

Rivett, Gary ORCID logoORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7479-2141 and Pilossof, Rory (2019) Imagining Change, Imaginary Futures: "Conditions of Possibility" in Pre-Independence Southern Rhodesia, 1959–1963. Social Science History, 43 (2). pp. 243-267.

Downloaded from: https://ray.yorksj.ac.uk/id/eprint/3726/

The version presented here may differ from the published version or version of record. If you intend to cite from the work you are advised to consult the publisher's version: https://doi.org/10.1017/ssh.2019.1

Research at York St John (RaY) is an institutional repository. It supports the principles of open access by making the research outputs of the University available in digital form. Copyright of the items stored in RaY reside with the authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full text items free of charge, and may download a copy for private study or non-commercial research. For further reuse terms, see licence terms governing individual outputs. <u>Institutional Repositories Policy Statement</u>

RaY

Research at the University of York St John
For more information please contact RaY at ray@yorksj.ac.uk

Imagining Change, Imaginary Futures: 1 "Conditions of Possibility" in Pre-Independence 2 Southern Rhodesia, 1959–1963

3 Rory Pilossof and Gary Rivett

4 5 This article invites historians to think more critically about the language, narratives, and 6 tropes historians use to identify, describe, and explain processes of change. By doing so, 7 we raise questions about the possibility of historicizing ideas and experiences of change. 8 The suitability of historians' descriptive and explanatory tools and frameworks for 9 understanding one of the most important aims of historical scholarship is often very 10 limited. We ponder the extent to which historians' identification of historical change 11 correlates with how historical actors imagined, experienced, and identified change. 12 Starting from the perspective of the latter enables us to examine how far "change" exists prior to its embedding in the (sometimes conflicting) narratives, discourses, and practices 13 14 of contemporaries. This article seeks to develop the concepts of "critical junctures" and 15 "discursive thresholds." While both concepts are used in social science research and literary studies, they have rarely been paired together. We combine them because they 16 help relate the importance of events to change and the discourses surrounding them. As a 17 18 case study, this article looks at a magazine titled Property and Finance, which was 19 published in Southern Rhodesia (1956-77) and edited by Wilfred Brooks. Brooks's 20 editorials and political commentary offer an opportunity to consider broad questions of change, imagined futures, and discursive engagements with the political and social 21 22 developments underway.

23 Introduction: The Problem of "Change"

24 In 1964, a monthly magazine titled *Property and Finance*, carried an election advert 25 for the ruling Rhodesian Front Party (RF), quoting Ian Smith, the then conservative 26 and right-wing prime minister, as saying: "I would rather fail in my mission and 27 concede defeat than mislead the people of Southern Rhodesia." The advert pro-28 claimed: "These are brave words from an honest and devoted Rhodesian. He has 29 proved himself to be worthy of your trust and support." In closing, the reader was 30 enjoined to "UNITE BEHIND THIS MAN" (*Property and Finance* [hereafter *P&F*] 31 September 1964: 13). The inclusion of this election poster, and the magazine's 32 general support for Smith at this point, contrasted with its earlier political stance, 33 which had been more liberal and eager to promote African political and economic 34 advancement. By 1971, the political and rhetorical position of *Property and Finance* 35 had changed again, sliding even further right and labeling Smith a "sellout" who had 36 derailed "the White Man" in Southern Rhodesia (P&F December 1971: 1). Over the 37 course of the 1960s, then, radical changes occurred in the political imagination of 38 Wilfred Brooks, the editor of *Property and Finance*, and his visions for how 39 Southern Rhodesia could be best served by its governors.

From the historian's vantage point, we might surmise that the journey taken by 40 41 Brooks and *Property and Finance* across the political spectrum was occasioned by 42 the anticipation of independence after the dissolution of the Central African 43 Federation (hereafter the Federation), followed by the experiences and interpretations 44 of the effects of the Unilateral Declaration of Independence (UDI) in 1965. This 45 transformation offers an opportunity to think more critically about the language, 46 narratives, and tropes historians use to identify, describe, and explain processes of change. Indeed, the words used earlier to describe the change in Brooks's editorial 48 positions—"journey," "transition," "transformation"—all, variously, evoke similar, 49 though subtly differing ideas about the shift (another of those "change" words) in his 50 political standpoint. A "journey," as a metaphor for a process of change, suggests 51 an accumulation of experiences connected together to influence an individual's 52 viewpoint over a period; likewise, "transition," less poetically and more formally, 53 describes the movement from one state or condition to another; finally, "transfor-54 mation" describes a marked change in the nature of a form or appearance. Despite the 55 differences between these words, they all have two things in common. First, they 56 describe certain types of change that are identified ex post facto. Second, when we 57 consider that these words also contain, implicitly at least, narrative assumptions about 58 the direction of change that are broadly teleological. While these words can also 59 be used to describe stadial, temporary, or cyclical types of change, the overall 60 narrative direction of representations of change still tend toward the teleological. 61 Such characterizations of change, and the perspectives from which they are viewed, 62 are problematic because they continue to privilege the historians' perspective 63 on past behavior, action, and thought. When "we surmise" that Brooks's altered political perspective was the result of "independence" that occurred during an "era of 65 decolonisation," we map microhistorical changes onto macrohistorical transforma-66 tions as described by grand historical narratives. Thus, describing the changes in 67 the political standpoint of Brooks and *Property and Finance* between 1959 and 1963 68 in such terms begs questions about the suitability of historians' descriptive and 69 explanatory tools and frameworks for understanding one of the seemingly most 70 important aims of historical scholarship. We therefore ponder the extent to which 71 historians' identification of historical change correlates with how historical actors 72 imagined, experienced, and identified change. Starting from the perspective of the 73 latter enables us to examine how far "change" exists prior to its embedding in the (sometimes conflicting) narratives, discourses, and practices of contemporaries. 75 Brooks's editorials and political commentary offer an opportunity to begin to 76 consider these broad questions. His writings in *Property and Finance* were often 77 concerned with the implications of the Federation, and eventually, independence, for 78 the Southern Rhodesian economy. Of course, examining the magazine with a careful 79 attention to how Brooks's editorial performances framed and interpreted changes in 80 Southern Rhodesia's fortunes does not make it possible to escape fully from ex post 81 facto identifications and explanations of change. However, a lack of sensitivity 82 to, and awareness of, the language used to describe change, together with the

83 linear narratives implied by that language can have deleterious effects upon our

84 interpretations of the past. This concern has recently been illuminated by Frederick 85 Cooper, who has argued for a greater appreciation of the possibilities that historical 86 actors faced when they experienced so-called macrohistorical change. Thus, when 87 examining the triumphalism that can accompany historical narratives of African 88 decolonization and experiences of independence, Cooper suggests that

89 what gets lost in narrating history as the triumph of freedom followed by failure 90 to use that freedom is a sense of *process*. If we can, from our present-day vantage 91 point, put ourselves in the position of different historical actors ... we see 92 moments of divergent possibilities, or different configurations of power, that 93 open up and shut down. Just how wide were those possibilities? And how much 94 did actions taken at any one of many conjunctures narrow trajectories and 95 alternatives? In thinking about such questions, we can never distance ourselves 96 entirely from our present, but we can imperfectly look at different people in their 97 different presents imagining their futures. (Cooper 2008: 169)

Ooper aims to demonstrate the "possibilities of studying conjunctures when different futures were in play" (Cooper 2014: 466). Rather than privilege the macrohistorical transformation that eventually occurred and was then explained by a grand narrative, Cooper wants historians to pay closer attention to the microhistory of these moments and in particular to the uncertainties they engendered for the people who were trying to interpret their potential significance and act accordingly. This article develops Cooper's ideas by looking at how Brooks and *Property and Finance* interpreted and narrated the changes occurring in Southern Rhodesia from 1959 to 1963.

Our central aim is to suggest how historians might analyze the ways in which historical actors identified, and then engaged with, changes to their immediate contexts. Our first premise emphasizes the possibility that the events that contemporaries thought were responsible for change may or *may not* coincide with those that historians later argued were significant, especially at a macrohistorical level. Our second premise suggests that contemporaries had little or no sense of how any particular future might occur or how a certain course of events might turn out. This statement is no doubt obvious to most scholars, but to take this perspective seriously is to rethink how historical actors dealt with the events of change they experienced, and this means paying close attention to the category of the "future."

116 Critical Junctures and Discursive Thresholds

Our analysis of how Brooks's editorials identified and responded to change develops the concepts of "critical junctures" and "discursive thresholds" to investigate the discourses he deployed to understand, narrate, and act upon the changes that unfolded around him and how he subsequently envisaged the future. While both concepts are used in social science research and literary studies, they have rarely been paired 122 together. We combine them because they help relate the importance of events to 123 change *and* the discourses surrounding them.

