Aziz, Fayha, Bianchini, Diletta, Olawade, David B. and Boussios, Stergios (2025) The Impact of AI-driven Remote Patient Monitoring on Cancer Care: A Systematic Review. Anticancer research, 45 (2). pp. 407-418.

Downloaded from: https://ray.yorksj.ac.uk/id/eprint/11581/

The version presented here may differ from the published version or version of record. If you intend to cite from the work you are advised to consult the publisher's version: https://doi.org/10.21873/anticanres.17430

Research at York St John (RaY) is an institutional repository. It supports the principles of open access by making the research outputs of the University available in digital form. Copyright of the items stored in RaY reside with the authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full text items free of charge, and may download a copy for private study or non-commercial research. For further reuse terms, see licence terms governing individual outputs. Institutional Repository Policy Statement

# RaY

Research at the University of York St John For more information please contact RaY at <u>ray@yorksj.ac.uk</u> Review

# The Impact of AI-driven Remote Patient Monitoring on Cancer Care: A Systematic Review

FAYHA AZIZ<sup>1</sup>, DILETTA BIANCHINI<sup>2</sup>, DAVID B. OLAWADE<sup>3,4,5</sup> and STERGIOS BOUSSIOS<sup>1,4,6,7,8,9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Kent Medway Medical School, University of Kent, Canterbury, U.K.;

<sup>2</sup>Kent Oncology Centre, Maidstone General Hospital, Maidstone and Tunbridge Wells NHS Trust, Maidstone, U.K.;

Sport and Bioscience, University of East London, London, U.K.;

<sup>4</sup>Department of Research and Innovation, Medway NHS Foundation Trust, Gillingham, U.K.;

<sup>5</sup>Department of Public Health, York St John University, London, U.K.;

<sup>6</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Health, and Social Care, Canterbury Christ Church University, Canterbury, U.K.;

<sup>7</sup>Faculty of Life Sciences & Medicine, School of Cancer & Pharmaceutical Sciences,

King's College London, London, U.K.;

<sup>8</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Medway NHS Foundation Trust, Gillingham, U.K.;

<sup>9</sup>AELIA Organization, Thessaloniki, Greece

**Abstract.** The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic necessitated a shift in healthcare delivery, emphasizing the need for remote patient monitoring (RPM) to minimize infection risks. This review aimed to evaluate the applications of artificial intelligence (AI) in RPM for cancer patients, exploring its impact on patient outcomes and implications for future healthcare practices. A qualitative systematic review was conducted using keyword searches across four databases: Embase OVID, PubMed, PsychInfo, and Web of Science. After removing

Correspondence to: Prof. Stergios Boussios MD, MSc, Ph.D., FRCP, Consultant Medical Oncologist, Faculty of Medicine, Health, and Social Care, Canterbury Christ Church University, Canterbury, Kent, U.K.; Faculty of Life Sciences & Medicine, School of Cancer & Pharmaceutical Sciences, King's College London, London, U.K; Kent Medway Medical School, University of Kent, Canterbury, Kent, U.K.; Medway NHS Foundation Trust, Gillingham, Kent, U.K. E-mail: stergiosboussios@gmail.com; stergios.boussios@ nhs.net; stergios.boussios@kcl.ac.uk; s.boussios@kent.ac.uk; stergios.boussios@kmms.ac.uk

*Key Words:* Artificial intelligence, remote patient monitoring, cancer care, patient outcomes, telemedicine, review.

©2025 The Author(s). Published by the International Institute of Anticancer Research.



This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY-NC-ND) 4.0 international license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0).

duplicates and applying inclusion and exclusion criteria, the selected studies underwent quality assessment using the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) tools and a risk of bias assessment. A thematic analysis was then performed using Delve, an application that facilitates deductive coding, to identify and explore themes related to AI in RPM. The search yielded 170 papers, from which 11 quantitative studies were selected for detailed analysis. Deductive coding resulted in the generation of 12 codes, leading to the identification of six subthemes and the construction of two primary themes: Efficacy of the RPM intervention and patient factors. AI systems in RPM show significant potential for enhancing cancer patient care and outcomes. However, this review could not conclusively determine that RPM provides superior outcomes compared to traditional face-to-face care. The findings underscore the preliminary nature of AI in medicine, highlighting the need for larger-scale, longterm studies to fully understand the benefits and limitations of AI in RPM for cancer care.

The advent of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic ushered in an era of unprecedented challenges for global healthcare systems (1). The COVID-19 pandemic had a significant impact on cancer care, leading to fewer diagnosed cases, delayed presentations, postponed treatments, and potentially worse mortality outcomes (2). For instance, COVID-19 and mucormycosis in a patient with an underlying malignancy may impact the anti-cancer treatment, including chemotherapy, immunotherapy, or targeted treatment (3). The COVID-19 pandemic has also impacted the training of junior

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Allied and Public Health, School of Health,

and middle-grade doctors during the first and second waves, due to increased workloads and both physical and mental burnout (4). Central to these challenges was imperative to balance the need for continuous patient care with the necessity of implementing social distancing measures to curb viral transmission. This dichotomy was particularly pronounced in the management of chronic health conditions such as cancer, where regular, face-to-face interactions between patients and healthcare providers are pivotal for effective disease management (5). The traditional modalities of patient monitoring and care delivery were disrupted, necessitating innovative approaches to ensure that patient outcomes did not deteriorate amidst the pandemic's constraints (6, 7).

In response to these challenges, telemedicine emerged as a viable strategy to bridge the gap created by the reduction in in-person consultations. Within the broader spectrum of telemedicine, remote patient monitoring (RPM) has been instrumental in maintaining continuity of care (8, 9). Between November 2020 and January 2023, RPM initiatives supported approximately 487,000 individuals, facilitated by national funding as part of the Regional Scale Programme (10). RPM systems and devices are designed to frequently monitor physiological status of patients, in order to shift medical services from hospital and clinical settings to an inhome monitoring scenario (11). This shift not only addresses the immediate challenges posed by the pandemic but also aligns with a broader trend towards patient-centered care models that prioritize convenience and accessibility.

Parallel to the evolution of RPM has been the rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies. Historically, AI has experienced ebbs and flows in its development trajectory (12, 13). However, recent years have witnessed a renaissance in AI capabilities, driven by improved machine learning algorithms, more computing power, more massive datasets and improved open-source code libraries and frameworks (13-15). These advancements have transcended domains, with healthcare standing out as a particularly fertile ground for AI integration. In medical imaging, for instance, AI systems can automatically make a quantitative assessment of complex medical image characteristics and achieve an increased accuracy for diagnosis (16, 17). Beyond diagnostics, AI offers promise in complex algorithms to provide decision aids offering information and guidance to physicians (18). These capabilities are transformative, potentially enhancing the precision, efficiency, and personalization of medical care.

