

Est.  
1841

YORK  
ST JOHN  
UNIVERSITY

Gledhill, Adam, Forsdyke, Dale and Murray, Eliot (2018)  
Psychological interventions used to reduce sports injuries: A  
systematic review of real-world effectiveness. *British Journal of  
Sports Medicine*.

Downloaded from: <http://ray.yorks.ac.uk/id/eprint/2775/>

The version presented here may differ from the published version or version of record. If  
you intend to cite from the work you are advised to consult the publisher's version:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2017-097694>

Research at York St John (RaY) is an institutional repository. It supports the principles of  
open access by making the research outputs of the University available in digital form.  
Copyright of the items stored in RaY reside with the authors and/or other copyright  
owners. Users may access full text items free of charge, and may download a copy for  
private study or non-commercial research. For further reuse terms, see licence terms  
governing individual outputs. [Institutional Repository Policy Statement](#)

# RaY

Research at the University of York St John

For more information please contact RaY at [ray@yorks.ac.uk](mailto:ray@yorks.ac.uk)

1 **Psychological interventions used to reduce sports injuries: A systematic review of real-**  
2 **world effectiveness.**

3

4 **Corresponding and lead author:** Dr Adam Gledhill

5 Carnegie School of Sport, Leeds Beckett University, Leeds, UK, LS6 3QS

6 **Email:** [adam.gledhill@leedsbeckett.ac.uk](mailto:adam.gledhill@leedsbeckett.ac.uk)

7 **Twitter:** @gleds13

8 **Second author:** Dale Forsdyke

9 School of Sport, York St John University, Lord Mayors Walk, York, UK, YO31 7EX

10 **Email:** [d.forsdyke@yorks.ac.uk](mailto:d.forsdyke@yorks.ac.uk)

11 **Twitter:** @forsdyke\_dale

12 **Third author:** Eliot Murray

13 School of Clinical and Applied Sciences, Faculty of Health and Social Sciences, Leeds

14 Beckett University, Leeds, UK, LS1 3HE

15 Twitter: @eliot\_murray

16

17 **Word count: 4668 (incl. references)**

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 **ABSTRACT**

27 **Objective:** To systematically review studies examining the role of psychological  
28 interventions in injury prevention. The primary research question was: (1) What is the real-  
29 world effectiveness of psychological intervention in preventing sports injuries?

30 **Design:** Mixed method systematic review with best evidence synthesis

31 **Data sources:** CINAHL, MEDLINE, PsycARTICLES, PsycINFO, SPORTDiscus, Science  
32 Direct and PubMed

33 **Eligibility criteria for selecting studies:** Randomised control trials (RCTs), non-RCTs that  
34 included a comparison group, before and after study designs and qualitative methods. Studies  
35 were required to outline specific unimodal or multimodal psychological interventions used in  
36 relation to injury prevention in the real-world setting.

37 **Outcome measure:** Studies were independently appraised with the Mixed-Methods  
38 Appraisal Tool (MMAT).

- 39 • **Results:** Thirteen papers (incorporating 14 studies) met the eligibility criteria, of which  
40 93% (13/14) reported a decrease in injury rates (effect size range = 0.2 – 1.21). There was  
41 an overall moderate risk of bias in reporting (52%). There is a dominance of stress  
42 management-based interventions in literature due to the prominence of the Model of  
43 Stress and Athletic Injury within the area.

44 **Summary/conclusions:** Psychological interventions demonstrate small (0.2) to large  
45 (1.21) effects on sports injury rates. The research area demonstrates a cumulative  
46 moderate risk in reporting bias (52%).

47 **PROSPERO registration:** CRD42016035879

48

49

50

51 **What is already known and why this review is needed**

- 52 • Psychosocial interventions, such as stress management interventions, may reduce injury  
53 rates
- 54 • Sport injury risk is multifactorial; structured injury prevention programmes must account  
55 for this multifactorial nature
- 56 • Existing systematic reviews and meta-analyses have excluded potentially relevant studies  
57 and have centred attention on the efficacy of interventions (laboratory setting) as opposed  
58 to their effectiveness (real world setting).

59 **What are the new findings?**

- 60 • 93% of studies in this review were associated with a lower sports injury rates and/or  
61 injury time-loss
- 62 • Psychological interventions demonstrate a range of effect sizes (0.2 – 1.21) which suggest  
63 they can contribute to injury prevention.
- 64 • Even low frequency and short duration interventions, with a low risk of bias, reduced  
65 injury rates (ES = 0.2 – 0.99).
- 66 • Future studies should consider sample size estimations, completeness of outcome data,  
67 reporting of attrition rates, and monitoring and reporting of compliance and adherence  
68 rates more closely.