"Critical junctures" is a descriptive and analytical concept that delineates pivotal 124 125 events that cause significant transformations in the structures of an institution. 126 Explanations of transformations within institutions are developed by identifying a 127 moment when the "path" preceding the critical juncture narrows to a point when an 128 increasingly limited set of choices or decisions are available to actors at a particular 129 time. Conventionally, the concept is associated with the interpretive frameworks of 130 "historical institutionalism" (HI) and "path dependency" theory. HI analyzes the 131 development of institutions with an emphasis on their historicity. Path dependency 132 theory posits that the nature and range of choices and decisions that are available to 133 any one actor at any given moment are constrained by certain choices and decisions 134 made in the past. Both HI and path dependency theory are favored by economists, 135 political scientists, and comparative sociologists because they provide tools to 136 analyze macrohistorical and macrosociological transformations, particularly within 137 political and economic institutions. Within the analytical framework of both HI and 138 path dependency theory, "critical junctures" signify moments when the equilibrium 139 of an institution is punctured, allowing for the analysis of change. To some extent, HI 140 and path dependency theory should correspond with the aims of historians. Each are 141 predicated on an appreciation of the importance of history for understanding change. 142 Moreover, "critical junctures" can be useful for describing potentially transformative 143 events and their relative importance compared to other critical moments that did *not* 144 result in change (for overviews see, Capoccia and Kelemen 2007; Mahoney and 145 Rueschemeyer 2003; Schmidt 2008).

Problems exist with the premises upon which the methods of HI and path dependency are predicated. Both are preoccupied with identifying and explaining the origins of "outcomes of interest." Explanative models are then posited that offer a logical method for describing how an outcome of interest prevailed. The result is often the creation of highly schematic narratives that are teleological and depend upon the *ex post facto* understanding of outcomes and institutional forms that are presumed to reach a moment of fixity from which the outcomes can be observed. The identification of critical junctures is therefore central to this endeavor because it allows for the discovery of events that transform structures. For historians, then, the concept of "critical junctures" may be problematic because it is closely associated with research practices that rely upon teleologies and ahistorical premises.

And, yet, the analytical usefulness of "critical junctures" for historians becomes apparent when it is orphaned from HI and path dependency theory, and used as a framework for describing how historical actors believed particular events had potentially transformative significance. Indeed, the most recent and fecund approaches suggest that scholars should address the processes that occur within the critical junctures, rather than focus upon the transformations they produce (Capoccia and Kelemen 2007). In this formulation, the methods used to examine how people identified and analyzed critical junctures foreground study of the multiple experiences of events and the visions of their possible outcomes, as well as the creation of historical

narratives that preceded them. Furthermore, when examining critical junctures on a microhistorical level, rather than on the macrohistorical, we can pursue a synchronic analysis of languages, discourses, behaviors, and practices that historical actors performed and invested in when confronted with change. The usefulness of the concept is enhanced once it is paired with the idea of "discursive thresholds."

The term discursive threshold is most commonly associated with Gillian 171 172 Whitlock's discussions of various forms of life writing (Whitlock 2000: 146). A 173 threshold is a point of beginning or entry. When prefaced by discursive, a term is 174 created that seeks to identify an occurrence after which a new form of discourse 175 comes into the public domain. A discursive threshold is produced when, after a 176 certain occurrence, there is a noticeable and palpable change in the discourses 177 employed by an actor (be that an individual, group, or institution). Such a threshold 178 involves the waning of one discourse (although not necessarily its complete 179 disappearance) and the growing acceptance and use of another. New discourses 180 may build upon elements that have already been covertly or privately disseminated 181 but, once the threshold has been passed, emerge into the public domain to receive 182 widespread and popular recognition. As Kay Schaffer and Sidonie Smith explain, 183 local movements or actors often attempt to generate conditions that enable the 184 reception and recognition of new stories and discourses. Individuals or groups can 185 subsequently engage in narrative acts "of critical self-locating through which they 186 assert their cultural difference and right to self-determination, or they may imagine 187 leaving the past behind for a new social order or a newly empowered collective 188 subjectivity" (Schaffer and Smith 2004: 4).

Attempting to identify the exact and specific moment of a discursive shift, such as when a certain term or phrasing becomes accepted in public arenas, is often both impractical and self-defeating. The new discourse is never entirely new and feeds off elements that existed before. What changes is how these new ways of understanding gain popular and political currency. As a result, it is important to identify the circumstances that enable this shift to take place (Okada 1991: 60). Not only are the contexts of dialogs important but so are how interactions are formed and disseminated. Finally, discursive thresholds are not free-floating or invisible, waiting for historical actors to stumble through them. They are created by particular events—critical junctures, responses to those events, and reflections upon their implications and significance. The recognition that when a threshold has been crossed is also the moment when the process of controlling and delimiting the contours of that threshold begin.¹

As a means of looking into the shifting political realities at the end of the Federation, and how certain junctures and thresholds resulted in dramatic shifts in outlook, discourse, and behavior, our case focuses on how Brooks identified the elections of 1962 as a critical juncture, which resulted in dramatic changes in the way he understood events around him. To do so, this case study is cognizant of "what happened in the context of what could have happened." This task means

^{1.} For a look into the interrelation of racisms, thresholds, and discourse see Stoler (2002).

208 complementing the "hindsight perspective (useful to identify moments of change) 209 with a foresight one, which allows reconstructing not only what the consequences of 210 actual decisions were but also what plausible consequences might have resulted from 211 other, viable choices" (Capoccia and Kelemen 2007: 355).

Finally, we stress that the approach previously outlined and pursued in the text that follows is not only applicable to African or, more generally, modern history but also has much wider application. The focus of the following case study just happens to suit our purposes, and also develops one of our areas of expertise. Close attention to the languages, tropes, discourses, and practices that surround experiences of "change," and historical actors' identification of those experiences, are transhistorical, transglobal, and transdisciplinary issues. Large-scale historical narratives can often obscure these experiences. Attention to the microhistories of change provide insights into how historical agents have attempted to negotiate the uncertainty of their lives and worlds and assessed the risks and fortunes of imagined futures.

222 Visions of Independence: *Property and Finance* and Wilfred Brooks's 223 Political Imagination

The period after World War II saw many changes take place in Southern Rhodesia. The colony had initially been formed in 1890, with the arrival of the pioneer column on the Zimbabwean plateau. While the initial aim of the settlers had been to find gold deposits, or a "Second Rand," to rival those in South Africa, these never materialized. As the colony became more established, however, the small white population began to grow and various agricultural, manufacturing, and industrial activities took root. It was only once World War II ended, though, that many of the key facets of economic growth in the colony took root. Manufacturing took hold and urban areas expanded. Agriculturally, tobacco grew rapidly and soon cemented itself as the key income earner for the country. This resulted in more white farmers settling in Southern Rhodesia.

The main urban areas, Salisbury and Bulawayo, started to grow rapidly too, both in terms of infrastructure as well as population, white and African. *Property and Finance* was started in 1956 by Gerrard Aberman as a monthly periodical that focused on property sales and financial management issues within Salisbury, the capital of Southern Rhodesia. Aberman had long been involved in various forms of publishing and was most notably editor of a travel periodical titled *Holiday and Travel*, which later became *Africa Calls* and finally *Rhodesia Calls* (Our Rhodesian Heritage 2002). By June 1957, after only a year in existence, the magazine charted its fast-growing readership numbers as a member of the South African Audit Bureau of Circulations. The next year, the magazine proudly claimed it had "THE LARGEST INDIVIDU-ALLY PAID CIRCULATION OF ANY MONTHLY BUSINESS OR TRADE JOURNAL IN THE FEDERATION" (emphasis in original) with more than 80 percent prepaid annual subscribers and was read by "most industrialist, managers,

248 officials and even the Prime Minister of the Federation" (*P&F* March 1958: 7; 249 November 1958: 1). The success of the journal resulted in efforts to develop its 250 scope and coverage. To this effect, Wilfred Brooks was appointed as managing editor 251 in 1959.

