

Est.
1841

YORK
ST JOHN
UNIVERSITY

Sukirno, Sukirno, Putri Hari Pratiwi, Nabella and Amanollahnejad, Albert (2023) Bank Customer Loyalty at Post-Implementation of Automatic Exchange of Information (AEOI) in Indonesia. *Ihtifaz: Journal of Islamic Economics, Finance, and Banking*, 4 (2). pp. 159-174.

Downloaded from: <https://ray.yorks.ac.uk/id/eprint/11747/>

The version presented here may differ from the published version or version of record. If you intend to cite from the work you are advised to consult the publisher's version:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.12928/ijjefb.v4i2.5768>

Research at York St John (RaY) is an institutional repository. It supports the principles of open access by making the research outputs of the University available in digital form. Copyright of the items stored in RaY reside with the authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full text items free of charge, and may download a copy for private study or non-commercial research. For further reuse terms, see licence terms governing individual outputs. [Institutional Repositories Policy Statement](#)

RaY

Research at the University of York St John

For more information please contact RaY at
ray@yorks.ac.uk

The current issue and full text archive of this journal is available on IHTIFAZ at :
<https://doi.org/10.12928/ijefb.v4i2.5768>

Bank Customer Loyalty at Post-Implementation of Automatic Exchange of Information (AEOI) in Indonesia

Nabella Putri Hari Pratiwi ^{1*}, Sukirno ²,
Abolfazl Amanollanejad³

^{1,2} Fakultas Ekonomi, Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

³ International Banking and Finance, Glasgow Caledonian University,
Scotland, United Kingdom

*sukirno@uny.ac.id

Journal of
Islamic Economics,
Finance,
and Banking

Vol. 4, No. 2, December 2021,
pp. 159-174, ISSN p:2622-4755
e:2622-4798

Article History

Received : March 25, 2022

Revised : April 11, 2022

Accepted : April 11, 2022

Abstract

Introduction: Many studies have been conducted massively around the globe. Nevertheless, still, no study has been conducted to explore the impact of banking industries' AEOI adoption on customer loyalty, Trust, Commitment, and Satisfaction.

Purpose: The purpose of this paper is to investigate the effect of trust, commitment, and satisfaction on customer loyalty and, in turn, the indirect effect of satisfaction on customer loyalty at post-implementation of the Automatic Exchange of Information (AEOI).

Methodology: A model is advanced and tested using partial least squares path modeling (PLS-SEM), and data were collected from a sample of 200 banking industry customers in Indonesia.

Findings: The results indicate significant and positive relationships between trust, commitment, satisfaction, and customer loyalty. Moreover, it is found that satisfaction has an indirect effect in relation to trust, commitment, and customer loyalty. The findings and limitations are discussed, and recommendations for the policymakers and researchers are also provided.

Paper Type: Research Article

Keywords: AEOI; Commitment; Loyalty; Satisfaction; Trust.



Kampus 4
Universitas Ahmad Dahlan
Jl. Ringroad Selatan, Tamanan
Banguntapan, Bantul
Yogyakarta 55191
e-mail : ihtifaz@uad.ac.id

INTRODUCTION

During the last three decades, we have witnessed a significant expansion of the financial sector (Barattieri et al., 2021). The existence of banks is important of life for economies around the world, many of the economic wheels are supported and run by them. Likewise, in Indonesia, the bank holds a very important control, especially for developing countries that are in the development stage in various sectors. Banks provide services to customers in the financial sector, such as savings, loans/credit, deposits, and others (Roziq et al., 2020).

In line with advancing technological developments, the Indonesian finance ministry has adopted the Automatic Exchange of Information (AEOI) as an effort to overcome the financial crisis. Through the implementation of AEOI, the directorate of taxes has the authority to access financial information for state tax purposes (Darmanti & Mangkan, 2020). The implementation of the Automatic Exchange of Information (AEOI) will benefit countries, which is a form of cooperation between international taxation and banking that has been implemented by several countries (Darmanti & Mangkan, 2020). There are 105 countries at the end of 2020, including Indonesia, that have adopted the AEOI in avoiding financial crises and improving tax administration in their countries (OECD, 2020). AEOI is used as a medium for exchanging information related to banks' customer data around the world periodically, systematically, and continuously to taxpayer residents of countries. Implementation of this regulation, the Government aims to increase tax revenue for state income and to minimize taxpayers who avoid taxes.