69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75

## INTRODUCTION

76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100

The incidence of injury in sports range from 0.5-34 injuries/1000 hours,<sup>1</sup> with injury being one of the leading causes of early retirement from sport.<sup>2</sup> Sports injuries have significant psychosocial impacts on athletes that can influence the quality of return to sport (RTS), decrease the chance of RTS<sup>3,4</sup> or increase the time taken to RTS.<sup>5</sup> Injuries have financial<sup>6</sup> and performance-related<sup>7</sup> costs to teams. Injury prevention is a priority for sports injury practitioners and policymakers.<sup>8</sup>

Psychological factors are an intrinsic risk factor predisposing the athlete to injury, and should be considered for injury prevention programmes.<sup>8,9</sup> As injury causation is multifactorial, it follows that injury prevention programmes should target each of the multiple causes. Psychological interventions have often been overlooked.<sup>10-12</sup> Consequently, a comprehensive systematic review would help form a knowledge base, providing sports injury practitioners with information regarding the effectiveness of psychosocial interventions for injury prevention and the quality of the evidence.

Psychosocial factors including attention disturbance, arousal levels, anxiety, stress, daily hassles and negative life events are predictive for sports injuries, and psychological intervention can help to lessen the impact of these on individuals.<sup>13-23</sup> Psychosocial injury prevention strategies have been little used in sport.<sup>1</sup>

Two recent systematic reviews concluded that psychological intervention strategies have the potential to reduce injury risk in broad populations of athletes.<sup>24,25</sup> However, both reviews excluded studies that did not provide information that would allow them to complete the targeted statistical analyses.<sup>24,25</sup> However, in the two previous systematic reviews, studies were excluded if they were not underpinned by the Model of Stress and Athletic Injury.<sup>25</sup> Consequently, these reviews may have excluded relevant evidence,<sup>3</sup> and this could have implications for clinical decision making.<sup>26</sup>

101 In addition, the focus of both the most recent reviews has been evaluating the efficacy  
102 of psychological interventions, rather than their effectiveness. This is important as the  
103 effectiveness of systematic injury prevention involves examining efficacy, efficiency and  
104 compliance<sup>27,28</sup> (see Box 1 for key terms). Knowledge of intervention effectiveness will  
105 enhance understanding of sport psychology interventions in real-world environments.<sup>29</sup>  
106 Consequently, the research question for this systematic review was: What is the effectiveness  
107 of psychological intervention for preventing sports injuries?

**Box 1: Key terms**

**Adherence:** The voluntary, collaborative and active involvement of an athlete in an injury prevention programme that is mutually acceptable to the athlete and clinician.

**Compliance:** The degree to which a participant conforms to the recommended dosage, timing and frequency of an intervention. The athlete is often passive in the process.

**Efficacy:** The performance of an intervention under controlled conditions (e.g. a purposefully selected sample in artificially controlled game conditions), with greater potential to claim a high degree of internal validity.

**Efficiency:** The pragmatic considerations (e.g. time requirements, financial implications or administrative requirements) of using an intervention

**Effectiveness:** A more ‘real-world’ consideration, jointly determined by efficacy, efficiency and compliance/adherence, with greater potential to claim a high degree of external validity

108

109

## **METHOD**

110

Reporting for the current systematic review followed the Preferred Reporting Items for

111

Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.<sup>30</sup> The protocol was registered

112

in the PROSPERO database in February 2016 (registration number: CRD42016035879), and

113

was granted ethical approval by the Leeds Beckett University ethics committee (Application

114

Ref: 18124).

115

### **Search Strategy**

116

Relevant articles were identified through a search of the following electronic

117

databases: CINAHL, MEDLINE, PsycARTICLES, PsycINFO, SPORTDiscus, Science

118 Direct and PubMed. Updated searches were completed for dates between the earliest  
119 publications available on each database and 5th February 2017

120 The specific search strategy that was used for this review was: (sport injur\* OR  
121 athletic injur\* ) AND ( intervention\* OR strateg\* OR prevention ) AND ( psychology OR  
122 psychosocial factor OR psychosocial ) AND ( risk factors OR determinants OR predictor).

123 Relevant MeSH terms were added to these keywords to improve the accuracy of the literature  
124 discovered. Peer-reviewed journals in sport psychology (Journal of Applied Sport  
125 Psychology, The Sport Psychologist, Psychology of Sport and Exercise, the Journal of Sport  
126 and Exercise Psychology, the International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology and the  
127 International Journal of Sport Psychology) were also hand-searched.

128 The use and reporting of citation searching and bibliographic screening has gained  
129 support as a powerful complementary method to keyword searching.<sup>31,32</sup> Consequently, to  
130 identify additional studies for the review, backward citation searching of bibliographies of all  
131 included studies and forward citation searching via Google Scholar and Web of Science were  
132 conducted to determine any additional studies.

### 133 **Selection Criteria**

134 The specific eligibility criteria for this review can be found in Table 1. The studies  
135 included: randomised controlled trials (RCTs), non-randomised intervention studies that  
136 included a comparison group, before and after study designs, and qualitative methods.<sup>3,33</sup>  
137 Studies were required to outline specific psychosocial interventions used in relation to  
138 reducing injury risk.

