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**Abstract:** In 2016, two unexpected election results shook the US and the UK: the successful presidential campaign of Donald Trump and the victory of leave campaigners in Britain. Commentary on both events highlighted the anti-immigration rhetoric and the rise of populism: the kind of populism that seeks to mobilize the ‘common’ people against the privileged elites and those deemed to be unwanted outsiders. What was missing from the majority of pre- and post-election coverage was feminist analysis of the patriarchal nature of the campaigns and their impact on women, especially religious women. By drawing on available reports of both election campaigns and views expressed by mainstream and fringe Christian leaders and theologians, this chapter explores the underlying misogyny that led to large numbers of White evangelical women voting for President Trump, despite his openly sexist and racist behavior, and against the growth of the hashtag #whitechurchquiet. Likewise, male voices in political and Christian circles dominated in the lead up to the UK’s referendum on EU membership; yet, women are more likely to suffer from the impacts of post-Brexit austerity and the rise in religious hate crime. Hence, this chapter considers the gendered implications of Christian rhetoric and theology in Britain, including that of current Prime Minister Boris Johnson, and its impact on Muslim women in particular. The chapter concludes that the persistence of White Christian patriarchy and its entanglement with right-wing populism (including QAnon conspiracy theories) is evident in responses to Black Lives Matter protests and COVID-19, and, yet, is clearly at odds with liberation theology’s preferential option for poor.
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In 2016, two unexpected election results shook the US and the UK (or, rather, the results horrified half of the population and delighted the other half): Donald Trump became President of the US and Britons voted to leave the European Union in a referendum spearheaded by the UK Independence Party and Nigel Farage (now leader of the Brexit Party/Reform UK). Media and political commentary on both the presidential election campaign in the US and the leave campaign in the UK made frequent references to the rise of populism. Populism can, of course, be left-wing or right-wing and can take many forms from militarism to pacifism, conservatism to socialism. From an examination of contemporary populist movements, Dutch political scientist, Cas Mudde, defines populism as: “*an ideology that considers society to be ultimately separated into two homogenous and antagonistic groups, ‘the pure people’ versus the ‘corrupt elite,’ and which argues that politics should be an expression of the* volonté générale *(general will) of the people*.”[[1]](#footnote-1) He notes that these movements are frequently facilitated by a charismatic leader, who claims to stand with the people and against the establishment, challenging taboos and capitalizing on a perceived threat to a particular way of life.[[2]](#footnote-2) In other words, contemporary populist parties claim to be speaking on behalf of the “silent majority” who feel threatened by government regulation, culture change, and incoming migrants.[[3]](#footnote-3)

We do not have to look very hard to find evidence of these markers in the rhetoric used by Donald Trump and Nigel Farage. Trump’s campaign slogan “Make America Great Again” suggested a nostalgia for a lost way of life; his promise to “drain the swamp” implied that there is corruption at the heart of government; his promise to “build a wall” portrayed immigrants as threatening the way of life for resident Americans; while his attack on the establishment included inciting the “lock her up” chant aimed at Hillary Clinton and the accusation that journalists pedal “fake news” and are “the enemy of the American people.”[[4]](#footnote-4) Despite being a billionaire, Trump portrays himself as an outsider in relation to the corrupt elite and, therefore, is viewed by supporters as a spokesman for the forgotten people.[[5]](#footnote-5)

Similarly, Farage glosses over his background as a merchant banker, preferring to be pictured in a pub with a pint of ale. His supporters claim that he is “in touch with” and “stands up for ordinary people”;[[6]](#footnote-6) in fact, he referred to UKIP supporters and now refers to Brexiteers as “The People’s Army.”[[7]](#footnote-7) Like Trump, Farage employs nostalgia and anti-establishment tropes referring to out-of-touch politicians and an inefficient, corrupt and undemocratic European Union; he blames the EU for, in his words, “flooding” the UK with migrants,[[8]](#footnote-8) and, thus, maintains that leaving the EU will re-establish national sovereignty, restore Britishness (which seems to mean Englishness),[[9]](#footnote-9) and will halt what he terms “Islamification.”[[10]](#footnote-10)

Through an exploration of the aforementioned campaigns, this chapter engages in a critical analysis of the rhetoric used by politicians and church leaders, and its effect on women. Moreover, by exposing the gendered impact of austerity and the misogyny of White Christianity, the chapter finds that theologians need to do more to challenge government policies that exacerbate poverty and to critique patriarchal theology that diminishes women’s voices and concerns. In keeping with liberation theology, the preferential option for the poor requires that church leaders mount a robust response to right-wing populism and the co-opting of evangelical Christianity for political gain. Moreover, an effective response necessitates self-reflection on and redressing of White male privilege, so as to eradicate sexism and racism.