Brooks was born in the United Kingdom in 1915 before moving to South Africa. 252 253 There he had been involved in numerous newspaper and magazine operations in 254 Cape Town and Pretoria. After World War II, Brooks assisted in training demobilized 255 troops in journalism. He returned to South Africa and then moved to Southern 256 Rhodesia in 1948. Once in Rhodesia he settled in Bulawayo and became active in 257 local politics. By 1954 he was chairman of the Hillside (a suburb in Bulawayo) 258 branch of the United Rhodesia Party (URP), chairman of the Hillside Ratepayers 259 Association, secretary of the Umguza (a district in the province of Matabeleland 260 North and close to Bulawayo) branch of the Federal Party (FP), chairman of the 261 Publicity Committee "Boost Bulawayo" campaign, and a member of the Bulawayo 262 Civic and Ratepayers Association (Bulawayo Chronicle, September 9, 1954). In 263 1954 he stood as an UFP candidate for Parliament, but was defeated by Independent 264 Labour Party candidate, Jack Keller (Sunday Mail, May 5, 1968). In the early 1950s, 265 Brooks became the editor of the *Rhodesian Recorder*, the official organ of the 266 Association of Rhodesian and Nyasaland Industries, which mainly dealt with issues 267 concerning federal industries (Gordon Graham pers. comm., October 13, 2014). As 268 Property and Finance grew, it began to devote more space to general business, 269 agriculture and rural issues, and "also national affairs, especially as political 270 developments impinged increasingly on economic and business prospects" (P&F 271 December 1967: 2). Brooks wrote all the political and political-economic commen-272 taries from this point until 1969. By 1962, *Property and Finance* had the highest paid 273 circulation of any business periodical in central Africa, as assessed by the Audit 274 Bureau of Circulations of South Africa. As the magazine became more reactionary 275 and right-wing (which will be outlined later), its readership grew. By 1971, it claimed 276 a monthly distribution to 41,000 readers, which increased to 52,000 in 1975 277 and 71,000 in 1977.² By this point the magazine titled itself "The white voice of 278 Rhodesia." The political pontifications of Brooks in *Property and Finance* connected 279 with local and regional white audiences trying to make sense of the changes 280 occurring in the region. The magazine tapped into white fears of what could happen 281 with the processes of independence, decolonization, and African political advances 282 that were underway. It is important to understand these concerns and fears as they 283 illustrate what the concepts of independence, self-rule, the state, and freedom meant 284 for a range of white protagonists during a highly contested time in the region's 285 history

After Brooks's appointment, each issue contained one extensive, multipage political piece discussing developments and highlights of the previous month, along with general business and property coverage. The fact that *Property and Finance* was a

^{2.} These figures are given on the front page of each edition of the magazine and are claimed to come from the South African Audit Bureau of Circulations.

monthly periodical, rather than a daily newspaper allows us to read more into these political summaries. Brooks, unconstrained by the immediacy of a daily deadline as he would have been with a more conventional newspaper, was afforded more time and space to compose his editorials and arrange his political thoughts. These pieces, then, illustrate the political thinking and standing of *Property and Finance* and Brooks. Excerpts taken from Brooks's editorials will show his discursive changes over time that were often responses to shifts in political contexts and reality. Furthermore, the aim is to show the evolution of the magazine's political positions from 1959 to 1962. This article stresses the background of *Property and Finance* and Brooks, and shows how the magazine evolved, identified, and reacted to political changes, and responded to the critical juncture of 1962.

300 Imagining and Experiencing the Central African Federation, 1959-62

301 The idea of a federal amalgamation of Southern Rhodesia (Zimbabwe), Northern 302 Rhodesia (Zambia), and Nyasaland (Malawi), all British colonies, had been raised 303 during the 1930s. World War II postponed the plans, but after the war the idea was 304 resuscitated. The Colonial Office hoped the union would stimulate growth and attract 305 capital investment into agriculture, mining, and manufacturing. Accordingly, the 306 Federation was officially formed on the September 1, 1953, but it would only last just 307 more than 10 years, as it dissolved on December 31, 1963. Initially, the Federation 308 performed well. As international copper prices rose, large profits were made from 309 Northern Rhodesia's expansive copper mines. Yet, the profits from this resource 310 largely benefited Southern Rhodesia's infrastructure development and manufacturing 311 sector. Southern Rhodesia also benefited from cheap migrant labor from both the 312 northern territories. This imbalance raised objections from the small white settler 313 population in Northern Rhodesia, and by the mid-1950s there was little support for 314 the Federation from them. From the outset, the Federation had also been bitterly 315 opposed by the African populations in Nyasaland and Northern Rhodesia. In 316 Southern Rhodesia, opposition was tempered during the early years of the Federation 317 and many African representatives ran for federal office; however, West has suggested 318 that Africans were more opposed to the Federation than formally thought (West 319 2002). By the end of the 1950s, though, opposition was widespread in Southern 320 Rhodesia (Mlambo 2009: 92–93). As Murray (1970: 363) has observed, this 321 opposition was almost across the spectrum due to "[g]rievances of [African] urban 322 residents, the antipathy of peasants against land laws, the anger of the educated 323 Africans over illusory promised benefits of federation, [and] the antipathy of 324 immigrant Africans from Nyasaland and Northern Rhodesia to the federal govern-325 ment arising from the political conditions at home." In short, Hyam and Henshaw 326 saw the Federation as "an extra-ordinary mistake; an aberration of history and a 327 deviation from the inevitable historical trend of decolonisation." For Cohen (2017), 328 however, the Federation was more than just a mistake. For him it was a bold 329 experiment in political change during the end of empire and constituted one of the 330 most intricate episodes in decolonization.³

It is this politics of change and decolonization, and how Brooks understood and narrated such events, that we are particularly interested in this article. During 1959 and 1960, there was an obvious liberal edge to Brooks's editorial commentaries. The first prominent example was Brooks's views on the political and economic assessment of the Federation by Sir Ronald Prain. Prain, chairman of the Rhodesian Selection Trust, was initially very supportive of the Federation, but by the end of the 1950s he was publicly voicing misgivings about the political trajectory of the Federation (Butler 2007, but cf. Cohen 2008). His main objection was that there was a desperate need to provide for an African political majority, "in practise and in theory" (*P&F* December 1959: 10). In December 1959, Brooks agreed with Prain, stating that "the longer a solution of basic (i.e. racial) problems is delayed, the higher will be the price exacted by events." This statement outlined Brooks's belief in the need for African economic and political advancement. He also outlined his conceptions of "liberalism" and "partnership."

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

But let there be no illusion either, among Africans about the meaning of "partnership." The concept is harsh: it means every man, having been given the opportunity, can have no legitimate come-back and must stand on his own feet. It does not mean the politically-easy granting to the African of the means to spend money, which he cannot afford, on expensive European liquor or on large numbers of sweepstake tickets; but it does mean the *opportunity*, without paternal coddling, to earn the higher incomes which can buy not only these and other commodities but also a wider realisation that the material prosperity does not come without a payment of a price in effort and in some sacrifice of the personal liberty of the kraal Opportunity, however, does not only lie in the economic sphere. One of the most significant passages in Sir Ronald's statement was that indicating the widely-held fallacy that economic development alone will solve the national problems ... to exclude a respectable non-European from, say, an hotel, is racialism; and the exclusion makes him even more determined to secure equally racialist legislative power, by any means. It is thus for the European to decide whether it is better to sit near an African in a tearoom or to have unsuitable (and, probably, embittered) men sitting in a legislature merely because they are black. (P&F December 1959: 10)

In 1960 and 1961, Brooks continued to stress the need for economic and political opportunities for Africans. In July 1960, he noted that the "tolerant good humour, and resultant paternalism of the [white] born Rhodesian is often remarkable," in terms of how they have dealt with the "primitive," "tribal African" (*P&F* July 1960: 10). However, he noted that many Europeans cannot "adjust to the fact that paternal 'giving' is out of date. Today the African demands equal opportunity and intends to get it" (ibid). Brooks emphasized the need to learn from a recent rebellion in the

^{3.} For a more on the Federation see Sherer (2007), Fetter (1983), Wood (1983), and Franklin (1963).

370 Congo, where Congolese soldiers revolted in 1960, killing Belgian settlers across the 371 county, to avoid similar events happening in Rhodesia (Hughes 2003; Scarnecchia 372 2011). From Brooks's perspective, educated, urban Africans were given a range of 373 economic opportunities in the Congo, but were denied any form of political 374 mobilization. The thought that economic freedoms alone would placate African 375 nationalism was misplaced and the violence against Europeans paid testament to this 376 (P&F July 1960: 12). Later, in January 1961, he stated "too-hasty transfer of political power" could be problematic, but the "root cause of the trouble have been the belated 378 recognition both of the need to provide an outlet for the political aspirations of the 379 people and of the necessity of training them in the work of government" (P&F) 380 January 1961: 8). Ultimately, Brooks drew upon recent experiences of rebellion 381 elsewhere in Africa to envisage a potential future and a kind of change that might not 382 be controlled by the white population. In short, he used the experiences of whites in 383 the Congo to shape an alternative future for whites in Rhodesia and the Federation. 384 In July 1960, Brooks was aware that the Federation had failed to promote racial 385 harmony "despite real attempts made to meet African aspirations on the bold basis of 386 European paternalism." The main inhibition was the "colour bar," which protected 387 white jobs, wages, and positions of superiority in the workplace and political arena. 388 He repeated his understanding of opportunity, uttered eight months earlier: "the 389 concept of equal *opportunity*, far from being 'pro-African' or 'ultra-liberal,' is a harsh 390 but fair concept: It means that every man has, ultimately, to stand on his own feet and 391 will have no legitimate comeback if he fails" (P&F July 1960: 34). He also noted that 392 on both sides of the racial divide there were shortcomings in their approach to racial 393 partnership.

It is ironic that, frequently, those who deny that the non-European is incapable of real advancement are the first to show signs of anxiety at any tampering with the colour bar. Conversely, the African nationalist overlooks the shortcomings of the vast number of his own people who are still enveloped in tribal superstition and whose entire outlook is opposed to the requirements of modern economic development. (*P&F* July 1960: 34)

400 For Brooks, the Federation sat between extremes of "White nationalism in South 401 Africa and Black nationalism in north Africa," and therefore provided the perfect 402 opportunity to forge real and meaningful partnership between the races. Brooks also 403 stated that, "The more the Governments placate European fears and opinion, the more 404 African confidence they lose, and *vice versa*. The 'policy of partnership' becomes 405 somewhat mauled in the process" (*P&F* July 1960: 11).