139 When applying the selection criteria, the title and abstract of each study were  
140 reviewed first. If it was unclear from this whether the article should be included, the full text  
141 was obtained and read for review. Three reviewers applied the selection criteria at each step  
142 independently; any disagreements were resolved by consensus.<sup>3</sup>

143 **Assessing risk of bias**

144 The Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT)<sup>26</sup> was used to appraise the included  
145 studies. This tool has high inter-rater reliability (0.72 – 0.94)<sup>26</sup> and contains five sets of  
146 criteria: (1) qualitative; (2) randomised controlled studies – quantitative; (3) non-randomised  
147 controlled studies – quantitative; (4) observational descriptive studies – quantitative; (5)  
148 mixed-method studies. Each study type is judged in its methodological domain apart from  
149 mixed-method studies, which are appraised using three sets: the qualitative set, the relevant  
150 quantitative set and mixed-method set.<sup>26</sup> The overall quality of a mixed-method study cannot  
151 exceed its weakest component.

152 **Establishing rigour**

153 The MMAT appraisal criteria were applied independently by three reviewers to  
154 rigorously appraise included studies. Inter-researcher reliability of appraisals was assessed  
155 using a two-way mixed, absolute agreement intra-class correlation coefficient<sup>34</sup> and  
156 demonstrated high inter researcher reliability in independent study appraisal (0.98). Any  
157 disagreements were resolved via consensus discussion. Consistent with recent reviews,<sup>3, 33,35</sup>  
158 risk of bias was viewed on the continuum: 0-25% = high risk of bias, 25 – 50% = high to  
159 moderate risk of bias, 50 – 75% = moderate to low risk of bias, and 75% - 100% = low risk of  
160 bias. The theory behind this is that achieving the fewest MMAT criteria demonstrates the  
161 highest risk of bias and achieving more MMAT criteria reduces the risk of bias.<sup>3,26</sup>

162 **Data extraction and synthesis**

163 **AG, EM and DF independently extracted the following:** operational definition of  
164 injury, population, sample size, sex, ethnicity, nationality, intervention used, duration of  
165 intervention, compliance rates, results of the study. Given heterogeneity of research designs,  
166 populations, interventions and comparator groups, we used best evidence synthesis to  
167 summarise the evidence by intervention type (e.g. stress inoculation training) or purpose (e.g.

168 relaxation) where possible. Risk of bias was assessed for each intervention type/purpose.  
169 Evaluation of the overall effectiveness of interventions was based on three areas: (a) efficacy;  
170 (b) efficiency; and (c) compliance<sup>28</sup>.

## 171 **RESULTS**

172 The electronic database search yielded 6160 records. An additional 193 records were  
173 identified through table of contents searches, 9 through bibliographic searching and 4 through  
174 forward citation searching (Figure 1). Titles of 6308 records were screened after duplicates  
175 (n=58) were removed, and 6284 were excluded through title and abstract screening. Twenty-  
176 four articles were screened in full-text, and 11 were excluded (Figure 1), leaving 13 articles,  
177 incorporating 14 studies. Supplementary table 1 presents a descriptive overview of data  
178 extracted from final included articles.

### 179 **Demographic characteristics**

180 The 14 included studies reported on 1380 athletes, aged 10-33 years (mean = 18.6  
181 years, SD = 2.8). Twelve articles (n=1355 participants) reported the number of male (n=868;  
182 64.2%) and female (n=484; 35.8%) participants. One article,<sup>36</sup> reporting two separate studies,  
183 did not provide sufficient demographic information about their participants to include them in  
184 this initial descriptive analysis. Participants' level of competition ranged from international to  
185 regional levels in floorball (54.1%); football (32.4%); rugby union and rugby league (3.5%);  
186 gymnastics (3.2%); rowing (2.5%); ballet (2.5%); and swimming (1.8%).

### 187 **Study characteristics**

188 There were nine quantitative randomised, three quantitative non-randomised and one  
189 quantitative descriptive studies (Table 2). There was a broad range of definitions of sports  
190 injury across the studies. These included a time-loss definition of sports injury ranging from  
191 one day<sup>37,38</sup> to four days<sup>39</sup> of restricted or no practice before being recorded as an injury,  
192 whereas others did not overtly define an injury beyond anything requiring treatment.<sup>18,36</sup>

193 **Risk of bias assessment**

194 The MMAT rating of included studies (Table 2) ranged from 0% - 100% (mean =  
195 51.9%, SE=7.73; 95% CI= 35.1 – 68.8), denoting an overall moderate risk of bias. The risk of  
196 bias was mainly increased by studies not adequately reporting processes of randomisation  
197 and/or allocation concealment and/or blinding (n=8), or not providing sufficient information  
198 to be able to determine whether participant selection had minimised selection bias (n=3).

199 **Effectiveness of psychosocial interventions for injury prevention**

200 Stress management and relaxation were the most common interventions.<sup>18,36,41-45</sup>  
201 Intervention techniques were imagery,<sup>36</sup> goal setting,<sup>36,37,40</sup> mindfulness, Acceptance and  
202 Commitment (MAC) training,<sup>39</sup> attribution training,<sup>37</sup> self-confidence training,<sup>37,40</sup> autogenic  
203 training,<sup>38</sup> self-talk,<sup>38</sup> thought stopping,<sup>43,44</sup> abdominal breathing,<sup>43</sup> control of emotions,<sup>36,40</sup>  
204 concentration skills,<sup>40</sup> and video clips.<sup>44</sup> Video-based training was also used as a standalone  
205 awareness training programme.<sup>46</sup>

206 **Efficacy**

207 Thirteen out of the 14 studies reviewed reported fewer injuries and/or shorter time-  
208 loss in the intervention group than the control group. Twelve out of 14 studies had a control  
209 group to compare the effectiveness of their intervention. Interventions in these studies  
210 demonstrated a range of effect sizes on reduction in injuries, from small (d = 0.2) to large (d  
211 = 1.21). Supplementary table 1 provides a study-by-study breakdown of intervention  
212 efficacy.

