Aggressive Behavior</i> , 29(6), 497-514. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ab.10064</u>
417 418 419	Misra, R., & McKean, M. (2000). College students' academic stress and its relation to their anxiety, time management, and leisure satisfaction. <i>American Journal of Health Studies, 16</i> (1), 41-51.

420 421 422 423	Ohtani, K., & Hisasaka, T. (2018, 08/01). Beyond intelligence: a meta-analytic review of the relationship among metacognition, intelligence, and academic performance. <i>Metacognition and Learning</i> , <i>13</i> , 1-34. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11409-018-9183-8</u>
424 425 426 427 428	Park, Y., Seo, D. G., Park, J., Kim, B., & Choi, J. (2019). The influence of behavioral and emotional characteristics on academic achievement of middle school students: A growth modeling approach. <i>School Psychology International</i> , 40(5), 433-455. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0143034319853010</u>
429 430 431 432	Perera, H. N. (2016, 2016/02/17). The Role of Trait Emotional Intelligence in Academic Performance: Theoretical Overview and Empirical Update. <i>The Journal of Psychology</i> , 150(2), 229-251. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00223980.2015.1079161</u>
433 434 435 436	Perera, H. N., & DiGiacomo, M. (2013). The relationship of trait emotional intelligence with academic performance: A meta-analytic review. <i>Learning and Individual Differences</i> , 28, 20-33. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2013.08.002</u>
437 438 439 440 441	Plaisant, O., Courtois, R., Réveillère, C., Mendelsohn, G. A. A., John, O. P. P., & Guertault, J. (2010). Validation par analyse factorielle du Big Five Inventory français (BFI-Fr). Analyse convergente avec le NEO-PI-R. <i>Annales Médico-psychologiques, revue psychiatrique, 168</i> , 97-106. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amp.2009.09.003</u>
442 443 444	Poropat, A. E. (2009, Mar). A meta-analysis of the five-factor model of personality and academic performance. <i>Psychol Bull</i> , 135(2), 322-338. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014996</u>
445 446 447 448	Rosander, P., Bäckström, M., & Stenberg, G. (2011). Personality traits and general intelligence as predictors of academic performance: A structural equation modelling approach. <i>Learning and</i> <i>Individual Differences</i> , 21(5), 590-596. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2011.04.004</u>
449 450 451 452 453	Saklofske, D. H., Austin, E. J., Mastoras, S. M., Beaton, L., & Osborne, S. E. (2012). Relationships of personality, affect, emotional intelligence and coping with student stress and academic success: Different patterns of association for stress and success. <i>Learning and Individual</i> <i>Differences</i> , 22, 251-257.
454 455 456 457	Schmidt, L. I., Sieverding, M., Scheiter, F., & Obergfell, J. (2013). Predicting and explaining students' stress with the Demand–Control Model: does neuroticism also matter? <i>Educational</i> <i>Psychology</i> , 35(4), 449-465. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2013.857010</u>
458 459 460 461	Shaw, S. R., Gomes, P., Polotskaia, A., & Jankowska, A. M. (2015). The relationship between student health and academic performance: Implications for school psychologists. <i>School Psychology</i> <i>International</i> , 36(2), 115-134. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0143034314565425</u>
462 463 464 465	Siegling, A. B., Vesely, A. K., Petrides, K. V., & Saklofske, D. H. (2015, Apr 1). Incremental Validity of the Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire-Short Form (TEIQue-SF). <i>Journal of</i> <i>Personality Assessment</i> , 1-11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00223891.2015.1013219</u>
466	

467 468 469 470	Stajkovic, A. D., Bandura, A., Locke, E. A., Lee, D., & Sergent, K. (2018). Test of three conceptual models of influence of the big five personality traits and self-efficacy on academic performance: A meta-analytic path-analysis. <i>Personality and Individual Differences</i> , 120, 238- 245. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2017.08.014</u>
471 472 473 474	Tetzner, J., Becker, M., & Brandt, N. D. (2019, Jun 7). Personality-achievement associations in adolescence-examining associations across grade levels and learning environments. <i>Journal of</i> <i>Personality</i> . <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jopy.12495</u>
475 476 477 478	Thomas, C. L., Cassady, J. C., & Heller, M. L. (2017). The influence of emotional intelligence, cognitive test anxiety, and coping strategies on undergraduate academic performance. <i>Learning and Individual Differences</i> , 55, 40-48. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2017.03.001</u>
479 480 481 482	Vedel, A. (2014). The Big Five and tertiary academic performance: A systematic review and meta- analysis. <i>Personality and Individual Differences</i> , 71, 66-76. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2014.07.011</u>
483 484 485 486	Wan, Ty., Chapman, D. W., & Biggs, D. A. (1992). Academic stress of international students attending U.S. universities. <i>Research in Higher Education</i> , 33(5), 607-623. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00973761</u>
487 488 489 490	Watson, J. C., & Watson, A. A. (2016). Coping Self-Efficacy and Academic Stress Among Hispanic First-Year College Students: The Moderating Role of Emotional Intelligence. <i>Journal of</i> <i>College Counseling</i> , 19(3), 218-230. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jocc.12045</u>
491	
492	