

Hill, Andrew P. ORCID logoORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6370-8901 and Madigan, Daniel J. ORCID logoORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9937-1818 (2022) Perfectionism, mattering, stress, and self-regulation of home learning of UK gifted and talented students during the COVID-19 pandemic. Gifted and Talented International, 37 (1). pp. 56-63.

Downloaded from: https://ray.yorksj.ac.uk/id/eprint/5872/

The version presented here may differ from the published version or version of record. If you intend to cite from the work you are advised to consult the publisher's version: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15332276.2022.2033649

Research at York St John (RaY) is an institutional repository. It supports the principles of open access by making the research outputs of the University available in digital form. Copyright of the items stored in RaY reside with the authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full text items free of charge, and may download a copy for private study or non-commercial research. For further reuse terms, see licence terms governing individual outputs. <u>Institutional Repositories Policy Statement</u>

RaY

Research at the University of York St John
For more information please contact RaY at ray@yorksj.ac.uk

Hill, A. P. & Madigan, D. J. Perfectionism, mattering, stress, and self-regulation of home learning of UK gifted and talented students during the COVID-19 pandemic. Gifted and Talented International [Accepted Version]. Accepted for publication on 20-01-2022.

Perfectionism, mattering, stress, and self-regulation of home learning of UK gifted and talented students during the COVID-19 pandemic

Andrew P. Hill & Daniel J. Madigan

York St John University, UK

Author note

Andrew P. Hill and Daniel J. Madigan, School of Science, Technology, and Health, York St John University, Lord Mayor's Walk, York, YO31 7EX, UK. Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Andrew P. Hill. E-mail: a.hill@yorksj.ac.uk

1 Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic forced the closure of schools in the UK and students had to study at home with limited access to the support they would have normally received. We designed this study to assess the experience of gifted and talented (GAT) students during this period and to identify factors related to their stress and self-regulation of learning. The factors we focused on were perfectionism and feelings of mattering. Three-hundred and eleven GAT students completed an online questionnaire when schools were closed and they were studying at home. Analyses revealed that striving for perfection was related to better effort regulation and better time and environment management. However, negative reactions to imperfection and feeling like they did not matter (anti-mattering) were related to higher academic stress, as well as worse effort regulation and worse time and environment management. The findings suggest aspects of both perfectionism and mattering may be important for GAT students' ability to study effectively during the COVID-19 pandemic.

1 Introduction

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The COVID-19 pandemic has caused considerable disruption and stress for teachers, parents, and students. Following school closures, students were required to study at home for extended periods of time. Students would have faced no situation like this before – studying largely independently, with minimum feedback, and uncertainty regarding progression, assessment, and achievement. For extended periods, the pandemic also included homeconfinement and social distancing, that meant accessing social and educational support for students and their families was more difficult. In the current study we were interested in what factors were related to both the academic stress gifted and talented students (GAT) experienced and their ability to self-regulate their learning during the pandemic. In deciding on which factors to focus upon, we chose perfectionism and feelings of mattering. Perfectionism is a personality trait that is often discussed in context of gifted and talented students (Rice & Ray, 2018). Although there are different approaches to studying perfectionism, it is typically considered to include two broad dimensions – perfectionistic strivings (PS) and perfectionistic concerns (PC). PS refers to the features of perfectionism associated with personal standards (typically described as high, exceptionally high, or unrealistically high) whereas PC refer to the features of perfectionism associated with harsh self-evaluation (doubts, fears, feelings of inadequacy and negative reactions; Stoeber & Otto, 2006). We focus on two subdimensions of PS and PC in this study – striving for perfection and negative reactions to imperfection. These are key aspects of perfectionism and central to PS (striving for perfection) and PC (negative reactions to imperfection) (Stoeber & Rennert, 2008). In keeping with the notion that perfectionism may be important in GAT students, research has found that while this group has similar levels of PC to other students, they tend

to have slightly higher levels of PS (Stricker et al., 2020). In addition, a recent review of

