

Hill, Andrew P. and Curran, Thomas (2016) Multidimensional Perfectionism and Burnout: A Meta-Analysis. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 20 (3). pp. 269-288.

Downloaded from: <https://ray.yorks.ac.uk/id/eprint/701/>

The version presented here may differ from the published version or version of record. If you intend to cite from the work you are advised to consult the publisher's version:

<http://psr.sagepub.com/content/20/3/269>

Research at York St John (RaY) is an institutional repository. It supports the principles of open access by making the research outputs of the University available in digital form. Copyright of the items stored in RaY reside with the authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full text items free of charge, and may download a copy for private study or non-commercial research. For further reuse terms, see licence terms governing individual outputs. [Institutional Repositories Policy Statement](#)

# RaY

Research at the University of York St John

For more information please contact RaY at  
[ray@yorks.ac.uk](mailto:ray@yorks.ac.uk)

1 Hill, A. P. & Curran, T. (in press). Multidimensional Perfectionism and Burnout: A Meta-  
2 Analysis. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*. Accepted for publication:  
3 23/06/2015.  
4

5  
6  
7 Multidimensional Perfectionism and Burnout: A Meta-Analysis

8 Andrew P. Hill

9 York St John University, UK

10 Thomas Curran

11 University of Bath, UK  
12  
13

14 Author Note

15 Andrew P. Hill, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, York St John University, UK; Thomas  
16 Curran, Department for Health, University of Bath, UK.  
17  
18  
19

20 Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Andrew Hill, Faculty of Health  
21 and Life Sciences, York St John University, York, YO31 7EX, United Kingdom. E-mail:  
22 a.p.hill@leeds.ac.uk  
23

## 1 Abstract

2 A meta-analysis is provided of research examining the relationship between multidimensional  
3 perfectionism and burnout. In doing so, relationships before and after controlling for the  
4 relationship between dimensions of perfectionism were examined along with whether  
5 relationships were moderated by domain (work, sport, or education). A literature search yielded  
6 43 studies ( $N = 9838$ ) and 663 effect sizes. Meta-analysis using random-effects models revealed  
7 that perfectionistic strivings had small negative or non-significant relationships with overall  
8 burnout and symptoms of burnout. By contrast, perfectionistic concerns displayed medium-to-  
9 large and medium positive relationships with overall burnout and symptoms of burnout. After  
10 controlling for the relationship between dimensions of perfectionism, “pure” perfectionistic  
11 strivings displayed notably larger negative relationships. In terms of moderation, in some cases  
12 perfectionistic strivings were less adaptive and perfectionistic concerns more maladaptive in the  
13 work domain. Future research should examine explanatory mechanisms, adopt longitudinal  
14 designs, and develop interventions to reduce perfectionistic concerns fuelled burnout.

15 *Keywords:* motivation, performance, sport, work, education

16

## Multidimensional Perfectionism and Burnout: A Meta-Analysis

Motivation can go awry so that high levels of dedication to a previously enjoyed activity can result in burnout (Gould, 1996). Burnout is a psychosocial syndrome that is associated with motivational, performance, and psychological difficulties. Due to its adverse consequences, a large amount of research has been dedicated to identifying antecedents of burnout (e.g., Alarcon, 2011; Purvanova & Muros, 2010; Swider & Zimmerman, 2010). Recently, perfectionism has received considerable attention in this regard. The current study provides a systematic review of research examining the perfectionism-burnout relationship in the form of a meta-analysis. In doing so, we summarize the relationship between two main dimensions of perfectionism (viz. strivings and concerns) and burnout. In addition, we also examine these relationships before and after controlling for the relationship between dimensions of perfectionism (i.e., “pure” perfectionistic strivings and “pure” perfectionistic concerns) and whether these relationships differ depending on the domain in which they are assessed (work, sport, or education).

### **Burnout and its development**

Contemporary understanding of burnout owes much to the work of Maslach and Jackson (e.g., Maslach, 1976; Maslach & Jackson, 1981a; Maslach & Jackson, 1981b). Although other models of burnout exist, Maslach and Jackson’s model is the most influential and the most widely adopted when examining burnout. Maslach and Jackson describe burnout as having three core symptoms. The first core symptom is the depletion of emotional resources (emotional exhaustion). This symptom has been described as general feelings of being overextended by demands being placed on the individual. The second core symptom is the development of an impersonal or cynical attitude (depersonalization or cynicism). This symptom is an interpersonal dimension of burnout that captures indifference or detachment from others. The final symptom is

1 an evaluation of personal competence, accomplishment, or efficacy (personal accomplishment or  
2 professional efficacy). Lower levels of this symptom are indicative of higher burnout. Scores on  
3 this element are therefore often reversed to obtain a measure of *reduced* competence or  
4 accomplishment.

5 Burnout is thought to manifest in a range of domains. In the work domain and service  
6 jobs, these symptoms refer specifically to interactions with recipients of service, care, treatment,  
7 or instruction. In non-service jobs, the symptoms refer to work activities more generally. The  
8 same symptoms in work are also noted in education but are anchored in experiences of school  
9 and university (Schaufeli, Martinez, Marques-Pinto, Salanova, & Bakker, 2002). In sport, the  
10 symptoms are similar but have been adapted to capture the unique characteristics of the sport  
11 domain (Raedeke, 1997; Raedeke & Smith, 2001). Specifically, the exhaustion symptom  
12 includes an additional physical element and the depersonalisation symptom is replaced with  
13 devaluation - a loss of interest or value in participation. This latter adaptation was based on an  
14 attempt to identify an equivalent symptom of devaluation in a group that are recipients of care  
15 (i.e., athletes), rather than providers of care (Raedeke & Smith, 2001). In this sense, although not  
16 equivalent, the two symptoms are analogues of each other and have been highly correlated when  
17 measured concurrently (Cresswell & Eklund, 2007a)<sup>1</sup>.

18 Examination of burnout is considered an important area of enquiry as it is a syndrome  
19 that is associated with substantial human suffering and carries considerable financial costs for  
20 organisations. In terms of the personal consequences of burnout, research suggests it contributes  
21 to diminished physical and mental health (Maslach, 2001). Indeed, the consequences of burnout  
22 can be so severe that initial work in this area centered on discriminating between burnout and  
23 depression (Freudenberger, 1974). From an organisational perspective, burnout is associated

1 with decreased motivation and poorer performance, a finding evident in all domains (Bakker,  
2 Van Emmerik & Van Riet, 2008; Cresswell & Eklund, 2007b; Yang, 2004). Consequently,  
3 associated monetary costs to employers in the work domain are noteworthy, as are the equivalent  
4 costs in education and sport domains in the form of lost investment and revenue associated with  
5 talent development and unfulfilled potential (Feigley, 1984).

6 Burnout is understood to arise primarily as the result of stress-related processes (Maslach,  
7 Schaufeli, & Leiter, 2001). In accord, when seeking to explain burnout, particular emphasis is  
8 placed on emotional and situational demands along with the resources available to cope with  
9 those demands. As described by Maslach (1982) and similarly by others (e.g., Leiter, 1993), the  
10 three symptoms of burnout are considered to be the result of the imbalance between demands  
11 and resources. Demands (e.g., high workload) influence the potential for exhaustion whereas  
12 resources (e.g., high social support) play a protective role in terms of accomplishment and  
13 depersonalization. In terms of the progression of burnout, over time, increasing demands and  
14 investment are thought to culminate in an overload of resources. Exhaustion develops first and,  
15 in an attempt to cope with exhaustion, a sense of depersonalization then develops. A reduced  
16 sense of accomplishment follows, or can arise in tandem, when the first two symptoms interfere  
17 with effectiveness and perceptions of personal resources (Leiter & Ashforth, 1996).

18 A large amount of research has been dedicated to examining the antecedents of burnout  
19 in work, sport, and education domains. In the work domain, a number of meta-analytical studies  
20 have identified influential demographic (e.g., Purvanova & Muros, 2010), situational (e.g.,  
21 Alarcon, 2011), and personality factors (e.g., Swider & Zimmerman, 2010). This research  
22 suggests, for example, that conscientiousness is associated with lower levels of burnout whereas  
23 neuroticism is associated with higher levels of burnout. Although research is less extensive in

1 sport and education, systematic and narrative reviews have identified similar antecedents (e.g.,  
2 anxiety, stress, coping; Goodger, Gorely, Lavallee, & Harwood, 2007; Walburg, 2014).  
3 Moreover, mirroring research in the work domain, research has also found that burnout is  
4 associated with similar personality characteristics among athletes and students such as  
5 neuroticism (e.g., Jiang, Huang & Chen, 2012). One notable omission from these reviews and  
6 recent meta-analytical studies (e.g., Alarcon, Eschleman, & Bowling, 2009; Swider &  
7 Zimmerman, 2010; Ng, Sorensen, & Eby, 2006) is consideration of perfectionism.

