

Est.
1841

YORK
ST JOHN
UNIVERSITY

Rand, Jane ORCID logoORCID:

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2314-6761> (2015) The significance of practice-based research: from personal understanding to shared knowledge. In: Value and Virtue Conference 2015, 9-10 June 2015, York St John University.

Downloaded from: <https://ray.yorks.ac.uk/id/eprint/588/>

Research at York St John (RaY) is an institutional repository. It supports the principles of open access by making the research outputs of the University available in digital form. Copyright of the items stored in RaY reside with the authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full text items free of charge, and may download a copy for private study or non-commercial research. For further reuse terms, see licence terms governing individual outputs. [Institutional Repository Policy Statement](#)

RaY

Research at the University of York St John

For more information please contact RaY at ray@yorks.ac.uk

The significance of practice-based research: from personal understanding to shared knowledge.

Jane Rand

Action-oriented research strategies are built upon collaborative and reflexive development of understanding (Pedler, Burgoyne and Brook, 2005). Two such strategies – action *research* and (critical reflection) action *learning* – share characteristics and values, but are differentiated by their primary aim:

Research is a form of learning which is more systematic and rigorous, and its outcomes are normally made public. The outcomes of learning are usually confined to the individual or fellow members of the learning group or class...[A]ll action research projects are, then, action learning projects but the converse does not hold true (Kember, 2000:35).

Fundamental to both approaches are 'the principles of praxis, process, proactivity and reflexivity' (Rigg and Trehan, 2004: 152), and their strength lies in their ability to turn personal *understanding* into shared *knowledge* (Bourner & Simpson, 2005: 145, original emphasis) – to influence others, and others' practice.

There is a space, and a voice, in 21st century research for both approaches, for example through the development of professional postgraduate taught programmes, through those who champion practice-oriented postgraduate research, and through conferences such as this. To further strengthen that voice my presentation will focus on the work of David Coghlan and Mike Pedler (2006), in which they make a case for three criteria for evaluating the quality of action (learning) dissertations: (i) evidence of real problems being addressed, (ii) action being taken, and (iii) learning taking place.

My view is that these criteria are applicable to *any* action-oriented research, but it is the third criterion which underpins the conference theme of *significance* – the impact our research has, and the extent of its influence. Coghlan and Pedler (2006) offer three lenses through which to consider this criterion: (i) personal-learning, (ii) practitioner-learning and (iii) wider system-learning.

This presentation will explore ways in which I have considered my own research through these lenses, and provide an opportunity for me to evaluate collaboratively the significance of my research to date: 'in the good company of those who can help to explore emergent issues with fresh questions' (Simpson and Bourner, 2007: 184). Through this I hope we can learn together, develop a shared understanding and, rather than confine the outcomes of our learning to our group, propose ways in which we might share knowledge of ways to enhance the influence and impact of practice-oriented research.

References

- Bourner, T. and Simpson, P. (2005) 'Practitioner-centred research and the Ph.D', *Action Learning: Research and Practice*, 2(2): 133–151.
- Coghlan, D. and Pedler, M. (2006) 'Action learning dissertations: structure, supervision and examination', *Action Learning Research and Practice*, 3(2): 127–139.
- Pedler, M., Burgoyne, J. and Brook, C. (2005) 'What has action learning learned to become?', *Action Learning: Research and Practice*, 2(1): 49–68.
- Kember, D. (2000) *Action Learning and Action Research. Improving the quality of teaching and learning*. London: Kogan Page.
- Rigg, C. and Trehan, K. (2004) 'Reflections on working with critical action learning', *Action Learning: Research and Practice*, 1(2): 149–163.
- Simpson, P. and Bourner, T. (2007) 'What action learning is not in the twenty-first century', *Action Learning: Research and Practice*, 4 (2): 173–187.