- 1 research in this area found PS and PC, separately and in combination, to be related to the
- 2 achievement, motivation, and wellbeing of GAT students (Grugan et al., 2020). Notably, the
- 3 findings of the review suggested that PC was likely to be problematic for GAT students
- 4 whereas PS were more mixed and under some circumstances may coincide with some
- 5 benefits, notably higher academic achievement. These differences were typically more
- 6 pronounced when the relationship between PS and PC were statistically controlled (e.g.,
- 7 Chan, 2007). Surprisingly, to date, no study has examined the relationship between
- 8 perfectionism, academic stress or self-regulation of learning either in typical circumstances or
- 9 during extended periods of life stress and home study.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mattering is a feeling of being depended on, important to others, and receiving due attention from others (Rosenberg & McCullough, 1981). It is thought to be fundamental to wellbeing and resilience, providing a psychological resource and buffer when confronted by adversity (Flett & Zangeneh, 2020). People can also feel like they don't matter. Rather than corresponding with the absence of feelings of mattering, anti-mattering is a feeling of being invisible, insignificant, and uncared for (Flett, 2018). Likewise, instead of simply signalling lower levels of an important psychological resource, feelings of anti-mattering are thought to have an additional psychological toll and underpin the development of loneliness, a sense of social disconnection, and being marginalised (Flett, 2018). In support of these ideas, recent research examining mattering and anti-mattering in students has found them to be related to loneliness, self-esteem, and distress in opposing directions (Besser et al., 2020; McComb et al., 2020).

Both mattering and perfectionism are thought to be important in regards to how people respond during times of life stress, including during the COVID-19 pandemic. The key issue central to both perfectionism and mattering in this regard is that the typical anxiety, worry, and stress associated with uncertainty and a lack of control will be heightened among

people who are more perfectionistic or fear they do not matter (Casale & Flett, 2020; Flett &

2 Hewitt, 2020). As aptly stated by Flett and Hewitt (2020, p.84), "perfectionism is problematic

at the best of times, but it is especially problematic during the worst of times". The effects of

low feelings of mattering and anti-mattering, too, will only be exacerbated by the sense of

being alone that follows actual physical and social isolation (Casale & Flett, 2020). The

current study is based on the assertion that these proposed effects will be evident in GAT

students.

With this in mind, here we focus on the experiences of GAT students as they study at home with less support and greater uncertainty. We do so by assessing the degree of academic stress GAT students report. That is, their worry, preoccupation, and concern regarding grades and school (MacGeorge et al., 2005). We take academic stress as a signal of the psychological toll studying at home may be having on GAT students. In this regard, it is noteworthy that increased stress among students has been a common finding internationally during the COVID-19 pandemic (e.g., Giannopoulou, et al., 2021) and that research has also found students to report more stress following home-schooling during this period (e.g., Pieh et al., 2021). However, while research has been able to capture the experience of students generally, there have been few accounts of the experiences of GAT students during this period.

We also assessed self-regulation of learning, in particular, two types of resource management strategies – effort regulation and time and study environment management. Effort regulation refers to students' ability to control effort and attention despite distractions whereas time and study environment management refers to a students' ability to use the time and spaces they have to study effectively (Pintrich et al., 1993). Underlying the examination of these self-regulation strategies is evidence that they will be important in whether GAT students maintain their own learning during prolonged absences from school. Self-regulation

- of learning is related to greater academic achievement, generally (e.g., Dent & Koenka,
- 2 2016), and its importance is evident in research examining home schooling during the
- 3 COVID-19 pandemic, specifically. In one recent study, for example, students' self-regulation
- 4 was positively related to learning independence which in turn was related to lower rating of
- 5 task difficulty and greater task enjoyment of school work during school closure (Blume et al.,
- 6 2021). In another revealing recent study, students' cognitive emotion regulation strategies
- 7 were related to general stress, which in turn is related to the quality of home-schooling
- 8 relationships with parents (Aznar et al., 2021).