The confluence of AI and RPM has given rise to innovative strategies aimed at augmenting patient care, especially for those with chronic conditions like cancer. A notable example is the "COVID Oximetry @ Home" service in the Northwest, which has facilitated the safe monitoring of over 3,200 patients from their homes. This initiative has been pivotal in early detection of declining oxygen saturations, reducing hospital admissions, and averting 787 referrals in primary care to date within National Health Services (NHS) England (10). Another groundbreaking development is the "Liberty" device by Entia, which epitomizes the integration of AI into RPM. Launched in 2023 and rolled out across four NHS trusts, Liberty is heralded as the world's first at-home full blood count analyzer. Requiring only a pinprick amount of blood, it enables comprehensive monitoring encompassing symptoms, Patient-Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs), and vital signs. The reception has been overwhelmingly positive, with "100% of patients" expressing willingness to recommend Liberty to others. Personal testimonies highlight feelings of empowerment and psychological benefits derived from taking an active role in one's cancer journey (19). Such innovations not only enhance patient autonomy but also have the potential to alleviate burdens on healthcare infrastructures by optimizing appointment allocations and ensuring that in-person consultations are reserved for those who most need them.

Despite the promise that AI-infused RPM strategies hold, several limitations warrant attention. The nascent nature of many of these technologies means that comprehensive data on their long-term efficacy and impact on patient outcomes remain scarce. For instance, while the Liberty device has shown early success, its recent introduction precludes robust analyses of its sustained benefits across diverse patient demographics. Furthermore, public perception and acceptance of AI in healthcare present challenges. Misinformation and skepticism pervade social discourse, as evidenced by a social media content analysis revealing that of 200 posts discussing AI's role in replacing human doctors, 47.5% posited complete replacement, while 32.5% anticipated partial replacement (20). Such sentiments underscore the potential for misconceptions to shape public attitudes.

Empirical studies further elucidate this ambivalence. Research conducted in London university hospitals indicated that a mere 10.6% of patients strongly trust AI in healthcare, while 22.9% were unfamiliar with the term AI altogether (21). These findings spotlight a knowledge gap and a trust deficit that could impede the widespread adoption of AI-driven healthcare solutions. Delving deeper, a focus group study identified concerns encompassing AI safety, data security, preservation of patient autonomy, and the risks associated with over-reliance on AI systems. Crucially, it was determined that patient acceptance of AI is contingent on mitigating these possible harms (22). Addressing these concerns necessitates a concerted effort to educate the public, ensure transparency in AI applications, and prioritize patient-centric designs that uphold ethical standards.

Given the transformative potential of AI in RPM, particularly in the realm of oncology, there is an imperative to systematically evaluate existing literature to ascertain the efficacy, challenges, and future directions of these technologies.

While preliminary studies and pilot programs offer insights, there remains a dearth of large-scale research that places patient outcomes as central trial endpoints. This systematic review seeks to bridge this knowledge gap by comprehensively analyzing current applications of AI in RPM for patients with cancer, evaluating their impact on patient outcomes, and exploring their potential for broader implementation in healthcare systems worldwide. The rationale for this review lies in the rapid advancements in AI technologies, which offer promising tools for real-time symptom tracking and early detection of complications. However, despite the potential, there is limited comprehensive evidence evaluating the effectiveness of AI-driven RPM in cancer care. The primary objective of this review is to systematically analyze existing literature to assess the efficacy, challenges, and potential of AIenhanced RPM systems in improving cancer patient outcomes, thereby providing insights into their future integration into routine clinical practice.

### Methodology

This systematic review was conducted following the guidelines outlined in the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) 2020 checklist (23), focusing specifically on items 5 to 10. The methodology employed in this review encompasses a comprehensive search strategy, a rigorous study selection process, systematic data extraction, quality assessment, thematic analysis, and a detailed consideration of ethical principles.

Search strategy. The search strategy was meticulously designed to capture all relevant literature pertaining to the application of AI in RPM for cancer patients. Four major databases were selected for the literature search: Embase OVID, PubMed, PsychInfo, and Web of Science. The goal was to achieve data saturation, ensuring that the search captured all pertinent studies available within the specified criteria.

*Keywords*. Keywords used in the search were derived from the Population, Intervention, Comparison, and Outcome (PICO) analysis. The keywords included: Cancer patients, Artificial intelligence, Remote patient monitoring, Early detection, Health deterioration, Early intervention, and Patient outcomes. The PICO tool was essential in refining the search, as it "forces the questioner to focus on what the patient or client believes to be the single most important issue and outcome", while also guiding the selection of appropriate language and key terms for the search (24).

Search string. The search strings employed varied between the selected databases to optimize results. Boolean operators "AND" and "OR" were used to combine keywords, maximizing the sensitivity and specificity of the search. After retrieving the initial search results, the studies were filtered through a multi-step process. First, duplicate entries were removed. Then, studies were screened using the inclusion and exclusion criteria outlined in Figure 1. This filtering process included an initial review of titles and abstracts, followed by a full-text review to assess the relevance and quality of the studies. The goal was to retain only those articles that were directly pertinent to the research question.

Selection criteria. The study eligibility criteria for this systematic review were carefully defined to ensure the selection of relevant and high-quality studies that could address the research question effectively. The inclusion criteria were as follows: studies that specifically analyzed the use of AI in RPM for cancer patients, were published after the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, were written in English, and focused solely on adult populations. Studies also had to be original research articles with free full-text access, allowing for a thorough analysis of their content. Exclusion criteria included research that did not investigate any modality of AI in remote patient care, studies that did not focus on oncology patients, systematic or scoping reviews, grey literature, and snowballing methods. Furthermore, literature published before 2020 or studies involving participants lacking capacity were excluded to maintain a current and relevant focus. These criteria were designed to filter out studies that might not provide direct insights into the application of AI in RPM for cancer care, ensuring that the review concentrated on the most pertinent and recent research in this emerging field.

Data extraction. Data extraction is a critical step in systematic reviews, as it involves capturing the key characteristics of each study in a structured and standardized format. According to Schmidt *et al.*, data extraction is a necessary precursor to assessing the risk of bias in individual studies and synthesizing their findings (25). For this review, data were extracted on various parameters, including study date/duration, study setting, study design, participant demographics (*e.g.*, mean age, groups), the outcomes measured, and the main findings. The extracted data provided the foundation for subsequent quality assessment and thematic analysis, as outlined in section 8 below.

*Quality assessment*. The quality of the included studies was assessed using established tools to ensure the validity and replicability of the findings. The Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) checklists were utilized for both cohort studies and randomized controlled trials (26, 27). These checklists helped in evaluating factors such as study validity, reliability, and applicability to the local population. To further ensure the rigor of the review, the Cochrane RoB 2 tool for randomized controlled trials and the Risk Of Bias In



Figure 1. Prisma flowchart showing selected studies across different databases.

Non-randomized Studies - of Exposures (ROBINS-E) tool for cohort studies were used to assess the risk of bias (28, 29). These tools specifically address selection bias, performance bias, and other potential sources of bias that could compromise the study's findings. Studies identified as having a high risk of bias were excluded from the final analysis to maintain the review's overall validity. *Data synthesis and analysis*. A thematic analysis approach was employed to synthesize the data, guided by the need to answer the research question comprehensively. The process began with the use of Delve, a qualitative analysis application, to code the data (30). Delve facilitated the organization of data into codes related to how patient outcomes were measured in the studies, ensuring a thorough and systematic approach to



Figure 3. Theme 2, subthemes, and codes.