### 8 **Multidimensional perfectionism**

9         Broadly defined, perfectionism is a combination of exceedingly high standards and a  
10 preoccupation with extreme self-critical evaluation (Frost, Marten, Lahart, & Rosenblate, 1990).  
11 Current understanding of perfectionism is that it is a multidimensional trait or disposition that  
12 includes a range of dimensions that collectively capture two higher-order dimensions,  
13 perfectionistic strivings and perfectionistic concerns. Perfectionistic strivings are “aspects of  
14 perfectionism associated with self-oriented striving for perfection and the setting of very high  
15 personal performance standards” whereas perfectionistic concerns are “aspects associated with  
16 concerns over making mistakes, fear of negative social evaluation, feelings of discrepancy  
17 between one’s expectations and performance, and negative reactions to imperfection” (Gotwals,  
18 Stoeber, Dunn, & Stoll, 2012, p.264). These two broad dimensions of perfectionism provide a  
19 useful heuristic in an area of enquiry that can be quite disparate in terms of conceptual  
20 approaches and measurement. It is also noteworthy that this approach is supported by factor  
21 analytical studies (e.g., Bieling, Israeli, & Antony, 2004) and is being increasingly adopted when  
22 examining perfectionism and reviewing perfectionism research (e.g., Gotwals, et al., 2012).

23         In terms of research examining the effects of perfectionism, perfectionistic strivings and

1 concerns have proven useful predictors of cognitive (e.g., attributions), affective (e.g., anxiety),  
2 and behavioural (e.g., performance) outcomes in work, sport, and education domains (see  
3 Gotwals et al., 2012; Stoeber, 2011; Stoeber & Otto, 2006, for reviews). In addition, research has  
4 also begun to accumulate that suggests perfectionism can have a heavy toll in terms of health-  
5 related outcomes, including general physical ill-health, fatigue, and even early mortality (Dittner,  
6 Rimes, & Thorpe, 2011; Fry & Debats, 2009; Molnar, Sadava, Flett & Colautti, 2012). Against  
7 this backdrop, research has found that perfectionistic strivings are typically associated with few  
8 maladaptive outcomes and in some instances are associated with adaptive outcomes. This is  
9 particularly the case when the relationship between perfectionistic strivings and perfectionistic  
10 concerns are controlled for (see Gotwals et al., 2012). Some of the desirable correlates of  
11 perfectionistic strivings include positive emotional experiences, active coping strategies, and  
12 greater performance (e.g., Dunkley, Sanislow, Grilo, & McGlashan, 2006; A. P. Hill, Stoeber,  
13 Brown & Appleton, 2014; Stoeber & Childs, 2010). By contrast, perfectionistic concerns are  
14 associated with an array of maladaptive outcomes. These include almost the converse of  
15 perfectionistic strivings and, notably, antecedents of burnout such as greater threat appraisals,  
16 anxiety, and avoidant coping (e.g., Dunkley, Zuroff & Blankstein, 2003; A. P. Hill, Hall &  
17 Appleton, 2010; Stoeber, & Childs, 2010).

18         A number of researchers have argued that the two dimensions of perfectionism  
19 (perfectionistic concerns, in particular) are likely to be important antecedents of burnout (e.g.,  
20 Gould, 1996; Stoeber & Rennert, 2008; Zhang, Gan, & Cham, 2007). This is partly because of  
21 the influential role perfectionism is thought to play in stress-related processes. Specifically,  
22 perfectionistic concerns encapsulate a rigid self-evaluative style whereby individuals perceive  
23 their environment in dichotomous, all-or-nothing terms, overgeneralise negative events, ruminate

1 about past failures, and have a strong need for self-validation (Hewitt & Flett, 1996, 2002). In  
2 terms of appraisal processes that govern stress, external expectations and criticism are perceived  
3 to be high and a sense of self-worth under constant threat. Subsequently, ineffective avoidant  
4 coping strategies are employed that ensure negative emotional experiences persist. As such,  
5 perfectionistic concerns are associated with considerable strain that render individuals vulnerable  
6 to the accrual of stress and subsequent burnout. In summarizing current understanding of the  
7 perfectionism-burnout relationship, then, it is the harsh self-evaluative processes central to  
8 perfectionistic concerns that are understood to fuel the perfectionism-burnout relationship, rather  
9 than perfectionistic strivings.

10 In an attempt to identify factors that contribute to burnout and ill-health in work and  
11 sport, the first empirical studies to examine relationships between perfectionism and burnout in  
12 these domains appeared at a similar time nearly 20 years ago (Fry, 1995; Gould, Tuffey, Udry, &  
13 Loehr, 1996). However, the majority of research examining the perfectionism-burnout  
14 relationship has been conducted in the last 5 years. Most of the studies have taken place in work  
15 and sport domains but studies have also recently begun to emerge in an education domain.  
16 Generally, this research has found perfectionistic strivings to be typically unrelated or negatively  
17 related to burnout symptoms (e.g., Caliskan, Arikan & Saatci, 2014; A. P. Hill, Hall, Appleton &  
18 Kozub, 2008; Shih, 2012). By contrast, perfectionistic concerns have been found to be typically  
19 positively related to burnout symptoms (e.g., A. P. Hill, 2013; Li, Hou, Chi, Liu & Hager, 2014;  
20 Stoeber & Childs, 2010). While evidence of the relationship between dimensions of  
21 perfectionism and burnout has gathered across multiple domains, to date there has been no  
22 attempt to summarize this research in a systematic manner or examine sources of variability in  
23 the findings of studies. Consequently, the first purpose of the current study was to summarize

1 research that has examined the relationship between dimensions of perfectionism and burnout  
2 across these domains in the form of a meta-analytical review. Unlike in narrative and other  
3 general systematic reviews, in this case a quantitative summary of these relationships and test of  
4 their statistical significance can be provided.

### 5 **Accounting for the correlation between dimensions of perfectionism**

6         The second purpose of the study was to examine the perfectionism-burnout relationships  
7 using semi-partial correlations. In two major review papers in the area of perfectionism (Gotwals  
8 et al., 2012; Stoeber & Otto, 2006), both bivariate and partial correlations were examined. This is  
9 because the two dimensions of perfectionism are typically correlated and this can obscure the  
10 relationship between each dimension of perfectionism and their various outcomes (Stoeber &  
11 Otto, 2006). This issue is especially relevant in terms of perfectionistic strivings. This is because  
12 perfectionistic strivings are more equivocal in terms of their correlates and has displayed positive  
13 relationships with both adaptive and maladaptive outcomes, including burnout. A clearer picture  
14 has been found to emerge once the relationships between perfectionistic strivings and  
15 perfectionistic concerns are controlled and pure perfectionistic strivings and pure perfectionistic  
16 concerns are examined (see Gotwals et al., 2012; Stoeber & Otto, 2006). Although some caution  
17 has recently been called for in terms of using partialling to identify the effects of the two  
18 dimensions of perfectionism (see A. P. Hill, 2014), examination of their partialled effects  
19 remains useful when assessing the degree to which the outcomes associated with each dimension  
20 are due to unique or shared variance. In this way, examination of semi-partial correlations  
21 between dimensions of perfectionism and burnout is warranted.

### 22 **Moderation of the perfectionism-burnout relationship**

23         One of the advantages of meta-analysis is that it allows for exploration of variability

1 between studies in terms of the relationships observed and the identification of possible  
2 moderating factors (Hunter & Schmidt, 2004). This is important in context of perfectionism-  
3 burnout research as there is some evidence of variability in the relationships between dimensions  
4 of perfectionism and symptoms of burnout between domains. For example, there have been  
5 occasions when perfectionistic strivings has been unrelated, positively related, and negatively  
6 related to exhaustion in work, education, and sport (Appleton & A. P. Hill, 2012; Shih, 2012;  
7 Taris et al., 2010). Therefore, in order to begin to explore possible sources of this variability, the  
8 final purpose of the current study was to examine whether the domain in which the  
9 perfectionism-burnout relationships were assessed is a potential moderator of the observed  
10 relationships (work, sport, or education).