The Present Study

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The aim of the present study was to examine the relationships between perfectionism, mattering, anti-mattering, academic stress, and self-regulation of home learning during the COVID-19 pandemic among GAT students in the UK. Based on previous research, we expected negative reactions to imperfection and anti-mattering to be related to higher stress and poor self-regulation of home learning, whereas we expected striving for perfection and anti-mattering to either be related to lower stress and better self-regulation of home learning, or be unrelated to these variables.

17 Method

Procedure and Participants

An advert for an online questionnaire was distributed to a network of secondary schools via the [blinded for peer review]. A sample of 720 students responded to the advert and completed the questionnaire. Of the respondents, 311 identified themselves as GAT students (the remaining students indicated they were not or did not know) and are the focus of this study. Note that in the UK the common terminology is used in schools is "more able and talented" and "more able learners" so these phrases were used. The GAT students were, on average, 14.93 years old (SD = 1.25; range = 13 to 18) and were in UK school year 8 (n =

- 1 11), year 9 (n = 111), year 10 (n = 88), year 11 (n = 31), and year 12 (n = 64; 6 did not 1)
- 2 provide this information). They reported spending, on average, 4.69 hours per day studying
- 3 (SD = 2.17) with most involving using a screen (M = 4.24, SD = 2.15).
- The questionnaire was opened on 02/06/20 and closed on 19/06/20 shortly after
- 5 schools officially opened. Schools in the UK were officially closed due to the COVID
- 6 pandemic on 20/03/2020 with learning primarily taking place at home from that point
- 7 onwards. Secondary schools were officially reopened for year groups 10 and 12 (students
- 8 who were 14 to 15 years old and 16 to 17 years old) on 15/06/20 with government advice
- 9 remaining that schools continue to teach these students at home. The study was approved by a
- university research ethics committee. The response rate to the advert of the online
- 11 questionnaire determined the sample size.

Measures

12

- Perfectionism. Perfectionism was measured using the Multidimensional Inventory of
- Perfectionism Education Version (Stoeber & Rennert, 2008) which differentiates striving
- for perfection as an indicator of PS (5 items; "At school...I strive to be as perfect as
- possible") and negative reactions to imperfection as an indicator of PC (5 items; "At
- school...I get completely furious if I make mistakes"). The item instructions were modified
- so as to ask participants about their experiences when studying ("At school..." was replaced
- with "When studying..."). Participants responded on a scale from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5
- 20 (*strongly agree*). The subscales have demonstrated reliability and validity in previous studies
- in an education setting (e.g., Stoeber & Rennert, 2008).
- 22 Mattering and Anti-Mattering. Feelings of mattering were measured using the
- 23 Rosenberg General Mattering Scale (GMS; Marcus & Rosenberg, 1987) and the Anti-
- 24 Mattering Scale (AMS; Flett, 2018). These provide separate scores for mattering (5 items;
- 25 "How important do you feel you are to other people") and anti-mattering (5-items; "How

- 1 much do you feel like you don't matter"). Participants were asked to indicate how they had
- 2 felt over the past last month by responding on a scale from 1 (not at all) to 4 (a lot). The
- 3 scales have demonstrated reliability and validity in previous studies (e.g., Besser et al., 2020).
- 4 **Academic Stress.** Academic stress was measured using the 3-item scale from
- 5 MacGeorge et al. (2005; "I am spending a lot of time thinking about how this term could
- 6 negatively affect my educational and career goals", "I am worrying a great deal about the
- 7 effect this term will have on my future", and "I find myself very concerned about the grades I
- 8 am likely to receive in the future"). Participants were asked to respond to each item in
- 9 relation to the current school term by responding on a scale from 1 (*strongly agree*) to 7
- 10 (strongly disagree; reversed for purpose of analyses). The scale has demonstrated reliability
- and validity in previous research (e.g., Hystad et al., 2009).
- Self-Regulation of Learning. Self-regulation of learning was measured using the
- effort regulation (4 items; "I often feel so lazy or bored when I study that I quit before I finish
- what I planned to do" [reversed item]) and time and study environment (8 items; "I have a
- regular place set aside for studying") subscales from the Motivated Strategies for Learning
- Questionnaire (Pintrich et al., 1993). Participants were asked to respond to each item in
- relation to their experiences during COVID-19 on a scale from 1 (not at all true of me) to 7
- 18 (very true of me). The MSLQ has demonstrated reliability and validity in previous studies
- 19 (see Duncan & McKeachie, 2005).