As the Federation continued to face problems, the British government formed the Monckton Commission to report its legitimacy and future. The report was published in October 1960 and noted the potential economic benefits that could accrue through the Federation, but stressed that radical changes were needed if it was to overcome African concerns and hostility. It recommended that discrimination be eliminated rapidly and African participation in both the federal and territorial governments be

412 quickly expanded. Crucially, the commission also recommended that the territories

413 should be given the right to secede from the Federation after a trial period (Hubbard

414 **2011**: 280).

While many sectors of white society were shocked and alarmed by the Monckton

416 report, Brooks was effusive in his praise of the commission.

Seldom, indeed, has there ever been such a searching, professional review of any territory's affairs. Bearing all the marks of good faith and none of extremist theory, it should be read, studied and tested by all with a stake in Central Africa and not least by those who tend to hold dogmatic views. No undue prescience is

required to forecast that, at the London constitutional conference, the British

Government will exert effective economic pressure on any group, Black or

White, which refuses to accept at least the principles recommended. (P&F

424 October 1960: 11)

The end of 1960 also saw negotiations regarding a new constitution for Southern Rhodesia commence in London. Nyasaland and Northern Rhodesia were to be afforded more political autonomy and the Whitehead government hoped to secure similar developments, which would ultimately lead to full independence. The British, anxious to disengage from Rhodesia, hoped to convince the Whitehead administration to accept expansions to the African electorate, with qualified A and B voters roles, a Bill of Rights for African, and a provision of 15 (out of 65) seats for Africans in the Southern Rhodesian Parliament (Scarnecchia 2008: 103–4). African nationalist leaders were present at the meetings, with Joshua Nkomo representing the National

434 Democratic Party.

435 By the 1961 draft constitution, Britain agreed to abandon its reserve powers and 436 rights of veto. In turn, the Whitehead government agreed to the 15 parliamentary 437 seats, based on a complicated franchise that would have delayed majority rule for 438 several decades at least, the Bill of Rights, and a limited multiracial Constitutional 439 Council (Meredith 1979: 32). While this constitution offered the African nationalists 440 some concessions, it essentially guaranteed white rule. To the amazement of many, 441 and delight of the Whitehead administration, Nkomo accepted the draft constitution 442 and returned to Southern Rhodesia proclaiming he had achieved an important 443 breakthrough for African political advancement (Scarnecchia 2008: 104). However, 444 facing an escalating backlash from within the NDP over his acquiescence, Nkomo 445 reneged on his acceptance, and the nationalist movements fell into disarray and 446 violence erupted in black townships. White voters, meanwhile, approved the new 447 constitution in a referendum on July 26, 1961. While there were sectors within the 448 white community that felt the 1961 constitution gave too many concessions to the 449 nationalists, the "yes" vote passed with little threat.⁴

^{4.} As well as the official referendum on the Constitution, the NDP organized its own referendum in which they claimed 467,000 people rejected the Constitution and 600 voted for it. White 2015: 93.

In August 1961, Brooks saw this vote as a critical juncture in Rhodesian affairs. During the campaign, he noted, "[T]he malice showed itself all too clearly at both ends of the political spectrum—among some extremist Europeans who should have known better, and simultaneously among African nationalists (advised, encouraged and almost deified by the intellectual Left, here and abroad)." He went on to state that there was a need to ensure moderate policies were enacted, which appealed to both races. However, he was also aware of the class differences that existed in the African

457 communities and warned:

The "15 seats," broadly, will reflect the opinion of the middle-class African, in town or country, who has as much a personal stake in the economy as any European; but they will not reflect the interests, aspirations, suspicions or resentments of hundreds of thousands still unable to qualify for the vote. Unless the views of these people are at least known and considered in government, there will be little racial peace in the country—and therefore little ground for economic hope. (*P&F* August 1961: 10)

465 But Brooks insisted that the constitution offered real, moderate change that could 466 diffuse the radical and conservative elements of both sides of the racial divide.

Despite recognizing some of the issues that white society was failing to understand about African politics and resentment, Brooks still viewed African nationalism rather patronizingly, and in the September 1961 issue of *Property and Finance* stated:

Nationalism, particularly African nationalism, is primarily an emotional movement, fed by the colour bar, by White arrogance, and by the corroding resentment of such attitudes. But today, in some quarters, there is also no little naked envy, an envy which seeks to win wealth and perquisites by political pressure rather than by normal economic effort. (*P&F* September 1961: 12. For more on manners and ways of acting see Shutt 2015)

476 Nevertheless, toward the end of 1961, it was clear that Brooks was genuinely 477 interested in engaging with African politics and ensuring that mutual cooperation 478 took place that kept economic performance ticking over.

479 The 1962 General Election: A Discursive Threshold

480 As Patrick Bond has noted, the political outlook of many sectors of white society 481 were dictated by their economic position. Industrial and financial capitalists wanted 482 the development of a black middle class to provide a growing domestic market that 483 would also help reduce social unrest and hold African peasantry and working classes 484 in check. However, many other whites, particularly urban, small-scale capitalists and 485 waged workers, were fearful of growing African urban populations, as well as 486 potential rising labor costs due to political concessions and the rise of competition in 487 the workplace (Bond 1998: 110-1).

Brooks and *Property and Finance* shifted toward this second camp during 1962. The positive messages of African partnership disappeared and the magazine became much more defensive of white interests and rights. While Brooks changed his opinions on African politics, he also began to reveal his concerns about foreign capital and big business. *Property and Finance* became more populist and a staunch defender of small-scale, particularly urban, capital and white workers in general. To this end, Brooks was a founding member of the RF, which formed in March 1962. The RF won the general election in December 1962 and led Rhodesia to the UDI in 1965. This move, according to Arrighi, "was directed as much against large-scale capitalism as against the Africans. The populist undertones of the UDI campaign were very noticeable" (Arrighi 1973: 367). The shift of Brooks and his utterances in *Property and Finance* support such a claim (Henderson 1972: 388).

During the course of 1962, the magazine started to include more material that 500 501 questioned African motives and actions locally and on the continent. For example, in 502 March, concerns were raised about African housing, labor supply, and financial 503 societies in Rhodesia. Additionally, Brooks used *Property and Finance* to dissemi-504 nate fear of the growing African population, uncontrollable birth rates and the threats 505 this population boom posed to the small white state in Rhodesia (P&F March 1962; 506 April 1962). These concerns illustrate how pervasive the "population wars" were in 507 Southern Rhodesia at the time, as illustrated by Brownell (2011). Brooks also raised 508 anxieties about African leadership capabilities on the continent (P&F March 1962: 509 20). Events in independent Africa impacted Brooks's thinking in two main ways. 510 Firstly, he began to redress his conception of what the African was capable of due to 511 what Brooks saw as his inability to manage and govern. Secondly, he developed a 512 growing concern of what "pan-Africanism" was, fearful that it meant the eradication 513 of white presence in Africa. This was tied into a dim view of British handling of 514 decolonization, which he believed was weak and poorly executed. Fundamentally 515 important here were the clear indications from Britain that, were the Federation to 516 dissolve, independence would be granted to Nyasaland and Northern Rhodesia, but 517 not Southern Rhodesia because of the contestations over the voters' roll, the 518 constitution, and African political rights. For many white settlers in Southern 519 Rhodesia, this was both unfair and potentially disastrous for the country's economy. 520 They had hope to secure commitment to dominion status or independence after the 521 Federation, but as these hopes evaporated, many turned away from the idea of racial 522 partnership to the more confrontational and populist politics of the RF.