11         There are a number of noteworthy similarities and differences between work, sport, and  
12 education. All three domains are achievement contexts characterized by potentially high  
13 performance demands and interpersonal competition. However, they also differ in important  
14 ways. Sport, for example, is unique inasmuch as flawless performance can be necessary for  
15 success so perfectionism is considered by some to be desirable and is often overtly encouraged  
16 (e.g., Gould, Dieffenbach, & Moffett, 2002). In addition, as a more freely-chosen activity, there  
17 is some evidence that sport is characterized by higher levels of intrinsic motivation (the antithesis  
18 of burnout) than work or education (Vallerand, 2004). In this regard, work is the most distinct  
19 domain as, comparatively, external motives are high (i.e., financial remuneration), the potential  
20 for ‘entrapment’ is high (work is necessary for one’s livelihood) and, unlike sport or education,  
21 work is the domain in which individuals are most likely to be responsible for providing care,  
22 instruction, and service for others – a feature considered to be one of the main driving forces  
23 behind burnout (Maslach et al., 2001). Along these lines, education is similar to sport in that

1 students are recipients of care and not providers or care (contributing to less burnout) but is also  
2 similar to work in that education can be compulsory (contributing to more burnout).

3 In support of the possibility that domain moderates relationships between perfectionism  
4 and burnout, it is noted that research has found that burnout is related to job and workplace  
5 characteristics with some vocations more vulnerable to burnout than others (e.g., nurses and  
6 teachers; Maslach, et al., 2001). Moreover, there is some evidence that the context moderates  
7 other stress-related processes and antecedent-burnout relationships. Shin et al. (2014), for  
8 example, found that the relationship between coping strategies and symptoms of burnout  
9 depended on occupation, with some coping strategies (emotion-focused and problem focused)  
10 being especially strong predictors of some burnout symptoms among nurses in comparison to  
11 teachers and service employees. In this regard, while the general influence of perfectionistic  
12 strivings and concerns on stress-related processes are likely to be evident across domains, in  
13 relation to burnout, their influence may be in part dependant on the features of that domain.  
14 Again, on this issue, there is evidence that in regards to work, perfectionistic strivings may be  
15 less adaptive and perfectionistic concerns more maladaptive as they both appear to energise  
16 compulsive work behaviour (see Stoeber & Damian, in press, for a review).

### 17 **The present study**

18 In summary, the first purpose of the current study was to provide a meta-analytical  
19 review of research examining the relationship between two dimensions of perfectionism (viz.  
20 strivings and concerns) and burnout (viz. overall burnout, exhaustion, reduced personal  
21 accomplishment, and depersonalization). The second purpose was to re-examine these  
22 relationships after controlling for the relationship between the two dimensions of perfectionism  
23 (semi-partial correlations). The final purpose was to examine whether the relationships differed

1 depending on the domain in which it was assessed (work, sport, or education). It was  
2 hypothesized that (i) perfectionistic strivings would be negatively related to burnout, (ii)  
3 perfectionistic concerns would be positively related to burnout, (iii) when the relationship  
4 between the two dimensions of perfectionism are controlled for, pure perfectionistic strivings  
5 would display stronger, more negative, relationships with burnout and pure perfectionistic  
6 concerns would display stronger, more positive, relationships with burnout, and, finally, (iv)  
7 perfectionistic strivings would be less adaptive and perfectionistic concerns more maladaptive in  
8 work than in other domains. For perfectionistic strivings this equates to a weaker, less negative,  
9 or possibly positive, relationship with burnout and for perfectionistic concerns this equates to a  
10 stronger, more positive, relationship with burnout.

## 11 **Method**

### 12 **Literature search**

13 A computerized literature search was conducted using the databases  
14 PsycINFO/PsycARTICLES, MEDLINE/SPORTDiscuss, and ProQuest Dissertations & Theses  
15 (American & International and United Kingdom & Ireland). The search terms were  
16 “perfection”\* (for perfectionism, perfectionist, and perfectionistic) and “burnout”. The search  
17 date was between January, 1990, (the year the first article on multidimensional perfectionism  
18 was published) and April, 2014. No other restrictions were placed on the searches. This search  
19 yielded 263 studies. Once duplicates were removed and abstracts screened for relevance (i.e.,  
20 studies that examined the relationship between perfectionism and burnout), 57 studies remained.

21 Following the computerized literature search, the reference lists of the articles identified  
22 were inspected with the aim of identifying other articles. In addition, the corresponding authors  
23 of the articles identified were contacted to enquire about the possession of any unpublished data

1 (e.g., conference papers or data from unpublished studies). Thirty-three corresponding authors  
2 were contacted and 14 authors responded to our request 4 weeks after the initial email (our stated  
3 deadline). This resulted in the inclusion of 5 additional data sets (Ho, Appleton, Cumming, &  
4 Duda, n.d, n.d.); Jowett, Hill, & Hall, n.d, n.d; Stensrud, Kristiansen & Abrahamsen, n.d). On the  
5 4<sup>th</sup> July, 2014, we ended all search strategies and instigated data reduction and analysis. In total,  
6 the search strategies resulted in the identification of 62 studies/data sets that were further  
7 assessed using the inclusion criteria below.

### 8 **Inclusion criteria**

9         Studies were included in the meta-analysis if they: (a) measured perfectionism and  
10 burnout using self-report scales that yielded quantitative values; (b) measured perfectionism in a  
11 multidimensional manner (as opposed to a unidimensional manner); (c) adopted Maslach and  
12 Jackson's approach to measuring symptoms of burnout (viz. MBI-Human Services Survey,  
13 MBI-Educators Survey, Maslach, & Jackson, 1981b, 1996; MBI-General Survey, Schaufeli,  
14 Leiter, Maslach, & Jackson, 1996; MBI-Student Survey, Schaufeli, Martinez, Marques-Pinto,  
15 Salanova, & Bakker, 2002; Athlete Burnout Questionnaire; Raedeke & Smith, 2001); (c)  
16 included an effect size (e.g., correlation coefficient), sufficient information for computation or  
17 estimation of an effect size, or this information was obtained from the corresponding author  
18 when not included in the original publication; (d) were published in English; (e) were a  
19 published journal article, thesis/dissertation, conference presentation or data provided directly  
20 from authors; and (f) included a sample that was not replicated elsewhere (e.g., included in both  
21 a journal article and a thesis/dissertation). When this was the case, only the most complete and  
22 recent account of the sample/data was used. The implementation of the criteria resulted in the  
23 final inclusion of 43 studies/data sets reporting 310 effect sizes capturing the relationship

1 between perfectionism and burnout.

## 2 **Recorded variables**

3 A coding sheet was completed for each study included in the meta-analysis. It included:

4 (a) publication information (authors/year), (b) domain (work, sport, or education), (c) number of  
5 participants, (d) instrument used to measure perfectionism and indicators of perfectionistic  
6 strivings and concerns, (e) bivariate correlations between dimensions of perfectionism, and (f)  
7 bivariate correlations between dimensions of perfectionism and symptoms of burnout<sup>2</sup>.

8 Indicators of perfectionistic strivings were the personal standards subscale from either Frost et  
9 al.'s (1990) Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale or its sport adaptations (Sport-MPS and  
10 Sport-MPS 2; Dunn et al., 2006; Gotwals & Dunn, 2009), the self-oriented perfectionism  
11 subscale from Hewitt and Flett's (1991) Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale or Child and  
12 Adolescent Perfectionism Scale (Flett, Hewitt, Boucher, Davidson, & Munro, 2001), the striving  
13 for perfection subscale from the Multidimensional Inventory of Perfectionism in Sports (Stoeber,  
14 Otto, & Stoll, 2006), the high standards subscale from the revised Almost Perfect Scale (Slaney,  
15 Rice, Mobley, Trippi, & Ashby, 2001), and the striving for excellence subscale from the  
16 Perfectionism Inventory (R. W. Hill et al., 2004). Indicators of perfectionistic concerns were the  
17 concerns over mistakes, doubts about action, socially prescribed perfectionism, negative  
18 reactions to imperfection, and discrepancy subscales from the same instruments identified above.  
19 These indicators were selected based on the typical practice of researchers examining  
20 perfectionism, recommendations of those in this area (e.g., Stoeber, 2011), and factor analytical  
21 evidence (e.g., Bieling et al., 2004; Cox, Enns, & Clara, 2002; Frost, Heimberg, Holt, Mattia, &  
22 Neubauer, 1993). Information was coded independently by the two authors. Both are regular  
23 contributors to research in the areas of perfectionism and/or burnout. In comparing the

1 information recorded, the agreement rate was 90% (information provided directly from authors  
2 after the initial literature search was not independently coded). Disagreement was resolved by  
3 revisiting the articles and coming to a consensus. Coded information for each study is presented  
4 in Table 1.