Figure 4. Overview of study characteristics.

data analysis. Themes were then developed from these codes, following Braun and Clarke's six-step guide to thematic analysis, as it is shown in Table I (31). This approach ensured that the themes were well-defined and encompassed the breadth of data necessary to answer the research question. Table II displays the codes generated using Delve. Column A assigns a number to each code, corresponding to the codes in Figure 2 and Figure 3. Column D shows the number of quotes from all papers that fall under each respective code. The codes were assigned numerical identifiers and analyzed based on their relevance to patient outcomes in AI-supported RPM for

patients with cancer. The initial coding process identified several key themes and subthemes, which are illustrated in Figure 2 and Figure 3.

*Certainty assessment.* To ensure the reliability of the findings, the certainty of the evidence was assessed by an independent reviewer. This process involved re-evaluating the selected studies to confirm their relevance and methodological quality. By having a single reviewer independently assess the articles, the review minimized the potential for bias and increased the robustness of the conclusions drawn.

| Number | Step                                 | Rationale                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|--------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1      | Familiarising yourself with the data | 1. Having read through the 11 papers, the next steps were to draw conclusions on important topics covered in the articles                                                                               |
|        |                                      | 2. Then to make rough notes on these to build from when generating codes                                                                                                                                |
| 2      | Generating initial codes             | 1. Using the Delve application, it was possible to highlight snippets of text from all 11 articles and organise them under different codes                                                              |
|        |                                      | <ol> <li>Deductive coding was employed which is where codes are<br/>generated using preset expectations from the aims and objectives<br/>of the study, thus not without prior preconceptions</li> </ol> |
| 3      | Searching for themes                 | The codes were reviewed to allow similarities to be identified                                                                                                                                          |
| 4      | Reviewing potential themes           | Having review the articles again, it was possible to ensure whether                                                                                                                                     |
|        |                                      | the rough themes encompassed all the data needed to answer                                                                                                                                              |
|        |                                      | the research question                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| 5      | Defining and naming themes           | Defined and finalised the themes                                                                                                                                                                        |
| 6      | Producing the report                 | Having completed the steps, a thematic analysis and discussion was created                                                                                                                              |

| Table I. Braun and | Clarkes 6 step | thematic analysis | with rationale. |
|--------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
|--------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|

#### Results

A total of 11 studies were included in this systematic review, selected from four major databases following a rigorous filtering process. These studies were found to be relevant for a comprehensive descriptive and thematic analysis on the application of AI in RPM for patients with cancer. Table III presents a summary of the data extraction from the selected studies, providing an overview of the bibliographic information, study duration, setting, design, sample size, participant characteristics, outcomes measured, and main findings. Figure 4 offers deeper context for the articles, including the corresponding number code for each article that fits into the respective category.

Efficacy of RPM. The efficacy of RPM is supported by several subthemes. First, the accuracy in symptom monitoring - Studies consistently reported that AIenhanced RPM systems accurately monitored symptoms, contributing to timely interventions. For example, Liu et al. found that heart rate data from wearable devices could predict mortality events with high accuracy (32). Second, the ability to identify complications and mortality -Several studies highlighted the capacity of RPM systems to identify complications early, potentially reducing mortality rates. Dawson et al. demonstrated reduced readmission and death rates within 30 days post-discharge in a telemonitoring group (39). Third, the scope for future implementation in healthcare-The potential for broader implementation of AI-driven RPM systems was evident, with multiple studies suggesting their scalability and adaptability across various patient demographics and settings.

Patient factors. Regarding patient factors, the review identified several subthemes. Adherence to the intervention varied across studies, but overall, patients exhibited high levels of adherence to RPM interventions. Constantinescu *et al.* reported adherence rates as high as 83.5% at the beginning of a 6-week program (33). Patient satisfaction was generally high, particularly in interventions where AI enhanced symptom tracking and reporting, as seen in Sprave *et al.*'s study (36). Additionally, the user-friendliness of the intervention played a significant role in patient satisfaction and adherence. Limbach *et al.* noted high satisfaction levels linked to the user-friendly nature of the telemonitoring technology employed (40).

*Excluded themes*. Three codes were excluded from the final thematic discussion: 'Health Care Personnel views', 'quality of life', and 'accuracy and specificity of the AI model'. These topics were either too broad to be adequately discussed within the scope of this review or were already encompassed within other subthemes, thus rendering them redundant for the purposes of this analysis.

#### Discussion

Accuracy in symptom monitoring. The studies reviewed highlight the significant potential of AI-enhanced RPM systems in maintaining symptom burden at manageable levels during cancer treatment. For example, Maguire *et al.* demonstrated that patients using the Advanced Symptom Management System (ASyMS) maintained symptom levels similar to pre-chemotherapy baselines, while control groups experienced increased symptom burden (35). This suggests that real-time reporting and direct communication facilitated

| Order of codes | Nested<br>level | Code name                             | Number of<br>snippets |  |
|----------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 1              | >               | Hospital admissions                   | 6                     |  |
| 2              | >               | HCP views                             | 3                     |  |
| 3              | >               | Efficacy in symptom monitoring        | 17                    |  |
| 4              | >               | Quality of life                       | 7                     |  |
| 5              | >               | Further research needed               | 6                     |  |
| 6              | >               | Patient satisfaction                  | 9                     |  |
| 7              | >               | Practicality and usability            | 18                    |  |
| 8              | >               | Accuracy and specificity of AI model  | 5                     |  |
| 9              | >               | Adherence                             | 15                    |  |
| 10             | >               | Future application                    | 13                    |  |
| 11             | >               | Predicting mortality                  | 6                     |  |
| 12             | >               | Detecting physiological changes early | 5                     |  |

Table II. Codes generated using Delve.

by RPM can enhance patient support and potentially improve outcomes. However, the effectiveness of RPM interventions is contingent on user understanding, as evidenced by Arch *et al.*, where participants expressed a need for more detailed guidance on managing side effects (41).

The contrasting findings of Sprave et al. and Krzyzanowska et al., further underscore the complexity of symptom monitoring (36, 38). While Krzyzanowska et al. (38) reported lower toxicity events in a telephone-monitored group, Sprave et al. (36) found an increase in symptom burden, particularly pain, following radiotherapy. This may be attributed to increased patient awareness of symptoms through self-reporting, emphasizing the need for large-scale studies to validate these findings and explore the psychological impact of RPM systems. Interestingly, Coombs et al. found that the efficacy of RPM systems was consistent across different age groups, challenging the assumption that older patients may struggle with technology (37). This finding highlights the adaptability of AI-driven RPM systems across diverse patient populations, reinforcing their potential for broader application in cancer care.

Ability to identify complications and mortality. The ability of RPM systems to detect complications and predict mortality was a key focus in several studies. Liu *et al.* (32) and Jacobsen *et al.* (42) demonstrated that AI models could accurately predict clinical deterioration, with Liu *et al.* (32) identifying heart rate as a critical predictor of 7-day mortality in end-of-life patients with cancer. Similarly, Jacobsen *et al.* achieved high sensitivity and specificity in detecting serious clinical complications (SCC), even predicting SCC up to 48 hours before clinical diagnosis (42). These findings suggest that RPM systems could significantly reduce hospital admissions and improve patient outcomes by enabling preemptive management of complications. Dawson *et al.*  extended these findings by exploring the impact of telemonitoring on hospital readmissions and mortality (39). Their study showed a reduction in emergency department visits and a lower risk of readmission or death within 30 days among high-risk patients using home-installed monitoring equipment. The combination of AI-driven predictive models and real-time monitoring could, therefore, revolutionize cancer care by reducing morbidity and mortality through early intervention.