213 **Efficiency**

214 The duration of interventions ranged from 4 weeks to 8 months (mean =15.6 weeks,  
215 SD =10.75). The number of intervention sessions varied from 6 to 160 (mean = 10.9, SD =  
216 9.4). The duration of the individual intervention sessions ranged from 10 to 120 minutes  
217 (mean =50 minutes, SD =28.4). The most frequent duration of an intervention session was

218 one hour.<sup>40-46</sup> There was evidence from studies at low risk of bias that up to 2 sessions per  
219 week, for 3-6 weeks on interventions based on principles of stress inoculation training was  
220 effective ( $d=0.2-0.99$ ) for reducing sports injuries.<sup>40,41,47</sup>

## 221 **Compliance**

222 Compliance rates were largely unreported. In 1 study, there was compliance of 82%  
223 for a coping intervention and 83% for an autogenic training intervention.<sup>38</sup>

## 224 **Best evidence synthesis**

225 There was evidence with a moderate risk of bias ( $M=50\%$ ) from five studies that  
226 stress inoculation training was effective at reducing injuries. There was evidence with a high  
227 risk of bias ( $M=8.3\%$ ) from three studies that relaxation training was effective at reducing  
228 injuries. There was evidence with a low risk of bias ( $M=75\%$ ) from three studies that  
229 multipurpose interventions (e.g. combination of stress management, concentration,  
230 confidence and emotional control training) were effective at reducing injuries.

## 231 **DISCUSSION**

232 The research question addressed through this systematic review was: What is the  
233 effectiveness of psychological intervention for preventing sports injuries? The purposes of  
234 the following discussion are to (1) discuss findings relating to efficacy, efficiency and  
235 compliance and the associated practical recommendations that can be drawn; (2) discuss the  
236 methodological quality of studies; and (3) present future research directions.

## 237 **Psychological interventions are associated with reductions in injury rates**

238 Thirteen out of the 14 studies reviewed reported fewer injuries and/or shorter time-  
239 loss, with small to large effects ( $d$  0.2 to 1.21) of psychological interventions for reducing  
240 injury rates and/or time loss. Psychological interventions are efficient, given the low weekly  
241 time requirement and the low number of weeks taken to complete interventions. Therefore,

242 practitioners may wish to consider psychosocial interventions as part of their interdisciplinary  
243 injury prevention programmes.<sup>24,25</sup>

244         There are different plausible explanations for the efficacy of psychological  
245 interventions. Most contained a stress management component, and stress is associated with  
246 injury risk.<sup>22,25</sup> Periods of high stress influence cortisol and oxytocin release, which may have  
247 a relationship to injury risk<sup>48, 49</sup> via immune<sup>50,51</sup> and pain<sup>49</sup> responses. Stress management  
248 interventions can have a beneficial effect on these immune and pain responses.<sup>18,36,40-43,47</sup>  
249 Reduced stress levels are also associated with reduced amygdala activation.<sup>25</sup> This may  
250 reduce injury risk as it is associated with improved attention and decision-making  
251 capacity.<sup>25,39</sup> This is important as decreased attention and decision-making ability is linked  
252 with increased injury risk.<sup>52</sup> Moreover, elevated stress can impact on neurocognitive  
253 functioning and decrease neuromuscular control, which is linked with non-contact ACL  
254 injuries.<sup>53</sup> Stress Inoculation Training<sup>54</sup> is a progressive multi-modal stress reduction  
255 technique prominent in this review. It aims to reduce tension and increase attention, which  
256 have both been linked with increased injury risk.<sup>25,39</sup>

### 257 **Methodological quality of included studies**

258         Overall, the body of evidence shows a moderate risk of bias (52%). The lack of clarity  
259 over processes for concealment or blinding, difficulties over assessing dropout rates, and  
260 difficulties in assessing a lack of bias in sampling procedures, all contributed to this (see table  
261 2). Most studies had a small sample size and few provided evidence of sample size  
262 estimation. This calls into question the statistical power of the studies,<sup>55,56</sup> and draws potential  
263 concerns over the reproducibility of the findings.<sup>57</sup> There is also a lack of replication research  
264 within this field.<sup>57</sup> The definition of injuries varied across studies, ranging from no  
265 definition<sup>36</sup> to varying time-loss definitions.<sup>40</sup> This makes it difficult to accurately assess the  
266 effectiveness of different interventions.