**Donald Trump and White Evangelicals**[[11]](#footnote-11)

Following the successful election campaign of Donald Trump to become President of the US, terms such as “fake news,”[[12]](#footnote-12) “post-truth,”[[13]](#footnote-13) and “alternative facts”[[14]](#footnote-14) became commonplace. Analysis by the fact-checking site Politifact revealed that “144 of Trump’s 274 fact-checked statements [were] false” compared with only “33 of Clinton’s 263 fact-checked statements.”[[15]](#footnote-15) Trump’s lies were no secret, yet, in spite of the Christian emphasis on truthfulness to be found in biblical verses such as “and you will know the truth, and the truth will make you free” (Jn 8:32, NRSV) and the commandment not to lie: “you shall not bear false witness” (Exod. 20:16, NRSV), much of his electoral support came from and is still found amongst White evangelical communities.[[16]](#footnote-16) An unlikely association between evangelicals and Republicans can be traced back four decades to the presidential campaign of Ronald Reagan. This union is somewhat surprising considering Reagan’s initially liberal stance on abortion and same-sex relations; nevertheless, he garnered evangelical support by declaring himself to be pro-life and a holder of traditional moral values.[[17]](#footnote-17) As Daniel Williams recalls: “In 1980, 67 percent of white evangelical voters supported Reagan, and when he ran for reelection in 1984, that figure increased to 76 percent.”[[18]](#footnote-18) Under the Reagan Administration, nearly 90,000 people died of AIDS while pleas for presidential action went unheeded, same-sex marriage remained illegal and the ‘gag-rule’ was introduced preventing healthcare professionals who receive federal funds from discussing abortion or referring pregnant women to abortion providers. Furthermore, Reagan’s racist stance cannot be ignored. He opposed the Civil Rights Act and openly supported South African apartheid, a regime which former Archbishop Desmond Tutu calls “evil and unchristian.”[[19]](#footnote-19) Tutu is unequivocal in his disdain for Reagan whom he describes as “the pits as far as blacks are concerned.”[[20]](#footnote-20) Against this backdrop, perhaps it is not as surprising as it might otherwise seem that Trump’s openly misogynistic[[21]](#footnote-21) and racist remarks during his presidential campaign appeared not to decrease his evangelical support base; even women in White evangelical Christian communities continued to acknowledge their support for Trump and to make light of his sexist remarks.

Outside of evangelical Christianity, social media enabled a groundswell of counter-movements with women’s marches spreading across the world in protest against Trump’s inauguration. In outlook, the marches were candidly pro-choice, fearful that Trump’s promises to make abortion illegal would come to fruition, thereby curtailing women’s reproductive rights. Women and men from a diverse range of Christian denominations and other faith perspectives were amongst the marchers,[[22]](#footnote-22) except, that is, for evangelicals who stayed away on the grounds of their opposition to abortion rights.[[23]](#footnote-23) It seems that, when ranked on the basis of their significance to evangelicals and Republicans, the pro-life stance of the President is held to be of greater importance than respect for women; a stance that was further advanced by his promise to fill the vacant Supreme Court seat with a conservative justice. This is not to imply that evangelical women approve of Trump’s misogyny or eschew all forms of equality; rather, even those advocating equal pay and wider access to healthcare, and appalled by Trump’s treatment of women, may hold to pro-natalist views to the extent that, in Hannah Anderson’s words, “abortion was likely THE issue to tip the scales for evangelical women to vote Trump.”[[24]](#footnote-24)

Following the release of the video in which Trump was recorded bragging about “pussy grabbing”, a handful of evangelical leaders were seen to distance themselves from the Trump campaign, but widespread condemnation from evangelicals was not forthcoming. On the contrary, Robert Jeffress, a Baptist minister performed a remarkable feat of cognitive dissonance, both acknowledging that Trump’s behavior towards women is “lewd, offensive and indefensible” and continuing to advocate that his congregation vote for him; whereas, other Christian leaders, such as David Brody of the Christian Broadcasting Network, normalized and excused Trump’s behavior by tweeting “We ALL sin every single day.”[[25]](#footnote-25) Catholic bishops and other religious leaders were willing to give voice to criticisms of Trump’s policy banning refugees and migrants from seven, predominately Muslim, countries,[[26]](#footnote-26) but remained largely silent on Trump’s attitudes towards women.

Given the glow in which Trump appeared to bask, it is disappointing rather than astonishing that the prospect of a female president was not an overwhelming vote winner amongst women, despite Clinton’s promotion of women’s rights. Alec Tyson and Shiva Maniam note that: “Women supported Clinton over Trump by 54% to 42%. This is about the same as the Democratic advantage among women in 2012 (55% Obama vs. 44% Romney) and 2008 (56% Obama vs. 43% McCain).”[[27]](#footnote-27) Thus, while more women did vote for Clinton than Trump, the gender gap in voting preferences between Republicans and Democrats was only slightly worse for Trump than in previous presidential campaigns, even though the possibility of a female president was expected to attract a greater share of the female vote, and even though he spoke of women with derision.

