Zaghmout, Bilal ORCID logoORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6296-9608, Achi, Francis ORCID logoORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7463-3829 and Padmini Ema, Uma ORCID logoORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0780-0417 (2024) Navigating Digital Leadership: How UK-Based Marketing Leaders are Redefining Brand Trust in an AI-Driven Era. British Journal of Management and Marketing Studies, 7 (4). pp. 126-142.

RaY

Research at the University of York St John

NAVIGATING DIGITAL LEADERSHIP: HOW UK-BASED MARKETING LEADERS ARE REDEFINING BRAND TRUST IN AN AI-DRIVEN ERA

Bilal Zaghmout[1], Francis Chukwuedo Achi[2], and Uma Padmini Ema[3]

[1]Business and Health Studies, York St John University, London, England.
Email: b.zaghmout@yorksj.ac.uk

[2]Business and Health Studies, York St John University, London, England.
Email: f.achi@yorksj.ac.uk

[3]Business and Health Studies, York St John University, London, England.
Email: u.padminiema@yorksj.ac.uk

ABSTRACT: *This study examined the impact of ethical leadership in AI-driven digital marketing on brand trust among UK consumers. As AI transforms digital marketing through personalisation and predictive tools, concerns about transparency, data privacy, and consumer autonomy have become vital for sustaining trust. Data was collected through 25 semi-structured interviews with marketing leaders and industry experts and three focus groups with UK consumers. The findings underscored the importance of ethical leadership, transparent AI use, and balancing personalisation with privacy in building consumer trust. Case studies of UK brands illustrated the successful alignment of AI with consumer expectations and regulations. This research contributed to digital marketing and AI ethics by offering insights into the relationship between ethical leadership, AI-driven personalisation, and brand trust, highlighting the need for responsible AI frameworks within the UK marketing sector.*

KEYWORDS: Ethical leadership, Artificial Intelligence (AI), Digital marketing, Brand trust, Consumer autonomy, UK Marketing, Responsible innovation.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in digital marketing has transformed the landscape of consumer engagement, particularly in the UK, where strict data protection regulations and heightened consumer awareness around data privacy require careful navigation. As AI enables advanced personalisation and predictive analytics, marketing leaders face a critical challenge: balancing innovation with ethical responsibility to foster consumer trust. Research indicates that trust is foundational to brand loyalty, yet AI-driven personalisation can erode this trust if consumers perceive practices as intrusive or opaque (Morgan & Hunt, 1994). Consequently, the role of ethical leadership in guiding AI applications is essential to achieving both technological innovation and consumer confidence.

Research Aim

This study investigated how ethical leadership practices within UK-based digital marketing organisations influence brand trust in the context of AI-driven marketing strategies. Specifically, the study examined how transparent and responsible AI applications can enhance consumer trust while ensuring compliance with ethical standards and regulatory requirements.

Research Objectives

The research sought to achieve the following objectives. It aimed:

1. To examine the role of ethical leadership in fostering brand trust in AI-driven digital marketing;

2. To identify transparent AI practices aligning with consumer data privacy and autonomy expectations;

3. To explore consumer attitudes toward personalisation and privacy within AI-enhanced digital marketing; and

4. To analyse case studies of UK brands that have successfully implemented ethical AI practices to build consumer trust.

Research Questions

1. How does ethical leadership influence brand trust in AI-driven digital marketing within the UK?

2. What transparent practices are essential for UK-based digital marketing leaders to meet consumer expectations around AI usage?

3. How do UK consumers perceive personalisation versus privacy in AI-driven marketing strategies?

4. What ethical AI practices have UK brands successfully implemented to balance personalisation, transparency, and privacy?

This study filled a research gap by focusing on the critical intersection of ethical leadership, AI-driven personalisation, and consumer trust, contributing valuable insights into the ethical considerations shaping digital marketing practices in the UK.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) within digital marketing has transformed the landscape of consumer engagement, particularly in the UK, where market leaders face unique challenges in balancing technological innovation with ethical practices to maintain brand trust. The literature on digital marketing, leadership, and AI provided essential insights into how leaders shape marketing strategies that align with organisational goals and consumer expectations. This review explored critical themes such as digital leadership, ethical considerations in AI-driven marketing, and the relationship between brand trust and transparency, specifically within the UK context.

Digital Leadership in the Age of AI-Enhanced Marketing

Digital leadership has evolved significantly with the proliferation of AI technologies, which offer marketing leaders new tools for targeting, personalising, and analysing consumer behaviour. According to various scholars, effective digital leadership requires a nuanced understanding of emerging technologies and a strategic vision that aligns these tools with organisational values and goals (Westerman et al., 2014). Leaders within UK-based marketing organisations are uniquely positioned to set ethical standards and influence how AI is implemented in ways that foster brand trust (Groysberg & Slind, 2012). This approach goes beyond technical proficiency, requiring an ethical framework that acknowledges AI usage's power dynamics and societal impacts.