- In November 1962, just before the December election, *Property and Finance* published "the white point of view" on the political situation in Southern Rhodesia. The piece started thus:
- The evidence is quite overwhelming—

That the aim of Pan-Africanism is the complete elimination of White influence in Africa;

- That most African nationalist leaders have, as their prime object, the rich pickings from the assets abandoned (they hope) by the European;
- That intellectually, administratively and technically, the African people as a whole have been inferior, still are, and are likely to be so for a considerable time to come,
- and that, despite propaganda to the contrary, neither "colonialism" not White
- 534 "exploitation" has produced that inferiority; and
- That Rhodesia is in the forefront of a political war and must take steps to meet it. (*P&F* November 1962: 6–8)
- 537 Moreover, Brooks felt that African Nationalism was driven by a form of reverse
- 538 racism. As such it was marred by envy, greed, and a "chip-on-shoulder" attitude of
- 539 many nationalists, which was born from a "recognition of intellectual inferiority."
- 540 Brooks's language was now extremely racist: "Anyone who knows Africa will
- 541 recognize the problems: the uninhibited birth rate, the superstition, the still-backward
- 542 outlook of the African woman, endemic disease, primitive emotionalism, all come to
- 543 mind" (P&F November 1962: 6). Crucially, Brooks felt that he and other white men
- 544 had been keen to work with African political entities; however, events in other parts
- 545 of Africa over the last five years or so had undercut this attitude. Even Tanganyika
- 546 (Tanzania, which gained independence from Britain in 1961), a country "initially"
- 547 regarded as a "model of smooth transfer of power and potentially viable indepen-
- 548 dence," was recast by Brooks as a failure of decolonization (P&F November 1962:
- 549 6). These "concerns" over independent Africa meant that many white Rhodesians
- 550 wanted to avoid making the same "mistakes" in Southern Rhodesia.
- The November article, titled "The Political Situation in S. Rhodesia: The White
- 552 Point of View," was so popular that in the subsequent December issue Property and
- 553 Finance claimed, "Owing to unprecedented public demand, 'The Political Situation
- 554 in S. Rhodesia: The White Point of View', which was one of the main articles in last
- 555 month's issue ... has been reprinted as a sixpenny broadsheet" (P&F November
- 556 1962: 6). In December 1962, the RF won the general election and in the first half of
- 557 1963 the magazine published a great deal of positive commentary on Winston Field,
- 558 the new prime minister, the RF, and the unity of white society. Alongside this
- 559 positivism, the paper burgeoned with fears of African takeover, politically and
- 560 otherwise. At the beginning of 1963, and thereafter the oft-versed trope of the
- 561 "uncontrollable" African birth rate became a standard feature, as were the laments of
- 562 the "burden" this put on white taxpayers providing social services (e.g., Brownell
- 563 2011; P&F May 1963).
- Brooks also raised questions over the role played by big business in the politics of
- 565 the day. Property and Finance attacked a number of large corporations, such as
- 566 Lonrho, Anglo American, and the Argus-owned Rhodesian Printing and Publishing
- 567 Company, which published the daily *Rhodesia Herald*. Brooks's vehement hatred of
- 568 Roland "Tiny" Rowland has been well documented (Cronje et al. 1976). In July
- 569 1960, Brooks had written that it was no secret that "powerful business interests,
- 570 shocked and angry at circumstances leading to the Southern Rhodesia riots last
- 571 month [July 1960], have been exerting pressure on the Government to reassess the

572 political situation" (P&F July 1960: 2). By 1963, this pressure had become too much

573 for Brooks. In response to the intrusion of big capital into the political jostling at the

- 574 time, Brooks became more defensive of small capitalists and white workers. In
- 575 January, Brooks assured his readers that Property and Finance was there to look out
- 576 for the little man, Rhodesians who make Rhodesia tick and live here and deal with the
- 577 "African."
- 578 This populist stance, at odds with Brooks's earlier writings on big business,
- 579 became a mainstay of the magazine. Brooks warned businesses that had been
- 580 involved with African independence leaders: "Powerful companies, who have been
- 581 flirting with the nationalist parties in the north, may well live to regret their
- 582 expediency" (*P&F* January 1963: 2). This jibe was directed at Rowland and Lonrho
- 583 in particular. By late 1963, Brooks felt that
- Business has swung in support of the ordinary [white] man-in-the-street, whose
- attitudes are quite clear: he has tried to meet Pan-Africanism half-way; he has
- accepted what (in Southern Africa) is a radical constitution; he has gone about his
- daily business, in complete confidence that he as a moral as well as a demon-
- strable case after 40 years or reasonable and pretty fair self-government; and he
- has not the slightest intention of appearing more, until he sees what happens in
- the African territories to the north of him. (P&F December 1963: 45)
- 591 Property and Finance had clearly changed, and the tone and focus of the magazine
- 592 had shifted radically from its earlier stance. However, in June 1963, the magazine
- 593 released a statement on its ownership and policy, which sought to assure readers that
- 594 the magazine was still "liberal" and had not altered. Framed as a response to concerns
- 595 about malicious rumormongering over the magazines ownership and control, the
- 596 statement noted that the magazine was started by Gerard Aberman at the height of the
- 597 property boom in the mid-1950s. By the end of the decade,
- 598 Property and Finance was in the vanguard of what was then considered liberal
- racial thinking: it pressed for the advancement of the African and an end to
- paternalism, in the interests of business and of the country in general. Today,
- however, in the light of events elsewhere in Africa, of the excesses of Pan-
- Africanism, and of the erosion by American and British policy of all that the
- European in Africa has built up by his industry and commerce, *Property and*
- 604 Finance has felt compelled increasingly to present the White man's point of
- view, lest it go by default. For the editors are convinced that unless that point of
- view, less it go by detailed for the editors are convinced that diffes that point of view is vigorously and factually stated hostile elements at home and abroad may
- well succeed in sapping Rhodesians own resolution and this ensure yet another
- debacle in Africa. (P&F June 1963: 2)
- 609 The statement also stressed that *Property and Finance* has never had any political
- 610 affiliation, but it "happens that the Rhodesian Front ... also regards the White man's
- 611 cause as important—a cause, incidentally, which this newspaper was promoting

before the R.F. assumed power" (*P&F* June 1963: 2). Brooks also supported Ian Smith and his push for UDI in 1965. However, by the end of the 1960s, Brooks would attack the RF and Smith for not protecting the white interests enough. He labeled Smith a "sell-out," accused him of holding secret talks with British and nationalist leaders in which he undermined the future prospects of white settlers in Rhodesia. Brooks resigned from RF and became an active supporter of a number of radical opposition movements, such as the extremely right-wing Southern African Solidarity Conference (Ellert 1995: 91; Wood 2012: 634). Smith successfully sued Brooks in a civil case for defamation, after which Brooks left for South Africa, where he died in 1984.

622 Brooks's Background and Political Interactions

623 Brooks used *Property and Finance* to promote continued white rule and ascendancy.

624 He envisioned Africans as needing to respond to the freedoms offered by benevolent

625 white rule and use those to prove their worth and position in society. While framed in

626 "liberal" language—and used to differentiate Brooks and Southern Rhodesians from

627 the backward and clearly racist South Africans—Brooks still felt that, from his

628 perspective in 1960, Rhodesia was experimenting with providing African freedoms

629 that seemed both highly advanced and experimental. Gains were being made, and

630 made in controlled and limited ways that suited much of white society.

This period, however, has also been portrayed as one where the hope of racial 631 632 partnership was crushed and the space between the races widened, mainly due to the 633 treatment of African political parties and leading nationalist figures. Luise White 634 rejects the conventional narrative that between 1958 and 1961 white racial attitudes 635 hardened against African nationalism, which lead to the rise of right-wing politics. 636 Rather, White shows, ideas of franchise and political involvement, before events in 637 the Congo (1961) and the end of the Federation, revealed that a broad spectrum of 638 whites' "attitudes towards the impossibility of African self-rule were in place well 639 before" 1958 or 1961 (2015: 71). For her, much of white society was of the belief that 640 change had to be managed so that it was not so rapid that it might exceed "European 641 opinion and perhaps African capacities" (ibid.). The realization, finally, that the end 642 of the Federation would not mean white independence and the threat of African 643 political control elsewhere on the continent resulted in a shift in discourse, from 644 promoting black interests to defending white rights/privilege/place. The future 645 changed from being managed to unknown, and this fear drove changes in political outlook, discursive responses, and racial attitude. In this sense, we concur with White 647 that the ideas and means of never letting Africans take over was present throughout 648 the 1950s, but the events of 1960-62 impacted on how Rhodesians imagined the possible futures they might now have to entertain. 649

In addition, the RF, which won a very narrow victory at the 1962 elections, was an unsteady coalition of shifting alliances, amalgamations, and conveniences. The processes of decolonization and the changes afoot necessitated that people articulated

(and amended) their visions of independence. This often meant finding new ways to express oneself or adopting a standpoint or platform to suit. Brooks claimed the RF represented what always were his beliefs, yet a few years later was able to claim the RF had abandoned white interests. The end of the Federation and the processes of decolonization were awkward and uneven in Rhodesia, as the changes in Brooks's political imagination illustrate. The trajectory from colony to pariah state to nation-state defies attempts to construct simple, coherent narratives.

Brooks's editorials in *Property and Finance* tapped into white fears of what could happen with the processes of decolonization. So far, the case of Brooks has illustrated how he envisaged "the phenomenon of the change itself." In the remainder of this article, we offer a little more information about Brooks's background and political networks and interaction (Clements 1969: 140). The aim is to illuminate the specific political culture in which Brooks lived, the constellation of associates and groups within which he was nestled, and the ideas and expectations he held about the process of decolonization.

