

Est.
1841

YORK
ST JOHN
UNIVERSITY

Milson, Rhea (2024) PICTURE

PERFECT: Perfectionistic Self- Presentation, Instagram Intrusion and Body Satisfaction in Young Women. In: Institute for Health and Care Improvement Annual Research Showcase 2024, 25/06/2024, York St John University. (Unpublished)

Downloaded from: <https://ray.yorks.ac.uk/id/eprint/10244/>

Research at York St John (RaY) is an institutional repository. It supports the principles of open access by making the research outputs of the University available in digital form. Copyright of the items stored in RaY reside with the authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full text items free of charge, and may download a copy for private study or non-commercial research. For further reuse terms, see licence terms governing individual outputs. [Institutional Repository Policy Statement](#)

RaY

Research at the University of York St John

For more information please contact RaY at ray@yorks.ac.uk

PICTURE PERFECT: Perfectionistic Self-Presentation, Instagram Intrusion and Body Satisfaction in Young Women

Dr Rhea Milson, York St John University, r.milson@yorks.ac.uk
Prof. Daniel J. Madigan, York St John University, d.madigan@yorks.ac.uk

BACKGROUND

In the UK, there are over 57 million active social media users, with the majority identifying as females aged 18-34 years (Dixon, 2023). While many users have positive experiences with these platforms, it has been reported that social media use is positively associated with body dissatisfaction both cross-sectionally and over time, in young women (Fioravanti et al., 2022; Marques et al., 2022; Vandenbosch et al., 2022), which is a key risk factor for eating disorders in women (Benninghoven et al., 2006).

The transactional model of social media and body concerns (Perloff, 2014) suggests personality factors, such as perfectionism – the desire to be perfect – can increase the risk for body image concerns in young women, when combined with the effects of intrusive or addictive social media use.

This may be particularly relevant for perfectionistic self-presentation (PSP) – the desire to appear perfect to others, especially when combined with appearance-focussed platforms, such as Instagram. Like trait perfectionism, PSP has three dimensions (Hewitt et al., 2003).

- 1) **Perfectionistic self-promotion** (overtly presenting your perfection to others).
- 2) **Non-display of imperfection** (concealing and avoiding the display of imperfection to others).
- 3) **Non-disclosure of imperfection** (concealing imperfections, but regarding verbal and textual disclosures).

However, to date, no research has tested this model in the context of PSP, and so to do so in the present study, we examine how PSP on Instagram relates to body satisfaction.



AIMS

- We aimed to examine the relationships between the three dimensions of PSP (in the context of Instagram use), Instagram intrusion and body satisfaction, in young women, both cross-sectionally and over time.
- We expected that PSP would be a negative predictor of body satisfaction and a positive predictor of Instagram intrusion, across both samples. We also examined which of the three PSP dimensions would be most important, but note, this aspect was exploratory.

METHOD

Design:

Study 1 - Cross-sectional.
Study 2 - Two-wave longitudinal with a 5-week lag.

Participants:

Study 1 - 392 female participants, aged 18-34 years ($M = 23.98$, $SD = 3.84$).
Study 2 - 383 female participants, aged 18-34 years ($M = 24.84$, $SD = 3.51$).
Participants were recruited by opportunity sampling, via social media platforms.

Measures:

The Perfectionistic Self-Presentation Scale (Hewitt et al., 2003).

- 27 item self-report measure of PSP.
- Items revised for the context of Instagram use.

The Instagram Intrusion Questionnaire (Elphinston & Noller, 2011).

- 8 item self-report measure Facebook use.
- Items revised for the context of Instagram use.

The Body Image States Scale (Cash et al., 2002).

- 6 item self-report measure of state body satisfaction.


Procedure:

Ethical clearance for all procedures was approved by the relevant ethics committee.

Informed consent was obtained for all participants and questionnaires were distributed on Qualtrics.

Sample 1 completed measures on one occasion.

Sample 2 completed measures on two occasions, five-weeks apart. This time interval between waves was considered appropriate based on a shortitudinal approach, as supported by Dormann and Griffin (2015).



REFERENCES

Benninghoven, D., Jürgens, E., Mohr, A., Heberlein, I., Kurzenzorf, S., & Jantschek, G. (2006). Different changes of body-images in patients with anorexia or bulimia nervosa during inpatient psychosomatic treatment. *European Eating Disorders Review: The Professional Journal of the Eating Disorders Association*, 12(2), 88-96. <https://doi.org/10.1002/evl.770>

Cash, T. F., Fleming, E. C., Alindogan, J., Staudman, L., & Whitehead, A. (2002). Beyond body image as a trait: The development and validation of the Body Image States Scale. *Eating Disorders*, 10(2), 103-113. <https://doi.org/10.1080/106402602900361678>

Dixon, S. (2023). Meta analyses in the United Kingdom 2023, by age and gender. Retrieved from <https://www.statista.com/statistics/1315413/uk-meta-audiences-by-age-and-gender/>

Dormann, C., & Griffin, M. A. (2015). Optimal time lags in panel studies. *Psychological Methods*, 20(4), 489-505. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.20.4.489>

Elphinston, R. A., & Noller, P. (2011). Time to face it! Facebook intrusion and the implications for romantic jealousy and relationship satisfaction. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 14(11), 631-635. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2010.0318>

Fioravanti, G., Bucci, B., Cerretti, G., & Casale, S. (2022). How the exposure to beauty ideals on social networking sites influences body image: A systematic review of experimental studies. *Adolescent Research Review*, 1-40. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40894-022-00193-4>

Gustavson, K., von Soest, T., Karvold, E., & Rysamb, E. (2012). Attention and generalizability in longitudinal studies: findings from a 15-year population-based study and a Monte Carlo simulation study. *BMC Public Health*, 12, 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2459-12-318>

