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1. Rationale and study aims

- High levels of inactivity are reported for adult hospital ward patients recovering from critical illness.1-2
- Immobility in hospital contributes to irreversible functional decline in older populations.3
- Conventional methods of activity monitoring (self report, observation) are prone to methodological or operational weaknesses.4
- Wearable motion-sensors (accelerometers) offer an objective and unobtrusive alternative to monitoring the type and regularity of activity undertaken by hospitalised patients.
- To be considered a viable alternative, the data they capture must be valid and clinically meaningful.
- This study aimed to investigate if the Actigraph GT3X accelerometer (Figure 1) could identify body position and quantify step count during typical activities undertaken on a hospital ward by simulated patients recovering from critical illness.

Figure 1. The Actigraph GT3X accelerometer

2. Method

- Observational and prospective in design.
- Ethical approval from NHS Research Ethics Committee (14/NI/1023) and York St John University (UC/252/14/JA)
- 30 healthy volunteers enrolled, age matched to a Hull and East Yorkshire Hospitals NHS Trust (HEYHT) critical care population admitted during 2012.
- Research undertaken within a closed hospital ward at HEYHT.
- Participants undertook a movement protocol consisting of postural transitions on a bed (e.g. lying to sitting/ sitting to standing) and ten metre distance walks with walking aids.
- Video recordings formed the criterion measure against which accelerometer data was compared.

3. Results

- Fair agreement between waist accelerometer data and video recordings for recognition of lying, sitting and standing postures (κ = 0.21 : p < 0.001)
- Moderate agreement between ankle accelerometer data and video recordings (κ = 0.43 : p < 0.001)
- Ankle accelerometer correctly identified lying position on 91% of occasions, standing 99%, sitting 32%
- Mean differences in step count recorded by the ankle accelerometer compared to observed step count when using a stick or walking frame were smaller than the waist placement (Table 1)

Figure 2 presents the Bland Altman plot constructed for agreement between step count quantified by the ankle accelerometer and observed step count for the ten metre walk using a stick.

Table 1: Mean differences in step count recorded by accelerometry and direct observation (speed ≥ 0.3m/s).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Walk type</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean difference (95% limits of agreement - LOA)</th>
<th>Mean difference (95% LOA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frame</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-6.72 steps (-24.83 to 11.39 steps)</td>
<td>-0.28 steps (-5.98 to 5.42 steps)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stick</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-6.58 steps (-22.63 to 9.47 steps)</td>
<td>-0.63 steps (-4.69 to 3.43 steps)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Bland Altman plot for ankle accelerometer and stick

4. Conclusions

- The Actigraph GT3X accelerometer exhibited a delay in recognition of a postural change of ≥ nine seconds compared to observation
- Ankle placement was superior to waist placement for identification of body position and step count when undertaking activities typically undertaken by patients recovering from critical illness within a hospital ward environment.
- Whilst the ankle placement accurately identified both lying and standing postures, it often misclassified the sitting position as standing.
- Future studies investigating the validity of this model to capture purposeful activity should enrol hospitalised patients recovering from critical illness.
- Combinations of accelerometer placement sites may improve identification of the sitting position with this model, using data from multiple placement sites to construct an algorithm.
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