Brooks forged numerous political affiliations and networks upon moving to 668 669 Rhodesia (as outlined previously). Joining and assisting the URP and UFP reflected 670 Brooks's "liberal" standing at the time. The URP was, from 1953, led by Sir Garfield 671 Todd. He succeeded Sir Godfrey Huggins, who had become the premier of Southern 672 Rhodesian in 1933. In July 1953, Huggins became head of interim Federal 673 Government (in charge of the newly formed Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland). 674 While Todd introduced repressive and restrictive legislation that adversely affected 675 many Africans (such as the blanket enforcement out of the Native Land Husbandry 676 Act and the Public Order Act of 1955), he was seen by many white Rhodesians as a 677 staunch liberal who was keen to make improvements for Africans, specifically in 678 terms of health and education (Hancock 1984: 61). Todd promoted ideas of 679 multiracialism and African social, political, and economic advancement, albeit at 680 remarkably slow pace and where Africans were treated as the junior partners 681 (Mlambo 2009: 94). But Todd pushed his agenda too far. In 1958, accused of 682 being "out of step with public opinion" (read: white opinion), he was forced to resign 683 from his position as prime minister as his Cabinet revolted (Loney 1975: 101). 684 Shortly before Todd's resignation, the URP became the UFP. Once out of office, 685 Todd resuscitated the URP and ran against the UFP now under Edgar Whitehead in 686 the 1958 elections. The UFP won resoundingly. After this defeat, Todd established 687 the Federation-wide Central Africa Party (CAP), which was multiracial and sought to 688 "overcome the barriers of race and colour" (Todd 1959). The treatment of Todd, and 689 his exile from politics, shows how radical Brooks's writing was at the time, and how 690 the popularity of *Property and Finance* ran against the grain of much contemporary 691 political thought.

Few whites imagined that their world and its future was in any danger in the 1950s (Hancock 1984: 47). However, Brooks was aware that some quick concessions were

^{5.} With regard to African rule in other African countries, see statements on Tanganyika (P&F May 1962: 35) and Nyasaland (P&F October 1962: 7).

694 necessary to appeal to African political agitation. His commentary on Prain and the

- 695 need for economic and political freedoms for the right kinds of Africans attest to that.
- 696 And he was genuinely involved in liberal politics of the time. Brooks was a keen
- 697 supporter of Garfield Todd. Indeed, Brooks is rumored to have written speeches for
- 698 Todd and was even spoken of as his "private secretary." As well as running for the $_{AO6}$
- 699 URP when it was under Todd's leadership, Brooks was a member of the CAP.⁷
- 700 According to those who know him, Brooks was very much for African advancement.
- 701 Miles Anthony Pedder, who was by 1955 the Secretary of the URP, and later also
- 702 joined the CAP, said Brooks was "a strong and fair liberal." He also stated that
- 703 Brooks
- 704 was a liberal in the proper sense—the small sense ... of the word—in other
- 705 words of trying to bring about a society where the opportunity was equal for
- 706 people as far as possible. Implicit I think in his interpretation of this was that the
- 707 Africans so given that chance would behave to the sort of high levels that you
- 708 would expect of a person who is himself intelligent enough to be liberal.⁹
- 709 Brooks, in his early political thought, conforms to Mlambo's assertion that "post-
- 710 Second World War immigrants from Europe ... tended to be more liberal in their
- 711 attitudes towards the Africans" (Mlambo 2009: 113). This liberalism, however, has to
- 712 be qualified. As Law (2012: 24) has noted, "[T]hose who belonged to the ranks of
- 713 Rhodesia's liberals still believed in gradual change and only favoured the co-option
- 714 of 'educated' Africans into the existing system." That said, others have labeled the
- 715 Federation as high point of multiracial partnership, and any genuine attempts at
- 716 forging lasting and evolving multiracial interaction ended with Todd's removal and
- 717 rise of RF (Blake 1966: 284–345; Holderness 1985; Law 2012: 151; Todd 1966). AO7
- 718 However, the liberals were a diverse group with a range of ideas about race, gender,
- 719 politics, and class (Hancock 1984: 7). As one of the most notable "liberals" of the
- 720 time, Diana Mitchell, has stated, "[L]iberalism was the only word we could've
- 721 applied to ourselves ... liberalism for me was always a misnomer but it was always a
- 722 shortcut to saying that we didn't despise blacks" (Law 2012: 176).
- 723 Hancock believed that white society largely underestimated the danger signs of
- 724 African political awakening in the 1950s. He felt "a renewed militancy was evident in
- 725 Black-led politics by 1957–8 which in turn manifested and fostered a growing divide
- 726 between the races." This widening gap was exacerbated by the "complacency of the
- 727 federal and Southern Rhodesian governments in the mid 1950s, meant the years of
- 728 1953–8 were fateful ones for the concept of racial partnership" (Hancock 1984: 39).
- 729 While this interpretation may have some merit, Clements has shown that between

^{6.} Gordon Steel, "Letter." Sunday Mail, May 5, 1968; National Archives of Zimbabwe (NAZ), Harvey Grenville Ward, Oral/246; Godwin and Hancock (1996: 64-6).

^{7.} NAZ, Leo Solomon Baron, Oral/239: 37.

^{8.} NAZ, Miles Anthony Pedder, Oral/PE 2: 13.

^{9.} Ibid.

730 1958 and 1962 much was done to assist and promote African interests under 731 Whitehead's stewardship. In fact, he called this time "the great reforming period in Rhodesian history" (1969: 138). In terms of regulation passed and bills enacted, 732 more social and economic legislation was passed that directly benefited Africans than rever before. Issues addressed were education facilities, wages, rent, travel, land, and radiate housing and amenities in urban areas. Qualified Africans could enter the "higher grades of the civil service, swimming baths and hostels were allowed to admit Africans, trade unions could become multi-racial ... betting and drinking laws were revised ... and amendments were made to the Land Apportionment Act and the Immorality Act was abolished." As Hancock has noted, these changes would have been revolutionary in 1953, and, at the time, many in Southern Rhodesia felt that they were part of an extremely progressive political system in Central Africa, especially when compared to South Africa (1984: 93).

743 The focus on Brooks and *Property and Finance* illustrates the messiness of this 744 period and the struggles numerous actors had in understanding, documenting, and 745 narrating the unfolding shifts. What is also clear, besides the uncertainty, is the 746 arrogance of Brooks and many other whites. Their imagined futures were always 747 expressed in ways that upheld whites in positions of authority. There were troubles 748 and agitations to deal with, but there was very little immediate threat to their 749 superiority and position in society. Even in Brooks's early "liberal" outlook, the onus 750 was on the African to get himself to the level of whites. Access to citizenship was 751 never conceptualized as a right in this period, it was always something that the 752 African majority had to demonstrate that they were worthy of earning. Indeed, the 753 discourses and ideas of where blacks belonged was always below the European, and 754 at best in some sort of partnership. Brooks saw the future, even if it included blacks as 755 partners, as one where whites would be in control. That seemed imminently possible 756 in the late 1950s and early 1960s. There was little direct threat, and looking forward 757 there was no reason to doubt this. The provisions given to African advancement and 758 the changes in the political landscape made "liberal" whites feel like they were 759 progressive and radical, that they had assisted Africans and cemented their place in 760 society. However, the sense of security, of being privileged, eroded from 1958 to 761 1962. As Hancock observed, "The events [over this period] forced all those on the 762 left of white politics to consider where they stood on the issue of white supremacy, 763 partnership and African majority rule. Not surprisingly, opinions varied" (Hancock 764 **1984**: 79).

Over the course of 1962, the politics of Brooks and *Property and Finance* changed radically. The shifts in regional affairs and the processes of decolonization opened the space for new discourses to emerge and for new ways of writing/talking about happenings. Brooks took full advantage and revised his notions of what should follow the end of the Federation and the role Africans should have in that space. Many, like Brooks, sought to use their position to defend more actively white interests rather than promote racial integration. Brooks was keenly focused on white urban interests, and as his populism developed, became a promoter of the "small man," which in his thoughts translated into urban white men of small means and

774 capital. His fears of these urban spaces becoming inundated with Africans, and their 775 inability to keep up "standards," drove much of his vitriol and paranoia. African 776 political and economic freedoms were one thing, but having to share amenities 777 (schools, hospitals, streets) was quite another. This realization, particularly after 778 Brooks witnessed the way Africans "mismanaged" independent states of Tanzania, 779 Malawi, and Zambia, drove him further to the right, and away from his earlier, more 780 "liberal" position.

781 Conclusion: Change and the Politics of the Future

782 Change, obviously, occurs on different scales and, depending on the historical inter-783 pretation, at different rates. Long-term change, for example, in political systems, 784 cultural structures, social relations, and economic forces may go unnoticed. Our 785 focus on Brooks's experiences of change as expressed in the editorials of *Property* 786 and Finance therefore required us to make a set of distinctions about the kinds of 787 transformations that affected people and that they sought to understand. We therefore 788 centered our analysis on "eventful" change, which in the case study we presented 789 exclusively referred to the political changes caused by the events that accompanied 790 the processes of decolonization, and the complicated and uncertain (macrohistorical) 791 transition between colonial and postcolonial states. "Eventful" change referred to 792 types of events that Brooks and his like-minded contemporaries could reasonably be 793 expected to have experienced and that provided them with reasons to think that 794 change had occurred or was occurring or would occur in the future. Moreover, we 795 claimed that events alone cannot cause contemporaries to consider their potentially 796 transformative effect; it also occurs when historical actors construct, interpret, and 797 frame events at any given moment, as well as create the past and possible future of 798 any given event. Explanations can then be devised for why people performed certain 799 actions or enunciated particular discourses that may lack logic from a historical 800 perspective but were, to those involved at the time, significant and necessary to 801 pursue. After all, they may have been envisaging a future that either never or only 802 partially came to pass—as was the case with Brooks and *Property and Finance*. 803 Moreover, the categorization of an event or "change" as significant can occur through 804 a variety of interpretative practices. The significance of any number of happenings 805 may not be immediately clear to contemporaries: so far, so obvious. However, and as 806 the case of Brooks's illustrated, writing about the future—and not just reflections 807 about the past or its analysis—could stimulate thinking about the historical signifi-808 cance of events. The significance or meanings of specific events to narratives of 809 change may undergo recalibrations as new futures are proffered in light of new 810 information, interpretations, and happenings that have to be incorporated into new 811 narrative renderings of experience.