All reviewed studies underscored the potential for AI in RPM to be integrated into future healthcare systems. Krzyzanowska et al. (38) emphasized the relevance of scalable remote care strategies in the post-COVID-19 era, advocating for the incorporation of RPM early in the patient care continuum, as demonstrated by Limbach et al. (40) and Maguire et al. (35). Jacobsen et al. further suggested that automated SCC detection could lead to continuous surveillance in oncology, improving complication management and patient outcomes (42). However, the preliminary nature of these studies highlights significant gaps in knowledge, particularly concerning the scalability, costeffectiveness, and long-term impact of AI-driven RPM systems. Most studies concluded that further research is needed to validate their findings and explore the broader implications of integrating AI into routine cancer care.

Adherence to the intervention. Adherence to RPM interventions was generally high across the studies, with Liu et al. (32) reporting a 77.42% wear time for wearable devices and Constantinescu et al. (33) documenting 84% adherence in the first week of their study. However, adherence tended to decline over time, as seen in Arch et al., where the effect of the intervention on adherence to adjuvant endocrine therapy (AET) did not persist through the 6-month follow-up period (41). This suggests that while initial engagement with RPM systems is strong, sustained adherence may require additional support, particularly for long-term interventions. Anxiety and stress were identified as significant barriers to adherence, with patients expressing concerns about the reminders of their cancer diagnosis and the perceived burden of participating in the studies. These findings highlight the need for interventions that are not only technologically user-friendly but also psychologically supportive to maintain long-term adherence.

Patient satisfaction. Patient satisfaction was generally positive, with studies like Jacobs *et al.* reporting high levels of enjoyment, usefulness, and convenience (34). Sprave *et al.* found that RPM systems improved satisfaction with various aspects of care, including interpersonal interactions and financial considerations (36). However, dissatisfaction arose from practical issues, such as the design of wearable devices, as reported by Liu *et al.* (32). This indicates that