### 5 **Meta-analytical procedures**

6         The meta-analyzes were guided by Lipsey and Wilson (2001) and conducted using  
7 Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software (Version 3.3; Borenstein, Hedges, Higgins, & Rothstein,  
8 2005). In deriving effect sizes and confidence intervals, random-effects models were used.  
9 Random-effects models assume variation in effect sizes between studies is due to both sampling  
10 error and true random variance arising from differences between studies in terms of their  
11 procedures and settings (as opposed to only sampling error stipulated in a fixed effect model). In  
12 comparison to fixed-effects models, then, random-effects models are generally considered to be  
13 preferable and allow generalization beyond the set of studies examined to future studies  
14 (Schmidt, Oh, & Hayes, 2009).

15         Analyzes were based on Fisher's  $Z$  transform. Fisher's  $Z$  transform is interpreted in a  
16 similar manner to a correlation coefficient and ranges from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$  with higher values  
17 indicative of a stronger relationship. In the context of meta-analysis, Fisher's  $Z$  transform is  
18 preferable to correlation coefficients as the latter has a problematic standard error when deriving  
19 weighted cumulative effects (Lipsey & Wilson, 2001). For ease of interpretation, correlation  
20 coefficients are reported alongside 95% confidence intervals. Cohen's (1992) recommendations  
21 for small, medium, and large effect sizes were then used to guide interpretation of effects ( $r =$   
22  $.10$ ,  $.30$ , and  $.50$ ). Statistical significance is indicated by the 95% confidence intervals excluding  
23 zero ( $p < .05$ ). In all cases, the contributions of individual effect sizes to mean effect sizes were

1 weighted using the reciprocal of their sampling variance. This ensured that studies with larger  
2 sample sizes, and subsequent greater precision in estimating effect sizes, were more influential in  
3 determining the mean effect size (Rosenberg, Adams, & Gurevitch, 2007).

4         Of the 43 studies, 17 included multiple effect sizes<sup>3</sup>. This was for a number of reasons. In  
5 13 studies, correlations between multiple indicators of perfectionistic strivings or concerns and  
6 symptoms of burnout were reported (e.g., correlations of both self-oriented perfectionism and  
7 personal standards with burnout symptoms). In three studies, correlations were reported  
8 examining relationships between dimensions of perfectionism and symptoms of burnout at two  
9 or more time points. Finally, in one study, multiple correlations were reported based on a second  
10 analysis of a subset of the initial sample. In each of these instances, only one effect size was  
11 included in the meta-analyzes. This effect size was the average of the reported effect sizes  
12 (providing 226 independent effect sizes). This is a commonly used strategy to ensure that effect  
13 sizes in the analyzes are independent and avoids artificial inflation of sample size, distortion of  
14 standard error estimates, and overrepresentation of studies that include multiple effect sizes  
15 (Lipsey & Wilson, 2001).

16         In order to meta-analyze the relationship between perfectionism and overall burnout we  
17 used a formula provided by Ghiselli, Campbell, and Zedeck (1981, pp.163-164). This entailed  
18 using the correlations among the measured variables to estimate the correlation between the two  
19 dimensions of perfectionism and a burnout composite ('overall burnout'). This strategy is often  
20 used in meta-analyzes to examine composites (e.g., Berry, Ones, & Sackett, 2007) and has been  
21 used in other meta-analyzes to examine burnout specifically (e.g., Clark, Michel, Zhdanova, Pui,  
22 & Baltes, in press). This procedure produced 132 additional effect sizes that were meta-analyzed  
23 in the same manner as the other effect sizes.

1           To examine the perfectionism-burnout relationships after controlling for the relationship  
2 between the two dimensions of perfectionism, semi-partial correlations were calculated. Semi-  
3 partial correlations capture the unique relationships between dimensions of perfectionism and  
4 burnout symptoms. To do so, dimensions of perfectionism are residualized based on their  
5 relationship with each other and then correlated with burnout scores (new pure perfectionistic  
6 strivings and pure perfectionistic concerns are created but burnout symptoms remain unchanged).  
7 Each semi-partial correlation was calculated using the formula provided by Cohen, Cohen, West  
8 and Aitkin (2003, pp.73-74). This procedure produced 268 semi-partial correlations. When  
9 added to the 37 bivariate correlations between perfectionistic strivings and perfectionistic  
10 concerns, this resulted in a further 305 that were meta-analyzed.

11           In order to assess moderation, heterogeneity of effect sizes was assessed. The total  
12 heterogeneity of the weighted mean effect sizes ( $Q_T$ ) provides an indication of whether the  
13 variance evident in the weighted mean effect size exceeds that would be expected by sampling  
14 error (i.e., whether the weighted mean effect size is an adequate or inadequate representation of  
15 the distribution of effects). When stipulating a categorical structure to the data, constituents of  
16 the total heterogeneity ( $Q_T$ ), heterogeneity explained by the categorization ( $Q_B$ ) and the residual  
17 error heterogeneity ( $Q_W$ ), can be examined. Statistically significant heterogeneity explained by the  
18 categorization ( $Q_B$ ) indicates that there are differences between categories in terms of their  
19 effects sizes and provides a strong basis for inferring moderation. Specific differences were  
20 examined via comparison of 95% confidence intervals for effect sizes.

21           Moderation was also assessed by calculating the degree of inconsistency in the observed  
22 relationship across studies ( $I^2$ ). As described by Higgins and colleagues (Higgins, Thompson,  
23 Deeks, & Altman, 2003; Higgins & Thompson, 2002), this index is interpreted as the percentage

1 of total variation across studies due to “true” heterogeneity rather than sampling error:  $100\% \times$   
2  $(Q_T - df) / Q_T$ . As  $I^2$  increases, the level of true heterogeneity increases (0% to 100%). Values of  
3 25%, 50%, and 75% have been identified as low, medium and high levels of heterogeneity  
4 (Higgins & Thompson, 2002). This index is a useful adjunct when assessing moderation because  
5 unlike the total heterogeneity of each cumulative effect size ( $Q_T$ ) it is not adversely influenced by  
6 the number of studies included in the analyzes. It can also be compared across meta-analyzes that  
7 include a different number of studies, type of study, and outcome (Higgins et al., 2003).

8         In order to assess publication bias (the ‘file-drawer’ problem) we adopted a number of  
9 strategies. We examined Rosenthal’s (1979) fail-safe number (fail-safe  $N$ ) for each effect size.  
10 The fail-safe number indicates the number of non-significant, unpublished, or missing studies  
11 with a mean effect size of zero that would need to exist in order to change the statistical  
12 significance of the observed effect size to a non-significant level (here,  $p = .05$ ). Rosenthal  
13 recommended that the fail-safe number should be greater than  $5k + 10$ , where  $k$  equals the  
14 number of observed effect sizes. We also inspected funnel plots (a scatterplot of effect sizes  
15 against the reciprocal of its standard error) and used Egger’s test of regression intercept to  
16 quantify the bias captured by the funnel plots by regressing effect size on the reciprocal of its  
17 standard error (Egger, Smith, Schneider, & Minder, 1997). In the absence of publication bias,  
18 Egger’s regression intercept does not differ significantly from zero (i.e., its two-tailed 95%  
19 confidence interval includes zero). We also used Duval and Tweedie’s (2000) “trim and fill”  
20 method to correct any asymmetry evident in the funnel plot by imputing studies to give a  
21 symmetrical distribution and provide publication bias adjusted estimates of effect sizes. Finally,  
22 we conducted an additional moderator analyzes that compared effects based on whether studies  
23 were obtained from published or unpublished sources (peer-reviewed publications vs

1 thesis/dissertations, conference presentations, or data sets provided by authors).

## 2 **Results**

### 3 **Overall effect sizes**

4 The weighted mean effect sizes between dimensions of perfectionism, overall burnout,  
5 and symptoms of burnout are reported in Table 2. Perfectionistic strivings displayed a small  
6 negative relationship with overall burnout, reduced personal accomplishment, and  
7 depersonalization, and a non-significant relationship with exhaustion. Perfectionistic concerns  
8 displayed a medium-to-large positive relationship with overall burnout and medium positive  
9 relationships with all symptoms of burnout.

10 Perfectionistic strivings and perfectionistic concerns displayed a medium positive  
11 relationship with each other. When controlling for the relationships between the two dimensions  
12 by analyzing semi-partial correlations, a slightly different pattern of effects emerged. Pure  
13 perfectionistic strivings displayed small, or small-to-moderate, negative relationships with  
14 overall burnout and all burnout symptoms. Pure perfectionistic concerns displayed a similar  
15 pattern of medium-to-large or medium positive relationships to those observed for perfectionistic  
16 concerns.