Hence, the relentless defamation of Clinton entwined with an acceptance of sexism amongst evangelicals proved to be a winning combination in securing Trump’s victory in the presidential campaign. While Clinton undoubtedly made mistakes in her campaign, and carried a substantial measure of disrespect from feminist quarters for standing by Bill Clinton after the unequal power dynamics in his sexual relationship with Monica Lewinsky became public, she was clearly held to a higher standard than Trump. Much of Clinton’s campaign was overshadowed by an FBI investigation into whether or not the use of her private email server constituted a dangerous mishandling of classified information (an accusation for which she was eventually cleared). Trump, however, somehow shrugged off multiple accusations of sexual misconduct,[[28]](#footnote-28) whilst referring to Mexican immigrants as rapists,[[29]](#footnote-29) and yet his campaign confidence was unshaken. Even amongst evangelical supporters, Trump was a Teflon figure whose ‘sins’ simply slipped away; he occupied a gilded pedestal surrounded by those prepared to extend Christian forgiveness to any and all of his discriminatory remarks and baseless accusations but to none of Clinton’s flaws. Moreover, for growing numbers of evangelicals, Trump’s transgressions, rather than making him a less desirable president, actually function to confirm a belief, based on the notion that God works with imperfections, that he is God’s chosen president of America.[[30]](#footnote-30)

In voting for Trump, large numbers of White evangelicals have demonstrated that Christian patriarchy and White privilege are alive and well. Moreover, while women should not be singularly blamed for absorbing the incessant messages of White male superiority, by acquiescing they become complicit in perpetuating sexism, and in this they are not blameless. Across Christian traditions, women are taught to be submissive (often based on Eph. 5:22-24)[[31]](#footnote-31) on the grounds that they have different (but allegedly equal) roles: this is a complementarity thesis that merely disguises inequality and keeps women out of positions of power within the church. Emphasis on male headship is such that the ordination of women remains a thorny issue for Catholics and conservative evangelicals (reliant on 1 Cor. 14:34).[[32]](#footnote-32) Furthermore, the gap in equality of opportunity is widened by the policing of women’s reproductive choices by Catholics and conservative evangelicals who insist that a fertilized egg is a person with a right to life.[[33]](#footnote-33)

Internalized misogyny appears to enable women, primarily White women, “to vote against their own self-interest.”[[34]](#footnote-34) Suzanne Moore contends that “every woman who dismissed Trump’s treatment of women as just ‘the way men are’ has also defended a man in her own life who has done the same thing.”[[35]](#footnote-35) Thus, by supporting a populist President who waves away his boasts of sexually assaulting women (by labelling it “locker room talk”), women who report male violence are likely to encounter disbelief and victim-blaming. Yet, considered from a different angle, maintaining the status quo may be in (some) women’s self-interest: White women who perform womanhood by assisting White men in their achievements, colluding in the denial of sexism, receive rewards as a result of lending their support.[[36]](#footnote-36)

In their effort to avoid being seen as difficult women and to appease White male leaders, White evangelical women have effectively restricted access to contraception and opposed positive discrimination for women;[[37]](#footnote-37) they have aligned themselves with Christian denominations that have few female-led church congregations,[[38]](#footnote-38) or with evangelical organizations where the status of high profile wives is dependent upon the even higher profile of their preacher husbands: they exist as their husband’s helpmeet and in submission to him.[[39]](#footnote-39) Evangelical White women have performed White femininity to retain stability and favor in their own lives, but, in so doing, opting for White male praise over female solidarity.[[40]](#footnote-40)

There are dissenting voices from within the church prepared to call out the hypocrisy of Christian complicity in racist and misogynist populist political rhetoric. Franciscan Friar, Richard Rohr, states: “The evangelical support of Trump will be an indictment against its validity as a Christian movement for generations to come,”[[41]](#footnote-41) while Episcopalian Reverend Gay Clark Jennings recollects: “For months, as the Republican nominee for president spewed hatred and contempt for women, people of color, and immigrants, the white church stood by and watched. The Twitter hashtag #whitechurchquiet bears witness to our silence.”[[42]](#footnote-42) Nevertheless, the propensity of White evangelicals, including women, to continue to treat with impunity Republicans accused of sexual misconduct with young girls was evidenced in 2017, when Roy Moore’s bid for the U.S. Senate in Alabama attracted a high percentage of their vote. In the end, Moore lost to Democrat Doug Jones by a very small margin (1.63 percent); this was far from a wholesale rejection by Christians, rather, as Yonat Shimron reports, “80 percent of white born-again Christians voted for Moore – nearly identical to the 81 percent of evangelicals nationally who voted for Trump in 2016.”[[43]](#footnote-43)

