



Phipps, Alison ORCID logoORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9476-6848> (2018) 'Lad culture' and sexual violence against students. In: The Routledge Handbook of Gender and Violence. Routledge, pp. 171-182

Downloaded from: <https://ray.yorks.ac.uk/id/eprint/12630/>

The version presented here may differ from the published version or version of record. If you intend to cite from the work you are advised to consult the publisher's version:
<https://doi.org/10.4324%2F9781315612997-14>

Research at York St John (RaY) is an institutional repository. It supports the principles of open access by making the research outputs of the University available in digital form. Copyright of the items stored in RaY reside with the authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full text items free of charge, and may download a copy for private study or non-commercial research. For further reuse terms, see licence terms governing individual outputs. [Institutional Repositories Policy Statement](#)

RaY

Research at the University of York St John

For more information please contact RaY at
ray@yorks.ac.uk

This is a pre-print version of Phipps, Alison (2017) ‘Lad culture’ and sexual violence against students’, in N. Lombard, ed., *The Routledge Handbook of Gender and Violence*, Chapter 13. London: Routledge

‘Lad culture’ and sexual violence against students

Introduction

This chapter addresses the issue of sexual violence against students and the concept of ‘lad culture’, which has been used to frame this phenomenon in the UK and has connections to similar debates around masculinities in other countries. This issue is much-researched and debated but under-theorised, and due to a lack of intersectionality, radical feminist frameworks around violence against women are useful but incomplete. The chapter sketches a more nuanced approach to the understanding of campus sexual violence and the masculine cultures which frame it, which also engages with the intersecting structures of patriarchy and neoliberalism. It argues that framing these issues structurally and institutionally is necessary, in order to avoid individualistic and punitive approaches to tackling them which may seem feminist but are embedded in neoliberal rationalities.

Background

From concerns about ‘eve teasing’, or gendered and sexual harassment on South Asian campuses, to debates about ‘lad culture’ and freedom of speech in the UK, to Lady Gaga’s performance at the 2016 Oscars, when dozens of US survivors joined her silently on stage, the issue of sexual violence against students has recently been high on the international agenda. Starting in the 1980s, the sexual victimisation of women students has been studied in many countries including Japan, China (Nguyen et al 2013), South Korea (Jennings et al 2011), Haiti, South Africa, Tanzania (Gage 2015), Jordan (Takash et al 2013), Chile (Lehrer et al 2013), Canada (Osborne 1995), Germany, Italy, Poland, Spain (Feldes et al 2012), Bangladesh, India, Sri Lanka (Chudasama et al 2013, Nahar et al 2013), the US and the UK (Phipps and Smith 2012). Beginning in the US, initial studies were often psychological and individualistic, focused on motivations of male perpetrators, acceptance of ‘rape myths’ and experiences of post-traumatic stress. This orientation, as well as a largely positivist slant, continues in much academic and policy work, as the ‘problem’ is established and explorations begin in new international contexts. However, there has also been a strong thread of feminist analysis which has provided the concept of patriarchy, and the continuum between more ‘everyday’ forms of sexual harassment and more ‘serious’ manifestations of sexual violence. More recently, there have been attempts to contextualise campus violence within theories of masculinity, shaping

discussions of ‘lad culture’ in the UK, ‘bro culture’ in the US and a new/renewed interest in ‘rape culture’ internationally.

Our Study

In the UK, the first major study on women students’ experiences of harassment and violence was released by the National Union of Students in 2010. This found that one in 7 women students had experienced a serious physical or sexual assault during their studies, and 68 per cent had been sexually harassed (NUS 2010). Following this, Isabel Young and I were commissioned by NUS to explore the links between sexual violence and ‘laddish’ masculinities characterised by competitive displays of sexism and misogyny.

Our research (NUS 2013) was a qualitative interview study with 40 female students at British universities, exploring their experiences of and feelings about ‘lad culture’ in their communities. We defined ‘lad culture’ as a group mentality residing in behaviours such as sport, heavy alcohol consumption, casual sex and sexist/discriminatory ‘banter’, and found that many of the behaviours collected under this banner actually constituted sexual harassment. We also found that much of this was normalised within student communities, with ‘casual’ non-consensual groping being commonplace at parties and in social venues, and expectations around sexual activity which required young women to be constantly available yet almost entirely passive. This, we suggested, created the conditions in which potentially serious boundary violations, including sexual assault, could occur. The release of our report was met by a wave of grassroots activism and policy conversation, and a deluge of media stories which incorporated both genuine concern and moral panic (Phipps and Young 2015a and b).

These debates in the UK echoed similar ones around ‘bro cultures’ (Chrisler et al 2012), ‘hookup cultures’ (Sweeney 2014) and ‘rape culture’ (Heldman and Brown 2014) in the US and internationally. In many countries there has tended to be a sensationalisation of the issue amidst calls for retaliatory and punitive responses, exemplified in the 2015 film *The Hunting Ground*, for which Lady Gaga’s song provided the soundtrack. However, as yet there is little useful theorisation of why and how particular types of masculinities might shape and produce sexual violence amongst students, which means that the evidence base for prevention is thin. Radical feminist work on violence against women, in which anti-violence policy in Western countries tends to be grounded (Phillips 2006, Jones and Cook 2008, Bumiller 2009), lacks nuance and does not give insight into why particular types of men perpetrate sexual violence in specific contexts for different reasons. Similarly, the term ‘lad culture’ is not helpful analytically, as it tends to collapse a variety of behaviours and motivations together (Phipps 2016). There is a need, then, to (re)theorise ‘laddish’ masculinities and revisit theoretical frameworks around violence against women. To do this properly, we need to take an intersectional approach.