Preliminary Analyses

20

- We inspected the data for missing values. Because very few item responses were
- missing (i = 16), missing responses were replaced with the mean of the item responses of the
- corresponding scale (Graham, Cumsille, & Elek-Fisk, 2003). Next, we computed
- 24 MacDonald's omega to assess internal reliability of the measures, which were all satisfactory
- 25 (see Table 1). Then, following recommendations by Tabachnick and Fidell (2007), we

- 1 screened for univariate (z-score > 3.29) and multivariate outliers (Mahalanobis distance >
- 2 24.32, df = 7, p < .001). Three multivariate outliers were removed.
- We also assessed the factor structure of the instruments using both confirmatory
- 4 factor analysis (CFA) and exploratory structural equation modelling (ESEM). In both cases
- 5 we used robust maximum likelihood estimation with the addition of oblique target rotation in
- 6 the ESEM. Fit was evaluated using chi-square (χ^2/df), comparative fit index (CFI), Tucker-
- 7 Lewis index (TLI), standard root mean square residual (SRMR), root mean square error of
- 8 approximation (RMSEA). Standard recommendations for adequate fit were used; $\chi^2/df \le 3$,
- 9 CFI \geq .90, TLI \geq .90, SRMR \leq .10, RMSEA \leq .10 (Marsh et al., 2004). Factor loadings were
- 10 considered meaningful when ≥.32 (Worthington & Whittaker, 2006).

Instruments displayed adequate fit in CFAs with all items loading on intended factors

12 (>.30). This was similar for ESEMs with one exception. The ESEM for the self-regulation

scale produced less than adequate fit, meaningful cross-loadings (two items from the effort

regulation subscale) and items not loading meaningfully on target factor (three items from the

time and study environment subscale). However, the more stringent CFA supported the factor

structure of the self-regulation scales and produced meaningful loadings of items so this was

not considered problematic. The results of these analyses are reported in the supplementary

materials. Analyses were conducted using SPSS 28.0 (IBM) and Mplus 8.1 (Muthén &

19 Muthén, 2017).

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

23

24

20 Results

Descriptive Statistics and Bivariate Correlations

There was no evidence of ceiling or floor effects for the instruments. Striving for

perfection was positively correlated with academic stress, effort regulation, and time and

environment management, whereas negative reactions to imperfection was positively

25 correlated with anti-mattering and academic stress but negatively correlated with mattering,

- 1 effort regulation, and time and environment management. Mattering was negatively
- 2 correlated with academic stress and positively correlated with effort regulation, and time and
- 3 environment management whereas anti-mattering displayed the opposite set of correlations.
- 4 These analyses are presented in Table 1.

Regression Analyses

5

- In order to examine the degree to which perfectionism and mattering were related to
- 7 academic stress, effort regulation, and time and environment management, and what their
- 8 unique relationships were, a series of multiple regressions were conducted. The results are
- 9 presented in Table 2. Note that, in multiple regression, regression coefficients are the
- 10 relationship between one variable and the dependent variable (e.g., negative reactions to
- imperfection and academic stress) when statistically controlling for the relationship between
- the other variables (e.g., negative reactions to imperfection and strivings for perfection).
- 13 Academic stress: Negative reactions to imperfection ($\beta = -.37$, B = .64, p = .001) and
- anti-mattering were positively related to academic stress (β = .15, B = .35, p = .040). there
- were no other statistically significant relationships. In total, 16% of the variance was
- explained in the model ($R^2 = .16$, p < .001; adjusted $R^2 = .15$). In a separate model, we also
- examined if an interaction between striving for perfection and negative reactions was also
- related to stress, but this was not the case.
- 19 *Effort regulation*: Striving for perfection was positively related to effort regulation (β
- = .36, B = .47, p = .001) whereas negative reactions to imperfection (β = -.30, B = -.25, p =
- 21 .002). and anti-mattering were negatively related to effort regulation ($\beta = -.41$, B = -.26, p =
- 22 .001). The relationship between mattering and effort regulation was not statistically
- significant. In total, 19% of the variance was explained in the model ($R^2 = .19$, p < .001;
- adjusted $R^2 = .18$). Again, in a separate model, we examined if an interaction between striving