In the same year as Moore’s failed bid for Senate, female actors were breaking their silence on sexual abuse in the film industry; in particular, accusations against Harvey Weinstein were stacking up at a rapid pace. Publicly witnessing apparently wealthy, powerful, successful women reporting discrimination and abuse in the workplace opened up a space for women around the world to draw attention to the extent of the problem using the hashtag #MeToo (borrowed from an earlier campaign for sexual assault survivors, begun by African-American Tarana Burke in 2007). Sexual assault is not external to churches, however. Inspired by the Me Too Movement, Hannah Paasch and Emily Joy started the hashtag #ChurchToo for girls and women to share their stories of abuse by clergy and fellow Christians.[[44]](#footnote-44) It was not only the rapidity with which the hashtag gathered pace that revealed the pervasiveness of the problem, the accounts therein highlighted the abject failure of Christian communities to acknowledge and support survivors. This failure is bound up with a number of theological motifs, including (as I have discussed elsewhere)[[45]](#footnote-45) the maleness of God-language, complementarianism and female submission and forgiveness; which, when coupled with an institutional leaning towards protecting the reputations of powerful men, instructs young girls abused by male leaders to repent of their own sexuality, to remain silent, to pray for and apologize to their abusers. Abuse by men has been whitewashed: perpetrators have been applauded and absolved for confessing, whilst continuing to hold positions of power over young girls and women. Commenting on the ongoing Independent Inquiry into Child Sexual Abuse in England and Wales (IICSA) and the hearings specifically relating to Christian organizations, Linda Woodhead concurs with the #ChurchToo accounts noting that “a faulty doctrine of forgiveness was used by abusers to salve their consciences, by church officials to move on without dealing with the problem, and by parishioners and clergy to marginalize ‘unchristian’ victims and whistleblowers.”[[46]](#footnote-46)

**Brexit and White Christianity**

Even before the result of the campaign for Britain to end its membership of the European Union (EU) was cemented in the decision to hold a referendum on the issue, the rising tide of populist narrative was in abundance in the right-wing press. News headlines and right-wing politicians equated austerity with immigration in an attempt to turn the British public against the freedom of movement enjoyed by EU citizens.[[47]](#footnote-47) In addition, in a populist bid to mobilize the animosity of the ‘common’ people against the EU, the ‘Vote Leave’ campaign portrayed the European Council (which directs the EU) as a band of unelected elites who siphon funds from the UK that would otherwise be spent on the National Health Service (NHS).[[48]](#footnote-48) Farage, at the time leader of the UK Independence Party, claimed that queues on the motorway and waiting times for doctor’s appointments were due to immigration,[[49]](#footnote-49) while Boris Johnson (now Prime Minister of the UK) toured the country in a red bus emblazoned with the words: “We send the EU £350 million a week, let’s fund our NHS instead”; the figure was grossly misleading and it was unlikely that leaving the EU would result in a massive spend on the National Health Service.[[50]](#footnote-50) On the contrary, the Conservative government’s austerity measures drastically cut spending on public services, while the anti-EU rhetoric of the campaign exacerbated shortages in the NHS as thousands of European medics decided to leave the UK unsure of their right to work and fearful of increasing racist and xenophobic attacks in Britain.[[51]](#footnote-51) Counter claims by the ‘Vote Remain’ campaign were, ultimately, unsuccessful; the vote to leave won by a narrow margin of 52 to 48, effectively cleaving the country into two, the antagonism between ‘leavers’ and ‘remainers’ leading to rifts amongst families, friends and colleagues. The then-Prime Minister David Cameron abruptly resigned. Shortly after Cameron’s resignation, Boris Johnson, “the de facto leader of the Brexit campaign,” removed himself from the leadership contest; a decision described in the Press as “a spineless dereliction of duty and act of betrayal.”[[52]](#footnote-52) A divided country began to realize that the government lacked a concrete plan for the next steps following the referendum.

Nearly sixty per cent of Christians voted leave, according to polling by Lord Ashcroft,[[53]](#footnote-53) despite the fact that the leave campaign employed divisive and dishonest tactics that stand in sharp contrast to the Christian motif of extending hospitality to and welcoming the stranger (see, for example, Deut. 10:19; Lev. 19:34, Mtt. 25:35-40; Heb. 13:1-3). In part this higher turnout for leave amongst Christians than amongst the general population has a demographic explanation: British Christians are more likely to be White and elderly when compared with the general population; in addition to which, amongst Anglicans there is a preference for right-wing political parties.[[54]](#footnote-54) Further explanation, largely unexplored by commentators and academics, may rest with the male hierarchy of mainstream and fringe Christian communities.