| Study<br>setting                                                                 | Study<br>design                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Sample<br>size                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Participants                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Mean age<br>(years)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Groups                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Outcomes<br>measured                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Main<br>findings                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| National<br>Taiwan<br>University<br>Hospital                                     | Cohort<br>study                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | 40                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Terminal cancer<br>patients over<br>20-year-old                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 70.5                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | All in treatment<br>group using<br>wearable devices                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Prediction<br>of 7-day<br>mortality rate                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | <ol> <li>28 death events<br/>identified</li> <li>Heart rate most<br/>predictive</li> <li>XGBoost best model</li> </ol>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Tertiary<br>referral<br>centers across<br>Alberta,<br>Canada                     | Quasi-<br>experimenta<br>pre-test and<br>post-test<br>design                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 20<br>1                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Post head and<br>neck cancer<br>treatment<br>patients                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | 61.9                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | All in treatment<br>group, no controls                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Adherence to<br>treatment<br>and quality<br>of life                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | <ol> <li>High adherence<br/>(83.5% Week 1,<br/>71.8% Week 6)</li> <li>More research<br/>needed on<br/>adherence factors</li> </ol>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Massachusetts<br>General Hospital<br>Cancer Center,<br>Boston, USA               | Open pilot<br>study                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | 5                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Breast cancer<br>patients<br>post-AET                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | 57.5                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Trial group only,<br>divided into<br>small groups                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | <ol> <li>Adherence</li> <li>Symptom<br/>management</li> <li>Distress</li> </ol>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | <ol> <li>High acceptability,<br/>enjoyableness</li> <li>More research<br/>needed for STRIDE<br/>intervention<br/>effectiveness</li> </ol>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| 12 cancer centers<br>across Europe                                               | Stratified<br>randomized<br>control trial                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 829                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Non-metastatic<br>cancer patients                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Not stated                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | 415 in ASyMS<br>group, 414 in<br>control group                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | <ol> <li>Symptom<br/>burden</li> <li>Quality of life</li> </ol>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | <ol> <li>ASyMS group<br/>maintained<br/>pre-chemo<br/>symptom levels</li> <li>Controls had<br/>increased burden</li> </ol>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| University of<br>Freiburg Medical<br>Center, Germany                             | Randomized<br>control<br>trial                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 1 100                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Head and neck<br>cancer patients<br>scheduled for<br>radiotherapy                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 60 (ePRO)<br>66 (control                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | , 50 in ePRO<br>) group,<br>50 in control                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | <ol> <li>Feasibility</li> <li>Compliance</li> <li>Satisfaction</li> </ol>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | 1. High compliance<br>and satisfaction<br>in ePRO group<br>2. Improved<br>symptom burden<br>reporting                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Community and<br>academic oncology<br>practices in<br>Tennessee and<br>Utah, USA | Prospective,<br>longitudinal<br>randomized<br>clinical trial                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 358                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Chemotherapy<br>patients                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Not stated                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Older adults<br>(≥60) vs.<br>younger adults<br>(<60)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 1. Adherence<br>2. Symptoms'<br>outcomes                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | <ol> <li>High adherence</li> <li>No significant<br/>difference in<br/>symptoms' outcomes<br/>between age groups</li> </ol>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| 20 cancer<br>centers in<br>Ontario, Canada                                       | Pragmatic,<br>cluster<br>randomized<br>trial                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 580                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Early-stage<br>breast<br>cancer patients                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 55                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Proactive remote<br>management<br>vs. routine care                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | <ol> <li>ED visits</li> <li>Hospital<br/>admissions</li> <li>Toxicity</li> <li>Quality of life</li> </ol>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | <ol> <li>No significant<br/>reduction in ED<br/>visits or admissions</li> <li>QoL decreased<br/>more in control group</li> </ol>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Mayo Clinic<br>Florida and<br>Mayo Clinic<br>Arizona, USA                        | Modified<br>randomized<br>control trial                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | 1,380                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | High readmission<br>risk patients                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 66                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | High-risk group<br>vs. control                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Readmission<br>or death<br>within 30 days                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reduced<br>readmission/<br>death rate and<br>ED visits in<br>telemonitoring group                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Not stated<br>(North America)                                                    | Randomized<br>control trial                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 65                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | GI oncologic<br>surgery patients                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 52                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Telemonitoring<br>intervention<br>vs. control                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | 1. Feasibility<br>2. Patients'<br>satisfaction                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | <ol> <li>High satisfaction<br/>and adherence</li> <li>Further research<br/>needed on<br/>generalizability</li> </ol>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|                                                                                  | Study<br>settingNational<br>Taiwan<br>University<br>HospitalTertiary<br>referral<br>centers across<br>Alberta,<br>CanadaMassachusetts<br>General Hospital<br>Cancer Center,<br>Boston, USA12 cancer centers<br>across EuropeUniversity of<br>Freiburg Medical<br>Center, GermanyCommunity and<br>academic oncology<br>practices in<br>Tennessee and<br>Utah, USA20 cancer<br>centers in<br>Ontario, CanadaMayo Clinic<br>Florida and<br>Mayo Clinic<br>Arizona, USANot stated<br>(North America) | Study<br>settingStudy<br>designNational<br>Taiwan<br>University<br>HospitalCohort<br>studyTertiary<br>referral<br>centers acrossQuasi-<br>experimenta<br>pre-test and<br>post-test<br>designMassachusetts<br>General Hospital<br>Cancer Center,<br>Boston, USAOpen pilot<br>study12 cancer centers<br>across EuropeStratified<br>randomized<br>control trialUniversity of<br>Freiburg Medical<br>Center, GermanyRandomized<br>control trialCommunity and<br>academic oncology<br>practices in<br>Tennessee and<br>Utah, USAProspective,<br>longitudinal<br>randomized<br>clinical trialMayo Clinic<br>Florida and<br>Mayo Clinic<br>Arizona, USAModified<br>randomized<br>control trial | Study<br>settingStudy<br>designSample<br>sizeNational<br>Taiwan<br>University<br>HospitalCohort<br>study40Tertiary<br>referral<br>centers across<br>Alberta,<br>CanadaQuasi-<br>experimental<br>pre-test and<br>post-test<br>design20Massachusetts<br>General Hospital<br>Cancer Center,<br>Boston, USAOpen pilot<br>study512 cancer centers<br>across EuropeStratified<br>randomized<br>control trial829University of<br>Freiburg Medical<br>Center, GermanyRandomized<br>control<br>trial100Community and<br>academic oncology<br>practices in<br>Tennessee and<br>Utah, USAProspective,<br>cluster<br>randomized<br>clinical trial358Mayo Clinic<br>Florida and<br>Mayo Clinic<br>Arizona, USAModified<br>randomized<br>control trial1,380Not stated<br>(Nort stated<br>(Nort America)Randomized<br>control trial65 | Study<br>settingStudy<br>designSample<br>sizeParticipants<br>patientsNational<br>Taiwan<br>University<br>HospitalCohort<br>study40Terminal cancer<br>patients over<br>20-year-oldTertiary<br>referral<br>centers across<br>Alberta,<br>CanadaQuasi-<br>experimental<br>pre-test and<br>post-test<br>design20Post head and<br>neck cancer<br>treatment<br>patientsMassachusetts<br>General Hospital<br>Cancer Center,<br>Boston, USAOpen pilot<br>study5Breast cancer<br>patients<br>post-AET12 cancer centers<br>across EuropeStratified<br>randomized<br>control trial829Non-metastatic<br>cancer patients<br>post-AETUniversity of<br>Freiburg Medical<br>Center, GermanyRandomized<br>control<br>trial100Head and neck<br>cancer patients<br>scheduled for<br>radiotherapy<br>patientsCommunity and<br>academic oncology<br>practices in<br>Ontario, CanadaProspective,<br>cluster<br>custer<br>cluster<br>cancer patients580Early-stage<br>breast<br>cancer patientsMayo Clinic<br>Florida and<br>Mayo Clinic<br>Arizona, USAModified<br>randomized1,380High readmission<br>risk patientsNot stated<br>(North America)Randomized<br>control trial65GI oncologic<br>surgery patients | Study<br>settingStudy<br>designSample<br>sizeParticipants<br>participantsMean age<br>(years)National<br>Taiwan<br>UniversityCohort<br>study40Terminal cancer<br>patients over<br>20-year-old70.5Tertiary<br>referral<br>centers across<br>Alberta<br>CanadaQuasi-<br>experimental<br>pre-test and<br>post-test<br>design20Post head and<br>neck cancer<br>treatment<br>patients61.9Massachusetts<br>General Hospital<br>Cancer Center,<br>Boston, USAOpen pilot<br>study5Breast cancer<br>patients<br>post-AET57.512 cancer centers<br>across EuropeStratified<br>randomized<br>control<br>trial829Non-metastatic<br>cancer patientsNot stated<br>(control<br>trialUniversity of<br>Freiburg Medical<br>Center, GermanyRandomized<br>control<br>trial100Head and neck<br>scheduled for<br>radiotherapy60 (ePRO)<br>for iburg<br>scheduled for<br>radiotherapyCommunity and<br>practices in<br>Tennessee and<br>Utah, USAProspective,<br>cluster<br>randomized358Chemotherapy<br>patientsNot stated<br>for isk patientsMayo Clinic<br>Florida and<br>Rayo Clinic<br>Florida and<br>Arizona, USAModified<br>control trial1,380High readmission<br>risk patients66Not stated<br>(North America)Randomized<br>control trial61 oncologic<br>surgery patients52 | Study<br>settingStudy<br>designSample<br>sizeParticipants<br>(years)Mean age<br>(years)GroupsNational<br>Thivan<br>University<br>HospitalCohort<br>study40Terminal cancer<br>patients over<br>20-year-old70.5All in treatment<br>group using<br>wearable devicesTertiary<br>referral<br>caneta<br>Alberta,<br>CanadaQuasi-<br>experimental<br>post-test and<br>post-test<br>design20Post head and<br>neck cancer<br>treatment<br>patients61.9All in treatment<br>group, no controlsMassachusetts<br>CanadaOpen pilot<br>study5Breast cancer<br>patients<br>post-AET57.5Trial group only,<br>divided into<br>small groupsI2 cancer centers<br>across EuropeStratified<br>randomized<br>control trial829Non-metastatic<br>cancer patients<br>cancer patientsNot stated415 in ASyMS<br>group, 141 in<br>control group, 50 in ePRO<br>scheduled for<br>radiotherapyUniversity of<br>reriburg Medical<br>center, GermanyProspective,<br>trial358Chemotherapy<br>patientsNot statedOlder adults<br>(c60) vs.<br>younger adults<br>(c60)Community and<br>practices in<br>rontario, CanadaProspective,<br>trial358Chemotherapy<br>patientsNot statedOlder adults<br>(c60) vs.<br>vs. routine care<br>vs. routine careMayo Clinic<br>(Not statedModified<br>randomized<br>trial1.380High readmission<br>risk patients66High-risk group<br>vs. control<br>vs. control<br>vs. control<br>vs. control | Study<br>settingStudy<br>designSample<br>sizeParticipants<br>(years)Mean age<br>(years)Groups<br>measuredNational<br>Taiwan<br>University<br>HospitalCohort<br>study40Terminal cancer<br>patients over<br>20-year-old70.5All in treatment<br>group using<br>wearable devicesPrediction<br>mortality rateTertiary<br>referral<br>centers across<br>Alberta,<br>CanadaQuasi-<br>per-test and<br>study20Post head and<br>neck cancer<br>patients61.9All in treatment<br>group, no controlsAdherence to<br>treatment<br>and quality<br>of lifeMassachusetts<br>CanadaOpen pilot<br>study5Breast cancer<br>patients<br>post-AET57.5Trial group only,<br>tidvided into<br>group, 14.14 in<br>control trial1. Adherence of<br>management<br>3. Distress12 cancer centers<br>breiburg Medical<br>Center, GermanyStratified<br>control trial829Non-metastatic<br>cancer patients<br>cancer patientsNot stated415 in ASyMS<br>group, 14.14 in<br>control group1. Symptom<br>burden<br>control trialUniversity of<br>Freiburg Medical<br>Center, GermanyRandomized<br>control<br>trial100Head and neck<br>cancer patients<br>cancer patients60 (ePRO),<br>group,<br>scheduled for<br>radiomized50 in control<br>group,<br>scheduled for<br>radiomized1. Feasibility<br>2. Compliance<br>cancer patients20cancer<br>cancer sin<br>outario, CanadaAddified<br>tail1.380Head and neck<br>cancer patients60 (ePRO),<br>group,<br>scheduled for<br>radiomized51 in ePRO<br>control1. Feasibility<br>2. Compliance<br>compliance20 |