Between 1959 and 1963, Property and Finance was one voice among many in 812 813 Southern Rhodesia. Other publications, politicians, opponents of white minority 814 government, in particular Joshua Nkomo's National Democratic Party, and the 815 British government, all imagined the change possible futures that independence 816 would bring to the country. Multiple subjectivities therefore laid claim to interpretive 817 hegemony over the meaning of events and the course of change, during and after it 818 occurred. Conflicting or incongruent visions of the future arose. Our case study 819 focused on one particular person and institution enmeshed in a context that contained 820 multiple voices about the nature of the change being experienced. The micropolitics 821 of these conflicting visions and voices were central to how different groups of people 822 imagined and then mobilized behind particular kinds of futures. More generally, 823 different groups and institutions had various degrees of access to material, intellectual 824 social, political, and financial resources ensuring asymmetries in the distribution of 825 their perspectives, the kinds of publics they could reach, and the influence they had. 826 The reach of particular imaginings of change and the future can therefore be 827 delimited to specific publics depending on local power relations. These publics 828 may to some degree have overlapped and shared characteristics, rhetorics, and 829 languages. For our purposes, an appreciation of different subjectivities, perspectives 830 on change, and the publics to which people have access contributed to an under-831 standing of the precise contexts in which Brooks experienced, analyzed, and 832 contested change.

Brooks's analysis of the potential futures that independence might bring to 833 834 Southern Rhodesia were designed to inform and encourage readers to consider the 835 consequences of their governors' ability to ensure a smooth, orderly, and beneficial 836 transition for the country. Until recently, though, the discussion of the "future" as a 837 category of historical analysis has largely occurred amongst philosophers and 838 theorists of historiography following the work of Reinhart Koselleck (1985) and 839 his twin concepts of "spaces of experience" and "horizons of expectation" (see also 840 Fabian 1983; Hölscher 1999; Jenkins 2002; Lorenz and Bevernage 2013; Raulff 841 1999). Historians have rarely addressed these issues, yet they can provide great 842 insights into the political imaginations of historical actors (for exceptions see 843 Karonen 2009; Rivett 2015). For Koselleck, the negotiation of the relationship 844 between the past (experience) and the future (expectation) provides historical actors 845 with the resources to interpret the events they experience and envision the change that 846 might take place. One obvious point of departure for our analysis would be to focus 847 on the futures conjured by utopian or dystopian thinking. However, as Michael D. 848 Gordin, Helen Tilley, and Gyan Prakash have recently suggested, most historical 849 actors do not, at any particular historical moment, imagine futures in the perfectly 850 planned or unplanned ways that are associated with the creation of utopias or 851 dystopias. Instead, they insightfully reframe "utopia" and "dystopia" as categories of 852 practices and thought "through which historically situated actors seek to reimagine 853 their present and transform it into a plausible future" (2010: 2). In keeping with 854 Cooper's injunction, these approaches to experiences of temporality can be used to 855 think about how the past-future nexus might represent a number of possibilities 856 facing a historical actor at any given moment. With this focus we have been able to 859

857 provide more nuanced accounts of the discourses and practices that were performed 858 and produced by individuals at any particular time.

All these perspectives open two connected themes that illuminate how historical 860 actors imagined different, sometimes conflicting futures and its importance for 861 understanding the practices and discourse through which contemporaries conceptu-862 alized and used ideas of change. First, we can consider the "politics of time." 863 Periodizations of time involve the historian making ethical, aesthetic, and political 864 decisions (Bösch 2012). "Time" is not neutral when conceptualized by either the 865 historian or by a historical actor (Bender and Wellbery 1991; Fabian 1983; Osborne 866 1995). A "politics of time" informs the creation of periodizations, which often go 867 unnoticed by scholars (Lorenz and Bevernage 2013: 2). Developing these critiques 868 beyond scholarly reflexivity, these ideas beg questions about how historical actors 869 use the future to understand, interpret, and, in the case of *Property and Finance*, 870 politicize events. Second, we can subsequently discuss the "politics of change," 871 which involves paying attention to the language, rhetoric, narratives, and tropes 872 people have used to create visions of difference between the past and present, and 873 possible futures. Examining how historical actors described and argued for or against 874 particular kinds of potential change ensures that we are attuned to how it can become 875 a weapon used to counteract competing claims upon the direction of change. Thus, 876 our focus on how contemporaries experienced change, attempted to interpret that 877 change and, perhaps, mobilize specific visions of that change can complicate further 878 grand narratives that continue to predominate within historical scholarship. From our $_{AO8}$ approach, alternative narratives may emerge, producing a variety of conflicting

880 visions of any particular change and their outcomes.

881 Epilogue

882 Wilfred Brooks's editorial performances in *Property and Finance* were characterized 883 by a series of discursive shifts at moments he interpreted as critical junctures in 884 Southern Rhodesia's journey toward independence. In the months and years between 885 1959 and 1963, the editorials were used to imagine a range of futures that drew upon 886 recent experiences of change taking place elsewhere in Africa, as European nations 887 gradually reduced their imperial presence on the continent. As Brooks identified an 888 increasingly uncertain set of futures for white populations in Southern Rhodesia, the 889 editorials crossed a number of discursive thresholds, altering how the magazine 890 interpreted the significance of the events leading ineluctably toward independence. In 891 the late 1950s, the editorials were pro-gradual change, but later rescinded on these 892 ideas. The election of 1962 became about protecting white interests, at the expense of 893 racial collaboration because Africans had shown themselves incapable of self-rule. 894 By the end of 1963, Ian Smith, once seen as the savior of white rights, was castigated 895 for not doing enough in this capacity. He blamed the African nationalists for the 1961 896 fallout as he saw that as a real chance for change, but then lamented events in the

897 Congo, Zambia, and Malawi and began to fear for white futures in Africa. Brooks 898 adroitly engaged with, and contributed to, a "politics of comparison" to demonstrate 899 the likely threat posed by majority rule and decolonization to the future of southern 900 Rhodesia.

It is possible that Brooks held different views to those he voiced when he performed the role of the editor for *Property and Finance*. If he did, he may have discussed them in front of other, perhaps more delimited publics that were at some distance from the wider audiences his editorials could reach. Regardless, each of those moments when *Property and Finance* introduced a different and increasingly illiberal perspective on independence and its implications indicate when Brooks considered it possible for him to voice what he had previously thought unable espouse. On each occasion, *Property and Finance* crossed a discursive threshold, re-evaluating and reinterpreting the recent past and altering how recent experiences of change should be understood while seeking to shape that change.

In 1966, Calvin Trillin (141–42) observed that "analyses of what caused Rhodesians to change depend of what the analysts believe they were like in the first place." Change, for Brooks and *Property and Finance*, had several dimensions. It was imagined, but not in the sense that it was imaginary. Change was projected into the future as a set of feasible possibilities that were more or less desirable. It was contested, as different historical actors questioned and challenged each other on what kinds of futures and their implications were more likely. It was experienced, but not in the sense that it was empirically noticeable. Change was narrated and interpreted after its perceived occurrence, with particular events or trends identified as evidence of its emergence. Taken together—the imagined, the contested, the experienced—all these dimensions inculcated ideas and attitudes that were crucial for how contemporaries attempted to negotiate the ambiguities of their worlds. From there, further action was mobilized: ideas of change became political weapons.

This article has, by appreciating that "change" needs critical attention as an important, but problematic concept, aimed to demonstrate how historical actors identified and experienced "change" on their own terms. We have suggested that Brooks's editorials provide some insights into the specific "conditions of possibility" through which he envisaged change and that were unique to his particular circumstances. There is a set broader question here: To what extent can experiences, ideas, and narratives of change can be historicized? What assumptions currently exist within historical scholarship (and in other disciplines) about how change was envisaged in any time and place? Are there specific cultures of change that inform the discourses and practices that historical actors perform? And, finally, what might a history of change look like? One approach to these questions, we proffer, is to analyze how people imagined change by examining how they imagined their futures—and then how they speculated upon the trajectories and paths toward them.