267           There was a substantial under-representation of female athletes within included  
268 studies. Injury is a major contributor to retirement in female athletes.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, more  
269 research is required to determine whether psychological interventions may be beneficial to  
270 female athletes. The under-representation of female athletes also calls into question the  
271 application of research findings to female athletes.<sup>2,3,33</sup>

## 272 **Practical implications**

273           Wampold<sup>58</sup> noted that the factors of goal collaboration, empathy, alliance and  
274 therapist effects all had greater effect sizes on treatment intervention than treatment  
275 differences. Therefore, sports injury practitioners (SIPs) contemplating psychologically-  
276 based interventions for injury prevention should consider creating a strong alliance with their  
277 athletes founded on a strong bond, reaching agreement about the goals of the therapy, and  
278 reaching agreement about the type of intervention, as these ‘alliance’ factors are likely to  
279 increase the effectiveness of the selected intervention.<sup>59</sup> Many SIPs will recognise issue with  
280 limitations of practice when considering including psychological interventions for injury  
281 prevention. Box 2<sup>60</sup> provides details of professional organisations that SIPs may contact, to  
282 access appropriate sport psychology professionals.

### Box 2: Examples of professional sports psychology associations

- American Psychological Association (APA): <http://www.apa.org/>
- Association for Applied Sport Psychology (AASP): <http://www.appliedsportpsych.org/>
- Australian Psychological Society (APS): <http://www.psychology.org.au/>
- British Psychological Society (BPS): <http://www.bps.org.uk/>
- British Association of Sport and Exercise Sciences (BASES): <http://www.bases.org.uk/>
- North American Society for the Psychology of Sport and Physical Activity (NASPSPA):  
<https://naspspa.com/>

283

## 284 **Future research directions**

285           Replication research is needed to confirm and extend existing clinical  
286 recommendations.<sup>57</sup> Using established protocols such as Gardner and Moore’s<sup>61</sup> MAC  
287 programme, which has demonstrated clinically meaningful effect size ( $d=0.59$ ) in reducing

288 injury risk<sup>39</sup> makes the potential for wider replication research greater. Given the  
289 multifactorial nature of injury mechanisms,<sup>8</sup> we would encourage multidisciplinary working  
290 between SIPs and sport psychology practitioners in future injury prevention research.

291         Examining the effectiveness of less represented psychological intervention strategies  
292 (e.g. imagery training) would advance the research area. Imagery may reduce injury risk for a  
293 number of reasons. It can result in neuromuscular patterning which innervates targeted  
294 muscles in similar ways to physically performing movements.<sup>62,63</sup> Well-trained imagers have  
295 MRI-confirmed neurological activation that reflects actual movements.<sup>64,65</sup> There is also an  
296 increase in muscle activity following sports imagery training.<sup>66</sup> Finally, imagery may act as a  
297 coding mechanism by which athletes process and learn optimal movement patterns.<sup>67</sup>

298         Scant research in this review has delineated between traumatic and overuse injuries.  
299 This is important as the relationship between psychosocial stress and overuse injury is  
300 potentially stronger than for traumatic injuries, because of the associated physiological and  
301 behavioural outcomes of psychosocial stress. For example, a behaviour such as altered sleep  
302 that can accompany psychosocial stress is associated with elevated evening cortisol levels  
303 and suppressed human growth hormone release, both of which may inhibit muscle repair post-  
304 exercise.<sup>23</sup> In addition, behavioural considerations such as compliance or adherence with  
305 injury prevention programmes<sup>28</sup> and neglecting recovery strategies<sup>68</sup> are also likely to  
306 increase the risk of overuse injuries. Consequently, future injury prevention studies would  
307 benefit from examining the role of behaviour change strategies in reducing overuse injuries.

### 308 **Strengths and limitations of this review**

309         The inclusive nature of the review to evaluate the overall published evidence base has  
310 likely provided a fuller picture of the existing evidence.<sup>3</sup> Considering each facet of  
311 effectiveness (efficacy, efficiency and compliance) as opposed to efficacy alone has also  
312 provided new insight into the body of research which has the potential for real-world

313 application of findings<sup>29</sup> and is a shift in thinking from previous reviews conducted in this  
314 area.

315 The inclusion criteria for this review stipulated peer-reviewed articles only, meaning  
316 that grey literature was not included. There is debate over the appropriateness of including  
317 grey literature in systematic reviews, with some suggestions that unpublished studies may  
318 enhance the findings of systematic reviews.<sup>69</sup> However, this recommendation is often due to  
319 publication bias whereby studies which demonstrate statistical significance and/or large  
320 effects are more likely to be published.

321 The search combinations used may also be considered limiting, given their strict  
322 nature, and may have increased the risk of relevant literature being missed. For example, not  
323 including specific intervention types (e.g. stress inoculation training) with ‘injur\*’ may have  
324 increased the chances of relevant studies being missed. Equally, by using the terms ‘sport  
325 injur\* OR athletic injur\*’, this may have increased the risk of unintentionally excluding any  
326 studies which named specific injuries within the abstract (e.g. ACL rupture, hamstring  
327 strains). To address this, we used table of contents searches, forward citation searching and  
328 backward citation searching to supplement the electronic database search.

## 329 **Conclusions**

330 Psychological interventions, particularly those with a stress reduction focus such as  
331 Stress Inoculation Training, are efficient and efficacious methods of reducing sports injury  
332 rates and injury time-loss. Future investigators should be mindful of ensuring that sample  
333 sizes, statistical power and reproducibility of findings are planned for, and that appropriate  
334 reporting of processes of randomisation and reporting mechanisms for minimising selection  
335 bias takes place.