for perfection and negative reactions to imperfection was related to effort regulation, but this
 was not the case.

Time and environment management: Striving for perfection was positively related to time and environment management (β = .30, B = .35, p = .001) whereas negative reactions to imperfection (β = -.22, B = -.24, p = .002) and anti-mattering were negatively related to effort regulation (β = -.33, B = -.47, p = .001). The relationship between mattering and time and environment management was not statistically significant. In total, 19% of the variance was explained in the model (R^2 = .19, p < .001; adjusted R^2 = .18). Like with the other two dependent variables, in a separate model, an interaction between striving for perfection and negative reactions to imperfection was not related to time and environment management.

The aim of the present study was to examine the relationships between perfectionism, mattering, anti-mattering, academic stress, and self-regulation of home learning during the COVID-19 pandemic among GAT students in the UK. As we expected, PC and anti-mattering were related to higher stress and worse self-regulation of home learning. In addition, as expected, PS were related to better self-regulation of home learning but not academic stress, and mattering was not related to any of the dependent variables.

Discussion

The findings regarding PS are broadly in line with research in this area that indicates that this aspect of perfectionism partly underpins the motivation exhibited by GAT students. This aspect of perfectionism has been found to be related to more favourable attitudes towards academic achievement and higher academic aspirations, as well as a greater sense of academic competence in these students (Grugan et al., 2021). It is possible that PS underpin a greater ability to concentrate and persist with academic tasks, and that this persistence is evident in GAT students are in charge of their own learning. This may partly explain why PS has been found to be related to better academic performance, generally, and in GAT students.

In context of the present study, the finding suggests GAT students higher in PS may be better able to avoid distractions and use time and space effectively than those lower in PS.

A note of caution regarding the benefits of perfectionism comes in the form of the findings regarding PC, though, a dimension that is typically positively related to PS as was the case in the current study. This dimension of perfectionism was related to higher levels of stress and worse home study self-regulation. These findings are, again, aligned to research more broadly for GAT students (Grugan et al., 2021). However, given that many of the external factors that help regulate learning (e.g., support, feedback, assessment) are not present when students are studying at home, we speculate that PC could potentially be more problematic in a home learning context. Regardless, given the emerging picture of the correlates of PC in GAT students, reducing PC appears an important focus. Recent research that suggests that mindfulness may be one way to do so (Olton-Weber et al., 2020).

In line with idea that anti-mattering is not merely the absence of mattering, we also found this factor to be important to GAT students' at-home study experiences and did so when taking into account feelings of mattering. It is perhaps unsurprising that when GAT students report greater feelings of loneliness and insignificance, they also report more stress and poorer self-regulation of learning. While there is a great deal of research examining perfectionism in GAT students, to our knowledge, this is the first to examine mattering in this group. The findings are especially novel in this regard, and point to the possibility that feelings of anti-mattering have more to tell us about the experiences of GAT students. For now, the findings indicate that parents, teachers, and school leaders may need to consider ways in which to protect GAT students from feeling invisible, uncared for, and insignificant. The recent writing of Flett (2018) is an excellent starting point in this regard and places feelings of mattering at the centre of mentally healthy schools.