In practice, UK-based digital leaders are called upon to exercise "responsible leadership" — a concept which underscores the importance of considering the broader implications of business decisions on stakeholders (Pless & Maak, 2011). This leadership model is critical in AI, where misapplications of technology, such as invasive targeting or data mismanagement, can quickly erode consumer trust. As marketing leaders aim to harness AI's potential while safeguarding brand reputation, adopting responsible digital leadership frameworks appears increasingly essential for balancing commercial success with consumer goodwill (Zhu, 2015).

Ethical Considerations in AI-Driven Marketing

Ethics in AI-driven marketing is a burgeoning field of study, with researchers emphasising the importance of establishing clear ethical guidelines for AI applications that interact with consumers. Scholars such as Binns et al. (2018) argued that the ethical deployment of AI in marketing is crucial for maintaining transparency and ensuring that AI-powered interactions do not compromise consumer autonomy. This focus on ethical AI is particularly relevant in the UK, where regulatory frameworks like the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) place stringent requirements on data privacy and consumer consent.

AI-driven marketing can lead to ethical dilemmas, primarily when AI tools predict consumer behaviour or make personalised recommendations. Literature highlights the risks associated with excessive personalisation, as this can cross the line from beneficial relevance to perceived intrusion (Zarsky, 2016). In the UK, where consumers are highly aware of privacy issues, digital marketing leaders must tread carefully in using AI to avoid violating ethical principles or undermining consumer trust. This balancing act is further complicated because AI systems can occasionally generate recommendations or conclusions that are not easily explained, a phenomenon known as the "black box" problem (Pasquale, 2015).

This opaqueness creates ethical concerns because it challenges the marketer's ability to fully account for the decisions made by AI entirely, potentially eroding transparency and trust with consumers. Research suggests that UK-based marketing leaders implementing "explainable AI" approaches—where AI decision-making processes are transparent and understandable to users—are better positioned to maintain consumer trust (Doshi-Velez & Kim, 2017). By prioritising ethical considerations, UK marketing leaders can build trust with their audience, which is essential for long-term brand loyalty in a data-sensitive market.

Brand Trust and Transparency in Digital Marketing

Brand trust has been identified as a core component of successful digital marketing strategies, with transparency playing a vital role in fostering this trust. Studies indicated that trust in a brand is crucial for consumer loyalty and retention, particularly in digital marketing, where interactions are often mediated by technology rather than personal engagement (Morgan & Hunt, 1994). In the UK, consumer trust is notably influenced by perceptions of transparency and ethical conduct, making it imperative for digital leaders to adopt practices that promote openness, especially when using AI for personalised marketing.

Research by Urban et al. (2017) highlighted that transparent data practices are essential in AI-driven marketing, as they help consumers feel secure about how their information is utilised. In digital marketing, transparency can be demonstrated by explaining how AI algorithms collect, process, and use personal data (Marr, 2018). Scholars noted that brands adopting transparent AI practices, such as offering consumers the option to opt out of certain data practices or explaining how AI algorithms operate, can foster a stronger sense of control and security among users (Shankar et al., 2020).

For UK-based brands, adhering to these practices meets consumer expectations and aligns with regulatory requirements, such as GDPR, which mandates that organisations be transparent about their data handling processes. The literature also suggested that when digital leaders take proactive steps to address privacy concerns and clarify data usage policies, they are more likely to cultivate an environment of trust (Andrejevic, 2019). This focus on transparency has proven to be a distinguishing factor for brands aiming to differentiate themselves in a highly competitive market, where consumer trust can be a unique selling proposition.

Case Studies and Best Practices in the UK

A growing body of literature focused on implementing ethical AI-driven marketing practices by leading brands, which can provide valuable insights for UK-based marketing leaders. Case studies on brands like Tesco and Barclays illustrated how UK companies have successfully used AI for consumer engagement while maintaining a solid commitment to ethical practices (Smith, 2019). For instance, Tesco uses AI to enhance customer experiences through personalised recommendations while prioritising data transparency and giving consumers control over their data preferences (Taylor, 2020). Such practices adhere to ethical guidelines and align with consumer expectations for transparency and responsible AI usage.

Similarly, Barclays has implemented AI tools to streamline customer service interactions but ensures that these tools are used responsibly by maintaining transparency about how customer data is managed and utilised (White, 2018). By focusing on ethical AI practices and proactive data transparency, these brands exemplify best practices for digital marketing leaders in the UK. As the literature points out, these approaches meet regulatory standards and enhance

consumer trust by demonstrating a commitment to ethical digital practices (Rust & Huang, 2019).