Theorising sexual violence

Radical feminists were not the first to politicise rape. As McGuire (2010) documents, the US Civil Rights movement was rooted in a powerful (and now largely obscured) strand of anti-rape resistance, which prefigured many of the insights of second-wave feminism. Generations of activists such as Ida B Wells (McGuire 2010, pxviii) and Rosa Parks, who was an anti-rape campaigner 'long before she became the patron saint of the bus boycott' (McGuire 2010, pxvii), situated both the sexual abuse of black women and allegations of rape against black men within a broader analysis of the dynamics of racist oppression (see also Davis 1981). 'Decades later,' McGuire (2010, p46) writes, 'when radical feminists finally made rape and sexual assault political issues, they walked in the footsteps of [these] black women'. Radical feminists appeared blissfully unaware of this, instead believing that anti-rape organizing was a Women's Liberation Movement invention (see for example Brownmiller 1975, p397). The fact that the huge historical contribution of black women was erased (and the work of feminists of colour continues to be so) speaks to dynamics of racism and privilege within the feminist movement. These have also shaped the production of rather one-dimensional theory.

'I have never been free of the fear of rape', wrote Susan Griffin in 1971 (p26). Today, it is often taken for granted within feminist circles that rape is everyday, rather than uncommon, and more often committed by someone the victim knows, than a stranger. However, this idea has a relatively short life in the political and cultural mainstream. In the 1970s and 80s, radical feminist theorising and empirical research (see for example Russell 1983, Hall 1985) helped give the lie to the widely-held idea that rape was both rare and necessarily graphically violent (Jones and Cook 2008, p5). Like those of the black activists preceding them (McGuire 2010), radical feminist definitions of rape were expansive, reflecting women's experiences and refusing to let spouses and family members off the hook. This centring of lived realities defined rape as a violation of women's bodies, not men's property rights: both the testimonial politics of black women within Civil Rights movements (dating back to slavery) and subsequent radical feminist activism based on the slogan 'the personal is political' (Hanisch 1970) focused on women helping women through sharing, healing and politicising trauma (Jones and Cook 2008, McGuire 2010).

Brownmiller (1975) and others focused on the 'violence' in sexual violence, conceptualizing it as a tool of gender oppression which functioned to preserve male dominance rather than express uncontrolled sexuality (which was the popular belief). The threat of the 'stranger rapist' was seen as key to maintaining structural relations of patriarchal power: this created generalised fear and also caused women to look to specific men for protection, which often put them at greater risk of abuse (Brownmiller 1975, MacKinnon 1989). This structural interpretation echoed (without credit) the black feminist politics of the Civil Rights movement in its conceptualization of sexual violence as a strategy of oppression and terror, albeit focusing only on the dimension of gender rather than the interconnections between

gender and race.ⁱ Kelly's (1988) continuum of violence defined a collection of behaviours, from sexual harassment to sexualised murder, all with the social and political function of keeping women in their place. Radical feminists argued that a range of acts (some which had been normalized or defined as 'minor') could be harmful, and that this was not adequately reflected in legal codes.

Important legislative gains were made from this conceptualisation of rape as violence rather than sex, including prohibitions on the use of sexual history evidence in court, although in practice this continued to happen (Kelly et al 2006). In contrast, other radical feminists centred the 'sexual' in sexual violence, examining in particular the institution and practice of heterosexuality. For Dworkin (1976) and MacKinnon (1989), heterosexuality constituted the gendered eroticisation of dominance and submission, with the latter regarded as consent. This meant that coercion and violence were a constitutive part of 'normal' sexual relations, and defined rape as committed by men who exemplified, rather than deviated from, extant social norms. The conceptualization of femininity as a socialized state of embodied submission has since been rightly criticised for both playing into misogynist tropes and for being a specific representation of the identities and experiences of middle class, white women (hooks 1981, Skeggs 1997, Serano 2009, Phipps 2009). However, it provided a useful critical analysis of the construction of consent in conditions of inequality, and allowed for an appreciation of the conditioned reality in which many women did not fight back against assaults, challenging prevailing myths which defined 'real rape' as being one in which there was evidence of a struggle (Lees 1996).

Radical feminist ideas were important in understanding the co-constitution of gender, sexuality and violence and were responsible for a number of legislative and political achievements (Cahill 2001). However, from the 1980s onwards they came under increasing critique from black feminists and others, for their lack of appreciation of differences between women which shaped experiences of gender, sexuality and violence in divergent and often directly contradictory ways (Davis 1981, Carby 1982, Crenshaw 1991, Skeggs 1997). Furthermore, the meanings of structures such as the family and the state taken for granted within radical feminist theorising were exposed as largely specific to the white middle classes, erasing the often completely different experiences of other women (Carby 1982, Crenshaw 1991). Radical feminist work had largely failed to explore how sexual violence was central to relations of power other than gender, for instance colonial and racist systems (see for example Ahmed 1992, Mohanty 1988). The space for thinking through issues connected to class, race or colonialism was limited within radical feminist frameworks in which, as MacKinnon (1989, p12) maintained, the 'woman question' was *the* question.