939 Supplementary Material

940 To view supplementary material for this article, please visit https://doi.org/10.1017/941 ssh.2019.1.

942 References

- 943 Arrighi, G. (1973) "International corporations, labor aristocracies and economic development in tropical
- 944 Africa," in G. Arrighi and J. Saul (eds.) Essays on the Political Economy of Africa. New York: Monthly
- 945 Review Press: 105–51.
- 946 Bender, J., and D. E. Wellbery, eds. (1991) Chronotypes: The Construction of Time. Stanford, CA:
- 947 Stanford University Press.
- 948 Blake, R. (1966) A History of Rhodesia. London: Metheun.
- 949 Bond, P. (1998) Uneven Zimbabwe: A Study of Finance, Development, and Underdevelopment. Trenton,
- 950 NJ: Asmara
- 951 Bösch, F. (2012) "Umbrüche in die gegenwart: global ereignisse: Globale ereignisse und krisenreaktionen
- 952 um 1979." Zeithistorische Forschungen/Studies in Contemporary History, www.zeithistorische-
- 953 forschungen.de/16126041-Boesch-1-2012,

954 Brownell, J. (2011) The Collapse of Rhodesia: Population Demographics and the Politics of Race.

- 955 London: I. B. Tauris.
- 956 Butler, L. (2007) "Business and British decolonization: Sir Ronald Prain, the mining industry and
- 957 the Central African Federation." The Journal of Imperial and Commonwealth History 35 (3):
- 958 459–84.
- 959 Capoccia, G., and R. D. Kelemen (2007) "The study of critical junctures: Theory, narrative and counterfactuals in historical institutionalism." *World Politics* 59 (3): 341–69.
- 961 Clements, F. (1969) Rhodesia: A Study of the Deterioration of a White Society. New York: Praeger.
- 962 Cohen, A. (2008) "Business and decolonisation in Central Africa reconsidered." *The Journal of Imperial* 963 *and Commonwealth History* 36 (4): 641–58.
- 964 —... (2017) The Politics and Economics of Decolonization in Africa: The Failed Experiment of the Central African Federation. London: I. B. Tauris.
- Cooper, F. (2008) "Possibility and constraint: African independence in historical perspective." *Journal of African History* 49 (2): 167–96.
- 970 Cronje, S., M. Ling, and G. Cronje (1976) Lonrho: Portrait of a Multinational. London: Friedmann.
- 971 Ellert, H. (1995) "Rhodesian security and intelligence community 1960–1980," in T. Ranger and N. Bhebe (eds.) *Soldiers in Zimbabwe's Liberation War*. London: Heinemann: 87–103.
- 973 Fabian, J. (1983) *Time and the Other: How Anthropology Makes Its Object*. New York: Columbia
- 974 University Press.
- 975 Fetter, B. (1983) *Colonial Rule and Regional Imbalance in Central Africa*. Boulder: University of Colorado Press.
- 977 Franklin, H. (1963) *Unholy Wedlock: The Failure of the Central African Federation*. London: G. Allen and 978 Unwin.
- 979 Godwin, P., and I. Hancock (1996) *Rhodesians Never Die: The Impact of War and Political Change on White Rhodesia, c. 1970–1980.* Harare, Zimbabwe: Baobab Books.
- 981 Gordin, M. D., H. Tilley, and G. Prakash (2010) "Utopia and dystopia beyond space and time," in
- 982 M. D. Gordin, H. Tilley, and G. Prakash (eds.) *Utopia/Dystopia: Conditions of Historical Possibility*.
- 983 Princeton, NJ, and Oxford: Princeton University Press: 1–21.

AQ9

- 984 Hancock, I. (1984) White Liberals, Moderates and Radicals in Rhodesia 1953–1980. New York:
- 985 St. Martin.
- 986 Henderson, I. (1972) "White populism in Southern Rhodesia." *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 987 14 (4): 387–99.
- 988 Holderness, H. (1985) Lost Chance: Southern Rhodesia, 1945–58. Harare: Zimbabwe Publishing House.
- 989 Hölscher, L. (1999) Die Entdeckung Der Zukunft. Frankfurt: Fischer Taschenbuch Verlag.
- 990 Hubbard, J. P. (2011) The United States and the End of British Colonial Rule in Africa, 1941–1968.
- 991 Jefferson, NC: McFarland & Company.
- 992 Hughes, M. (2003) "Fighting for white rule in Africa: The Central African Federation, Katanga, and the Congo Crisis." *International History Review* 25 (3): 596–615.
- 994 Jenkins, R. (2002) "In the present tense: Time, identification and human nature." *Anthropological Theory* 995 2 (3): 267–80.
- 996 Karonen, P., ed. (2009) *Hopes and Fears for the Future in Early Modern Sweden, 1500–1800.* Helsinki: 997 Suomalaisen Kirjallisuuden Seura.
- 998 Koselleck, R. (1985) "'Spaces of experience' and 'horizons of expectation': Two historical categories," in 999 R. Koselleck (ed.) *Futures Past: On the Semantics of Historical Time, translated by Keith Tribe*. New 1000 York: MIT Press: 255–75.
- 1001 Law, K. (2012) "Writing white women: Whiteness, gender, politics and power in Rhodesia, c.1950s–1002 1980s." PhD diss., University of Sheffield.
- 1003 Loney, M. (1975) Rhodesia: White Racism and Imperial Response. Harmondsworth, UK: Penguin.
- 1004 Lorenz, C., and B. Bevernage, eds. (2013) *Breaking Up Time: Negotiating the Borders between Present*, 1005 *Past and Future*. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- 1006 Mahoney, J., and D. Rueschemeyer, eds. (2003) *Comparative Historical Analysis in the Social Sciences*.

 1007 Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 1008 Meredith, M. (1979) The Past Is Another Country: Rhodesia, UDI to Zimbabwe. London: Pan.
- 1009 Mlambo, A. (2009) "From the Second World War to UDI, 1940–1965," in B. Raftopoulos and A. Mlambo
- 1010 (eds.) Becoming Zimbabwe: A History from the Pre-colonial Period to 2008. Harare, Zimbabwe:
- 1011 Weaver Press: 75–114.
- 1012 Murray, D. J. (1970) The Governmental System in Southern Rhodesia. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- 1013 Okada, H. R. (1991) Figures of Resistance: Language, Poetry, and the Narrating in the Tale of Genji and 1014 Other Mid-Heian Texts. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- 1015 Osborne, P. (1995) The Politics of Time: Modernity and Avant-Garde. London: Verso.
- 1016 Our Rhodesian Heritage (2002) "The story of Rhodesia calls," December 1, http://rhodesianheritage.blogspot

1017 .com/2012/12/the-story-of-rhodesia-calls.html

- 1018 Raulff, U. (1999) Der Unsichtbare Augenblick: Zeitkonzepte in Der Geschichte. Göttingen: Wallstein.
- Rivett, G. (2015) "Editorial introduction: Activism, mobilisation and political engagement: Comparative historical perspectives." *Journal of Historical Sociology* 28 (1): 1–10.
- 1021 Scarnecchia, T. (2008) *The Urban Roots of Democracy and Political Violence in Zimbabwe, Harare and Highfield, 1940–1964.* Rochester, NY: University of Rochester Press.
- 1023 —... (2011) "The Congo Crisis, the United Nations, and Zimbabwean nationalism, 1960–1963." *African Journal on Conflict Resolution* 11 (1): 63–86.
- Schaffer, K., and S. Smith. (2004) "Conjunctions: Life narratives in the field of human rights." *Biography* 1026 27 (1): 1–24.
- 1027 Schmidt, V. A. (2008) "Discursive institutionalism: The explanatory power of ideas and discourse." 1028 *Annual Review of Political Science* 11 (1): 303–26.
- 1029 Sherer, B. (2007) *A Failed Experiment in Imperial Governance: The Central African Federation 1953*–1030 *1963*. Las Vegas: University of Nevada.
- 1031 Shutt, A. (2015) Manners Make a Nation: Racial Etiquette in Southern Rhodesia, 1910–1963. Rochester,
- 1032 NY: University of Rochester Press.
- 1033 Stoler, A. (2002) Carnal Knowledge and Imperial Power: Race and the Intimate in Colonial Rule.
- 1034 Oakland: University of California Press.
- 1035 Todd, G. (1959) "Inaugural congress of the Central African Party," June 6, Salisbury.

AQ10

- 1036 Todd, J. (1966) Rhodesia. Bristol, UK: MacGibbon and Kee.
- 1037 Trillin, C. (1966) "Letter from Salisbury." The New Yorker, November 12.

AQ11

- 1038 West, M. (2002) The Rise of an African Middle Class Colonial Zimbabwe, 1098–1965. Bloomington:
- 1039 Indiana University Press.
- 1040 White, L. (2015) Unpopular Sovereignty: Rhodesian Independence and African Decolonization. Chicago:
- 1041 University of Chicago Press.
- 1042 Whitlock, G. (2000) The Intimate Empire. London: Bloomsbury Academic.
- 1043 Wood, J. R. T. (1983) The Welensky Papers: A History of the Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland.
- 1044 Durban, South Africa: Graham Publishing.
- Sanctions, Aborted Settlements and War 1965–1969. Bloomington, IN: Trafford Publishing.