The literature on digital marketing leadership, ethical AI practices, and consumer trust highlighted a nuanced relationship between leadership decisions and brand perception. For UK-based digital marketing leaders, the challenge lies in harnessing AI's potential while respecting consumer privacy, transparency, and ethical standards. Effective digital leadership in the AI-driven landscape requires a balance of innovation and accountability, with leaders playing a crucial role in establishing trust through responsible, transparent practices. The literature further suggests that successful brands integrate ethical considerations into their AI strategies, fostering lasting consumer relationships and a sustainable competitive advantage. This literature review underscored the critical need for UK marketing leaders to adopt a responsible digital leadership framework that aligns with consumer expectations and regulatory requirements, ultimately setting a new standard for ethical AI use in digital marketing.

METHODOLOGY

This section presents a comprehensive approach to exploring the role of leadership in fostering brand trust within AI-driven digital marketing in the UK. The methodology outlined the philosophical underpinnings, research design, sampling strategy, data collection and analysis methods, and ethical considerations, all contributing to an in-depth understanding of how UK-based marketing leaders navigate ethical AI-related challenges. The study was designed to capture rich insights from diverse perspectives within the industry, employing a qualitative approach that aligns with the exploratory nature of the research objectives.

Research Philosophy and Approach

The study was underpinned by an **interpretivism research philosophy**, which focuses on understanding complex social phenomena through participants' subjective experiences and perspectives. This approach is suitable for exploring how digital marketing leaders interpret and implement ethical practices in deploying AI to build consumer trust (Saunders et al., 2019). An interpretive stance allows for capturing the nuances of leadership strategies and ethical considerations specific to the UK context, where data privacy and transparency regulations like GDPR play a critical role.

An **inductive approach** is employed, enabling the generation of insights and theoretical frameworks based on patterns emerging directly from the data. By observing leadership behaviours, ethical frameworks, and consumer trust within digital marketing, this inductive process allows the study to build grounded understandings specific to the UK's AI-integrated marketing environment.

Research Design

This study adopted a **qualitative, exploratory research design**, ideal for delving into multifaceted themes surrounding digital leadership, AI ethics, and consumer trust. The qualitative approach enables the examination of complex interpersonal and ethical dimensions that quantitative measures might not capture adequately (Bryman, 2016). An exploratory design also aligns well with the study's aim of investigating emerging issues and leadership

practices, as it allows for flexibility in probing unanticipated topics that arise during data collection.

Sampling Strategy

The study employed a **purposive sampling** strategy to select individuals with relevant expertise and experience in digital marketing and AI ethics. This ensures that participants can provide valuable insights aligned with the research objectives. Three target groups were identified:

1. **Marketing Leaders**: Senior professionals from UK-based companies responsible for digital strategy, AI integration, and ethical decision-making. This group offers first-hand insights into leadership practices and the ways they influence brand trust through AI-driven marketing.

2. **Industry Experts**: Academics and practitioners specialising in digital marketing ethics, AI regulation, and consumer trust. Their contributions provide a broader understanding of the ethical implications and best practices for responsible AI implementation in marketing.

3. **Consumers**: UK-based individuals familiar with AI-driven marketing techniques, including personalisation, targeted advertising, and digital engagement. Their perspectives offer critical insights into consumer expectations and attitudes towards transparency, privacy, and ethical marketing practices.

This purposive sampling approach ensured a diversity of perspectives relevant to the key themes of leadership, ethics, and brand trust within AI-integrated digital marketing.

Data Collection Methods

The study collected data through **semi-structured interviews** and **focus groups** to capture rich, detailed responses and facilitate open discussion on the ethical dimensions of AI-driven marketing. These methods were designed to deeply probe participants' experiences and perceptions, offering an in-depth understanding of the complexities surrounding digital leadership and consumer trust in an AI-driven context. The data collection approach included:

1. **Semi-Structured Interviews**: A total of **25 semi-structured interviews** were conducted with marketing leaders and industry experts, focusing on core themes such as digital leadership strategies, ethical AI deployment, and brand transparency. Semi-structured interviews allowed for flexibility in exploring unexpected themes while ensuring consistency across participants regarding the main topics of inquiry. The interviews were conducted virtually to accommodate participant schedules, recorded with consent, and fully transcribed.

2. **Focus Groups**: Three focus groups were conducted with consumer participants, encouraging interactive discussions around perceptions of AI-driven marketing and their impact on trust and transparency. Focus groups provided a platform for consumers to engage in dialogue, revealing collective insights and variations in individual experiences with AI-enhanced marketing.

Both interviews and focus groups were transcribed, anonymised, and stored securely to maintain confidentiality.