Prominent figures in the Anglican church, the Archbishops of Canterbury and York, and the bishops of Durham and Guilford, amongst others, openly supported the remain campaign, along with Cardinal Vincent Nichols, Archbishop of Westminster and President of the Catholic Bishops’ Conference of England and Wales.[[55]](#footnote-55) However, their input on the debate was far from robust or persuasive; it consisted mostly of hand-wringing at the prospect of departing from the EU, which left a vacuum ready to be filled by populist leave messages.[[56]](#footnote-56) Shocked by the unexpected outcome of the vote, they expressed dismay and called for “unity” in what amounted to a rather opaque and mealy-mouthed assessment of the situation.[[57]](#footnote-57) Notably absent from the majority of political and theological discourse during the Brexit campaign was any sustained analysis of the gendered implications of the vote, even though “86% of the burden of austerity since 2010 has fallen on women.”[[58]](#footnote-58) Austerity measures have a disproportionate effect on women, because women “are more likely to work in the public sector and to need public services.”[[59]](#footnote-59) Moreover, an independent report published by the Fawcett Society warns that a post-Brexit fall in economic growth will have negative consequences for already disadvantaged women. Cuts to public sector jobs, welfare benefits, affordable housing, reproductive healthcare, and refuges for survivors of domestic violence, reduce women’s financial independence and increase women’s vulnerability to exploitation and abuse. For these reasons, a striking headline in *The Guardian* read “Brexit is a feminist issue,” and, yet, as Helen Lewis highlights in the article, the debate between leavers and remainers was dominated by White male elites who appear to be ignorant of, or largely unconcerned by, financial and gender-based problems from which their privileged position in society shields them.[[60]](#footnote-60) It was something of a joke to point out that, when the Brexit negotiating teams sat down together, the entirely White British team had “more beards than women” (and there were only a few beards).[[61]](#footnote-61) Deeper analysis by the *Huffington Post* found that, whilst female MPs accounted for nearly thirty per cent of the seats in the House of Commons, men’s voices dominated ninety per cent of the Brexit debate in Parliament.[[62]](#footnote-62) Women, as a proportion of the population, are significantly underrepresented in UK politics;[[63]](#footnote-63) even where women are represented, they face barriers of sexism and inequality in the workplace. Moreover, since protection from workplace discrimination presently relies on EU laws, there is no guarantee that the situation will improve after the UK leaves the European Union.

A greater number of women in Parliament would increase the likelihood that issues negatively affecting women’s lives, and policies that exacerbate them, would be challenged. Nevertheless, it would be naïve to assume that female politicians would necessarily improve the lives of women: both of the female Prime Ministers in the UK’s parliamentary history, Margaret Thatcher and Theresa May, have fallen short in this regard. Thatcher filled her cabinet with men, reduced spending on welfare, and ignored pleas for childcare provision.[[64]](#footnote-64) May presided over cuts to services and benefits that have made women’s lives more precarious (despite her claims to the contrary).[[65]](#footnote-65) Reassuringly, Church of England bishops, alongside Jewish, Muslim, Catholic, and other religious organizations have challenged the government on the so-called ‘rape clause’: a clause that restricts benefit claims to two children unless the claimant can prove that further children were conceived through rape. Whilst the challenge highlights the likely increase in both poverty and abortion that will result from the clause, it is concerning that religious disquiet appears to stem from a pronatalist drive to have large families rather than a deep critique of a government punishing women.[[66]](#footnote-66)

In addition to defending the third child ‘rape clause,’ May contributed to the anti-immigration rhetoric of future populist campaigns with the controversial “go home” vans and the promotion of a ‘hostile environment’ for immigrants, deliberately inculcated in the UK.[[67]](#footnote-67) Admittedly, the phrase ‘hostile environment’ was introduced in 2010 by Labour’s Home Secretary Alan Johnson before being developed by Theresa May whose stated aim, as Home Secretary in 2012, was to generate “a really hostile reception”.[[68]](#footnote-68) Several legislative changes then followed, granting the Home Office additional powers to deport rough sleepers from European Economic Area (EEA) countries, to demand immigration data from the NHS and educational facilities, to restrict access to work and to appeals, and to deport unaccompanied minors once they reached eighteen years of age (regardless of how many years they had lived in the UK, and regardless of whether or not they had any persistent connections with their country of origin). It was only when what is now referred to as the “Windrush scandal” made mainstream news headlines in 2017, owing to the bravery of Paulette Wilson, that the true extent of the hostile environment became apparent to the wider public.[[69]](#footnote-69) Commonwealth citizens of Caribbean heritage suddenly found themselves deprived of healthcare and employment, evicted for rent arrears, detained and deported. Despite having a right to British citizenship and having lived and worked in the UK for decades, Black Britons referred to as the Windrush generation were required to provide documentary evidence that their residency predated 1973 in order to avoid been classed as ‘undocumented migrants,’ even though many had arrived as children and the Home Office had destroyed their landing cards.

As Maya Goodfellow reveals, anti-immigration policy is deeply rooted in Britain’s colonial past. Moreover, it continues to feed populist rhetoric leading to racist and dehumanizing behavior.[[70]](#footnote-70) Church leaders have been rightly outspoken in their condemnation of the Windrush scandal. For example, Bishop Christopher of the Anglican Diocese of Southwark spoke of his horror at learning that members of his congregation were facing the “grave injustice” of deportation;[[71]](#footnote-71) Wale Hudson Roberts, Justice Coordinator to the Baptist Union, stated that “The Government’s behaviour ha[d] been reprehensible”;[[72]](#footnote-72) Catholic Bishop Paul McAleenan insisted that:

The Government must now restore the human dignity of those whose rights were violated. They must ensure that necessary emergency support is provided and compensation made. Most of all they must take steps to ensure that such mistakes which undermine one’s God given value are never repeated.[[73]](#footnote-73)