The concept of intersectionality, codified within black feminist thought from the 1980s onwards partly in response to these debates (Crenshaw 1991, Hill Collins 1998), is invaluable in its exhortation to move away from one-dimensional notions towards ideas of a co-constitution of social categories, positions and encounters which

produces important differences in subjectivity, experience and practice. In relation to sexual violence, an intersectional perspective allows for an understanding of why particular types of men may be violent in specific situations, and how violence is experienced by victims and survivors in different social locations. It also encourages us to examine how both acts *and allegations* of sexual violence are part of gendered and other oppressive systems, including the oppressive power of the state wielded against some groups of citizens more than (or for the protection of) others. When applied to discussions of ‘lad culture’ and sexual violence in universities, an intersectional framework raises important questions around how performances of classed and racialised, as well as gendered and heterosexualised, superiority are at play, as well as the influence of broader intersecting structures such as patriarchy and neoliberalism. It also raises issues around the carceral solutions currently being proposed and implemented, in terms of which men they may construct and target as ‘violent’, and how these men may be dealt with.

Theorising laddish masculinities

Laddism in the UK has long been associated with the white working classes, at least since Paul Willis’ iconic study *Learning to Labour* (1977), which focused on rebellions against academia and authority performed by young men who had been constructed as ‘failures’ in a hostile education system and job market. This type of laddish rebellion is still at work in many school and university classrooms, in higher education particularly within institutions with a more diverse social class intake (see for example Barnes 2012, Jackson et al 2015, Jackson and Sundaram 2015).ⁱⁱ Interpretations of laddism in schools have largely followed the Willis framework, and ‘laddish’ behaviours in university classrooms can similarly be positioned as an expression of alienation from neoliberal, middle class (and allegedly feminised) higher education. When laddism has been reported in the classrooms of more elite universities, this has tended to be a more domineering behaviour which has been defined as intimidating rather than disruptive, and which also appears more likely to be overtly sexist (NUS 2013).

In contrast to the mainly lower-middle and working class framing of classroom disruption, the sexist ‘lad culture’ which has been identified recently in the social and sexual spheres of university life appears to be largely (although not exclusively) the preserve of privileged men. This is reflected in our research findings and in recent media reports (NUS 2013, Phipps and Young 2015a & b), although more research is needed, especially on the differences between ‘new’ and ‘old’ universities and those in campus and more urban settings. Recent discussion of university laddism brings to mind the ‘new lad’ of the 1990s, a more middle class version incorporating binge-drinking, drug-taking, casual sex and extreme sports (Phipps and Young 2015a). There are also associations with masculinities which would not historically have been granted the epithet ‘laddish’, due to its working class connotations. The rugby players, drinking and debating society members from elite universities who exemplify contemporary UK laddism (Phipps and Young 2015b) bring to mind the men and

masculinities typified by the Bullingdon Club, a centuries-old all-male exclusive dining club at Oxford University which boasts high-profile former members including former British Prime Minister David Cameron.

This class profile is mirrored in the debate around ‘rape culture’ in the US, where elite white fraternities have been singled out (Valenti 2014). In one high-profile story, Delta Kappa Epsilon at Yale was suspended en masse for an incident in which pledges chanted ‘No means yes! Yes means anal!’ around campus (Burgoyne 2011). Elite men have been the focus of concerns around sexism and sexual harassment and violence in other Anglo-Western countries: in 2013, students at the prestigious church-run Wesley College at Sydney University won the annual ‘Ernie’ award for sexism for distributing beer holders branded ‘It’s not rape if it’s my birthday’ (AFP 2013). Within an intersectional analysis, behaviours such as these cannot and should not be interpreted using the same ideas of alienation and resistance which are pertinent to discussions of working class laddism. The aggressive sexism of more privileged men can be seen as an attempt to preserve or reclaim territory, contextualised in relation to the patriarchal backlash against feminism, and attempts to diversify the UK student population along gender, race and class lines.

Intersections of power and privilege

Laddism cannot be theorised by a framework which only names gender and the patriarchal construction of men’s violence against women: this does not appreciate the motivations and contexts informing different performances of masculinity. There is a distinction between *being* dominated as a working class young man navigating a middle class education system, and *feeling* dominated as a middle or upper class young man dealing with a loss of privilege (Phipps 2016). Both can be seen in relation to the construction of white middle class young women as ideal neoliberal educational subjects, but there are also classed relations between these masculinities which warrant investigation. This means that an analysis of laddism as a reassertion of traditional gender binaries, which accords well with the radical feminist conception of sexual harassment and violence as tools to keep women in their place (Kelly 1988), is ultimately incomplete.

There are strong currents of classism and racism in contemporary middle class ‘lad culture’, perhaps linked to the growth of widening participation agendas focused on increasing the numbers of working class and black, Asian and minority ethnic (BAME) students. In the classroom, it could be argued that the domineering behaviour of more privileged men (NUS 2013, Jackson and Dempster 2009) is both an attempt to intimidate women and a way to position middle class ‘lads’ as the intellectually superior counterparts of their ‘disruptive’ working class peers. Similarly, the jokiness and self-conscious irony of this laddism could be viewed as a counterpoise to the construction of black masculinity as dangerously sexual (Williams et al 2008), both invisibilising white men as perpetrators and preserving the idea of black men as inherently more threatening (Phipps 2016). Its postfeminist

‘raunchiness’ could also be examined as it relates to perceptions of Asian men as fragile and sexually inadequate (Wong et al 2014). In racialised terms, then, white middle class laddism may be an assertion of superior virility which nevertheless positions itself as less threatening than (and therefore also superior to) the black hyper-masculine Other (Phipps 2016).