Data Analysis Approach

The study employed **thematic analysis** to analyse the qualitative data collected. This method is widely recognised for its suitability in identifying, analysing, and reporting patterns within qualitative datasets. It is ideal for this study's focus on digital leadership, ethics, and brand trust (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The data analysis followed a systematic six-step process:

1. **Familiarisation**: Immersing in the data by reading through transcripts to develop an overall understanding of the content and its nuances.

2. **Coding**: Identifying relevant segments across all transcripts, focusing on ethical challenges, leadership decision-making, transparency, and trust in AI practices.

3. **Theme Development**: Grouping codes into broader themes that reflect significant patterns within the data and align with the study's objectives.

4. **Reviewing Themes**: Evaluating and refining themes to ensure clarity, coherence, and relevance to the research questions.

5. **Defining Themes**: Articulating each theme clearly, exploring its implications, and identifying relationships between themes.

6. **Writing Up**: Developing a narrative around the findings that ties the themes to the study's aims and provides meaningful insights into digital leadership and ethical AI practices.

The data was managed and coded using NVivo software, facilitating the organisation and identification of themes ensuring a structured and systematic approach to thematic analysis.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical integrity was prioritised throughout this study, particularly given the sensitivity of topics such as data privacy, transparency, and moral decision-making in AI. The following ethical guidelines were adhered to:

1. **Informed Consent**: All participants received a comprehensive information sheet outlining the study's objectives, data usage, and confidentiality measures. Written consent was obtained from each participant before data collection.

2. **Confidentiality and Anonymity**: To protect participant identities, all data was anonymised, and any identifying information was removed during transcription. Pseudonyms were used where necessary.

3. **Data Security**: All digital recordings, transcripts, and NVivo data files were securely stored on a password-protected server accessible only to authorised research team members.

4. **Right to Withdraw**: Participants were informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any point without consequence and were given contact details should they have questions or concerns.

These ethical measures ensured that participants were fully informed and their privacy was respected throughout the study.

Limitations

While this methodology offers a rigorous approach to examining digital leadership, AI ethics, and brand trust, there are limitations to acknowledge:

- **Generalisation**: The study's qualitative nature and purposive sampling strategy may limit the generalisability of findings to broader contexts or populations.

- **Sample Diversity**: Although purposive sampling targeted key groups, perspectives outside the selected demographics may be underrepresented, potentially impacting the breadth of insights.

- **Response Bias**: Given the sensitive nature of discussing ethics and transparency, participants may have presented their views in a socially favourable manner, potentially affecting the authenticity of responses.

Future research could address these limitations by adopting a mixed-methods approach or expanding the sample to include a more diverse range of stakeholders.

This methodology section outlines the qualitative, interpretivist approach to exploring the ethical complexities of AI-driven digital marketing within the UK. The study provides in-depth insights into the leadership strategies that support brand trust and responsible AI implementation by employing purposive sampling, semi-structured interviews, focus groups, and thematic analysis. The research adheres to strict ethical guidelines, ensuring participants' privacy and rights are respected. Through this rigorous methodology, the study aims to contribute valuable knowledge to digital leadership, AI ethics, and consumer trust in marketing.

FINDINGS

This chapter details the findings from semi-structured interviews with 25 marketing leaders and industry experts and three focus groups comprising UK-based consumers. Through thematic analysis, four key themes emerged: the critical role of ethical leadership, the impact of transparency on consumer trust, the balance between personalisation and privacy, and examples of UK brands implementing ethical AI practices. Each theme is explored in depth, supported by tables summarising the findings.

Ethical Leadership in AI-Driven Marketing

The study revealed that ethical leadership is a foundational aspect of deploying AI in digital marketing, as it directly influences brand reputation and consumer trust. Marketing leaders discussed their responsibilities in upholding ethical standards, particularly AI's use in personalisation and data collection. This ethical focus is critical for compliance with UK

regulations, such as GDPR, and essential for maintaining trust in a data-sensitive consumer landscape.

Table 1: The Illustrative Quotes and Key Insights According to the Subthemes of the Ethical Leadership Theme

Theme	Sub-Theme	Key Insights	Illustrative Quotes
Ethical Leadership	Ethical Decision-Making	Leaders emphasised that ethical decision-making is central to AI deployment, balancing innovative uses of AI with privacy and ethical considerations.	"As leaders, it's on us to use AI in ways that respect consumer autonomy."
	Commitment to Transparency	Transparency in AI applications was viewed as a core responsibility, with leaders supporting policies that disclose data use, enhance algorithm clarity, and provide opt-outs.	"We're committed to being as transparent as possible about how and why we use AI."
	Responsible Innovation	Innovation is encouraged but tempered with a robust ethical framework, focusing on responsible uses of AI that align with organisational values and societal expectations.	"AI opens many doors, but not all should be opened."