Until now, the Indonesian Government has not guaranteed the security and confidentiality of customers' personal information. When the confidentiality of customer data is not guaranteed, the impact that may occur is reduced customer distrust where the customer keeps money because of the basis of trust. The continuing situation will lead to a financial crisis and has a significant impact on the levels of trust that citizens place in the system and its institutions. It is relevant to a finding showing a significant fall in confidence in the majority of citizens in the bank institutions (Roth, 2009). The citizens will rush money as a result of mistrust of banking institutions, which can cause many banks to collapse. When the confidentiality of customer data is not guaranteed, the impact that may occur is reduced customer distrust, in which customers save money because of the basis of trust.

Regarding the confidentiality of customer data that can be accessed by the state, customers have to prepare for data security risks and interest deductions to be transferred to taxes. Based on the results of account growth data by the Indonesia Deposit Insurance Corporation in 2020, the number of commercial bank customers is 344.54 million conventional and 33.77 million sharia, so there are millions of banks' customer information data that need to be maintained security and confidentiality.

Customer loyalty is a commitment to do a business with an organization, to purchase its goods or services repeatedly, and recommend them to colleagues (Mellroy & Barnett, 2000). Oliver (1999) has described loyalty as a deeply held commitment to rebuy or re-patronize a preferred product/service consistently in the future. Customer loyalty is considered the backbone for firms as it is a way of sustaining and increasing sales. As well, it plays an important role in increasing profits, as it is obvious from previous research the cost of obtaining new customers is much higher than to serve current customers (Husnain & Akhtar, 2015). Customer loyalty is considered a key business strategy and the greatest asset of each company that guarantees the company's success and improves its level of profitability. In today's fast-paced world of constant change, creating and maintaining customer loyalty need more attempts (Abtin & Pouramiri, 2016).

Customer loyalty can be achieved by identifying the customer needs, managing them, and fulfilling them (Maikayi et al., 2011). Customer loyalty has been a real concern for banking professionals because of serious rivalry and higher client expectations (Rasheed et al., 2015). Building customer loyalty is the only way of building sustainable competitive advantages. Customer loyalty is one of the most essential for marketing theory and practice because loyalty impacts financial performance, customer attitudes, and customer behavior and repurchase behavior for the product or service. Moreover, based on the previous research, to overcome the decline in performance, constant reviews are done on the creation of customer loyalty (Chiguvu & Guruwo, 2017).

Studies have been conducted to explore factors behind loyalty, such as Satisfaction, Trust, and commitment, but the results were inconclusive (Bricci et al., 2016; Herman, 2017; Masturoh et al., 2020; Zhong & Moon, 2020). It is important for institutions to improve their responsibility in developing team skills, empowering employees and the company in a sense to fulfill commitments, and performing the co-creation of value (Bricci et al., 2016). Sutanto and Djati (2017) found the direct and indirect effects of Trust, Satisfaction, and Commitment on Customer Loyalty at the Alfamart Retail in Indonesia.

Customer satisfaction has become the center of service marketing and operations management because it is a general proposition to be a significant antecedent of customer retention and repeat sales by positive word of mouth. Customer satisfaction is described as satisfying one's desires or meeting one's criteria, or we can say that delighted/satisfied customers have a specific feeling or mentality towards a product or service it has utilized (Maikayi et al., 2011). Consumer satisfaction is characterized as a client's general assessment of the performance of an offering to date (Johnson & Fornell, 1991).

The effect of satisfaction on loyalty is inquired about in wide terms. Numerous discoveries show that if the customer/consumer is satisfied,

then they are loyal, and if they are dissatisfied, their loyalty is not ensured. It is utilized to clarify loyalty for behavioral purposes (Heskett et al., 1994). Thus, management ought to pay a unique spotlight on customer satisfaction, and for it, service quality assumes a crucial part. Satisfaction has an important characteristic that must be taken into record when shaping the general customer loyalty towards their service suppliers (Rasheed et al., 20015).