Homophobia is also a central component of laddish cultures and behaviours (Muir and Seitz 2004, NUS 2013), which can be seen in relation to ideas about ‘inclusive masculinity’ or ‘hybrid masculinity’ as a new middle class norm (Anderson and McGuire 2010, Bridges 2014). Retro-sexist performances may reply to this softening of masculinity, as well as the potential blurring of gender lines which has accompanied the greater visibility of trans, genderqueer, non-binary people and others, especially within student communities (Dugan et al 2012, Rankin and Beemyn 2012). Inclusive masculinities may be more style than substance, and thus obscure continued gender oppressions (Sweeney 2014). Celebrations of these masculinities should also be related to geopolitical discourses constructing Western men as evolved and Other cultures as inherently misogynistic and homophobic (Bhattacharyya 2008). Nevertheless, the representation, if not the reality, of these masculinities may be significant in understanding contemporary laddism in social and sexual spaces.

All these intersecting issues complicate interpretations of contemporary middle class white laddism as solely an anti-feminist backlash. Of course, this is also at work: white middle class girls and young women now frequently outperform boys and young men and embody the confident adaptability which is a contemporary employment requirement (Skelton 2002, Williams et al 2008). The idea that women are winning the ‘battle of the sexes’, popular in many Western countries, is a key framing factor in relation to ‘lad culture’ (Phipps and Young 2015b). Within this narrative the successful white middle class woman becomes universal, disregarding evidence that many gendered inequalities remain and that women from minoritised groups continue to struggle (Karamessini and Rubery 2013). Furthermore, there is no acknowledgement of the fact that the masculinised values and power structures of education persist (Skelton 2002, Leathwood and Read 2009). Such sensationalist notions of a ‘crisis of masculinity’ thought to have been prompted by gains in women’s rights have had a significant purchase on policy and popular debate (Francis and Archer 2005, Skelton 2002, Phipps 2016), and in the context of these ideas, there is evidence that white middle class boys are being hothoused by parents who see them as frail and imperilled (Williams et al 2008).

Viewed more sympathetically, performances of laddism could be seen as a pressure release for white, middle class young men who may be struggling to occupy neoliberal educational subjectivities, or a reaction against being cossetted by over-protective parents. This potential element of rebellion provides continuity with working class forms: however, a *sense* of victimisation on the part of the privileged does not mean victimisation has occurred. Furthermore, this oppression narrative has

recently been used to great political advantage by the dominating classes, in debates about ‘free speech’ on campuses in both the US and the UK which have featured defenses of ‘lad culture’ as a form of sexual self-expression in a repressive and repressed society (see for example Hayes 2013, O’Neill 2014, Palmer 2015). It should be acknowledged that radical feminist initiatives around sexual violence have been co-opted in the past by moralistic and carceral agendas: this will be discussed later in the chapter (Phipps 2014, Bumiller 2009). However, to note this is not to position laddism as progressive when it is in fact a reactionary phenomenon.

Intersections of patriarchy, neoliberalism and carceral feminism

Also challenging the generalised ‘crisis of masculinity’ narrative is the fact that white middle class and elite masculinities are often seen as harmonious with the contemporary context of corporate neoliberalism (Connell 2005, McGuire et al 2014). In our work on laddism, and drawing on research exploring how norms of individualism, competition and consumerism are shaping and reshaping sexualities (Gill and Donahue 2013), Isabel Young and I have argued that it embodies neoliberal rationalities through its characteristic modes of sexualised audit (Phipps and Young 2015b). Many of the elements of student ‘lad cultures’ are not new: however, conventional patriarchal modes of misogyny and one-upmanship (Jackson, 2010) have been reshaped by neoliberal values in the university environment. We argue that the market-political rationality of neoliberalism (Brown, 2006), which has come to predominate in the academy (Lynch, 2006; Ball, 2012), can be observed in laddish performative regimes.

Within contemporary middle-class laddism, older practices such as the legendary ‘fuck a fresher’ race exist alongside more neoliberalised systems of monitoring and measurement such as charting sexual conquests and giving women grades for their sex appeal. Our research highlighted a variety of sexual scoring matrices and practices by which men appraise women. These were widely exposed in May 2013 when a number of Facebook pages entitled ‘Rate Your Shag’ appeared, linked to various universities, which were ‘liked’ by over 20,000 users of the social network in 72 hours before being deleted by administrators (Dattoo, 2013). Similarly, more traditional modes of male entitlement have been reframed within these youth cultures, with ideas about ‘having’ women augmented by the notion of maximising sexual capital. This, in turn, reflects the idea of maximum outcomes for minimal effort which now underpins educational consumption (Brady 2012, Molesworth et al., 2009). It can be suggested that the domineering ‘effortless achievement’ which characterises middle-class laddism in educational contexts (Jackson, 2003; Jackson and Dempster, 2009) also animates the quest for an ‘easy’ lay.

As well as framing contemporary student laddism, neoliberal and patriarchal universities are complicit in overlooking the harassment and violence which can result from it. In the US, where higher education markets are well established and despite a legislative framework mandating the publication of campus crime statistics (Phipps

and Smith, 2012), institutions have been criticised for covering these up, or encouraging students to drop complaints, in order to preserve reputation in a competitive field (Sack, 2012). There have also been reports of this in the UK (Younis 2014), and it is likely that the privatisation of essential services such as campus security and student support and counselling (Williams 2011) will threaten student safety and the quality of pastoral care. The developing ‘pressure-cooker culture’ amongst academics (Grove, 2012) and fears about casualisation (Lynch, 2006) are also creating an individualism which may mean that academics turn a blind eye while trying to keep our jobs (at best) and advance our careers (at worst).