Limitations and Future Research

The present study has several limitations. First, the study adopted a cross-sectional design. Although this approach allowed us to capture students' experiences during lockdown, it did not permit us to examine changes that occurred before or after lockdown. As we seek to understand the long-term consequences of the pandemic for students' learning, it would be interesting for future research to track changes in these relationships over time. Secondly, we relied on students' self-reported stress and regulation. Objective measures (e.g., actual study time) or reports from others such as parents would help triangulate reports from students. Third, for the sake of brevity, we measured only a small number of indicators of student experience and self-regulations skills. Future work should consider including other indicators to gain a fuller picture of how different factors like mattering affect students when studying independently (e.g., other self-regulation strategies). Finally, it remains unclear whether lockdown has negatively affected actual student attainment. Measures of student attainment are required to do this and extend examination of the influence of perfectionism and mattering, especially if further lockdowns occur.

Conclusion

We examined the experiences of GAT students during lockdown and explored several factors that could be related to their stress and self-regulation of learning. We found that PS, PC, and anti-mattering were important. PC and feelings of anti-mattering, in particular, appear to be problematic and were related to greater academic stress and worse self-regulation of learning.

1	References
2	Aznar, A., Sowden, P., Bayless, S., Ross, K., Warhurst, A., & Pachi, D. (2021). Home-
3	schooling during COVID-19 lockdown: Effects of coping style, home space, and
4	everyday creativity on stress and home-schooling outcomes. Couple and Family
5	Psychology: Research and Practice. https://doi.org/10.1037/cfp0000182
6	Besser, A., Flett, G. L., Nepon, T., & Zeigler-Hill, V. (2020). Personality, cognition, and
7	adaptability to the COVID-19 pandemic: Associations with loneliness, distress, and
8	positive and negative mood states. International Journal of Mental Health and
9	Addiction. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-020-00421-x
10	Blume, F., Schmidt, A., Kramer, A. C., Schmiedek, F., & Neubauer, A. B. (2021).
11	Homeschooling during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic: The role of students' trait self-
12	regulation and task attributes of daily learning tasks for students' daily self-regulation.
13	Zeitschrift Für Erziehungswissenschaft, 24(2), 367–391.
14	https://doi.org/10.1007/s11618-021-01011-w
15	Casale, S., & Flett, G. L. (2020). Interpersonally-based fears during the COVID-19
16	pandemic: Reflections on the fear of missing out and the fear of not mattering
17	constructs. Clinical Neuropsychiatry, 17(2), 88-93.
18	https://doi.org/10.36131/CN20200211
19	Chan, D. W. (2007). Positive and negative perfectionism among Chinese gifted students in
20	Hong Kong: Their relationships to general self-efficacy and subjective well-being.
21	Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 31(1), 77–102. https://doi.org/10.4219/jeg-
22	2007-512
23	Dent, A. L., & Koenka, A. C. (2015). The relation between self-regulated learning and
24	academic achievement across childhood and adolescence: A meta-analysis.

- 1 *Educational Psychology Review*, 28(3), 425–474. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-015-
- 2 9320-8
- 3 Duncan, T. G., & McKeachie, W. J. (2005). The making of the motivated strategies for
- 4 learning questionnaire. *Educational Psychologist*, 40(2), 117–128.
- 5 https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep4002_6
- 6 Flett, G. L. (2018a). Resilience to interpersonal stress: Why mattering matters when building
- 7 the foundation of mentally healthy schools. In D. Saklofske & G. Flett (Eds.),
- 8 *Handbook of School-Based Mental Health Promotion* (pp. 383-410). Springer.
- 9 Flett, G. L. (2018b). The psychology of mattering: Understanding the human need to be
- significant. Academic Press/ Elsevier.
- 11 Flett, G. L., & Hewitt, P. L. (2020). The perfectionism pandemic meets COVID-19:
- understanding the stress, distress, and problems in living for perfectionists during the
- global health crisis. *Journal of Concurrent Disorders*, 2(1), 80–105.
- 14 Flett, G. L., & Zangeneh, M. (2020). Mattering as a vital support for people during the
- 15 COVID-19 pandemic: The benefits of feeling and knowing that someone cares during
- times of crisis. *Journal of Concurrent Disorders*, 2(1), 106–123.
- Graham, J. W., Cumsille, P. E., & Elek-Fisk, E. F. (2003). Methods for handling missing
- data. In J. A. Schinka & W. F. Velicer (Eds.), Research Methods in Psychology (pp.
- 19 87–112). Wiley.
- Grugan, M. C., Hill, A. P., Madigan, D. J., Donachie, T. C., Olsson, L. F., & Etherson, M. E.
- 21 (2021). Perfectionism in academically gifted students: A systematic review.
- 22 Educational Psychology Review. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-021-09597-7
- Hystad, S. W., Eid, J., Laberg, J. C., Johnsen, B. H., & Bartone, P. T. (2009). Academic
- stress and health: Exploring the moderating role of personality hardiness.