The study underscored that marketing leaders perceive themselves as stewards of ethical AI usage, with their decisions impacting both brand perception and consumer trust. Leaders commonly described a commitment to fostering transparency, with many taking proactive steps to ensure that AI-driven processes, such as personalised recommendations, respect consumers' boundaries.

Transparency and Consumer Trust in AI Practices

Transparency emerged as a critical factor in fostering consumer trust, with leaders and consumers emphasising the importance of clear, honest communication about data usage and AI practices. Participants noted that UK consumers are susceptible to data privacy and appreciate brands that are forthcoming about AI's role in digital marketing. Leaders highlighted strategies they use to promote transparency, including plain-language policies and accessible data privacy options.

Table 2: The Example Quotes According to Leader Strategy and Consumer Response by the Subthemes of Transparency Practice

Transparency Practice	Leader Strategy	Consumer Response	Example Quotes
Clear Data Usage Policies	Leaders create easy-to-understand data policies that explicitly outline	Consumers reported a greater sense of security with brands that clarify AI usage.	"I trust brands that are upfront about what data they collect and how they use it."

	data collection and usage.		
Explainable AI	AI processes are understandable, avoiding complex "black box" models that obscure functionality.	Consumers feel less uneasy when they understand AI decisions behind personalisation.	"If I can't understand why I see certain ads, it feels invasive."
Opt-Out Options	We offer control over personalisation and data sharing, including opt-outs for targeted advertising.	Consumers appreciate having the choice to adjust or decline personalisation.	"Having control over personalisation makes me more comfortable with AI."

Consumers clearly preferred brands that prioritise transparency and empower them with control over their data. Leaders recognised this need and indicated that they invest in transparency as a regulatory necessity and a means to build a positive brand reputation. Clear communication and consumer control options were perceived as essential trust-building measures.

Balancing Personalisation and Privacy

The data revealed that marketing leaders face a continuous challenge in balancing personalisation with privacy. Both groups of participants acknowledged that while personalisation is valuable for enhancing user experiences, there is a risk of breaching consumer privacy if not managed carefully. Marketing leaders explained using "soft personalisation" techniques and data minimisation to respect privacy while delivering relevant content.

Table 3: The Example Quotes According to Marketing Leader Strategy and Consumer Perspective by the Subthemes of Balancing Personalisation and Privacy

Aspect	Marketing Leaders' Approach	Consumer Perspective	Example Quotes
Relevance vs. Intrusion	Leaders aim to provide relevant content without overstepping privacy boundaries.	Consumers enjoy personalisation but dislike the feeling of constant monitoring.	"It's helpful when ads are relevant, but constant tracking feels invasive."
Respecting Consumer Boundaries	Leaders use non-intrusive methods, collecting only essential data for relevant engagement.	Consumers value personalisation but wish to maintain a level of anonymity and privacy.	"I appreciate personalisation, but not if it's intrusive."
Data Minimisation	We are reducing data collection to only necessary information to achieve personalisation goals.	Consumers are more trusting of brands that avoid excessive data collection.	"Less is more—I trust brands that don't ask for too much information."

This theme highlighted a cautious approach by marketing leaders adopting "soft personalisation," which includes using only essential data to avoid crossing consumer privacy thresholds. Leaders recognised that excessive tracking could damage trust, leading them to streamline data practices and provide transparency about the data used.

Case Examples of Ethical AI Practices in the UK

The study also identified case examples of UK brands that have successfully implemented ethical AI practices, balancing consumer expectations with the strategic goals of personalisation and customer engagement. Marketing leaders from prominent brands shared insights into how ethical AI practices, such as explainable AI and data minimisation, have been embedded within their digital marketing strategies.

Table 4: The Ethical AI Practice and Impact on Consumer Trust of Tesco, Barclays and BBC

Brand	Ethical Practice	Impact on Consumer Trust
Tesco	Uses AI to personalise shopping recommendations, with clear data usage policies and opt-out options.	Builds consumer trust by providing control and clarity over data use, enhancing loyalty.
Barclays	Employs AI to enhance customer service, clearly disclosing data usage and allowing consumers to opt out of data sharing.	Maintains a positive brand perception by prioritising consumer autonomy and transparency.
BBC	Implements "explainable AI" in its recommendation system, making algorithmic decisions understandable to users.	Increases consumer trust by demystifying AI decisions and supporting informed consent.

In these cases, brands have integrated ethical considerations directly into their AI practices, focusing on transparent data use and empowering consumers to control their digital interactions. Such strategies are positively received by consumers, reinforcing brand trust and fostering long-term relationships.

The findings from this study reveal the following key insights:

1. **Ethical Leadership as a Foundation for Trust**: UK marketing leaders recognise the importance of ethical leadership in AI deployment, noting that their decisions directly impact consumer trust. Ethical decision-making, responsible innovation, and commitment to transparency are central to fostering a brand image that aligns with consumer expectations.