## 336 **REFERENCES**

- 337 1 Theisen D, Malisoux L, Seil R, et al. . Injuries in youth sports: Epidemiology, risk factors  
338 and prevention / Verletzungen im Jugendsport: Epidemiologie, Risikofaktoren und  
339 prävention. *Dtsch Z Sportmed* 2014;65(9): 248-252.
- 340 2 Ristolainen L, Kettunen JA, Kujala U, et al. Sport injuries as the main cause of sport career  
341 termination among Finnish top-level athletes. *Eur J Sports Sci* 2012; 12(3): 274–282
- 342 3 Forsdyke D, Smith A, Jones M et al. Psychosocial factors associated with outcomes of  
343 sports injury rehabilitation in competitive athletes: a mixed studies systematic review. *Br*  
344 *J of Sports Med* 2016; 50:537-544.
- 345 4 Arden CL, Österberg A, Tagesson S, et al. The impact of psychological readiness to  
346 return to sport and recreational activities after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction.  
347 *Br J Sports Med* 2014; 48:1613–1619.
- 348 5 Sandon A, Werner S, Forssblad M. Factors associated with returning to football after  
349 anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2015; 23:  
350 2514 – 2521
- 351 6 Ekstrand J. Keeping your top players on the pitch: the key to football medicine at a  
352 professional top level. *Br J Sports Med* 2013; 47(12): 723-4
- 353 7 Häggglund M, Waldén M, Magnusson H, et al. Injuries affect team performance negatively  
354 in professional football: An 11-year follow-up of the UEFA Champions League injury  
355 study. *Br J Sports Med* 2013; 47 (12) 738-42
- 356 8 Bahr R, Krosshaug T. Understanding injury mechanisms: A key component of preventing  
357 injuries in sport. *Br J Sports Med* 2005; 39:324 – 329.
- 358 9 Meeuwisse WH. Assessing causation in sports injury: a multifactorial model. *Clin J Sport*  
359 *Med* 1994; 4: 166-170

- 360 10 Alexanders J, Anderson A, Henderson S. Musculoskeletal physiotherapists' use of  
361 psychological interventions: A systematic review of therapists' perceptions and practice.  
362 *Physiotherapy* 2015; 101(2):95-102.
- 363 11 Heaney C, Walker N, Green A, et al. Sport psychology education for sport injury  
364 rehabilitation professionals: A systematic review. *Phys Ther Sport* 2015; 16:72-79.
- 365 12 Heaney C. Physiotherapists' perceptions of sport psychology intervention in professional  
366 soccer. *Int J Sport Exerc Psychol* 2006; 4: 73-86
- 367 13 Galambos SA, Terry PC, Moyle GM, et al. Psychological predictors of injury among elite  
368 athletes. *Br J Sports Med* 2005; 39; 351 – 354.
- 369 14 Ivarsson A, Johnson U, Podlog L. Psychological predictors of injury occurrence: A  
370 prospective investigation of professional Swedish soccer players. *J Sport Rehab* 2013;  
371 22: 19 – 26.
- 372 15 Johnson U. Athletes experiences of psychosocial risk factors preceding injury. *Qual Res*  
373 *Sport Exerc Health*. 2011;3:99–115.
- 374 16 Thompson NJ, Morris RD. Predicting injury risk in adolescent football players: The  
375 importance of psychological variables. *J Ped Psych* 1994; 19(4): 415 – 429.
- 376 17 Johnson U, Ivarsson A. Psychological Predictors of sports injuries among junior soccer  
377 players. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2011;21(1):129-136.
- 378 18 Maddison R, Prapavessis H. A psychological approach to the prediction and prevention of  
379 athletic injury. *J Sport Exer Psychol* 2005;27:289-310.
- 380 19 Steffen K, Pensgaard A, Bahr R. Self-reported psychological characteristics as risk factors  
381 for injuries in female youth football. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2009;19(3):442-451.
- 382 20 Ivarsson A, Johnson U, Lindwall M, et al. Psychosocial stress as a predictor of injury in  
383 elite junior soccer: A latent growth curve analysis. *J Sci Med Sport* 2014; 17: 366 – 370.