| Table III. A summary of the data extraction from the selected studies. |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Table III. Continued

| Į |
|---|
| ļ |

| Code/Author<br>(Reference) | Study<br>setting                                   | Study<br>design                             | Sample<br>size | Participants                        | Mean age<br>(years) | Groups                          | Outcomes<br>measured                              | Main<br>findings                                                                                                                                   |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 10/Arch<br>et al. (41)     | Rocky Mountain<br>Cancer Centers,<br>Colorado, USA | Mixed method<br>randomized<br>control trial | ds 88          | Breast cancer<br>patients<br>on AET | 56                  | REACH vs.<br>Education<br>group | 1. AET adherence<br>2. Attitudes<br>3. Intentions | <ol> <li>100% session<br/>completion, high<br/>satisfaction</li> <li>Adherence<br/>declined after<br/>3 months</li> </ol>                          |
| 11/Jacobsen<br>et al. (42) | University<br>Hospital<br>Düsseldorf,<br>Germany   | Single-arm<br>observationa<br>cohort Study  | 79<br>1<br>7   | Hematology-<br>oncology<br>patients | 55                  | Inpatient vs.<br>outpatient     | Detection/<br>prediction<br>of SCC                | <ol> <li>High sensitivity<br/>and specificity<br/>for SCC<br/>detection and<br/>prediction</li> <li>Feasible for<br/>early intervention</li> </ol> |

XGBoost: eXtreme Gradient Boosting; AET: adjuvant endocrine therapy; STRIDE: Symptom-Targeted Randomized Intervention for Distress and Adherence on Adjuvant Endocrine Therapy; AsyMS: Advanced Symptom Management System; ePROs: electronic patient-reported outcomes; ED: emergency department; QoL: quality of Life: GI: gastrointestinal; REACH: Resources and Education for Adherence to Cancer Hormonal therapy; SCC: serious clinical complications.

while AI-driven RPM systems are well-received, there is room for improvement in their design and functionality to enhance patient experience further.

User friendliness of the intervention. The user-friendliness of RPM interventions is crucial to their success, as it directly impacts both satisfaction and adherence. Most studies reported that participants found the systems easy to navigate, with no significant technical difficulties. However, some patients felt overwhelmed by the technological requirements, leading to dropout in studies like Limbach et al. (40). This suggests that while AI-driven RPM systems are generally accessible, additional support and training may be necessary for certain patient populations, particularly those less familiar with technology. The specific challenges faced by end-of-life patients, as highlighted by Liu et al., further underscore the need for RPM systems that are adaptable to the unique needs of different patient groups (32). Future research should focus on developing non-wearable devices that offer the same benefits as current RPM systems while being more practical for vulnerable patients.

Limitations of the review. This systematic review is subject to several limitations. The relatively small number of studies included – 11 in total – may limit the generalizability of the findings. The selection of only four databases and the exclusion of non-English language studies and those without free fulltext access may have introduced selection bias, potentially omitting relevant research. Additionally, the specificity of the keywords and eligibility criteria may have further restricted the scope of the review. The thematic analysis process, while thorough, was conducted by a single reviewer, which may introduce reporting bias. The use of deductive coding, based on pre-existing research outcomes, might have overlooked other significant topics, such as healthcare provider factors and the accuracy and specificity of AI models. The rapid development of AI in healthcare also means that new data may have emerged since the completion of this review, necessitating further studies to incorporate the latest findings. Finally, the constrained timeframe and resources available to a single university student author may have limited the depth of the analysis and the robustness of the conclusions. Future reviews would benefit from a more extensive and collaborative approach, incorporating peer review and additional resources to enhance the reliability of the findings.

## Implications of the Results for Practice, Policy, and Future Research

This review highlights the potential for AI-driven RPM systems to enhance cancer care by improving symptom monitoring, reducing hospital readmissions, and increasing patient satisfaction. The findings suggest that AI-enhanced RPM could serve as a valuable adjunct to traditional face-to-face care, particularly in a post-pandemic healthcare landscape where remote care is increasingly sought after. However, the limitations identified in this review underscore the need for further research to validate these findings, particularly in large-scale, long-term studies. Future research should explore the cost-effectiveness of AI systems, the scalability of these interventions, and their impact on healthcare providers' workflows and patient outcomes.

Additionally, developing interventions that address the psychological barriers to adherence and satisfaction will be crucial in ensuring the success of AI-driven RPM systems.

#### Conclusion

This systematic review has explored the potential of AI in RPM to enhance cancer care outcomes compared to traditional face-to-face symptom monitoring. Through the analysis of 11 studies, key insights into the efficacy of AIdriven RPM systems have been identified, highlighting their ability to maintain symptom burden, predict complications, and reduce hospital readmissions. Moreover, the review has underscored the importance of patient factors, such as adherence, satisfaction, and the user-friendliness of these interventions in determining their overall success.

While the findings demonstrate that AI-enhanced RPM systems offer unique benefits, such as real-time symptom monitoring and early detection of clinical deterioration, these technologies have not yet proven to be superior to traditional care methods. The variability in outcomes across different studies and the preliminary nature of much of the existing research indicate that more extensive, long-term studies are needed to validate these findings and explore the broader implications of integrating AI into routine cancer care. Several limitations, including the small number of studies reviewed, potential selection bias, and the rapid pace of technological advancements, may have outpaced the research included in this analysis. These limitations point to the need for ongoing research and refinement of AI-driven RPM systems to ensure their scalability, cost-effectiveness, and long-term impact on patient outcomes.

Overall, while AI in RPM holds significant promise for transforming cancer care, it is not yet positioned to replace traditional face-to-face care entirely. Instead, it should be viewed as a complementary tool that, with further development and validation, could significantly enhance the quality of care provided to cancer patients. Future research should focus on addressing the gaps identified in this review, particularly in terms of scalability, cost-effectiveness, and the integration of AI into standard healthcare practices. By doing so, the full potential of AI in RPM can be realized, ultimately improving the lives of patients with cancer worldwide.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

The Authors declare no conflicts of interest in relation to this study.

#### **Authors' Contributions**

Conceptualization: F.A., and D.B.; Data curation: F.A., and D.B.O.; Formal analysis: F.A., D.B., and D.B.O.; Funding acquisition: S.B.; Investigation: F.A., D.B., and D.B.O.; Methodology: F.A., and D.B.; Project administration: S.B.; Resources: D.B., and S.B.; Software: D.B.O.; Supervision: D.B., and S.B.; Validation: D.B.O.; Visualization: F.A., D.B., and D.B.O.; original draft: F.A., and D.B.; Writing – review & editing: F.A., D.B., D.B.O., and S.B.