Several research confirmed the existence of the relationship between trust, commitment, satisfaction, and customer loyalty. Firstly, in terms of services, trust is the belief held by a customer that the service provider will provide the service that meets customer needs, and trust consists of two aspects: perceived credibility and benevolence (Mosavi & Ghaedi, 2012). In other words, the belief of one party with the other with sincerity. Trust is important for a bank because, without trust, it is difficult to build a strong foundation. Studying Islamic Banks in Indonesia, Farasky (2019) found that there was a positive effect on trust and customer loyalty. By distributing questionnaires to the local banks in Indonesia, Lestari (2019) confirmed that customer trust has a positive effect on customer loyalty.

Secondly, commitment is maintaining the relationship between the bank and customers, and this is done for the common interest. This condition shows the psychological fundamentals to maintain a long relationship between customers and banks, and here the company must strive to build a mutual relationship. This commitment also leads to the intention of customers to use products or services in the future to maintain relationships (Chai et al., 2015). The empirical evidence showed that there was a positive influence of customer commitment on customer loyalty (Almana et al., 2018; Muniroh, 2018).

Thirdly, satisfaction is a measure of how the products and services provided by a company meet or exceed customer expectations, and satisfaction metrics indicate how successful an organization is at providing products and/or services to the marketplace (Fornell, 1992). Satisfaction is feeling happy or disappointed someone who arises because of comparing the perceived performance of the product (or result) to their expectations. This term is often used as a measure of how the products and services provided by the company meet or exceed customer expectations. In the service environment, customer satisfaction has been seen as a special form of customer attitude (Wantara & Tambrin, 2019).

Customer satisfaction is a crucial factor for bank success, and it has the possibility to influence customer loyalty. From a theoretical perspective, it is very important to investigate which factors influence customer satisfaction. Further, for this reason, academics and practitioners have emphasized the concept of customer satisfaction,

defined as the favorability of the individual's subjective evaluations of the outcomes and experiences associated with his or her consumption activities (Al-Msallam, 2015). It was also found that customer satisfaction has a significant and positive relationship with customer loyalty (Rasheed et al., 20015).

The application of Automatic Exchange of Information (AEOI) is expected to provide benefits for Indonesia, such as access to financial information for taxpayers who still invest in the country, as well as all taxpayers in Indonesia (Darmanti & Mangkan, 2020). The Automatic Exchange of Information (AEOI) is a product of a common reporting standard as a result of Indonesia's ratification of the Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters. The convention's purpose is to prevent transnational tax evasion and money laundering. With the existence of automatic exchange of information systems throughout, members of the convention can enact and enforce its laws (Tedja et al., 2019). The system implementation's purpose is to increase the effectiveness of tax collection and its utilization. The jurisdiction in which AEOI is being used is also regarded as one effort for international tax compliance and decreasing the number of tax havens in the world (Tedja et al., 2019).

Although many studies have been conducted massively in around the globe, nevertheless still, no study was conducted on exploring the impact of banking industries' AEOI adoption on customer loyalty, trust, commitment, and Satisfaction in Indonesia. Based on the theoretical and empirical background, it is urgent to confirm whether the adoption of Automatic Information (AEOI) in banking industries in Indonesia will have a positive effect on customer loyalty. This research will be focused on the influence of trust, commitment, and satisfaction on customer loyalty in the bank industries in Indonesia.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This research was conducted in the form of causal associative with the analytical approach using quantitative methods, which is data collection using survey methods. Associative research is research with the nature of questioning the relationship between two or more variables (Draper, 2004). Meanwhile, quantitative analysis is a measurement and analysis with numbers and statistics to obtain results (Daniel, 2016). The model presented here is in two parts, the measurement model (the outer model) and the structural model (the inner model). The measurement model concentrates on the association between latent variables (constructs) that cannot be directly determined and measurable (observed) indicators (Henseler et al., 2009). The structural model focuses on the hypothesized associations between the constructs (Hair

et al., 2013). All of the items used in this research are considered to be reflective measures.