- 384 21 Andersen M, Williams J. A model of stress and athletic injury: Prediction and prevention.  
385 *J Sport Exer Psychol* 1988; 10(3): 294-306.
- 386 22 Williams J, Andersen M. Psychosocial antecedents of sport injury: Review and critique  
387 of the stress and injury model. *J Appl Sport Psychol* 1998; 10(1):5-25.
- 388 23 Appaneal RN, Perna FM. Biopsychosocial model of injury. In: Eklund R, Tenenbaum G,  
389 editors. Encyclopedia of sport and exercise psychology. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage  
390 Publications, Inc.; p. 74-77.
- 391 24 Tranaeus U, Ivarsson A, Johnson U. Evaluation of the Effects of psychological prevention  
392 interventions on sport injuries: A meta-analysis. *Sci Sport* 2015;30(6):305-313
- 393 25 Ivarsson A, Johnson U, Andersen MB, et al. Psychosocial factors and sports injuries: A  
394 meta-analysis for prediction and prevention. *Sports Med* 2017; 47(2): 353-365.
- 395 36 Pace R, Pluye P, Bartlett G, et al. Testing the reliability and efficiency of the pilot Mixed  
396 Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) for systematic mixed studies review. *Int J Nurs Stud*  
397 2012; 49:47-53.
- 398 37 van Mechelen W, Hlobil H, Kemper HC. Incidence, severity, aetiology and prevention of  
399 sports injuries. A review of concepts. *Sports Med* 1992; 14: 82-99
- 400 28 van Tiggelen D, Wickes S, Stevens V, et al. Effective prevention of sports injuries: a  
401 model integrating efficacy, efficiency, compliance and risk-taking behavior. *Br J Sports*  
402 *Med* 2008; 42: 648-652
- 403 29 Ivarsson A, Andersen MB. What counts as “evidence” in evidence-based practice?  
404 Searching for some fire behind all the smoke. *J Sport Psychol Action* 2016; 7: 11 – 22.
- 405 30 Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review  
406 and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Syst Rev* 2015;4:1
- 407 31 Hindle S, Spackman E. Bidirectional citation searching to completion: an exploration of  
408 literature searching methods. *Pharmacoeconomics* 2015;33:5-11.

- 409 32 Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review  
410 and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. *BMJ*  
411 2015:g7647.
- 412 33 Gledhill A, Harwood C, Forsdyke D. Psychosocial factors associated with talent  
413 development in football: A systematic review. *Psychol Sport Exer* 2017; 31: 93-112
- 414 34 Shrout PE, Fleiss JL. Intraclass correlation: Uses in assessing inter-rater reliability. *Psych*  
415 *Bull* 1979; 86 (2): 420-428
- 416 35 Ardern CL, Taylor NF, Feller JA et al. A systematic review of the psychological factors  
417 associated with returning to sport following injury. *Br J Sports Med* 2013; 47: 1120 –  
418 1126.
- 419 36 Davis J. Sports injuries and stress management: An opportunity for research. *Sport*  
420 *Psychol* 1991;5:175-182
- 421 37 Johnson U, Ekengren J, Andersen M. Injury prevention in Sweden: Helping soccer players  
422 at risk. *J Sport Exer Psychol* 2005;27:32-38.
- 423 38 Noh Y, Morris T, Andersen M. Psychological intervention programs for reduction of  
424 injury in ballet dancers *Res Sports Med* 2007;15:13-32.
- 425 39 Ivarsson A, Johnson U, Andersen M, et al. It pays to pay attention: A mindfulness-based  
426 program for injury prevention with soccer players. *J Appl Sport Psychol* 2015;27:319-  
427 334.
- 428 40 Tranaeus U, Johnson U, Ivarsson A, et al. Sports injury prevention in Swedish elite  
429 floorball players: Evaluation of two consecutive floorball seasons. *Knee Surg Sports*  
430 *Traumatol Arthrosc* 2015a;23:899-905.
- 431 41 Perna F, Antoni M, Baum A, et al. Cognitive behavioural stress management effects on  
432 injury and illness among competitive athletes: A randomized clinical trial. *Ann Behav*  
433 *Med* 2003; 25(1):66-73.

- 434 42 Kerr G, Goss J. The effects of a stress management program on injuries and stress levels. *J*  
435 *Appl Sport Psychol* 1996; 8:109-117.
- 436 43 Kolt G, Hume P, Smith P, et al. Effects of a stress management program on injury and  
437 stress of competitive gymnasts. *Percept Mot Skills* 2004; 99:195-207.
- 438 44 Edvardsson A, Ivarsson A, Johnson U. Is a cognitive-behavioural biofeedback  
439 intervention useful to reduce injury risk in junior football players? *J Sports Sci Med*  
440 2012;11:331-338.
- 441 45 Olmedilla-Zafra A, Rubio VJ, Ortega E, et al. Effectiveness of a stress management pilot  
442 program aimed at reducing the incidence of injuries in young football (soccer) players.  
443 *Phys Ther Sport* 2016; doi: 10.1016/j.ptsp.2016.09.003
- 444 46 Arnason A, Engebretson L, Bahr R. No effect of a video-based awareness program on the  
445 rate of soccer injuries. *Am J. Sports Med* 2005; 33(1): 77-84
- 446 47 Tranaeus U, Johnson U, Engstrom B, et al. A psychological injury prevention group  
447 intervention in Swedish floorball. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2015b;23:3414-  
448 3420.
- 449 48 Miller GE, Chen E, Zhou ES. If it goes up, it must come down? Chronic stress and the  
450 hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenochortical axis in humans. *Psych Bull* 2007; 133 (1): 25-45
- 451 49 Moberg K. *The oxytocin factor*. Cambridge, MA: Don Capo Press Inc
- 452 50 Hänsel A, Hong S, Cámara RJA et al. Inflammation as a psychophysiological biomarker  
453 in chronic psychosocial stress. *Psychophysiological Biomarkers of Health* 2010; 35 (1):  
454 115-121
- 455 51 Maes M, Songa C, Lina A et al The effects of psychological stress on humans: Increased  
456 pro-inflammatory cytokines and the th1-like response in stress-induced anxiety.  
457 *Cytokines* 1998; 10 (4): 313-318

458 52 Gabbett TJ, Ullah S, Jenkins D et al. Skill qualities as risk factors for contact injury in  
459 professional rugby league. *J Sports Sci* 2012; 30: 1421-1427

460 53 Swanik CB, Covassin T, Stearne DJ et al. The relationship between neurocognitive  
461 function and noncontact anterior cruciate ligament injuries. *Am J Sports Med* 2007; 35:  
462 943 – 948.