- 1 Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research, 53(5), 421–429.
- 2 https://doi.org/10.1080/00313830903180349
- 3 IBM Corp. Released 2019. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 26.0. Armonk, NY:
- 4 IBM Corp.
- 5 Ioanna, G., Vasiliki, E., Georgia, T., Panajota, K., & Athanasios, D. (2020). Adding stress to
- 6 the stressed: Senior high school students' mental health amidst the COVID-19
- 7 nationwide lockdown in Greece. *Psychiatry Research*, 295, 113560.
- 8 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113560
- 9 Jackson, G. M. (2016). Australian home educated students on self-regulation opportunities at
- home and in school. *Cogent Education*, *3*(1).
- 11 https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186x.2016.1203514
- Macgeorge, E. L., Samter, W., & Gillihan, S. J. (2005). Academic stress, supportive
- communication, and health. *Communication Education*, 54(4), 365–372.
- https://doi.org/10.1080/03634520500442236
- Marcus, F. M., & Rosenberg, M. (1987). Mattering: It's measurement and significance in
- everyday life. The 57th Annual Eastern Sociological Society Meeting, Boston,
- Massachusetts.
- Marsh, H. W., Hau, K.-T., & Wen, Z. (2004). In search of golden rules: Comment on
- 19 hypothesis-testing approaches to setting cutoff values for fit indexes and dangers in
- 20 overgeneralizing hu and bentler's (1999) findings. Structural Equation Modeling: A
- 21 *Multidisciplinary Journal*, *11*(3), 320–341.
- 22 https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328007sem1103_2
- 23 McComb, S. E., Goldberg, J. O., Flett, G. L., & Rose, A. L. (2020). The double jeopardy of
- feeling lonely and unimportant: State and trait loneliness and feelings and fears of not
- 25 mattering. Frontiers in Psychology, 11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.563420

- 1 Muthén, L. K., & Muthén, B. O. (2017). *Mplus user's guide* (8th ed.). Muthén & Muthén.
- 2 Nocentini, A., Palladino, B. E., & Menesini, E. (2021). Adolescents' stress reactions in
- response to COVID-19 pandemic at the peak of the outbreak in Italy. *Clinical*
- 4 *Psychological Science*, 216770262199576.
- 5 https://doi.org/10.1177/2167702621995761
- 6 Olton-Weber, S., Hess, R., & Ritchotte, J. A. (2020). Reducing levels of perfectionism in
- 7 gifted and talented youth through a mindfulness intervention. *Gifted Child Quarterly*,
- 8 64(4), 319–330. https://doi.org/10.1177/0016986220953392
- 9 Pieh, C., Dale, R., Plener, P. L., Humer, E., & Probst, T. (2021). Stress levels in high-school
- students after a semester of home-schooling. European Child & Adolescent
- 11 *Psychiatry*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-021-01826-2
- 12 Pintrich, P. R., Smith, D. A. F., Garcia, T., & Mckeachie, W. J. (1993). Reliability and
- Predictive Validity of the Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire (Mslq).
- *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 53(3), 801–813.
- 15 https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164493053003024
- Rice, K. G., & Ray, M. E. (2018). Perfectionism and the gifted. In S. I. Pfeiffer (Ed.),
- 17 Handbook of Giftedness in Children: Psychoeducational theory, research, and Best
- 18 *Practices* (Vol. 2, pp. 227–254). Springer.
- 19 Rosenberg, M., & McCullough, B. C. (1981). Mattering: Inferred significance and mental
- 20 health among adolescents. *Research in Community & Mental Health*, 2, 163–182.
- 21 Stoeber, J., & Otto, K. (2006). Positive conceptions of perfectionism: Approaches, evidence,
- challenges. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 10(4), 295–319.
- 23 https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327957pspr1004_2