This research was conducted in Yogyakarta Province in Indonesia, involving banking industries' customers. In total, 250 questionnaires were distributed to the customers of banking industries in Yogyakarta, Indonesia, but finally, only 200 questionnaires were completely filled and can be further analyzed. To exclude any potential response bias, the first 61 responses were compared to the last 139 responses using the independent samples t-test (Hair et al., 2010). The analyses showed that for all constructs, the difference in the means of earlier and later responses was not significant.

Commercial banks have the broadest meaning of institutions in charge of carrying out business activities, both conventional and sharia, which is carrying out their activities provide services in all forms of payment (Roziq et al., 2020). The commercial bank also means bank in its business activities carried out conventionally or based on sharia principles. This is stated in Bank Indonesia Regulation Number 11/15/PBI/2009. Demography has an important role in determining customer behavior in transaction activities. Demographic characteristics that have the potential to influence customer behavior in the Indonesian area are gender, age, and income (Vilèeková and Sabo, 2013; Puška et al., (2018). Based on BPS 2020 data related to the Yogyakarta Province Population Census for the male gender 1.82 million (49.55%) and female 1.85 million (50.45%) where females dominate. Meanwhile, age is dominated by generation X (40 - 50 years), Z (8 - 23 years), and millennials (24 - 39 years).

Measurement of Variables

Data collection was carried out by distributing questionnaires in January 2021. This study used a questionnaire so that the respondent would answer according to the conditions experienced by providing written answers and a checklist (✓). Measurement of the independent variable (Trust, commitment, Satisfaction) and the dependent variable (customer loyalty) was used with a 4-point Likert scale based on **Table 1**. The original Likert scale is a set of statements (items) offered for a real or hypothetical situation under study (Joshi et al., 2015). Here, participants were asked to show their level of agreement (from strongly disagree to strongly agree) with the given statement (items) on a metric scale, revealing the specific dimension of the attitude towards the issue, hence, necessarily interlinked with each other.

Table 1. The questionnaire Lattice

Variables	Indicators	Total
Trust (X1) (Kotler et al., 2016)	Ability	10
	Benevolence	
	Integrity	
	Willingness to depend	
	Subjective probability of depending	
Commitment (X2) (Van Tonder and Beer, 2018)	Committed to the relationship	4
	Sense of loyalty	
	Sacrifices to maintain a relationship	
	View the relationship as a long-term partnership	
Satisfaction (X3) (Wantara and Tambrin, 2019)	Quality of product or service	5
	Products or services that are pleasant and convenient	
	Emotions	
	Price	
	Additional costs	
Customer Loyalty (X4) (Wantara and Tambrin, 2019)	Repurchase of products or reuse of services	6
	The habit of using the products or services	
	Like the product or service	
	Choose the company or brand	
	Company or brand is the best	
	Recommend products used to others	

Data Examination

PLS-SEM was used because its formal premises make it applicable to a range of flexible applications (Hoeck et al., 2010). Barclay et al. (1995) stated that the minimum sample size should be no less than ten times the number of indicators related to the most complex construct of the model tested. Therefore, the minimum sample size required for this study is 100 ($n = 10$ items related to trust).

Normality tests were not necessary since PLS regression analysis does not require the data to be distributed normally (Chin et al., 2003). Two exogenous (independent) variables and two endogenous (dependent) constructs were used in this research. The number of items used to measure each of the constructs ranged from four to ten.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Internal consistency. It shows the degree of interrelatedness between the items, whereas homogeneity refers to the unidimensional of a set of items. It is a crucial process for a researcher in choosing the instrument or considering developing the new one to achieve the research objective as well as the quality of the instrument (Nawi et al., 2020). Furthermore, Nawi et al. (2020) cited that the reliability test is one of the most significant components of test quality which is involved with the reproducibility, consistency, or an examinee’s performance on the test. The most common method used to evaluate internal consistency is composite reliability (CR) (Hulland, 1999). The table shows the CR for the reflectively measured constructs used in this study. This shows that the criterion is met, as the CR is above 0.70 (**Table 2**).