17 Assessment of total heterogeneity across studies indicated that variability in the weighted  
18 mean effects exceeded that associated with sampling error. The percentage of total variation  
19 across studies due to true heterogeneity was either medium or high. This suggests that variability  
20 among the effect sizes is also due to additional sources and alludes to the possible influence of  
21 moderating factors.

### 22 **Moderator analyzes**

23 Results of the comparison of effect sizes between domains are presented in Table 3.

1           **Perfectionistic strivings.** For the relationship between perfectionistic strivings and  
2 overall burnout, the weighted mean effect size for studies in sport and education differed  
3 significantly from in work. Notably, unlike in sport and education, the relationship in work was  
4 not statistically significant. When examining the symptoms, the weighted mean effect size for  
5 perfectionistic strivings and reduced personal accomplishment was significantly larger in  
6 education in comparison to work and sport. Again, the relationship in work was not statistically  
7 significant. The weighted mean effect sizes for the relationship between perfectionistic strivings  
8 and the other two symptoms were significantly smaller in work in comparison to sport and  
9 education. In regards to exhaustion, although similar in size, unlike in sport and education, the  
10 relationship was positive in work. In regards to depersonalization, unlike in the other domains,  
11 its relationship with perfectionistic strivings was smaller and non-significant in work.

12           **Pure perfectionistic strivings.** A slightly different pattern emerged when analyzing the  
13 semi-partial correlation coefficients. For the relationship between pure perfectionistic strivings  
14 and overall burnout, none of the weighted mean effect sizes differed significantly from each  
15 other. Differences in the weighted mean effect sizes for the relationship between pure  
16 perfectionistic strivings and reduced personal accomplishment across domains were the same as  
17 when examining bivariate correlations (i.e., education differed from sport and work). The  
18 weighted mean effect size for pure perfectionistic strivings and exhaustion was significantly  
19 smaller in work in comparison to education (but no longer sport) and for devaluation was  
20 significantly smaller in work in comparison to sport (but no longer education).

21           **Perfectionistic concerns and pure perfectionistic concerns.** For the relationship  
22 between perfectionistic concerns and overall burnout, the weighted mean effect size for studies  
23 in work was significantly larger than in sport and education. When examining the symptoms, the

1 weighted mean effect size for perfectionistic concerns and reduced personal accomplishment was  
2 significantly lower in education than in sport. The weighted mean effect size for perfectionistic  
3 concerns and exhaustion was significantly higher in work in comparison to sport. This was also  
4 the case in terms of the mean effect size for perfectionistic concerns and devaluation. When  
5 analyzing pure perfectionistic concerns, in all cases, the initially observed differences in the  
6 weighted mean effect sizes described above were non-significant.

7 **Perfectionistic concerns-perfectionistic strivings.** Given the differences before and  
8 after controlling for the relationship between the two dimensions of perfectionism in terms of  
9 moderation, a supplementary analysis was conducted to examine whether the relationship  
10 between perfectionistic concerns and strivings also differed between domains. This analysis  
11 revealed that the weighted mean effect size for the relationship between perfectionistic strivings  
12 and concerns did not differ between studies in work, sport, and education. All relationships were  
13 medium, or medium-to-large, and positive.

14 **Heterogeneity.** Examination of the total variation across studies due to true heterogeneity  
15 ( $I^2$ ) revealed that despite statistically significant between study variability ( $Q_B$ ), the amount of  
16 true variability was typically very low ( $I^2 < 25\%$ ),. Noteworthy true heterogeneity ( $I^2 > 25\%$ ), and  
17 support for moderation, was evident for four relationships: perfectionistic strivings-exhaustion,  
18 perfectionistic strivings-depersonalization, perfectionistic concerns-overall burnout, and  
19 perfectionistic concerns-depersonalization.

## 20 **Publication bias**

21 In the overall analyzes and moderation analyzes, the fail-safe numbers and Egger's  
22 regression intercept provided mixed evidence of publication bias. Specifically, in seven cases  
23 fail-safe numbers did not exceed the recommended thresholds but, in all cases, Egger's

1 regression intercept included zero. Examination of whether publication status served as a  
 2 moderating factor provided a clearer picture and evidence of publication bias in a few cases.  
 3 Specifically, the relationships of pure perfectionistic concerns-total burnout ( $Q_B = 4.88$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $p$   
 4  $< .05$ ,  $I^2 = 7.25\%$ ,  $r^+ = .46$  [.41, .51] vs  $r^+ = .37$  [.31, .43]) and pure perfectionistic concerns-  
 5 exhaustion ( $Q_B = 4.42$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $I^2 = 11.58\%$ ,  $p < .05$ ,  $r^+ = .30$  [.26, .34] vs  $r^+ = .24$  [.18, .28]) were  
 6 larger in published sources than unpublished sources. Marginally statistically significant effects  
 7 ( $p < .10$ ) were also found for perfectionistic strivings-total burnout ( $Q_B = 3.17$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $p < .10$ ,  $I^2 =$   
 8  $4.01\%$ ,  $r^+ = -.20$  [-.29, -.11] vs  $r^+ = -.01$  [-.20, .17]), perfectionistic strivings-reduced personal  
 9 accomplishment ( $Q_B = 2.98$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $p < .10$ ,  $I^2 = 0.00\%$ ,  $r^+ = -.20$  [-.29, -.12] vs  $r^+ = -.08$  [-.19, .03]),  
 10 and pure perfectionistic strivings-reduced personal accomplishment ( $Q_B = 3.30$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $p < .10$ ,  $I^2$   
 11  $= 0.00\%$ ,  $r^+ = -.31$  [-.37, -.24] vs  $r^+ = -.20$  [-.30, -.10]). Again, in these cases relationships were  
 12 larger in published sources than in unpublished sources. For perfectionistic strivings-total  
 13 burnout and pure perfectionistic concerns-total burnout, the publication bias adjusted (trim and  
 14 fill) effect sizes may offer more accurate estimates of these relationships. This is not the case for  
 15 the other relationships as trim and fill effect sizes included imputed values in the opposite  
 16 direction (i.e., imputed effects were larger, not smaller, than the average effect size).

### 17 Discussion

18 This study provided the first meta-analysis of the relationship between perfectionism and  
 19 burnout. We examined the relationship between two dimensions of perfectionism (viz. strivings  
 20 and concerns) and burnout using both bivariate and semi-partial correlations (i.e., controlling for  
 21 correlations among dimensions of perfectionism). We also examined whether the relationships  
 22 were moderated by the domain in which they were assessed (work, sport, or education). It was  
 23 hypothesized that (i) perfectionistic strivings would be negatively related to burnout, (ii)

1 perfectionistic concerns would be positively related to burnout, (iii) when the relationship  
2 between the two dimensions of perfectionism are controlled for, pure perfectionistic strivings  
3 would display stronger, more negative, relationships with burnout and pure perfectionistic  
4 concerns would display stronger, more positive, relationships with burnout, and, finally, (iv)  
5 perfectionistic strivings would be less adaptive and perfectionistic concerns more maladaptive in  
6 work than in other domains. The first hypothesis was supported for overall burnout and two of  
7 the three symptoms of burnout (reduced personal accomplishment and devaluation). The second  
8 hypothesis was fully supported. The third hypothesis was generally supported but was more  
9 apparent for pure perfectionistic strivings. The fourth hypothesis was supported for four  
10 relationships (perfectionistic strivings-exhaustion, perfectionistic strivings-depersonalization,  
11 perfectionistic concerns-overall burnout, and perfectionistic concerns-depersonalization).

### 12 **Multidimensional perfectionism and burnout**

13         The findings suggest that perfectionistic strivings may offer, at least to a small degree,  
14 some protection to the development of burnout. This is consistent with the notion that burnout  
15 has little to do with strivings. Rather it is the evaluative tendencies that can accompany strivings  
16 which is more influential. This is illustrated by perfectionistic concerns which displayed a  
17 medium-to-large positive relationship with overall burnout and medium positive relationships  
18 with each symptom of burnout. As described earlier, perfectionistic concerns capture self-  
19 evaluative tendencies that render individuals vulnerable to the accrual of stress. Elsewhere, this  
20 has been made evident in research highlighting the association between perfectionistic concerns,  
21 threat appraisals, anxiety, and avoidant coping (e.g., A.P. Hill et al., 2010; Rice, Vergara, &  
22 Mirela, 2006; Stoeber & Rennert, 2008). Here, the findings allude to the more severe  
23 consequences that might arise when the stress associated with perfectionistic concerns continues

1 unabated. Overall, then, there is a marked difference between the two dimensions of  
2 perfectionism in terms of propensity for burnout evident across domains.