#### Acknowledgements

The work was supported by the Kent Medway Medical School, University of Kent, Canterbury, Kent, UK and by the Department of Research and Innovation, Medway NHS Foundation Trust, Gillingham, Kent, UK.

#### References

- Jazieh AR, Kozlakidis Z: Healthcare transformation in the postcoronavirus pandemic era. Front Med (Lausanne) 7: 429, 2020. DOI: 10.3389/fmed.2020.00429
- 2 Sain B, Gupta A, Ghose A, Halder S, Bhattacharya S, Mondal RR, Paul S, Are C, Boussios S: Impact of COVID-19 on cancer services and patients' outcomes: a retrospective single-center study. Ann Transl Med 11(9): 310, 2023. DOI: 10.21037/atm-22-5876
- 3 Mahajan I, Ghose A, Gupta D, Manasvi M, Bhandari S, Das A, Sanchez E, Boussios S: COVID-19, mucormycosis and cancer: the triple threat-hypothesis or reality? J Pers Med 12(7): 1119, 2022. DOI: 10.3390/jpm12071119
- 4 Revythis A, Shah S, Enyioma S, Ghose A, Patel M, Karathanasi A, Sanchez E, Boussios S: The experience of a single NHS England trust on the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on junior and middle-grade doctors: what is next? Int J Environ Res Public Health 18(19): 10413, 2021. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph181910413
- 5 Lee AYL, Wong AKC, Hung TTM, Yan J, Yang S: Nurse-led telehealth intervention for rehabilitation (telerehabilitation) among community-dwelling patients with chronic diseases: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Med Internet Res 24(11): e40364, 2022. DOI: 10.2196/40364
- 6 Palinkas LA, Whiteside L, Nehra D, Engstrom A, Taylor M, Moloney K, Zatzick DF: Rapid ethnographic assessment of the COVID-19 pandemic April 2020 'surge' and its impact on service delivery in an Acute Care Medical Emergency Department and Trauma Center. BMJ Open 10(10): e041772, 2020. DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-041772
- 7 Arabi YM, Azoulay E, Al-Dorzi HM, Phua J, Salluh J, Binnie A, Hodgson C, Angus DC, Cecconi M, Du B, Fowler R, Gomersall CD, Horby P, Juffermans NP, Kesecioglu J, Kleinpell RM, Machado FR, Martin GS, Meyfroidt G, Rhodes A, Rowan K, Timsit JF, Vincent JL, Citerio G: How the COVID-19 pandemic will change the future of critical care. Intensive Care Med 47(3): 282-291, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/s00134-021-06352-y
- 8 Olawade DB, David-Olawade AC, Wada OZ, Asaolu AJ, Adereni T, Ling J: Artificial intelligence in healthcare delivery: Prospects and pitfalls. J Med Surg Public Health 3: 100108, 2024. DOI: 10.1016/j.glmedi.2024.100108
- 9 David-Olawade AC, Olawade DB, Ojo IO, Famujimi ME, Olawumi TT, Esan DT: Nursing in the digital age: Harnessing telemedicine for enhanced patient care. Health Informatics J 1(2): 100-110, 2024. DOI: 10.1016/j.infoh.2024.07.003
- 10 NHS England (2023): Supporting care with remote monitoring, transformation directorate. Available at: https://transform. england.nhs.uk/covid-19-response/technology-nhs/supportingthe-innovation-collaboratives-to-expand-their-remotemonitoring-plans/ [Last accessed on January 5, 2024]

- 11 Lee SI, Ghasemzadeh H, Mortazavi B, Lan M, Alshurafa N, Ong M, Sarrafzadeh M: Remote patient monitoring. Proceedings of the 4th Conference on Wireless Health: 1-8, 2013. DOI: 10.1145/2534088.2534108
- 12 Olawade DB, Wada OJ, David-Olawade AC, Kunonga E, Abaire O, Ling J: Using artificial intelligence to improve public health: a narrative review. Front Public Health 11: 1196397, 2023. DOI: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1196397
- 13 Olawade DB, Eberhardt J, David-Olawade AC, Balogun MA, Bolarinwa OA, Esan DT: Transforming multidrug-resistant tuberculosis care: The potentials of telemedicine in resourcelimited settings. Health Sci Rev (Oxf) 12: 100185, 2024. DOI: 10.1016/j.hsr.2024.100185
- 14 Allen G: Understanding AI Technology. Available at: https://www.ai.mil/docs/Understanding%20AI%20Technology.pd f [Last accessed on March 21, 2024]
- 15 Olawade DB, Wada OZ, Odetayo A, David-Olawade AC, Asaolu F, Eberhardt J: Enhancing mental health with Artificial Intelligence: Current trends and future prospects. J Med Surg Publ Health 3: 100099, 2024. DOI: 10.1016/j.glmedi.2024.100099
- 16 Zhou LQ, Wang JY, Yu SY, Wu GG, Wei Q, Deng YB, Wu XL, Cui XW, Dietrich CF: Artificial intelligence in medical imaging of the liver. World J Gastroenterol 25(6): 672-682, 2019. DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v25.i6.672
- 17 Tapper W, Carneiro G, Mikropoulos C, Thomas SA, Evans PM, Boussios S: The application of radiomics and AI to molecular imaging for prostate cancer. J Pers Med 14(3): 287, 2024. DOI: 10.3390/jpm14030287
- 18 Akay EMZ, Hilbert A, Carlisle BG, Madai VI, Mutke MA, Frey D: Artificial intelligence for clinical decision support in acute ischemic stroke: a systematic review. Stroke 54(6): 1505-1516, 2023. DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.122.041442
- 19 Entia (2023) Entia Home: Available at: https://www.entia.co/home [Last accessed on March 21, 2024]
- 20 Gao S, He L, Chen Y, Li D, Lai K: Public perception of artificial intelligence in medical care: content analysis of social media. J Med Internet Res 22(7): e16649, 2020. DOI: 10.2196/16649
- 21 Aggarwal R, Farag S, Martin G, Ashrafian H, Darzi A: Patient perceptions on data sharing and applying artificial intelligence to health care data: cross-sectional survey. J Med Internet Res 23(8): e26162, 2021. DOI: 10.2196/26162
- 22 Richardson JP, Smith C, Curtis S, Watson S, Zhu X, Barry B, Sharp RR: Patient apprehensions about the use of artificial intelligence in healthcare. NPJ Digit Med 4(1): 140, 2021. DOI: 10.1038/s41746-021-00509-1
- 23 Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, Shamseer L, Tetzlaff JM, Akl EA, Brennan SE, Chou R, Glanville J, Grimshaw JM, Hróbjartsson A, Lalu MM, Li T, Loder EW, Mayo-Wilson E, McDonald S, McGuinness LA, Stewart LA, Thomas J, Tricco AC, Welch VA, Whiting P, Moher D: The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 372: n71, 2021. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.n71
- 24 Eriksen MB, Frandsen TF: The impact of patient, intervention, comparison, outcome (PICO) as a search strategy tool on literature search quality: a systematic review. J Med Libr Assoc 106(4): 420-431, 2018. DOI: 10.5195/jmla.2018.345
- 25 Schmidt L, Finnerty Mutlu AN, Elmore R, Olorisade BK, Thomas J, Higgins JPT: Data extraction methods for systematic review (semi)automation: Update of a living systematic review. F1000Res 10: 401, 2021. DOI: 10.12688/f1000research.51117.2