Table 2. CR for the reflectively measured constructs

	Composite Reliability
Trust	0.931
Customer Loyalty	0.900
Satisfaction	0.885
Commitment	0.883

Convergent validity. Theoretically, similar constructs should be highly inter-correlated. A valid instrument should represent the strength of inter-item correlations (Agarwal, 2013). Convergent validity is used to specify that causal indicators from a measurement model should explain a significant proportion of variance from the latent variable that they measure (Wang et al., 2015). To establish convergent validity, most researchers consider the outer loadings of items and the average variance extracted (AVE). (Hair et al., 2014). **Table 3** shows the convergent validity for reflectively measured constructs in terms of outer loadings and AVE.

Table 3. Outer loadings and AVE of the reflectively measured constructs

	Commitment	Customer Loyalty	Satisfaction	Trust
	0.654	0.601	0.607	0.576
comm1	0.789			
comm2	0.752			
comm3	0.861			
comm4	0.83			

loy1	0.755	
loy2	0.801	
loy3	0.633	
loy4	0.849	
loy5	0.859	
loy6	0.733	
<hr/>		
sat1		0.811
sat2		0.782
sat3		0.775
sat4		0.757
sat5		0.769
<hr/>		
trs1		0.785
trs2		0.815
trs3		0.854
trs4		0.821
trs5		0.762
trs6		0.796
trs7		0.74
trs8		0.662
trs9		0.674
trs10		0.709

Discriminant validity. Discriminant validity is used to specify that these same indicators should explain a much lower proportion of variance from other latent variables. That is, indicators that are associated with the target latent variable will explain much more variance of that latent variable, and those indicators should not explain a large amount of variance of other latent variables relative to the target latent variable (Wang et al., 2015). Further, discriminant evidence is particularly important because it indicates that these indicators do not belong to other latent variables. Discriminant validity depends on whether each construct shares more variance with its measures than with other constructs in the model (Chin, 1998; Hulland, 1999); thus, the square root of the AVE must exceed the construct inter-correlations in the model. As **Table 4** reveals, the psychometric properties of the instruments were adequate to support our interpretation of the structural model.

Table 4. Discriminant validity of the reflectively measured constructs

	Commitment	Customer Loyalty	Satisfaction	Trust
Commitment	0.809			
Customer Loyalty	0.68	0.776		
Satisfaction	0.685	0.678	0.779	
Trust	0.762	0.593	0.705	0.759

According to Hair et al. (2018) and Henseler et al. (2009), after validating the measurement model, the structural model represents the hypothesized relationships between constructs. The path coefficient (β) shows the power of the relationship between the latent variables (Idris and Mohammad, 2014). Overall, the results show that all path coefficients were statistically significant at 0.05 levels (**Table 5**). As **Table 5** shows, Trust, Satisfaction, and Commitment were positively and significantly correlated with Customer Loyalty. This provides support for H1, H2, and H3. To examine the indirect effect, the PLS algorithm and bootstrapping procedures with 1000 resample were run on the full model to obtain the path coefficient and the significance level of these paths.

As illustrated in **Table 5**, all paths were statistically significant, which provides empirical evidence of an indirect effect (Preacher & Hayes, 2008). Therefore, it can be concluded that the indirect effect of satisfaction is statistically significant in the relation between Commitment and Customer Loyalty. The bootstrapping procedure demonstrates that the indirect effect $\beta_4 = 0.071$ was significant with at-values of 2.221, which confirmed the indirect effect of satisfaction in the relation between Commitment and Customer Loyalty. The same conclusion can be made for the satisfaction in the relationship between Trust and Customer Loyalty with $\beta_5 = 0.149$ and at-values of 3.273 (**Table 5**). This provides support for H4 and H5.

Table 5. Hypotheses testing results and variance explained in the endogenous constructs

	Suggested direction	β	STDEV	t value	Support
Commitment -> Customer Loyalty	+	0.243	0.095	2.546	Yes
Commitment -> Satisfaction	+	0.257	0.081	3.179	Yes
Satisfaction -> Customer Loyalty	+	0.275	0.078	3.536	Yes

Trust -> Customer Loyalty	+	0.316	0.085	3.742	Yes
Trust -> Satisfaction	+	0.544	0.077	7.089	Yes
Commitment -> Satisfaction -> Customer Loyalty	+	0.071	0.032	2.221	Yes
Trust -> Satisfaction -> Customer Loyalty	+	0.149	0.046	3.273	Yes
R ²		Customer Loyalty 0.576	Satisfaction 0.582		

To assess the amount of variance explained in the endogenous constructs (R^2). According to Cohen (1988), R^2 values of 0.2, 0.13, and 0.26 are considered small, medium, and large, respectively. In this study, R^2 values for Satisfaction and Customer Loyalty are 0.576 and 0.582, respectively (**Table 5**). This indicates that the ability of the model to explain variance was substantial (Cohen, 1988).