3       Turning to the semi-partial correlations, as expected, when the correlation between the  
4 two dimensions of perfectionism was controlled, perfectionistic strivings were comparatively  
5 more adaptive. Notably, the relationships evident for perfectionistic strivings were stronger and  
6 also included an inverse association with exhaustion. This is a trend evident elsewhere in more  
7 general reviews for other outcomes (e.g., Gotwals et al., 2012; Stoeber & Otto, 2006). For  
8 perfectionistic concerns, although there were some marginal changes, by comparison, the effects  
9 of pure perfectionistic concerns were largely the same. This indicates that the relationship  
10 between the two dimensions appears more influential in terms of determining the effects of  
11 perfectionistic strivings than the reverse (Stoeber & Damian, in press). This is something that  
12 researchers must be mindful of when examining the differential effects of the two dimensions of  
13 perfectionism in future studies.

14       More generally, the relationships between perfectionistic concerns and burnout symptoms  
15 found here are similar in size to related personality characteristics such as conscientiousness and  
16 neuroticism (see Swider & Zimmerman, 2010). Previous research comparing perfectionism with  
17 the broader Big Five personality traits has found that dimensions of perfectionism capture unique  
18 features of personality and explain additional variability in various criterion variables (e.g.,  
19 compulsivity and depression; Dunkley et al., 2006; Sherry, Hewitt, Flett, Lee-Baggeley, & Hall,  
20 2007). With this in mind, the findings here suggest that perfectionism warrants consideration  
21 alongside other such individual-level antecedents of burnout. Perfectionistic concerns, in  
22 particular, may be an important component of a personality profile that renders individuals prone  
23 to burnout (Swider & Zimmerman, 2010). In order to assess this possibility, future studies are

1 required that examine the relative and incremental predictive ability of perfectionistic concerns  
2 and other personality characteristics identified in previous meta-analyzes focused on burnout.

### 3 **Perfectionism-burnout relationship across domains**

4         In terms of moderation, there were four instances where notable between-study  
5 heterogeneity was evident. These indicated that perfectionistic strivings were less adaptive in  
6 terms of exhaustion and depersonalization and perfectionistic concerns were more problematic in  
7 terms of overall burnout and depersonalization in work than in sport and education domains.  
8 There are a number of possible reasons why the work environment may alter these relationships  
9 in this manner. In the case of perfectionistic strivings, it is possible that factors which would  
10 otherwise offset exhaustion in sport and education are absent, or exist to a lesser degree, in work.  
11 These might include factors that have previously been found to interact with perfectionistic  
12 strivings such as personal control (Mor et al., 1995), social support (Dunkley, Blankstein,  
13 Halsall, Williams, & Winkworth, 2000), and positive future thinking (O'Connor, O'Connor,  
14 O'Connor, Smallwood, & Miles, 2004) which we might speculate are less forthcoming in work  
15 than in education and sport. Given the ubiquity of external motives in the workplace, it is also  
16 possible that when perfectionistic strivings take place in the service of such motives, any  
17 safeguard from a sense of personal detachment or cynicism may be diminished relative to sport  
18 or education domains which are typically lower in these motives (Vallerand, 2004).

19         In the case of perfectionistic concerns, similar processes may be in operation as described  
20 for perfectionistic strivings in terms of depersonalization. In addition, it is also possible that  
21 because the work domain holds the greatest potential for entrapment (i.e., quitting and not  
22 attending are perhaps easier in other domains), the relationship between perfectionistic concerns  
23 and detachment or cynicism may be exacerbated in lieu of the ability to behaviourally withdraw.

1 This is consistent with the notion that depersonalization may be a dysfunctional coping strategy  
2 aimed at distancing one's self from an adverse work environment (Maslach, 1982). More  
3 generally, it is possible that because perfect performance can be more ambiguous in work than in  
4 sport and education, opportunities for a sense of achievement are less forthcoming in work. This  
5 may exacerbate the perfectionistic concerns-burnout relationship by providing less opportunity  
6 for respite against the worries, anxieties, and rumination associated with these dimensions of  
7 perfectionism (Hewitt & Flett, 2002; Hewitt & Flett, 1996). Future studies are required to  
8 examine these possibilities and further test the moderating influence of domain on perfectionism.

9       In considering the differences across domains, one must also be mindful of alternative  
10 explanations. For example, studies in sport exclusively used domain-specific measures. Although  
11 designed to be comparable, the three symptoms of athlete burnout are not exactly the same as  
12 those used in work and education. Most apparent is the potential discrepancy between  
13 depersonalization and devaluation where some of the differences observed here were evident. As  
14 such, the differences between sport and the other domains for this particular symptom may be  
15 attributable to differences in the operationalization of burnout between domains, as opposed to  
16 the domains themselves. However, this does not explain why differences were also evident  
17 between work and education where measures are much more similar. Therefore, much like the  
18 domain in which self-worth is staked has an influence on the degree of maladjustment associated  
19 with contingent self-worth (see Crocker & Wolfe, 2001), it is likely that the domain in which  
20 perfectionism is exhibited will also influence its effects.

### 21 **Other avenues for future research**

22       The review highlights a number of avenues for future research. Having now accrued  
23 strong evidence of the relationships between dimensions of perfectionism and burnout, research

1 that identifies explanatory factors is a priority. There are surprisingly few studies that have  
2 examined mediating factors, for example. Coping has been the most commonly examined with  
3 the few studies which have been conducted producing consistent support for its mediating role in  
4 work and sport (Chang, 2012; A.P. Hill et al., 2010; Li et al., 2014). Avoidant strategies such as  
5 suppression, denial, and disengagement appear especially important in explaining the  
6 perfectionistic concerns-burnout relationship and allude to how perfectionistic concerns appear  
7 to disarm those who exhibit it when attempting to cope with stress. Other potentially important  
8 explanatory mechanisms include those that have been examined in some domains but not others,  
9 such as stress (D'Souza, Egan, & Rees, 2011) and over-commitment (Philp, Egan, & Kane,  
10 2012). In addition, factors associated with perfectionism and burnout, such as perfectionistic  
11 cognitions (A. P. Hill & Appleton, 2011), and factors that mediate similar relationships in these  
12 domains, such as resilience (Klibert et al., 2014) and social support (Molnar et al., 2012), may  
13 also be important. These variables are good candidates for further examination across domains.

14 In examining possible mediating factors, longitudinal designs would be an advantage and  
15 are ultimately necessary in order to test mediation appropriately and help establish causality.  
16 Unfortunately, there are too few longitudinal studies in this area. As a consequence, little is  
17 known about the dynamics of the perfectionism-burnout relationship, how it might unfold over  
18 time, and what underlying processes explain any interplay. The two exceptions in the work  
19 domain are encouraging in that they attest to the predictive ability of perfectionism on burnout  
20 over time (Childs & Stoeber, 2012; Flaxman et al., 2012). In both cases, perfectionistic concerns  
21 predicted changes in burnout symptoms. Findings are less encouraging in sport where the only  
22 study to date to examine the perfectionism-burnout relationship longitudinally found a marginal  
23 relationship between perfectionistic strivings and exhaustion over time ( $p < .10$ ) and no other

1 significant relationships (Chen, Kee, & Tsai, 2009). Whether the findings of Chen et al. are a  
2 peculiarity or reflect genuine null effects is an issue that requires particular attention. However,  
3 generally, longitudinal research in each of the three domains is sorely needed.

4 Additional research in education is also required. Perfectionism is highly relevant in an  
5 education domain and predicts various outcomes, including motivation, performance, and  
6 wellbeing among students (e.g., Fletcher & Neumeister, 2012; Noble, Ashby, & Gnilka, 2014;  
7 Stoeber, Haskew, & Scott, 2015). Similarly, schools and universities are places of challenge and  
8 stress, therefore burnout is also an important phenomenon in an education domain (see Walburg,  
9 2014, for a review). However, despite the apparent relevance of both, far fewer studies have  
10 examined the perfectionism-burnout relationship in education than in other domains. So to more  
11 firmly establish the relationship between perfectionism and burnout, additional research is  
12 required in this domain. Beyond this, researchers should draw upon research in work and sport,  
13 as well as the unique features of the education domain (e.g., teacher characteristics, classroom  
14 structure), in order to further identify explanatory mechanisms.