Such outwardly directed critique, however, is of limited value if churches do not examine their own participation in and perpetuation of racism on both a practical and theological level. In particular, the Church of England has been called to account for its “horrible and humiliating racism.”[[74]](#footnote-74) On arrival in the UK, the Windrush generation did not find a warm welcome in all churches. Furthermore, more than fifty years since their arrival, there are hardly any Black bishops in the Church of England. Institutional racism in the Anglican Church is ever present; it has not been confined to history as both Rose Hudson-Wilkin, the first female Black bishop in the Church of England, and Azariah France-Williams, amongst others, attest.[[75]](#footnote-75) In fact, Hudson-Wilkin’s experience of the Church is of intersectional oppression: she has been subjected to both racism and sexism.[[76]](#footnote-76) At its root, Anglicanism is entwined with White privilege, which gave succor to a notion of Englishness as White Christianity in the Brexit debate.[[77]](#footnote-77)

Most notably, Farage’s notorious 2016 ‘Breaking Point’ poster depicted a long, winding queue of Syrian refugees crossing the Croatia-Slovenia border in 2015, captioned with the words “The EU has failed us all. We must break free of the EU and take back our borders.” The poster was widely condemned for misrepresenting the plight of those fleeing war, conflating the UK’s legal duty (under the Refugee Convention) to accept refugees with the free movement of European workers. Bonnie Greer implied that Farage was “deploying Nazi-style propaganda.”[[78]](#footnote-78) Farage’s poster built upon language used by the Prime Minister, David Cameron, the previous year; Cameron had referred to those risking their lives crossing the Mediterranean Sea as a “swarm” of migrants.[[79]](#footnote-79) Yet, despite this reprehensible terminology, Christian leaders on the leave-side contributed to the anti-immigration rhetoric.

For instance, former Archbishop of Canterbury, Lord Carey, equated pressures on resources, such as schools and the NHS, with “an unasked-for experiment in uncontrolled immigration,” and he, like Farage and others in the leave campaign, connected the threat of terrorism in Europe with the freedom of movement in the EU.[[80]](#footnote-80) Fringe evangelical leaders painted a picture of the European Union as unchristian and gave the impression that a vote to leave would result in a revival of Christianity in Britain. For example, Peter Horrobin, founder of Ellel Ministries in Lancashire, which has spread across the globe to twenty countries (including South Africa, India, Colombia, Singapore and Rwanda), referred to the UK’s membership of the EU as “an ungodly alliance,” claiming that “it was not what God wanted for this Christian nation.”[[81]](#footnote-81) Horrobin’s blog links the survival of soldiers at Dunkirk with the image of a British nation at prayer, and he laments the acceptance of the differing religious beliefs of migrants. He suggested that the referendum was “an opportunity for the whole nation to repent,” insisting that it would be outside of the EU only that a British government could “bring the UK more in line with God’s laws”.[[82]](#footnote-82)

Horrobin’s opinion could be dismissed as an outlier railing against advances in multi-faith understanding, human rights, and sexual freedom, but views such as his gained traction in evangelical circles. At least one news article included a picture of an average redbrick house in the UK on the side of which, underneath a small Union Jack, huge painted letters read: “VOTE LEAVE E.U. REV. 18:4”; a blatant attempt to convince Christian voters of a biblical mandate for Brexit. Revelation 18:4 states: “Then I heard another voice from heaven saying, ‘Come out of her, my people, so that you do not take part in her sins, and so that you do not share in her plagues’” (NRSV).[[83]](#footnote-83) Similarly, Joseph Munguti who founded the Christian Truth Center in Louisville, US, in 2012, draws on the fourth beast described in the Book of Daniel to claim that the beast’s ten horns are the ten nations of the EU, and, further, that: “**Brexit – the exit of Britain from EU is a clear sign that Antichrist is rising up in the EU. It is him who has plucked up Britain from the EU fulfilling Bible end time prophecy.”**[[84]](#footnote-84)Since the referendum, these efforts to connect the European Union with sin, via comparison with Babylon and the nearing of the apocalypse as described in the Book of Revelation, have not disappeared. The United Church of God, founded in 1995 in Indianapolis, US, and claiming to have hundreds of congregations across the globe, states, in its magazine *Beyond Today*:

The EU is currently an unwieldy superstate with individual nations seeking their own benefits before that of the whole group. Closer union could lead to a shared army, currency, banks and government – the same kind of cultural, social and financial unity represented by the Tower of Babel. Scripture does not reveal the detail of how the current configuration in Europe might morph into the end-time prophesied powerhouse of ten nations under one ruler controlling much of the world’s economy. It is clear it will appear just a few years prior to the return of Jesus Christ and at a time of great economic and social upheaval.[[85]](#footnote-85)

Such views extended beyond the fringe finding support amongst familiar public figures in America. Franklin Graham, President of the Billy Graham Evangelistic Association, whose festivals are attended by millions, referred to the outcome of the vote as a “glorious opportunity,” borrowing the phrase from Boris Johnson;[[86]](#footnote-86) this is the same Franklin Graham who is widely criticized for his homophobic and Islamophobic remarks.