The results show that trust has a negative effect on customer loyalty of Banks in Indonesia post-implementation of the Automatic Exchange of Information. It supports previous research findings from Afifi and Amini (2018), Wemben et al. (2020), and (Nuryadin et al., 2021). Nevertheless, it contradicts the theory of trust, revealing that one of the factors forming a trust, namely ability, will provide a guarantee of satisfaction and security from the company. This means that building customer trust is important in order to increase customer satisfaction. The existence of a negative influence is due to the fact that some customers have not perceived and understood well, especially in this discussion on indicators of goodness.

In building customer loyalty from a bank, trust has an important role, and customer trust can grow through the quality offered by banks, to build this trust takes a long time because customers need to intensely obtain quality services and products from banks. Besides, high trust from customers will increase their expectations of customers for the quality of service from banks. The results showed that commitment has a significant positive effect on customer loyalty of Banks in Indonesia post-implementation of Automatic Exchange of Information. This is under research by Almanah et al. (2018); and Bricci et al. (2016). Commitment is maintaining the relationship between the bank and customers, and this is done for the common interest. This condition shows the fundamental psychological to maintain a long relationship between customers and banks, and here the company must try hard to build a mutual relationship. Where there is a commitment that is held

by the customer, there will be a desire for the customer to maintain a good relationship with the bank in the future.

Satisfaction has a significant positive effect on customer loyalty of Banks in Indonesia post-implementation of the Automatic Exchange of Information. It is relevant to the previous research conducted by Wijayanto (2015), Razak et al. (2017), Almana et al. (2018), and Bracci et al., 2016. Satisfaction is a form of feeling or fulfilling the expectations of customers for what they get from a product or service. In this study, customers are satisfied with the performance of Indonesian banking, which is obtained from the quality of products, services, brands, prices, and costs.

However, the study has a number of limitations. Firstly, an online questionnaire via google form was used so that the researcher could not maintain objectivity so that the data could be subjective. So, it is necessary to have an interview method for data collection. Secondly, the interview method that was originally planned could not be implemented because it was hampered by Social Restrictions, which were extended until 8th February 2021. Finally, focusing on the three variables trust, commitment, and satisfaction will limit portraying and predicting the loyalty of customers of Banks in Indonesia, especially since the implementation of Automatic Exchange of Information.

CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the research and discussion, in summary, the findings show that Trust and Satisfaction have no significant negative effect on customer loyalty while commitment has a positive and significant effect on customer loyalty. Simultaneously, trust, commitment, and satisfaction have a positive and significant effect on customer loyalty. Meanwhile, the determination coefficient test shows that the adjusted R Square of 0.526 or 52.6% of the loyalty variable can be explained by the variables of Trust, commitment, and Satisfaction. So, it can be explained that the independent variable influences the dependent variable. The results suggest that Commercial Banks in Indonesia need to improve services related to increasing the trust of customers. Trust is important in increasing customer loyalty. For example, from the aspect of the ability, it guarantees satisfaction, security, and comfort in transactions; the benevolence of service quality, safety assurance, and product variety; integrity in maintaining and fulfilling all obligations that have been made; completing their responsibilities not causing a loss to customers, and providing the best advice to customers. Concerning customer loyalty, by building commitment and customer satisfaction, it is necessary to maintain customer loyalty. Because customers tend to maintain good relations and use the services of Banks in Indonesia when banks continue to maintain services that can fulfill responsibility and

satisfaction for customers. Besides, further researchers are expected to be able to distribute questionnaires to the broader groups and further explore other variables such as customer intimacy, communication, conflict handling, and others that can affect customer loyalty to banks in Indonesia.