- 1 Stoeber, J., & Rennert, D. (2007). Perfectionism in school teachers: Relations with stress
- appraisals, coping styles, and burnout. Anxiety, Stress, & Coping, 21(1), 37–53.
- 3 https://doi.org/10.1080/10615800701742461
- 4 Stricker, J., Buecker, S., Schneider, M., & Preckel, F. (2019). Intellectual giftedness and
- 5 multidimensional perfectionism: A meta-analytic review. *Educational Psychology*
- 6 *Review*, 32(2). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-019-09504-1
- 7 Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2007). *Using multivariate statistics*. Pearson Education,
- 8 Cop.
- 9 Wang, K. T., Fu, C.-C., & Rice, K. G. (2012). Perfectionism in gifted students: Moderating
- effects of goal orientation and contingent self-worth. School Psychology Quarterly,
- 27(2), 96–108. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029215
- Worthington, R. L., & Whittaker, T. A. (2006). Scale development research: A content
- analysis and recommendations for best practices. The Counseling Psychologist, 34(6),
- 14 806–838. https://doi.org/10.1177/0011000006288127

9

1	Funding details
2	The authors would like to acknowledge and thank the National Association for Able Children
3	in Education for commissioning this research.
4	Disclosure statement
5	Andrew Hill is a Research Advisor for the National Association for Able Children in
6	Education. This is an unpaid position.
7	Data availability statement

Data and code are available here: https://doi.org/10.25421/yorksj.19122479.v1.

Table 1

Descriptive Statistics, Omega, and Pearson's Correlations

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1. Striving for perfection							
2. Negative reactions to imperfection	.54**						
3. Mattering	.01	30***					
4. Anti-mattering	.06	.42***	52***				
5. Academic stress	.15*	.38***	12*	.27***			
6. Effort regulation	.21***	16**	.18**	32***	23***		
7. Time and environment management	.16**	18**	.16**	36***	29***	.66***	
Response format	1-5	1-5	1-4	1-4	1-7	1-7	1-7
M	3.74	3.05	2.69	2.24	5.18	4.79	4.73
SD	0.93	1.01	0.62	0.76	1.75	1.20	1.09
Omega	.89	.85	.81	.84	.91	.78	.80

Note. N = 308. *p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001, two-tailed.

Table 2
Summary of Multiple Regression Analyses

		Adjusted			
Model	R^2	R^2	β	В	BCa 95% CI
DV: Academic Stress					
F(4, 303) = 14.82, p < .001.	.16	.15			
Striving for perfection			06	.11	[36, .13]
Negative reactions to imperfection			.37	.64***	[.39, .88]
Mattering			.07	.19	[15, .51]
Anti-mattering			.15	.35*	[00, .68]
DV = Effort regulation					
F(4, 303) = 17.99, p < .001.	.19	.18			
Striving for perfection			.36	.47***	[.30, .63]
Negative reactions to imperfection			25	30***	[46,13]
Mattering			04	07	[32, .18]
Anti-mattering			26	41***	[59,23]
DV = Time and environment management					
F(4,303) = 18.15, p < .001.	.19	.18			
Striving for perfection			.30	.35***	[.20, .50]
Negative reactions to imperfection			22	24**	[37,10]
Mattering			08	13	[34, .07]
Anti-mattering			33	47***	[64,31]

Note. N = 308. *p < .05, **p < .01, p < .001 ***, two-tailed. BCa = Bias Corrected accelerated [1,000 resamples], DV = dependent variable.