- 26 Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (2018): CASP cohort study checklist. Available at: https://casp-uk.net/checklists/casp-rctrandomised-controlled-trial-checklist.pdf [Last accessed on February 28, 2024]
- 27 Critical Appraisal Skills Programme: CASP randomised control trial checklist. Available at: https://casp-uk.net/checklists/casprct-randomised-controlled-trial-checklist.pdf [Last accessed on February 28, 2024]
- 28 Sterne JAC, Savović J, Page MJ, Elbers RG, Blencowe NS, Boutron I, Cates CJ, Cheng HY, Corbett MS, Eldridge SM, Emberson JR, Hernán MA, Hopewell S, Hróbjartsson A, Junqueira DR, Jüni P, Kirkham JJ, Lasserson T, Li T, McAleenan A, Reeves BC, Shepperd S, Shrier I, Stewart LA, Tilling K, White IR, Whiting PF, Higgins JPT: RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ 366: 14898, 2019. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.14898
- 29 Higgins JPT, Morgan RL, Rooney AA, Taylor KW, Thayer KA, Silva RA, Lemeris C, Akl EA, Bateson TF, Berkman ND, Glenn BS, Hróbjartsson A, LaKind JS, McAleenan A, Meerpohl JJ, Nachman RM, Obbagy JE, O'Connor A, Radke EG, Savović J, Schünemann HJ, Shea B, Tilling K, Verbeek J, Viswanathan M, Sterne JAC: A tool to assess risk of bias in non-randomized follow-up studies of exposure effects (ROBINS-E). Environ Int 186: 108602, 2024. DOI: 10.1016/j.envint.2024.108602
- 30 Delve: Qualitative Data Analysis Software Made Easy. Available at: https://delvetool.com/ [Last accessed on March 27, 2024]
- 31 Braun V, Clarke V: Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qual Res Psychol 3(2): 77-101, 2006. DOI: 10.1191/1478088706qp063oa
- 32 Liu JH, Shih CY, Huang HL, Peng JK, Cheng SY, Tsai JS, Lai F: Evaluating the potential of machine learning and wearable devices in end-of-life care in predicting 7-day death events among patients with terminal cancer: cohort study. J Med Internet Res 25: e47366, 2023. DOI: 10.2196/47366
- 33 Constantinescu G, Rieger J, Seikaly H, Eurich D: Adherence to home-based swallowing therapy using a mobile system in head and neck cancer survivors. Am J Speech Lang Pathol 30(6): 2465-2475, 2021. DOI: 10.1044/2021\_AJSLP-21-00026
- 34 Jacobs JM, Walsh EA, Rapoport CS, Antoni MH, Park ER, Post K, Comander A, Peppercorn J, Safren SA, Temel JS, Greer JA: Development and refinement of a telehealth intervention for symptom management, distress, and adherence to adjuvant endocrine therapy after breast cancer. J Clin Psychol Med Settings 28(3): 603-618, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/s10880-020-09750-4
- 35 Maguire R, McCann L, Kotronoulas G, Kearney N, Ream E, Armes J, Patiraki E, Furlong E, Fox P, Gaiger A, McCrone P, Berg G, Miaskowski C, Cardone A, Orr D, Flowerday A, Katsaragakis S, Darley A, Lubowitzki S, Harris J, Skene S, Miller M, Moore M, Lewis L, DeSouza N, Donnan PT: Real time remote symptom monitoring during chemotherapy for cancer: European multicentre randomised controlled trial (eSMART). BMJ 374: n1647, 2021. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.n1647
- 36 Sprave T, Pfaffenlehner M, Stoian R, Christofi E, Rühle A, Zöller D, Fabian A, Fahrner H, Binder H, Schäfer H, Gkika E, Grosu AL, Heinemann F, Nicolay NH: App-controlled treatment monitoring and support for patients with head and neck cancer undergoing radiotherapy: results from a prospective randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res 25: e46189, 2023. DOI: 10.2196/46189
- 37 Coombs LA, Ellington L, Fagerlin A, Mooney K: Age is not a barrier: older adults with cancer derive similar benefit in a

randomized controlled trial of a remote symptom monitoring intervention compared with younger adults. Cancer Control 27(1): 1073274820968878, 2020. DOI: 10.1177/1073274820968878

- 38 Krzyzanowska MK, Julian JA, Gu CS, Powis M, Li Q, Enright K, Howell D, Earle CC, Gandhi S, Rask S, Brezden-Masley C, Dent S, Hajra L, Freeman O, Spadafora S, Hamm C, Califaretti N, Trudeau M, Levine MN, Amir E, Bordeleau L, Chiarotto JA, Elser C, Husain J, Laferriere N, Rahim Y, Robinson AG, Vandenberg T, Grunfeld E: Remote, proactive, telephone based management of toxicity in outpatients during adjuvant or neoadjuvant chemotherapy for early stage breast cancer: pragmatic, cluster randomised trial. BMJ 375: e066588, 2021. DOI: 10.1136/bmj-2021-066588
- 39 Dawson NL, Hull BP, Vijapura P, Dumitrascu AG, Ball CT, Thiemann KM, Maniaci MJ, Burton MC: Home telemonitoring to reduce readmission of high-risk patients: a modified intentionto-treat randomized clinical trial. J Gen Intern Med 36(11): 3395-3401, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/s11606-020-06589-1
- 40 Limbach K, Esslin P, Sun V, Fan D, Kaiser AM, Paz IB, Raoof M, Lewis A, Melstrom KA, Lai L, Woo Y, Singh G, Fong Y, Melstrom LG: Randomized controlled trial of perioperative telemonitoring of patient generated health data in gastrointestinal oncologic surgery: assessing overall feasibility and acceptability. World J Surg 47(12): 3131-3137, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/s00268-023-07179-y

- 41 Arch JJ, Crespi CM, Levin ME, Genung SR, Nealis M, Mitchell JL, Bright EE, Albright K, Magidson JF, Stanton AL: Randomized controlled pilot trial of a low-touch remotely-delivered values intervention to promote adherence to adjuvant endocrine therapy among breast cancer survivors. Ann Behav Med 56(8): 856-871, 2022. DOI: 10.1093/abm/kaab118
- 42 Jacobsen M, Gholamipoor R, Dembek TA, Rottmann P, Verket M, Brandts J, Jäger P, Baermann BN, Kondakci M, Heinemann L, Gerke AL, Marx N, Müller-Wieland D, Möllenhoff K, Seyfarth M, Kollmann M, Kobbe G: Wearable based monitoring and selfsupervised contrastive learning detect clinical complications during treatment of Hematologic malignancies. NPJ Digit Med 6(1): 105, 2023. DOI: 10.1038/s41746-023-00847-2

Received December 19, 2024 Revised January 4, 2025 Accepted January 8, 2025