REFERENCES

- Abtin, A. and Pouramiri, M. (2016). The impact of relationship marketing on customer loyalty enhancement (Case study: Kerman Iran insurance company). *Marketing and Branding Research*, Vol. 3, p.41-49.
- Afifi, I. and Amini, A. (2018). Factors affecting relationship marketing in creating customer loyalty in the hospital services business. *ASEAN Marketing Journal*, Vol. X, No. 2, p. 91-108.
- Agarwal, V. (2013). Investigating the convergent validity of an organizational trust. *Journal of Communication Management*, Vol. 17 No. 1, pp. 24-39
- Almana O.,L., Utu L., Mustakim, et.al. (2018). Effects of Satisfaction, Trust and commitment on customer loyalty. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*. Volume 9, Issue 2.
- Al-Msallam, S. (2015). The relationship between customer satisfaction and customer loyalty in the banking sector in Syria. *Journal of Marketing and Consumer Research*, Vol.7, p. 27-35.
- Bricci L., Fragata A., and Antunes J. (2016). The effects of trust, commitment, and satisfaction on customer loyalty in the distribution sector. *Journal of Economics Business and Management*, Vol. 4, No. 2.
- Chai, J.C.Y., Malhotra, N.K., and Dash, S. (2015). The mediating role of focused commitment in service relationships. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technologies*, Vol. 6 No. 3, p. 203-227.
- Chiguvi, D., and Guruwo, P.T. (2017). Impact of customer satisfaction on customer loyalty in the banking sector. *International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Research (IJSER)*, Vol. 5 Issue 2, p.55-63
- Daniel, E. (2016). The usefulness of qualitative and quantitative approaches and methods in researching problem-solving ability in science education curriculum. *Journal of Education and Practice*, Vol.7, No.15, p. 91-100.
- Darmanti, R.M. and Mangkan, D. (2020). The implementation of automatic exchange of information as a tool to tackle offshore tax evasion: an experience from Indonesia. *Jurnal Ilmiah Kajian Perpajakan Indonesia*, Vol. 2, No 1, p. 100-122.

- Draper, Janet (2004). The relationship between research question and research design. In: Crookes, Patrick A., and Davies, Sue eds. *Research into Practice: Essential Skills for Reading and Applying Research in Nursing and Health Care*, Vol. 2nd Ed. Edinburgh: Bailliere Tindall, pp. 69–84.
- Fornell, C. (1992). A national customer satisfaction barometer: the Swedish experience. *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 55, p. 6-21.
- Herman. (2017). Loyalty, Trust, Satisfaction, and participation in Universitas Terbuka ambience: students' perception. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*, Volume 18 Number 3, p. 84-95.
- Heskett, J. L., T. O. Jones, G. W. Loveman, W. Earl Sasser, and L. A. Schlesinger. Putting the service-profit chain to work. *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 72, no. 2 (March–April 1994): 164–174.
- Husnain, M. & Akhtar, M.W. (2015). Relationship marketing and customer loyalty: evidence from banking sector in Pakistan. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research: E Marketing*. Vol. 15, Issue 10, Version 1.0, p. 1-14.
- Johnson, M. D., & Fornell, C. (1991). A framework for comparing customer satisfaction across individuals and product categories. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, Vol. 12, p. 267–286.
- Joshi, A., Kale, S., Chandel, S., and Pal1, D.K. (2015). Likert scale: explored and explained. *British Journal of Applied Science & Technology*, Vol. 7(4), p. 396-403.
- Kotler, P. and Kevin, L.K. (2016). *Marketing management*. 15th Edition. New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall Inc.
- Masturoh, L.M., Mugiono, Djumahir. (2020). The effect between customer satisfaction, switching cost, and customer experience of trust to customer loyalty, customer commitment as a mediation (Case Study: Customers on Online Shop Tokopedia, Malang City). *South East Asia Journal of Contemporary Business, Economics and Law*, Vol. 21, Issue 5, p. 151-162.
- Mellroy, A., and Barnett, S., (2000). Building customer relationships: Do discount cards work? *Managing Service Quality*, Vol. 10 (6): p. 347-355.
- Mosavi, S.A. and Ghaedi, M. (2012). A survey on the relationship between trust, customer loyalty, commitment and repurchase intention. *African Journal of Business Management*, Vol. 6(36), p. 10089-10098.
- Nawi, F.A.M., Tambi, A.M.A, Samat, M.F., and Mustapha, W.M.W. (2020). A review on the internal consistency of a scale: the empirical example of the influence of human capital investment on Malcom Baldrige quality principles in TVET institutions. *Asian People Journal*, Vol. 3(1), p. 19-29.