When universities do take action, it is usually in an individualistic and punitive fashion which both fails to address the roots of problems and has tremendous potential to exacerbate other inequalities. Calls for such measures in the US, exemplified in the 2015 film *The Hunting Ground*, are based on the research of Lisak (2008), who argues that campus offences are committed by a handful of violent sociopaths who ‘groom’ their targets and coerce and terrify them into submission. These claims, however, have been challenged: Lisak’s initial paper (Lisak and Miller 2002) was based on four different student dissertations, none on campus sexual assault specifically. It also did not distinguish between assaults committed on different victims and multiple assaults on the same person (LeFauve 2015). In contrast to this picture of the violent serial rapist, the theorisation in this chapter suggests that many acts of sexual violence at university stem from a variety of more spontaneous boundary-crossings shaped by intersectional cultures of masculinity and scaffolded by the patriarchal and neoliberal rationalities of the institution. A retribution-restitution approach which is embedded in these frameworks may be entirely inappropriate in this context.

Furthermore, there are important intersectional questions about appealing to carceral systems, either within or outside institutions, which may be riddled with racism, classism and other injustices. It is here that radical feminist and neoliberal models meet, and from the 1980s onwards, radical feminist theorisations of sexual violence were critiqued by black feminists for mounting uncritical appeals to state apparatuses which were deeply implicated in racist oppression (see for example Davis 1991, Carby 1982, Crenshaw 1991). Radical feminist-inspired service provision has also been challenged on its co-optation by, or in some cases active collaboration with, neoliberal agendas around crime control, which have been focused on criminalising particular groups of men (usually black and working class) in the service of protecting particular types of women (usually white and middle class) (Bumiller 2009).

Elizabeth Bernstein (2010) has coined the phrase ‘carceral feminism’ to describe these relationships between a rather one-dimensional gender theory and neoliberal projects which, in protecting white middle class women, exacerbate the domination of others. Such an intersectional analysis also needs to be applied to policy frameworks and interventions in higher education: questions need to be asked about who may be

defined as violent within these and targeted for surveillance and punishment, and who will be considered worthy of protection. Just as black and working class boys and young men are more likely to be labelled ‘disruptive’ in the classroom (Monroe 2005, McDowell 2007), the construction of these men as inherently more aggressively sexual than their white, middle class counterparts (see for example Phipps 2009, Roberts 2013, McGuire et al 2014) may be reflected in the application of disciplinary codes. There are also questions to be asked in light of other higher education agendas such as Prevent in the UK, the controversial counter-extremism strategy which both reflects and perpetuates a definition of Muslim students as violent and has led to multiple instances of discrimination against them. These punitive approaches also lack pedagogy, reflecting the callousness of the neoliberal institution which is not conducive to student welfare or the creation of healthy and positive communities. Intersectionality, then, needs to be embedded in our theorisations of laddism *and* in attempts to tackle it.

Conclusion

Contemporary student laddism can be seen as an enactment of power and privilege over multiple intersecting lines. This means that radical feminist frameworks around violence against women are useful but incomplete: we also need to explore the differences which produce particular masculine cultures and forms and experiences of violence in specific contexts. Student ‘lad culture’ also reflects the intersections between patriarchy and neoliberalism, and attempts to address it need to take account of how it is institutionally and structurally framed rather than resorting to individualistic approaches which are embedded in neoliberal rationalities and are punitive rather than pedagogic. Indeed, the carceral solutions favoured by both neoliberal institutions and radical feminists detract from addressing the intersecting hegemonies in higher education which shape, produce and conceal a variety of forms of bullying and violence.

References

- AFP. 2013. “Beer Holder Slogan Wins ‘Ernies’ Sexism Prize.” *The Australian*.
<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/news/nation/beer-holder-slogan-wins-ernies-sexism-prize/story-e6frg6nf-1226736840058>.
- Ahmed, Leila. 1992. *Women and Gender in Islam: Historical Roots of a Modern Debate*. New Haven, CN: Yale University Press.
- Anderson, Eric, and Rhidian McGuire. 2010. “Inclusive Masculinity Theory and the Gendered Politics of Men’s Rugby.” *Journal of Gender Studies* 19 (3): 249–61.
- Ball S (2012) Performativity, commodification and commitment: An I-spy guide to the neoliberal university. *British Journal of Educational Studies* 60(1): 17–28.
- Barnes, Cliona. 2012. “It’s No Laughing Matter... Boys’ Humour and the Performance of Defensive Masculinities in the Classroom.” *Journal of Gender Studies* 21 (3): 239–51.
- Bernstein, Elizabeth. 2010. ‘Militarized Humanitarianism Meets Carceral Feminism:

- The Politics of Sex, Rights, and Freedom in Contemporary Antitrafficking Campaigns'. *Signs* 36 (1): 45–72.
- Bhattacharyya, Gargi. 2008. *Dangerous Brown Men: Exploiting Sex, Violence and Feminism in the War on Terror*. London: Zed Books.
- Brady, Norman (2012) From 'moral loss' to 'moral reconstruction'? A critique of ethical perspectives on challenging the neoliberal hegemony in UK universities in the 21st century. *Oxford Review of Education* 38(3): 343-355.
- Bridges, Tristan. 2014. "A Very 'Gay' Straight? Hybrid Masculinities, Sexual Aesthetics, and the Changing Relationship between Masculinity and Homophobia." *Gender & Society* 28 (1): 58–82.
- Brown W (2006) American nightmare: Neoliberalism, neoconservatism, and de-democratization. *Political Theory* 34(6): 690–714.
- Brownmiller, Susan. 1975. *Against Our Will: Men, Women and Rape*. London: Penguin.
- Bumiller, Kristin. 2009. *In an Abusive State: How Neoliberalism Appropriated the Feminist Movement against Sexual Violence*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Burgoyne, Matthew. 2011. "Yale Bans 'No Means Yes' Fraternity for Five Years." *Ms Magazine*, May 18. <http://msmagazine.com/blog/2011/05/18/yale-bans-no-means-yes-fraternity-for-five-years/>.
- Cahill, Ann J. 2001. *Rethinking Rape*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Carby, Hazel. 1982. "White woman listen! Black feminism and the boundaries of sisterhood." In: Centre for Contemporary Cultural Studies, *Empire Strikes Back: race and racism in 70s Britain*. London: Hutchinson.
- Chrisler, Joan C., Jacob E. Bacher, Agnes M. Bangali, Alexa J. Campagna, and Aliza C. McKeigue. 2012. "A Quick and Dirty Tour of Misogynistic Bro Culture." *Sex Roles* 66 (11-12): 810–11.
- Collins, Patricia Hill. 1998. 'It's All in the Family: Intersections of Gender, Race, and Nation'. *Hypatia* 13 (3): 62–82.
- Connell, R. W. 2005. *Masculinities: Second Edition*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Crenshaw, Kimberle. 1991. 'Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence against Women of Color'. *Stanford Law Review* 43 (6): 1241–99. doi:10.2307/1229039.
- Datoo S (2013) Facebook just Deleted Every University's 'Rate Your Shag' Page. Available at: <http://www.buzzfeed.com/sirajdatoo/facebook-just-deleted-all-of-the-rate-your-shag-pa-9o1f>
- Davis, Angela Y. 1981. *Women, Race, & Class*. New York: Vintage Books.
- Dugan, John P., Michelle L. Kusel, and Dawn M. Simounet. 2012. "Transgender College Students: An Exploratory Study of Perceptions, Engagement, and Educational Outcomes." *Journal of College Student Development* 53 (5): 719–36.
- Dworkin, Andrea. 1976. *Our Blood: Prophecies and Discourses on Sexual Politics*. New York: Harper & Row.

- Feltes, Thomas, Katrin List, Rosa Schneider, Suzanne Hofker, Augusto Balloni, Roberta Bisi, Raffaella Sette, et al. 2012. "Gender-Based Violence, Stalking, and Fear of Crime." Bochum: Ruhr-Universität Bochum.
- Francis, Becky, and Louise Archer. 2005. "Negotiating the Dichotomy of Boffin and Triad: British-Chinese Pupils' Constructions of 'laddism.'" *The Sociological Review* 53 (3): 495–521.
- Gage, Anastasia J. 2015. "Exposure to Spousal Violence in the Family, Attitudes and Dating Violence Perpetration Among High School Students in Port-Au-Prince." *Journal of Interpersonal Violence* 31(14): 2445-2474.
- Gill R and Donaghue N (2013) As if postfeminism had come true. In: Madhok S, Phillips A and Wilson K (eds) *Gender, Agency and Coercion*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 240–58.
- Griffin, Susan. 1971. 'Rape: The All-American Crime'. *Ramparts* 10: 26–35.
- Grove J (2012) Stressed academics are ready to blow in pressure-cooker culture. *Times Higher Education*, 4 October
- Hall, Ruth. 1985. 'Ask Any Woman: A London Inquiry into Rape and Sexual Assault', at <http://crossroadsbooksonline.net/product/ask-any-woman-a-london-inquiry-into-rape-and-sexual-assault/>.
- Hanisch, Carole. 1970. 'The Personal Is Political', in Shulamith Firestone and Anne Koedt., eds, *Notes from the Second Year: Women's Liberation*. New York: Independently published.
- Hayes, Patrick. 2013. "The NUS's Prissy War on 'lad Culture.'" *Spiked*, April 10. <http://www.spiked-online.com/newsite/article/nus/13517>.
- Heldman, Caroline, and Bailee Brown. 2014. "Why Colleges Won't (really) Address Rape Culture." *Ms Magazine*, October 8. <http://msmagazine.com/blog/2014/10/08/why-colleges-wont-really-address-rape-culture/>.
- hooks, bell. 1981. *Ain't I a Woman: Black Women and Feminism*. Boston: South End Press.
- Jackson, Carolyn (2003) Motives for 'laddishness' at school: fear of failure and fear of the 'feminine'. *British Educational Research Journal* 29(4): 583-598
- Jackson Carolyn (2010) 'I've sort of been laddish with them – one of the gang': Teachers' perceptions of 'laddish' boys and how to deal with them." *Gender and Education* 22(5): 505–19.
- Jackson, Carolyn, and Steven Dempster. 2009. "'I Sat Back on My Computer ... with a Bottle of Whisky next to Me': Constructing 'cool' Masculinity through 'effortless' Achievement in Secondary and Higher Education." *Journal of Gender Studies* 18 (4): 341–56.
- Jackson, Carolyn, and Vanita Sundaram. 2015. "Is 'lad Culture' a Problem in Higher Education? Exploring the Perspectives of Staff Working in UK Universities." London: Society for Research into Higher Education.
- Jackson, Carolyn, Steve Dempster, and Lucie Pollard. 2015. "'They Just Don't Seem to Really Care, They Just Think It's Cool to Sit There and Talk': Laddism in University Teaching-Learning Contexts." *Educational Review* 67 (3): 300–