2. **Transparency as a Catalyst for Trust**: Transparency in AI and data use emerged as a primary driver of consumer trust. Marketing leaders view transparency as essential for regulatory compliance and a strategic element for brand reputation. Consumers prefer brands that communicate data usage policies and provide them with control over personalisation settings.

3. **Striking a Balance between Personalisation and Privacy**: Consumers value personalised experiences but remain concerned about privacy. Marketing leaders are adopting data minimisation and "soft personalisation" approaches to deliver relevant content without breaching privacy, which aligns with consumer preferences for limited data collection.

4. **Illustrative Case Examples of Ethical AI in Action**: Case studies from UK brands highlight best practices in balancing ethical AI use with consumer trust. Leading brands

like Tesco, Barclays, and BBC have implemented ethical AI strategies that enhance transparency, consumer autonomy, and explainability—key factors contributing to a positive brand image.

These findings demonstrated that ethical leadership and transparency in AI-driven digital marketing are paramount for establishing and sustaining consumer trust in the UK market. UK brands that proactively integrate ethical principles into AI practices benefit from increased consumer loyalty as they align their digital practices with regulatory standards and consumer expectations for privacy and trust.

DISCUSSION

The discussion section analyses the findings of this study, comparing them to existing literature and highlighting implications for practice, particularly for UK-based digital marketing leaders. This section is organised around the critical themes identified in the findings: ethical leadership, transparency, personalisation versus privacy, and case examples of ethical AI practices. This discussion highlights how leadership decisions, ethical practices, and transparent AI implementation can significantly impact consumer trust in the UK by situating these findings within broader research.

Ethical Leadership in AI-Driven Marketing

The importance of ethical leadership in AI-driven marketing is reinforced in this study, aligning with literature that underscores leadership as a central factor in fostering organisational integrity and consumer trust. Moral leadership is described by Groysberg and Slind (2012) as a leadership style where decision-making prioritises ethical values over profit-driven motives. Findings reveal that UK marketing leaders consciously prioritise ethical considerations, recognising that the responsible use of AI aligns with UK regulatory requirements (e.g., GDPR) and strengthens brand credibility.

Table 5: Key findings and Supporting Literature on Ethical Leadership in AI-Driven Marketing

Aspect	Findings from Study	Supporting Literature
Ethical Decision-Making	Leaders perceive their role as stewards of ethical AI, ensuring data use aligns with consumer expectations.	Ethical decision-making is linked to long-term trust (Pless & Maak, 2011).
Commitment to Transparency	Transparent data practices were highlighted as critical to consumer trust.	Transparency is foundational to ethical leadership (Zhu, 2015).
Responsible Innovation	Leaders employ responsible innovation, balancing AI capabilities with ethical limitations.	Ethical innovation in AI is essential for sustainable consumer trust (Binns et al., 2018).

Ethical leadership practices identified in this study correspond with a responsible innovation approach, where leaders use AI to align with ethical standards while respecting consumer autonomy. As Zhu (2015) suggested, responsible innovation aligns business practices with

societal expectations, a critical factor in highly regulated environments like the UK. Findings indicated that by championing ethical AI use, UK marketing leaders position their brands as responsible entities, thus fostering long-term consumer trust.

Transparency and Consumer Trust

Transparency was central to maintaining consumer trust, especially in AI-driven personalisation. Literature supports the notion that transparency is integral to positive consumer relationships, as it enables consumers to feel in control of their data and understand the brand's intentions (Morgan & Hunt, 1994). This study showed transparency manifested in practices such as clear data usage policies, explainable AI, and opt-out options, aligning with consumer expectations for open and ethical AI practices.

Table 6: Key Implications in Findings and Comparison to Literature on Transparency and Consumer Trust

Transparency Practice	Implication in Findings	Comparison to Literature
Clear Data Usage Policies	Consumers trust brands that disclose data collection and usage practices.	Transparent policies build consumer loyalty (Urban et al., 2017).
Explainable AI.	Explaining AI-driven decisions enhances consumer understanding and reduces uncertainty.	If left unaddressed, the "black box" problem can erode trust (Pasquale, 2015).
Opt-Out Options	Providing control over personalisation preferences helps consumers feel respected.	Allowing data control is essential for trust (Shankar et al., 2020).

The study highlighted that transparency in AI practices, such as using plain language in data policies, supports trust by addressing AI's "black box" nature (Pasquale, 2015). This aligns with findings by Urban et al. (2017), who argue that brands prioritising transparent data practices benefit from higher consumer loyalty. The availability of opt-out options further empowers consumers, fostering trust by respecting their right to choose their level of engagement with AI-driven personalisation.