- Nuryadin A., Mahfudnurnajamuddin, Hasan S., and Mapparenta. (2021). The effect of marketing mix, service quality and trust on customer satisfaction and loyalty at PT. Great Indonesian Milagros. *International Journal of Research and Review*, Vol.8, Issue 2, p. 127-141.
- OECD. (2020). Transparency and exchange of information for tax purposes: automatic exchange of information (aeoi): Status of commitments. <https://www.oecd.org/tax/transparency/AEOI-commitments.pdf>.
- Puška, A., Stojanovic, I., Šadic, S., and Becic, H. (2018). The influence of demographic characteristics of consumers on decisions to purchase technical products. *The European Journal of Applied Economics*, Vol. 15 (2), p. 1-16.
- Rasheed, H.M.W., Sajid, M., Shahid, M., and Ahmad, M. (20015). Factors affecting customer loyalty in banking sector: A study on banks in Bahawalpur (Pakistan). *International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting*, Vol. 5, No. 1, p. 239-254.
- Razak, A., Supriaddin, N., Abbas, et.al. (2017). The roles of commitment in mediating the effect of complaint handling satisfaction's towards customers loyalty of Banks Rakyat Indonesia In Southeast Sulawesi. *International Journal: Engineering and Science*, Vol 6. Issue 12. pp. 11-18.
- Roth, F. (2009). The effect of the financial crisis on systemic trust. *Centre for European Policy Studies. Cepsworking Document no. 316/July 2009*, downloaded from <http://www.ceps.eu>.
- Roziq, A. et al., (2020). Determining variables of financial performance between conventional conventional rural bank and sharia rural bank and different variables in financial performance between both. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, Vol. 9, Issue 01, p. 4230-4235.
- Sutanto, J.E. and Djati S.P., (2017). Effect of Trust, Satisfaction, and commitment on customer loyalty at the Alfamart Retail in Surabaya, East Java - Indonesia. *International Conference of Organizational Innovation*, (ICOI 2017), p. 32-37.
- Tedja, A.A., Wijaya, V.A., and Lidyawati, J. (2019). Automatic Exchange of Information on Indonesia Jurisdiction in order to control business opportunities. *Notaire*, Vol. 2 No. 2, p. 143-155.
- Van Tonder, E. and Beer, L.T. (2018). New perspectives on the role of customer satisfaction and commitment in promoting customer citizenship behaviours. *South African Journal of Economic and Management Sciences*, Vol. 21(1), p. 1-11.
- Vilèeková, L., and Sabo, M., (2013). The influence of demographic factors on attitudes toward brands and brand buying behavior of Slovak

- consumers. *International Journal of Education and Research*, Vol. 1 No. 11, p. 1-10.
- Wang X., French B.F., Clay P.F. (2015). Convergent and discriminant validity with formative measurement: A mediator perspective. *Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods*, Vol. 14, Issue 1, p. 83-106.
- Wantara, P. and Tambrin, M. (2019). The effect of price and product quality towards customer satisfaction and customer loyalty on Madura Batik. *International Tourism and Hospitality Journal*, Vol. 2(1), p. 1-9.
- Wemben, F. V., Mananeke L., Roring F. (2020). Analysis of effect of service quality, corporate image and trust on consumer loyalty at Pegadaian UPC Tanjung Batu. *Journal EMBA*, Vol. 8 No. 4 pp. 1119-1128
- Wijayanto, G. (2015). Analysis of effect of relationship marketing and reliance on customer satisfaction and loyalty credit services products. *Journal of Business and Management*, Vol. 7. No. 11. pp. 84-94.
- Zhong, Y. and Moon, H.C. (2020). What drives customer satisfaction, loyalty, and happiness in fast-food restaurants in China? Perceived price, service quality, food quality, physical environment quality, and the moderating role of gender. *Foods*, Vol. 9, No. 460, p.2