- Jennings, Wesley G., MiRang Park, Elizabeth A. Tomsich, Angela R. Gover, and Ronald L. Akers. 2011. "Assessing the Overlap in Dating Violence Perpetration and Victimization among South Korean College Students: The Influence of Social Learning and Self-Control." *American Journal of Criminal Justice* 36 (2): 188–206.
- Jones, Helen, and Kate Cook. 2008. *Rape Crisis: Responding to Sexual Violence*. Lyme Regis: Russell House Publishing Limited.
- Karamessini, Maria, and Jill Rubery. 2013. *Women and Austerity: The Economic Crisis and the Future for Gender Equality*. London: Routledge.
- Kelly, Liz, Jennifer Temkin, and Sue Griffiths. 2006. 'Section 41: An Evaluation of New Legislation Limiting Sexual History Evidence in Rape Trials (Home Office Online Report 20/06)'. London: HMSO. Accessed March 16. <http://tna.europarchive.org/20071206133532/homeoffice.gov.uk/rds/pdfs06/rd solr2006.pdf>.
- Kelly, Liz. 1988. *Surviving Sexual Violence*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Leathwood, Carole, and Barbara Read. 2009. *Gender and the Changing Face of Higher Education: A Feminized Future?* Society for Research into Higher Education/Open University Press.
- Lees, Sue. 1996. *Carnal Knowledge: Rape on Trial*. London: Women's Press.
- LeFauve, Linda M. 2015. "Campus rape expert can't answer basic questions about his sources". *Reason* July 28.
- Lehrer, Jocelyn A., Evelyn L. Lehrer, and Mary P. Koss. 2013. "Sexual and Dating Violence among Adolescents and Young Adults in Chile: A Review of Findings from a Survey of University Students." *Culture, Health & Sexuality* 15 (1): 1–14.
- Lisak, David, and Paul M. Miller. 2002. "Repeat rape and multiple offending among undetected rapists". *Violence and Victims* 17(1): 73-84.
- Lisak, David. 2008. *Understanding the predatory nature of sexual violence*. Unpublished paper available at <http://www.middlebury.edu/media/view/240951/original>.
- Lynch, Kathleen. 2006. 'Neo-Liberalism and Marketisation: the Implications for Higher Education', in *European Educational Research Journal* 5(1), 1-17.
- MacKinnon, Catharine A. 1989. *Toward a Feminist Theory of the State*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- McDowell, Linda. 2007. 'Respect, Deference, Respectability and Place: What Is the Problem With/for Working Class Boys?' *Geoforum* 38 (2): 276–86. doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2006.09.006.
- McGuire, Danielle L. 2010. *At the Dark End of the Street: Black Women, Rape, and Resistance- a New History of the Civil Rights Movement from Rosa Parks to the Rise of Black Power*. New York: Vintage Books.
- McGuire, Keon M., Jonathan Berhanu, Charles H. F. Davis, and Shaun R. Harper. 2014. 'In Search of Progressive Black Masculinities Critical Self-Reflections on Gender Identity Development among Black Undergraduate Men'. *Men and*

- Masculinities* 17 (3): 253–77. doi:10.1177/1097184X13514055.
- Mohanty, Chandra Talpade. 1988. 'Under Western Eyes: Feminist Scholarship and Colonial Discourses'. *Feminist Review*, no. 30: 61–88. doi:10.2307/1395054.
- Molesworth M, Nixon E and Scullion R (2009) Having, being, and higher education: The marketisation of the university and the transformation of student into consumer. *Teaching in Higher Education* 14(3): 277–87.
- Monroe, Carla R. 2005. 'Why Are "Bad Boys" Always Black? Causes of Disproportionality in School Discipline and Recommendations for Change'. *The Clearing House* 79 (1): 45–50.
- Muir, Kenneth B., and Trina Seitz. 2004. "Machismo, Misogyny, and Homophobia in a Male Athletic Subculture: A Participant-Observation Study of Deviant Rituals in Collegiate Rugby." *Deviant Behavior* 25 (4): 303–27.
- Nahar, Papreen, Miranda van Reeuwijk, and Ria Reis. 2013. "Contextualising Sexual Harassment of Adolescent Girls in Bangladesh." *Reproductive Health Matters* 21 (41): 78–86.
- National Union of Students. 2010. 'Hidden Marks: A Study of Women Students' Experiences of Harassment, Stalking, Violence and Sexual Assault'. NUS.
- Nguyen, Toan Thanh, Yasuko Morinaga, Irene Hanson Frieze, Jessica Cheng, Manyu Li, Akiko Doi, Tatsuya Hirai, Eunsun Joo, and Cha Li. 2013. "College Students' Perceptions of Intimate Partner Violence: A Comparative Study of Japan, China, and the United States." *International Journal of Conflict and Violence* 7 (2): 261–73.
- NUS. 2013. 'That's What She Said: Women Students' Experiences of "Lad Culture" at Universities'. NUS.
- O'Neill, Brendan. 2014. "The Moral McCarthyism of the War on Lads." *Spiked*, October 8. http://www.spiked-online.com/freespeechnow/fsn_article/the-moral-mccarthyism-of-the-war-on-lads.
- Osborne, Rachel L. 1995. "The Continuum of Violence against Women in Canadian Universities: Toward a New Understanding of the Chilly Campus Climate." *Women's Studies International Forum* 18 (5–6): 637–46.
- Palmer, Jamie. 2015. "The Real Victim of 'rape Culture'? Free Speech." *Spiked*, April 23. <http://www.spiked-online.com/newsite/article/the-real-victim-of-rape-culture-free-speech/16901>.
- Phillips, Ruth. 2006. 'Undoing an Activist Response: Feminism and the Australian Government's Domestic Violence Policy'. *Critical Social Policy* 26 (1): 192–219. doi:10.1177/0261018306059771.
- Phipps, Alison (2016) '(Re)theorising laddish masculinities in higher education', in *Gender and Education* 29(7): 815–830.
- Phipps, Alison and Young, Isabel. 2015b. "'Lad Culture' in Higher Education: Agency in the Sexualization Debates." *Sexualities* 18 (4): 459–79.
- Phipps, Alison, and Geraldine Smith. 2012. 'Violence against Women Students in the UK: Time to Take Action'. *Gender and Education* 24 (4): 357–73.
- Phipps, Alison, and Isabel Young. 2015a. "Neoliberalisation and 'Lad Cultures' in Higher Education." *Sociology* 49 (2): 305–22.