15 A final avenue for future research is the need to develop and evaluate interventions aimed  
16 at reducing perfectionism driven burnout. While evidence of effective intervention has begun to  
17 emerge in both areas (Awa, Plaumann, & Walter, 2010; Lloyd, Schmidt, Khondoker, &  
18 Tchanturia, in press), we are not aware of any study to examine an intervention targeting  
19 perfectionism with the aim of reducing burnout. As practitioners and researchers consider how  
20 best to do so, we draw attention to Flett and Hewitt (2014) who recently discussed the challenges  
21 associated with preventing perfectionism and the strategies they consider are likely to be the  
22 most successful. Their analysis focuses upon children and adolescents in a school setting  
23 however many of the challenges identified (e.g., persistence of perfectionism and unwillingness

1 to seek help) and strategies described (e.g., attributional retraining, fostering a growth mindset,  
2 promoting self-acceptance, and stress management) are applicable in other groups and settings.  
3 This includes helping prevent those who report high levels of perfectionistic concerns from  
4 burning out in work, sport, or education. We therefore encourage those interested in developing  
5 interventions to consider this work and the work of others in this area (see Lloyd et al., in press)

## 6 **Limitations**

7       The findings should be considered in light of the limitations of the review. In some  
8 instances we found evidence of publication bias towards studies with larger effect sizes.  
9 Therefore, some caution is required in terms of generalising findings beyond published studies  
10 (versus all possible studies). Studies were only included in the meta-analysis if they were  
11 published in English with most samples from Western countries. This means that studies from  
12 some countries (e.g., Eastern/Asian countries) maybe underrepresented. Again, this has  
13 implications for generalizability of the findings and is particularly noteworthy in light of  
14 emerging evidence of potential cultural differences in the correlates of perfectionism (e.g.,  
15 Stoeber, Kobori, & Tanno, 2013). The majority of the studies meta-analyzed employed cross-  
16 sectional designs and hence inferences are limited to only possible causal relationships between  
17 perfectionism and burnout. We examined higher-order dimensions of perfectionism, rather than  
18 individual dimensions. This approach was, in part, selected to maximize the use of studies in this  
19 area and provide more reliable estimates of effects. However, in doing so, the nuances of the  
20 sub-dimensions of each higher-order factor can be lost. This is an issue that will be worth  
21 revisiting when more research adopting different measures has taken place. Finally, a number of  
22 relationships were statistically significant but fail-safe numbers indicated that they may reflect  
23 publication bias. These relationships should therefore be interpreted tentatively and require

1 particular attention in future research. Similarly, when assessing moderation, education included  
2 a small number of studies ( $k = 3$ ). The relationships from these studies are more susceptible to  
3 reversal by newly conducted studies so again should be considered tentatively.

#### 4 **Conclusions**

5       The current study provides the first meta-analysis of the relationship between  
6 perfectionism and burnout. Across all studies, it was found that perfectionistic strivings had  
7 small negative or non-significant relationships with overall burnout and symptoms of burnout.  
8 By contrast, perfectionistic concerns displayed medium-to-large and medium positive  
9 relationships with overall burnout and symptoms of burnout. When controlling for the  
10 relationship between dimensions of perfectionism, pure perfectionistic strivings displayed  
11 notably larger negative relationships with overall burnout and symptoms of burnout. There was  
12 evidence that some of these relationships differed across domains with perfectionistic strivings  
13 being less adaptive and perfectionistic concerns more maladaptive in the work domain than in  
14 sport or education domains. Overall, the findings suggest that perfectionistic concerns warrant  
15 attention when considering vulnerability to burnout.

16

#### 17 Footnotes

18 <sup>1</sup> Hereafter, for simplicity, the terms ‘exhaustion’, ‘reduced personal accomplishment’, and  
19 ‘depersonalization’ are used to label the three symptoms.

20 <sup>2</sup> Some additional information was also coded (e.g., mean age of participants, percentage of  
21 males and females, and whether measurement of perfectionism was at trait or domain level)  
22 coded but is not reported here as it was not central to the purpose of the study and for brevity.  
23 This information is available on request.

1 <sup>3</sup>This does not include a study by Mitchelson and Burns (1998). Mitchelson and Burns used both  
2 the Multidimensional Scale (HMPS; Hewitt & Flett, 1991) and the Positive and Negative  
3 Perfectionism Scale (PNPS; Terry-Short, Owens, Slade, & Dewey, 1995) however the  
4 correlations between the subscales of the PNPS (positive perfectionism and negative  
5 perfectionism) and burnout were excluded here because the validity of the PNPS is regarded as  
6 questionable (see Egan, Piek, Dyck, & Kane, 2011).

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

**References**

- 1  
2 Alarcon, G. M. (2011). A meta-analysis of burnout with job demands, resources, and  
3 attitudes. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, *79*, 549-562.
- 4 Alarcon, G. M., Eschleman, K. J., & Bowling, N. A. (2009). Relationships between  
5 personality variables and burnout: A meta-analysis. *Work & stress*, *23*, 244-263.
- 6 Awa, W. L., Plaumann, M., & Walter, U. (2010). Burnout prevention: A review of  
7 intervention programs. *Patient Education and Counseling*, *78*, 184-190.
- 8 \*Appleton, P. R., Hall, H. K., & Hill, A. P. (2009). Relations between multidimensional  
9 perfectionism and burnout in junior-elite male athletes. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, *10*,  
10 457-465.
- 11 \*Appleton, P. A., & Hill, A. P. (2012). Perfectionism and athlete burnout in junior elite  
12 athletes: The mediating role of motivation regulations. *Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology*, *6*,  
13 129-146.
- 14 Bakker, A. B., Van Emmerik, H., & Van Riet, P. (2008). How job demands, resources,  
15 and burnout predict objective performance: A constructive replication. *Anxiety, Stress, &*  
16 *Coping*, *21*, 309-324.
- 17 \*Barcza, K. M. (2010). *The influence of perceived coaching behaviours and*  
18 *perfectionism on types of motivation and burnout*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation: Florida  
19 State University.
- 20 Bieling, P. J., Israeli, A. L., & Antony, M. M. (2004). Is perfectionism good, bad, or  
21 both? Examining models of the perfectionism construct. *Personality and Individual Differences*,  
22 *36*, 1373-1385.

- 1 Berry, C. M., Ones, D. S., & Sackett, P. R. (2007). Interpersonal deviance, organizational  
2 deviance, and their common correlates: a review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied*  
3 *Psychology, 92*, 409-423.
- 4 Bing, M. N., Whanger, J. C., Davison, H. K., & VanHook, J. B. (2004). Incremental  
5 validity of the frame-of-reference effect in personality scale scores: a replication and  
6 extension. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 89*, 150-157.
- 7 Blom, V. (2012). Contingent self-esteem, stressors and burnout in working women and  
8 men. *Work: A Journal of Prevention, Assessment and Rehabilitation, 43*, 123-131.
- 9 Borenstein, M., Hedges, L., Higgins, J., & Rothstein, H. (2005). *Comprehensive meta-*  
10 *analysis* (Version 3.3). Englewood, NJ: Biostat.
- 11 \*Chang, Y. (2012). The relationship between maladaptive perfectionism with burnout:  
12 Testing mediating effect of emotion-focused coping. *Personality and Individual Differences, 53*,  
13 635-639.
- 14 \*Chen, L. H., Kee, Y. H., & Tsai, Y. M. (2009). An examination of the dual model of  
15 perfectionism and adolescent athlete burnout: A short-term longitudinal research. *Social*  
16 *Indicators Research, 91*, 189-201.
- 17 \*Childs, J. H., & Stoeber, J. (2010). Self-oriented, other-oriented, and socially prescribed  
18 perfectionism in employees: Relationships with burnout and engagement. *Journal of Workplace*  
19 *Behavioral Health, 25*, 269-281.
- 20 \*Childs, J. H., & Stoeber, J. (2012). Do you want me to be perfect? Two longitudinal  
21 studies on socially prescribed perfectionism, stress and burnout in the workplace. *Work &*  
22 *Stress, 26*, 347-364.