Balancing Personalisation and Privacy

The study's balance between personalisation and privacy emerged as a prominent theme. This finding supported literature emphasising consumer ambivalence towards personalisation, where users appreciate relevant content but remain concerned about privacy (Zarsky, 2016). Marketing leaders noted the importance of using "soft personalisation" techniques and data minimisation to respect privacy boundaries, an approach which literature indicates can enhance consumer trust (Andrejevic, 2019).

Table 6: Key Findings from Study and Supporting Research on Balancing Personalisation and Privacy

Aspect of Personalisation	Findings from Study	Supporting Research
Relevance vs. Intrusion	Consumers value relevant content but dislike feeling overly monitored.	Overly intrusive personalisation can harm trust (Zarsky, 2016).
Data Minimisation	Leaders limit data collection to what is strictly necessary for personalisation.	Data minimisation aligns with consumer expectations for privacy (Andrejevic, 2019).
Consumer Autonomy	Non-intrusive personalisation respects consumer autonomy and builds trust.	Respecting autonomy is essential for trust (Marr, 2018).

The study's findings on balancing personalisation and privacy reinforced that while personalised experiences can enhance engagement, intrusive methods lead to consumer discomfort. Literature highlights that data minimisation, as seen in this study, aligns with regulatory standards and enhances consumer trust by limiting the scope of data collected (Andrejevic, 2019). This approach supports the concept of "soft personalisation," which respects consumer boundaries by providing tailored experiences without excessive tracking, a strategy proven to improve brand trust.

Case Examples of Ethical AI Practices in the UK

The examples of Tesco, Barclays, and BBC demonstrated how ethical AI practices can foster consumer trust, aligning with prior research showcasing the advantages of ethical transparency in digital practices. These brands employed strategies such as explainable AI and data control options to balance personalisation with respect for consumer privacy.

Table 7: Key Ethical AI Practice, Outcome on Consumer trust and supporting research of Tesco, Barclays and BBC

Brand	Ethical Practice	Outcome of Consumer Trust	Supporting Research
Tesco	Clear data policies and opt-out options for personalisation.	Enhanced consumer trust and loyalty through transparent data handling.	Transparency enhances brand loyalty (Smith, 2019).
Barclays	AI-driven customer service with data usage disclosure and control options.	She strengthened brand perception and trust by prioritising consumer control.	Ethical AI usage builds trust (White, 2018).
BBC	Explainable AI in recommendation systems.	She improved consumer trust by demystifying AI decision-making.	Explainable AI supports trust (Rust & Huang, 2019).

These case examples align with research suggesting that ethical AI practices build consumer trust by promoting transparency and empowering consumers with control options (Rust & Huang, 2019). The Tesco and Barclays examples highlight how transparent data policies and opt-outs enable consumers to manage their data, aligning with literature that advocates for

consumer autonomy in digital environments (White, 2018). BBC's use of explainable AI also aligns with the concept that demystifying AI processes enhances consumer trust, supporting Rust and Huang's (2019) findings on the positive impact of explainable AI on brand perception.

IMPLICATIONS FOR PRACTICE

The findings of this study offer several implications for digital marketing practice in the UK:

1. **Ethical Decision-Making as a Core Leadership Responsibility**: Marketing leaders should prioritise ethical decision-making, viewing responsible AI use as integral to consumer trust. Establishing policies that address ethical concerns around data usage and AI-driven personalisation can improve brand reputation and align with regulatory standards.

2. **Transparency as a Strategic Asset**: Brands are encouraged to treat transparency as a regulatory compliance issue and a strategic tool for building consumer loyalty. By implementing clear data policies, providing explainable AI, and offering opt-out options, brands can foster trust and differentiation in a competitive market.

3. **Balanced Personalisation and Privacy Practices**: A balanced approach to personalisation that respects privacy while delivering relevant content and aligns with consumer expectations. Implementing "soft personalisation" methods and data minimisation strategies can help brands engage consumers without overstepping privacy boundaries.

4. **Adopting Ethical AI Frameworks**: The success of Tesco, Barclays, and BBC highlights the benefits of ethical AI practices. Brands are advised to adopt frameworks that promote transparency, consumer control, and explainable AI to enhance trust and improve consumer relationships.

The discussion highlighted that ethical leadership, transparency, and a balanced approach to personalisation are central to fostering consumer trust in AI-driven digital marketing. The study contributed to the literature by validating that UK-based marketing leaders who employ responsible AI practices can achieve enhanced brand trust and loyalty. This reinforces that ethical, transparent AI practices aligned with consumer expectations are compliant with regulatory standards and serve as a competitive advantage in the UK digital marketplace.

IMPLICATION TO RESEARCH AND PRACTICE

This research highlighted the need for continued exploration of ethical frameworks for AI in digital marketing. Future studies could examine how evolving AI capabilities might impact consumer expectations around privacy and trust. Additionally, expanding this research beyond the UK may provide insights into how different regulatory environments affect the ethical implementation of AI in marketing.