Likewise, Jerry A. Johnson denounced the ungodliness of the EU constitution and suggested that the vote to leave represented an opportunity for “a spiritual awakening” in the UK.[[87]](#footnote-87) He blamed the EU for forcing the UK to “admit large numbers of migrants” and “massive numbers of Muslims” across its borders.[[88]](#footnote-88) Johnson is the President of the longstanding evangelical association of Christian communications, the National Religious Broadcasters (NRB). The NRB lays claim to reaching “millions of viewers, listeners and readers worldwide”; its mission is “to advance biblical truth,” and fight against what it perceives to be the “emerging threats to the rights and freedoms of Christian broadcasters.”[[89]](#footnote-89) Christian freedom of speech, according to Johnson, is being curtailed by homosexuality and Islam; he is referring to the fact that broadcasters can be penalized for homophobic or Islamophobic comments.[[90]](#footnote-90)

Thus, leave campaigners and supporters have irresponsibly stoked up anti-immigration and Islamophobic sentiments, blaming migrants for the underfunding of essential services, encouraging “natives” to turn against “foreigners,” and harping back to a mythical time of prosperity and sovereignty when Britain supposedly had a monolithic culture and a single religion. The unwanted others in this scenario are the minorities who look or sound different from the majority, and who practice a religion other than Christianity. Hence, given the visibility of some women’s Islamic dress – the hijab, the niqab or the burqa – Muslim women have become the primary targets of a notable rise in racial and religious hate crimes.[[91]](#footnote-91)

Except for the Reverend George Pitcher, who, prior to the final ballot, called the Church of England “morally indolent and cowardly” for not making “a Christian case ... against Johnson” – “a serial liar, philanderer and shirker”[[92]](#footnote-92) – thus far the leading voices in the Church of England[[93]](#footnote-93) (and the Church of Scotland[[94]](#footnote-94)) have been silent on the impact on women of having Johnson as the UK’s Prime Minister. Prior to serving as Prime Minister, Johnson acted with ineptitude as Foreign Secretary damaging the plight of Nazanin Zaghari-Ratcliffe;[[95]](#footnote-95) in addition, he made insulting and derogatory remarks referring to women wearing face veils “looking like letter boxes,”[[96]](#footnote-96) which contributed to the normalizing of abuse and a rise in attacks against Muslim women.[[97]](#footnote-97) Furthermore, he implied that veiled women resemble bank robbers; thus, feeding the right-wing narrative that Islam, and Muslim women in particular, are a threat.[[98]](#footnote-98) Britain does not have the same prominence of evangelical Christian women supporting populism as can be seen in the US, but British women are still suffering under Christianity’s patriarchal legacy. When evangelical leaders criticize the secularity of the EU and speak of Britain as a “Christian-nation,” they leave Muslim women exposed to nationalistic rage. When church leaders ignore the gendered impact of any Brexit plan, they hide behind their male privilege and fail vulnerable women.

**Liberation Theology, COVID-19 and Black Lives Matter**

We are at a critical juncture in our history: 2020 is both the year of a global pandemic and a renewed focus on racism via the Black Lives Matter movement; a movement started in 2013 by three women: Opal Tometi, Patrisse Cullors, and Alicia Garza. The Black Lives Matter movement is itself a form of populism;[[99]](#footnote-99) in fact - driven by grassroots action that challenges institutional racism – we could refer to this a truer form of populism than that with which it clashes, namely, the right-wing political ‘populism’ Donald Trump.[[100]](#footnote-100) Not only has the death of George Floyd and Breonna Taylor, to name but two, fueled demands for a re-examination of police brutality against African-Americans; the higher death rate from COVID-19 amongst Black, Asian and minority ethnic communities in the UK has highlighted the fact that socio-economic depravation and unequal access to healthcare still runs on racial lines. Furthermore, despite deaths from COVID-19 being higher amongst men than women, the gendered impacts of lockdown once again demonstrate the persistence of patriarchy. During lockdown in the UK calls to domestic abuse helplines escalated by as much as eighty percent in some months;[[101]](#footnote-101) more women than men found themselves out of work as employment in retail and hospitality shrank;[[102]](#footnote-102) when schools closed, women – whether working full-time or not – found themselves responsible for more childcare and home schooling than men.[[103]](#footnote-103) Yet, as the Fawcett Society asserts “women and girls in the UK have been largely invisible from the debate and excluded from decision-making,”[[104]](#footnote-104) while in the US, an article in *The Lancet* reports that:“Despite the WHO Executive Board recognizing the need to include women in decision making for outbreak preparedness and response, there is inadequate women’s representation in national and global COVID-19 policy spaces, such as in the White House Coronavirus Task Force.”[[105]](#footnote-105) In many countries, traditionally male occupations reopened before traditionally female occupations – construction workers returned to work before hairdressers, beauticians, and nursery nurses – and little consideration was given to the shortage of childcare.