- Phipps, Alison. 2009. 'Rape and Respectability: Ideas about Sexual Violence and Social Class'. *Sociology* 43 (4): 667–83. doi:10.1177/0038038509105414.
- Phipps, Alison. 2014. *The Politics of the Body: Gender in a Neoliberal and Neoconservative Age*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Rajesh Chudasama, AM Kadri, Dipesh Zalavadiya, Chirag Bhola, and Mamta Verma. 2013. 'Attitudes and Myths towards Rape among Medical Students in Rajkot, India'. *Online Journal of Health and Allied Sciences* 12 (3): 4–8.
- Rankin, Sue, and Genny Beemyn. 2012. "Beyond a Binary: The Lives of Gender-Nonconforming Youth." *About Campus* 17 (4): 2–10.
- Roberts, Steven. 2013. 'Boys Will Be Boys ... Won't They? Change and Continuities in Contemporary Young Working-Class Masculinities'. *Sociology* 47 (4): 671–86. doi:10.1177/0038038512453791.
- Russell, Diana E. 1983. 'The Prevalence and Incidence of Forcible Rape of Females'. *Victimology* 7: 81–93.
- Sack K (2012) Reform campus rape policy to prevent complaints becoming a 'second assault'. The Observer, 18 November.
- Serano, Julia. 2009. *Whipping Girl: A Transsexual Woman on Sexism and the Scapegoating of Femininity*. Berkeley, CA: Seal Press.
- Skeggs, Beverley. 1997. *Formations of Class & Gender: Becoming Respectable*. London: SAGE.
- Skelton, Christine. 2002. "The 'Feminisation of Schooling' or 'Re-Masculinising' Primary education?" *International Studies in Sociology of Education* 12 (1): 77–96.
- Sutton Trust (2000) *Entry to Leading Universities*. London: Sutton Trust
- Sweeney, Brian. 2014. "To Sexually Perform or Protect: Masculine Identity Construction and Perceptions of Women's Sexuality on a University Campus in the Midwestern USA." *Gender, Place & Culture* 21 (9): 1108–24.
- Takash, Hanan, Souad Ghaith, and Hind Hammouri. 2013. "Irrational Beliefs About Family Violence: A Pilot Study Within Jordanian University Students." *Journal of Family Violence* 28 (6): 595–601.
- Valenti, Jessica. 2014. "Frat Brothers Rape 300% More. One in 5 Women Is Sexually Assaulted on Campus. Should We Ban Frats? | Jessica Valenti." *The Guardian*. September 24.
- Williams R (2011) Supporting students in a time of change. In: Higher Education and Society in Changing Times: Looking Back and Looking Forward (CHERI Project Report). Buckingham: Open University, 46–53.
- Williams, Katya, Fiona Jamieson, and Sumi Hollingworth. 2008. "He was a bit of a delicate thing': white middle-class boys, gender, school choice and parental anxiety." *Gender and Education* 20(4): 399-408.
- Willis, Paul E. 1977. *Learning to Labor: How Working Class Kids Get Working Class Jobs*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Wong, Y. Joel, Pei-Chun Tsai, Tao Liu, Qingqing Zhu, and Meifen Wei. 2014. "Male Asian International Students' Perceived Racial Discrimination, Masculine Identity, and Subjective Masculinity Stress: A Moderated Mediation Model."

Journal of Counseling Psychology 61 (4): 560–69.

Younis, Jinan. 2014. "Rape culture at university needs urgent action." *The Guardian* January 27.

ⁱ As Davis (1981 [2011], p180) pointed out, the prevailing construction of the 'police-blatter rapist' as black, and the function of this within structures of racist oppression, was generally ignored.

ⁱⁱ Research conducted by Jackson and Sundaram (2015) found that classroom laddism was more

ⁱⁱ Research conducted by Jackson and Sundaram (2015) found that classroom laddism was more common in universities with lower entry grades, which tend to be those with a more diverse class intake (Sutton Trust 2000).