Hewitt, P. L., Flett, G. L., Sherry, S. B., Hasek, M., Rankin, M., Lam, R. W., McMurty, B., Ediger, E., Fairlie, P., & Stein, M. B. (2003). The interpersonal expression of perfection: Perfectionistic self-presentation and psychological distress. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 84(6), 1303-1326. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.84.6.1303>

Hochheimer, C. J., Sabo, R. T., Krist, A. H., Day, T., Cyrus, J., & Woolf, S. H. (2016). Methods for evaluating respondent attrition in web-based surveys. *Journal of medical Internet Research*, 18(11), e301. <https://doi.org/10.2196/med.8342>

Kim, H. M. (2021). What do others' reactions to body posting on Instagram tell us? The effects of social media comments on viewers' body image perception. *New Media & Society*, 23(12), 3448-3465. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444820956368>

Marques, M. D., Paxton, S. J., McLean, S. A., Jarman, H. K., & Sibley, C. G. (2022). A prospective examination of relationships between social media use and body dissatisfaction in a representative sample of adults. *Body Image*, 40, 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2021.10.008>

Marsh, H. W., Hau, K. T., & Wen, Z. (2004). In search of golden rules: Comment on hypothesis-testing approaches to setting cutoff values for fit indexes and dangers in overgeneralizing Hu and Bentler's (1999) findings. *Structural Equation Modelling*, 11(3), 320-341. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328007sem1103_2

Perloff, R. M. (2014). Social media effects on young women's body image concerns: Theoretical perspectives and an agenda for research. *Sex Roles*, 72(11-12), 363-377. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-014-0384-6>

Robertson, M., Duffy, P., Newman, E., Bravo, C. P., Aites, H. H., & Sharpe, H. (2021). Exploring changes in body image, eating and exercise during the COVID-19 lockdown: A UK survey. *Appetite*, 158, 105062. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2020.105062>

Sherry, S. B., Vriend, J. L., Hewitt, P. L., Sherry, D. L., Flett, G. L., & Wardrop, A. A. (2009). Perfectionism dimensions, appearance schemas, and body image disturbance in community members and university students. *Body Image*, 6(2), 83-89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2008.12.002>

Vandenbosch, L., Fardouly, J., & Tiggemann, M. (2022). Social media and body image: Recent trends and future directions. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 45, 101289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsy.2021.12.002>

RESULTS

Preliminary Analyses:

1 outlier removed (Study 1), 3 outliers removed (Study 2; i.e., standardised scores that were greater than $z = 3.29$).

Acceptable internal consistency for all measures ($\alpha > .7$).

CFA - The expected models provided adequate fit to the data (RMSEA < 0.10, SRMR < 0.10, CFI > 0.90; Marsh et al., 2004) and all factor loadings were significant and of an adequate size ($> .30$).

Bivariate Correlations:

Small - medium negative correlations between all three dimensions of PSP and body satisfaction. Small - medium positive correlations between all three dimensions of PSP and Instagram intrusion.

Multiple Regression:

Model 1 accounted for 15.1% of the variance in body satisfaction ($R^2 = .151$, $f(3,388) = 22.95$, $p < .001$). In Model 1, non-display of imperfection made a significant contribution to the regression model, $\beta = -.243$, $p = .002$. However, perfectionistic self-promotion made a non-significant contribution to the regression model, $\beta = -.151$, $p = .070$, as did non-disclosure of imperfection, $\beta = -.019$, $p = .755$.

Model 2 accounted for a significant 17.3% of the variance in Instagram intrusion ($R^2 = .173$, $f(3,387) = 26.91$, $p < .001$). In Model 2, non-display of imperfection made a significant contribution to the regression model, $\beta = .308$, $p < .001$. However, perfectionistic self-promotion made a non-significant contribution to the regression model, $\beta = .156$, $p = .059$, as did non-disclosure of imperfection, $\beta = -.044$, $p = .472$.

Cross-Lagged Models:


207 participants did not complete the measures on both occasions and were excluded from this analysis, resulting in a final sample size of $N = 176$.

Non-display of imperfection T1 was a significant negative predictor of body satisfaction T2 and perfectionistic self-promotion T1 was a significant positive predictor of body satisfaction T2 (see Figure 1).

All dimensions of PSP T1 were non-significant predictors of Instagram intrusion T2.

Figure 1.

Cross-Lagged Model for Perfectionistic Self-Presentation Dimensions Predicting Body Satisfaction.



Note. $N = 176$. Dashed paths are non-significant ($p > .05$).

DISCUSSION

We found that participants who reported higher levels of PSP on Instagram (most importantly non-display of imperfection) also reported lower body satisfaction cross-sectionally and over time, strengthening previous findings (Sherry et al., 2009) and higher Instagram addiction cross-sectionally. It is important to consider that although PSP on Instagram may be a relevant factor in predicting the body satisfaction of young women over time, it may not be as relevant when predicting Instagram intrusion.

At odds with expectations, perfectionistic self-promotion positively predicted body satisfaction over time. It may be that women who are regularly promoting perfection on Instagram, may over time start to identify with this perfection and believe they are in fact perfect, thus improving their body satisfaction over time (Kim, 2021). Future research should explore this further.

Limitations and Future Research:

- Although high attrition is common in longitudinal online studies (Gustavson et al., 2012; Hochheimer et al., 2016), future work should determine the generalisability of these findings beyond the present samples.
- It is unclear whether these longitudinal effects persist for longer periods of time. Future research should adopt more waves with longer time lags (Robertson et al., 2021).

Overall, our findings offer initial support for examining perfectionistic self-presentation on Instagram, and its utility in understanding the body satisfaction of young women.