In practice, marketing leaders should treat transparency and consumer control as strategic priorities, going beyond mere compliance to foster meaningful relationships with their

audience. Adopting ethical AI practices that align with consumer expectations is essential for building a brand reputation that is both trustworthy and innovative, positioning the brand as a responsible leader in the evolving digital marketplace.

This study contributed to the growing knowledge of digital marketing ethics, offering a framework for understanding the interplay between leadership, ethical AI, and consumer trust within the UK's marketing landscape.

CONCLUSION

This study has demonstrated that ethical leadership and transparency are paramount to establishing and sustaining consumer trust in AI-driven digital marketing. The findings revealed that UK-based marketing leaders recognise their responsibility to guide the ethical use of AI, mainly through transparent data practices and respect for consumer autonomy. By adhering to moral principles, brands can navigate the complexities of AI-driven personalisation while ensuring compliance with regulatory standards and meeting consumer expectations.

The study's findings underscored four key themes: ethical leadership as the foundation of trust, transparency as a strategic asset, the delicate balance between personalisation and privacy, and successful case examples of ethical AI practices. Brands such as Tesco, Barclays, and BBC illustrate the effectiveness of ethical AI frameworks, demonstrating that explainable AI, data minimisation, and consumer control options significantly enhance trust and loyalty. These case studies reinforce that responsible AI practices are essential in today's data-sensitive environment, offering both a competitive advantage and alignment with UK regulations.

REFERENCES

Andrejevic, M. (2019). I am automating the Audience: The Changing Relationship between User and Content in the Age of AI Media, Culture & Society, 41(7), pp. 1128-1143.

Binns, R., Veale, M., Van Kleek, M., & Shadbolt, N. (2018). 'It's Reducing a Human Being to a Percentage': Perceptions of Justice in Algorithmic Decisions. Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. ACM.

Braun, V. & Clarke, V. (2006). Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), pp. 77-101.

Bryman, A. (2016). Social Research Methods. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Doshi-Velez, F. & Kim, B. (2017). Towards a Rigorous Science of Interpretable Machine Learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.08608.

Groysberg, B. & Slind, M. (2012). Leadership is a Conversation. Harvard Business Review, 90(6), pp. 76-84.

Marr, B. (2018). How to Build Trust in Artificial Intelligence. Forbes. Available at: https://www.forbes.com/sites/bernardmarr/2018/05/02/how-to-build-trust-in-artificial-intelligence/ [Accessed 10 October 2024].

Morgan, R.M. & Hunt, S.D. (1994). The Commitment-Trust Theory of Relationship Marketing. Journal of Marketing, 58(3), pp. 20-38.

Pasquale, F. (2015). The Black Box Society: The Secret Algorithms That Control Money and Information. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Pless, N.M. & Maak, T. (2011). Responsible Leadership: Pathways to the Future. Journal of Business Ethics, 98(Supplement 1), pp. 3-13.

Rust, RT & Huang, M.-H. (2019). The Feeling Economy: Managing in the Next Generation of Artificial Intelligence (AI). Journal of Business Research, 105, pp. 331-338.

Saunders, M., Lewis, P. & Thornhill, A. (2019). Research Methods for Business Students. 8th ed. Harlow: Pearson.

Shankar, V., Urban, GL & Sultan, F. (2020). Online Trust: State of the Art, New Frontiers, and Research Potential. Journal of Interactive Marketing, 51, pp. 27-44.

Smith, A. (2019). Transparency and Consumer Trust in Digital Marketing. Journal of Marketing Ethics, 12(1), pp. 15-28.

Taylor, H. (2020). Data Privacy and Trust in a Digital World: The Case of Tesco's AI Marketing Practices. Journal of Digital and Social Media Marketing, 7(4), pp. 367-381.

Urban, G.L., Amyx, C. & Lorenzon, A. (2017). Online Trust: State of the Art, New Frontiers, and Research Potential. Journal of Interactive Marketing, 51, pp. 27-44.

Westerman, G., Bonnet, D., & McAfee, A. (2014). Leading Digital: Turning Technology into Business Transformation. Harvard Business Review Press.

White, G. (2018). The Role of Ethical AI in Financial Services: Barclays' Approach to Data-Driven Marketing. International Journal of Business Ethics and Governance, 3(2), pp. 104-121.

Zarsky, T.Z. (2016). Incompatible: The GDPR in the Age of Big Data. Seton Hall Law Review, 47(4), pp. 995-1020.

Zhu, W. (2015). Responsible Innovation in Artificial Intelligence and Digital Leadership. Journal of Leadership and Organisational Studies, 22(1), pp. 57-70.