463 54 Meichenbaum D. *Stress Inoculation Therapy*. Elmsford: Pergamon Press

464 55 Wittes J. Sample size calculations for randomised controlled trials. *Epidemiol Rev* 2002;  
465 24: 39-53

466 56 Whitley E, & Ball J. Statistics review 4: Sample size calculations. *Crit Care* 2002; 6: 335-  
467 341

468 57 Schweizer G, Furley P. Reproducible research in sport and exercise psychology: The role  
469 of sample sizes. *Psychol Sport Exerc* 2016; 23: 114-122.

470 58 Wampold BE How important are the common factors in psychotherapy? An update.  
471 *World Psychiatry* 2015; 14: 270-277.

472 59 Bordin ES The generalisability of the psychoanalytic concept of the working alliance.  
473 *Psychotherapy: Theory, research and practice* 1979; 16: 252-260.

474 60 Forsdyke D, Gledhill A, Ardern C. Psychological readiness to return to sport: three key  
475 elements to help the practitioner decide if the athlete is REALLY ready. *Br J Sports Med*  
476 2016; 51: 555 – 556

477 61 Gardner FL, Moore, ZE. *The Psychology of Enhancing Human Performance: The*  
478 *Mindfulness-Acceptance-Commitment (MAC) Approach*. Springer Publishing Company.

479 62 Carpenter, WB *Principles of Mental Physiology*. New York: Appleton.

480 63 Suinn, RM Behaviour rehearsal training for ski racers. *Behaviour Therapy* 1972; 3: 519

481 64 Decety J Neural representation for action. *Reviews in the Neurosciences* 1996; 7: 285-297

482 65 Munzert J, Lavey B, Zentgraf K. Cognitive motor processes: The role of motor imagery in  
483 the study of motor representation. *Brain Res Rev* 2009; 60 (2): 306-326

484 66 Lebon F, Guillot A, Collet C. Increased muscle activation following motor imagery during  
485 the rehabilitation of the Anterior Cruciate Ligament. *Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback*  
486 2012; 37: 45-51

487 67 Sackett RS. The influences of symbolic rehearsal upon the retention of a maze habit. *J*  
488 *Gen Psych* 1934; 13: 113-128.

489 68 Richardson SO, Andersen MB, Morris T *Overtraining athletes: Personal journeys in*  
490 *sport*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 2008

491 69 Adams RJ, Smart P, Huff AS. Shades of Grey: Guidelines for working with the grey  
492 literature in systematic reviews for management and organizational studies. *International*  
493 *Journal of Management Reviews* 2016; doi: 10.1111/ijmr.12102

494 Table 1.

495 Study inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Studies that evaluate the role of psychosocial interventions with the aim of reducing injury risk.	Non-English language reports
Studies that measured pre- and post-intervention injury rates.	Primary injury data not presented
First published in English language	Intervention studies that were stakeholder-facing as opposed to player facing (e.g. coach or parent intervention programmes) that did not have player-level injury data
	Textbooks, monographs, consensus statements or conference proceedings, unpublished studies
	Studies which combined psychological interventions with other techniques (e.g. neuromuscular training).

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

Article/Rating	Screening Questions	Quantitative (Randomised)				Quantitative (Non-randomised)				Quantitative (Descriptive)				Mixed Methods			Quality Score (%)	
		1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3		
Davis (1991)**	✓✓										x	x	x	x				0
Kerr and Goss (1996) ***	✓✓	✓	x	✓	x													50
Perna et al. (2003) **	✓✓	✓	✓	✓	✓													100
Kolt et al. (2004) ***	✓✓	x	x	✓	x													25
Arnason et al. (2005) ***	✓✓	x	x	✓	✓													50
Johnson et al. (2005) ***	✓✓	✓	x	✓	✓													75
Maddison and Prapavessis (2005) ***	✓✓	x	x	✓	x													25
Noh et al. (2007) ***	✓✓	x	x	✓	x													25
Edvardsson et al. (2012)***	✓✓	x	x	✓	✓													50
Ivarsson et al. (2015) ***	✓✓	✓	x	✓	✓													75
Traneus et al. (2015a)***	✓✓					x	✓	✓	✓									75
Traneus et al. (2015b) ***	✓✓					x	✓	✓	✓									75
Olmedilla-Zafra (2016) ***	✓✓					x	x	✓	✓									50

✓ = denotes criteria met, x = denotes criteria not met or cannot tell, shaded = not applicable criteria. \*\*\* denotes full agreement for the inclusion of the study, \*\* denotes majority agreement for the inclusion of the study.