COVID-19 is exacerbating gender and racial inequalities; furthermore, as countries struggle to deal with the crisis, illustrations of populism and nationalism, enmeshed with ‘red pill’ portents, continue to multiply: with Trump referring to the coronavirus as “kung flu,”[[106]](#footnote-106) Bolsonaro acting as figurehead for virus-deniers,[[107]](#footnote-107) evangelical church leaders citing QAnon conspiracy theories alongside biblical passages,[[108]](#footnote-108) and Boris Johnson claiming that the UK’s test and trace operation would be “world beating.”[[109]](#footnote-109) Consequently, when a vaccination becomes available, evangelical Christians and others influenced by QAnon will reject it; amongst others, nationalistic tendencies risk shoring up resources rather than sharing them, hoarding supplies in wealthy nations rather than assisting those in poverty. From the perspective of liberation theology, such an approach is counter to the preferential option for the poor, the marginalized, and the oppressed. In as much as liberation theology promotes the conscientization of the oppressed, it too is a populist movement, but one characterized by a socio-economic drive for justice and equality rather than an exclusionary and nationalistic ethos. Similarly, although not fully embracing socialism, Side By Side, the interfaith movement for gender justice, have issued a joint statement with the Anglican Communion in which they state: “As religious actors and networks of faith-based organizations, we are called to work together for gender equality and justice, amid global changes, rising nationalism, and conflict.”[[110]](#footnote-110) Addressing governments, the Statement asserts: “We advocate for the adoption of gender just policies and funding of COVID-19 response plans that holistically address the pandemic.”[[111]](#footnote-111) Such advocacy is welcome; a coordinated approach to ensuring that governments’ recovery plans are gender aware is vital if we are to avoid widening the gender pay gap and increasing poverty. Nevertheless, the force of such a statement is weakened given the Church of England’s own track record on gender inequality and its continued use of Provincial Episcopal Visitors (otherwise known as ‘flying bishops’) to appease those who oppose female ordination.[[112]](#footnote-112) Likewise, while church leaders were “outraged” when Trump posed with a Bible at St John’s Episcopal Church in Washington D. C. endeavoring to co-opt Christian symbolism in opposition to Black Lives Matter protesters (who were teargassed and forcibly removed prior to his arrival),[[113]](#footnote-113) the Church of England found its attempts at solidarity with the Black Lives Matter movement marred by accusations of “utter hypocrisy” from within its own ranks.[[114]](#footnote-114) Alwyn Pereira and Augustine Tanner-Ihm publicly recounted their multiple experiences of rejection for appointments based on racial stereotyping by Anglican bishops.[[115]](#footnote-115) After the statue of slave trader Edward Colston was pulled down and rolled into the harbor waters in Bristol by Black Lives Matter demonstrators in the UK, Justin Welby, Archbishop of Canterbury, proposed forgiving “the ‘trespasses’ of people immortalised in the form of statues, rather than tearing them down.”[[116]](#footnote-116)

**Rising to the Challenge**

Thus, despite acknowledging the problematic nature of Christian iconography that presents Jesus as White, Welby’s response sounds like one that is more concerned with appeasing the erroneous belief that removing statues is erasing history, instead of recognizing that the history of slavery and continued racism have been monumentally erased by the prominence given to such statues in cities and cathedrals across the country. In the end, rather than wholeheartedly affirming that Black lives matter, and substantiating that affirmation with action to right past wrongs and root out systemic racism in the Church, Welby’s approach comes across as a feeble ‘all lives matter’ plea that continues to ride roughshod over the experiences of Black Britons. This failure to engage in anti-racist action, like the failure to fully endorse the ordination of women, leaves the Church hierarchy unable to mount a sufficiently critical and convincing challenge to populist support for racist and misogynistic demagogues who court Christian nationalism, such as Donald Trump in America or Vladimir Putin in Russia.[[117]](#footnote-117) The instrumentalization of religion by right-wing populists requires a much stronger defense; in addition, it is not enough to turn a blind eye to QAnon and hope that it will go away. Yet, an approach that seeks to separate ‘real’ Christians from those with whom one disagrees is fraught with ideological potholes.[[118]](#footnote-118) If Christianity is a “living religion”,[[119]](#footnote-119) it encompasses all those who call themselves Christian; thus, seeking to monopolize ‘Christianness’ will be unlikely to change the minds of those swayed by the evangelical entanglement with right-wing populism and conspiracy theories. A viable critique of Christian racism, sexism and right-wing politics, therefore, must be rooted in the attempt to improve the lives of the most vulnerable. Furthermore, if bishops in the UK are to use their privileged position in the House of Lords to advance equality and a preferential option for the poor, they need to be alert to inequalities of race and gender and to adopt a zero tolerance approach to policies or appointments that ignore or implicitly endorse those inequalities. If church leaders are to be a force for change, they need to utilize their political power to call out prime ministerial braggadocio and to oppose the appointment of known misogynists, such as Tony Abbott,[[120]](#footnote-120) to advisory roles. At the same time, they need to examine their own institutional and theological foundations and practices: there can be no gender or racial justice in Christianity without wholesale reformation.
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