- 1           \*Claiskan, S. C., Arikan, S. C., & Saatci, E. Y. (2014). SMEs context of Turkey from the  
2 relational perspective of members' perfectionism, work family conflict and burnout.  
3 *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 5, 129-139.
- 4           Clark, M. A., Michel, J. S., Zhdanova, L, Pui, S., & Baltes, B. B. (in press). All work and  
5 no play? A meta-analytic examination of the correlates and outcomes of workaholism. *Journal of*  
6 *Management*. doi: 10.1177/0149206314522301
- 7           Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. *Psychological Bulletin*, 112, 155-159.
- 8           Cohen, J., Cohen, P., West, S. G., & Aiken, L. S. (2003). *Applied multiple*  
9 *regression/correlation analysis for the behavioral sciences* (3rd ed). Hillsdale: Erlbaum
- 10          \*Comerchero, V. (2008). *Gender, tenure status, teacher efficacy, perfectionism and*  
11 *teacher burnout*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation: Fordham University.
- 12          \*Corrigan, C. W. (1997). *The relationships among perfectionism, God image, religious*  
13 *coping styles and vocational burnout in Christian clergy: An empirical investigation*.  
14 Unpublished doctoral dissertation: Wright Institute Graduate School of Psychology.
- 15          Cox, B. J., Enns, M. W., & Clara, I. P. (2002). The multidimensional structure of  
16 perfectionism in clinically distressed and college student samples. *Psychological Assessment*, 14,  
17 365-373.
- 18          Cresswell, S.L., & Eklund, R. C. (2007a). The convergent and discriminant validity of  
19 burnout measures in sport: A multi-trait/multi-method analysis. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 24,  
20 209-220.
- 21          Cresswell, S.L., & Eklund, R. C. (2007b). Athlete burnout and organisational culture: An  
22 English rugby replication. *International Journal of Sport Psychology*, 38, 365-387.

1 Crocker, J., & Wolfe, C. T. (2001). Contingencies of self-worth. *Psychological Review*,  
2 108, 593–623.

3 \*Cumming, J., & Duda, J. L. (2012). Profiles of perfectionism, body-related concerns,  
4 and indicators of psychological health in vocational dance students: An investigation of the 2× 2  
5 model of perfectionism. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 13, 729-738.

6 Dahlin, M., Joneborg, N., & Runeson, B. (2007). Performance-based self-esteem and  
7 burnout in a cross-sectional study of medical students. *Medical Teacher*, 29, 43-48.

8 Dale, J., & Weinberg, R. (1990). Burnout in sport: A review and critique. *Journal of*  
9 *Applied Sport Psychology*, 2, 67-83.

10 Dittner, A.J., Rimes, J., & Thorpe, S. (2011). Negative perfectionism increases the risk of  
11 fatigue following a period of stress. *Psychology & Health*, 26, 253–268.

12 D'Souza, F., Egan, S. J. & Rees, C. S. (2011). The relationship between perfectionism,  
13 stress and burnout in clinical psychologists. *Behaviour Change*, 28, 17-28.

14 Dunkley, D. M., Blankstein, K. R., Halsall, J., Williams, M., & Winkworth, G. (2000).  
15 The relation between perfectionism and distress: Hassles, coping and perceived social support.  
16 *Journal of Counselling Psychology*, 47, 437-453.

17 Dunkley, D. M., Blankstein, K. R., Zuroff, D. C., Lecce, S., & Hui, D. (2006). Self-  
18 critical and personal standards factors of perfectionism located within the five-factor model of  
19 personality. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 40, 409-420.

20 Dunkley, D. M., Sanislow, C. A., Grilo, C. M., & McGlashan, T. H. (2006).  
21 Perfectionism and depressive symptoms 3 years later: Negative social interactions, avoidant  
22 coping, and perceived social support as mediators. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 47, 106-115.

- 1 Dunkley, D. M., Zuroff, D. C., & Blankstein, K. R. (2003). Self-critical perfectionism  
2 and daily affect: dispositional and situational influences on stress and coping. *Journal of*  
3 *Personality and Social Psychology, 84*, 234-252.
- 4 Dunn, J. G. H., Causgrove Dunn, J., Gotwals, J. K., Vallance, J. K. H., Craft, J. M., &  
5 Syrotuik, D. G. (2006). Establishing construct validity evidence for the Sport Multidimensional  
6 Perfectionism Scale. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 7*, 57-79.
- 7 Dunn, J. G. H., Craft, J. M., Causegrove-Dunn, J. C., & Gotwals, J. K. (2011).  
8 Comparing a domain-specific and global measure of perfectionism in competitive female figure  
9 skaters. *Journal of Sport Behavior, 34*, 25-46.
- 10 Dunn, J. G., Gotwals, J. K., & Causgrove-Dunn, J. C. (2005). An examination of the  
11 domain specificity of perfectionism among intercollegiate student-athletes. *Personality and*  
12 *Individual Differences, 38*, 1439-1448.
- 13 Duval, S. J., & Tweedie, R. L. (2000). A nonparametric “trim and fill” method of  
14 accounting for publication bias in meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Statistical Association,*  
15 *95*, 89– 98.
- 16 Egan, S., Piek, J., Dyck, M., & Kane, R. (2011). The reliability and validity of the  
17 Positive and Negative Perfectionism Scale. *Clinical Psychologist, 15*, 121-132.
- 18 Egger, M., Smith, G. D., Schneider, M., & Minder, C. (1997). Bias in meta-analysis  
19 detected by a simple, graphical test. *British Medical Journal, 315*, 629–634.
- 20 \*Fairlie, P. (2011). Perfectionism in the context of burnout, job satisfaction, and  
21 depression. Unpublished Doctoral dissertation: York University.
- 22 Feigley, D.A. (1984). Psychological burnout in high-level athletes. *The Physician and*  
23 *Sports Medicine, 12*, 108–119.

- 1           \*Flaxman, P. E., Ménard, J., Bond, F. W., & Kinman, G. (2012). Academics' experiences  
2 of a respite from work: Effects of self-critical perfectionism and perseverative cognition on  
3 postrespite well-being. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 97*, 854-865.
- 4           Fletcher, K. L., & Neumeister, K. S. (2012). Research on perfectionism and achievement  
5 motivation: Implications for gifted students. *Psychology in the Schools, 49*, 668-677.
- 6           Flett, G. L., Besser, A., Davis, R. A., & Hewitt, P. L. (2003). Dimensions of  
7 perfectionism, unconditional self-acceptance, and depression. *Journal of Rational-Emotive and*  
8 *Cognitive-Behavior Therapy, 21*, 119-138.
- 9           Flett, G. L., Besser, A., & Hewitt, P. (2005). Perfectionism, ego defense styles, and  
10 depression: A comparison of self-reports versus informant ratings. *Journal of Personality, 73*,  
11 1355–1396.
- 12           Flett, G. L., & Hewitt, P. L. (2002). Perfectionism and maladjustment: An overview of  
13 theoretical, definitional, and treatment issues. In P. L. Hewitt & G. L. Flett (Eds.), *Perfectionism:*  
14 *Theory, research, and treatment* (pp. 5-31). Washington, DC: American Psychological  
15 Association.
- 16           Flett, G. L., & Hewitt, P. L. (2014). A proposed framework for preventing perfectionism  
17 and promoting resilience and mental health among vulnerable children and adolescents.  
18 *Psychology in Schools, 51*, 899-912.
- 19           Flett, G.L., Hewitt, P.L., Boucher, D., Davidson, L., & Munro, Y. (2001). *The Child-*  
20 *Adolescent Perfectionism Scale: Development, validation, and association with adjustment.*  
21 Unpublished Manuscript.
- 22           Freundenberger, H. (1974). Staff burn-out. *Journal of Social Issues, 30*, 159-166.

- 1 Frost, R. O., Heimberg, R. G., Holt, C. S., Mattia, J. I., & Neubauer, A. L. (1993). A  
2 comparison of two measures of perfectionism. *Personality and Individual Differences, 14*, 119-  
3 126.
- 4 Frost, R. O., Marten, P., Lahart, C., & Rosenblate, R. (1990). The dimensions of  
5 perfectionism. *Cognitive therapy and research, 14*, 449-468.
- 6 Fry, P. S. (1995). Perfectionism, humor, and optimism as moderators of health outcomes  
7 and determinants of coping styles of women executives. *Genetic, Social, and General*  
8 *Psychology Monographs, 121*, 211-245.
- 9 Fry, P.S., & Debats, D.L. (2009). Perfectionism and the five-factor personality traits as  
10 predictors of mortality in older adults. *Journal of Health Psychology, 14*, 513–524.
- 11 Gaudreau, P., & Antl, S. (2008). Athletes' broad dimensions of dispositional  
12 perfectionism: Examining changes in life satisfaction and the mediating role of sport-related  
13 motivation and coping. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 30*, 356-382.
- 14 Gaudreau, P., & Thompson, A. (2010). Testing a 2× 2 model of dispositional  
15 perfectionism. *Personality and Individual Differences, 48*, 532-537.
- 16 Ghiselli, E. E., Campbell, J. P., & Zedeck, S. (1981). *Measurement theory for the*  
17 *behavioral sciences*. San Francisco: Freeman.
- 18 Goodger, K., Gorely, T., Lavallee, D., & Harwood, C. (2007). Burnout in sport: A  
19 systematic review. *Sport Psychologist, 21*, 127-151.
- 20 \*Gotwals, J. K. (2011). Perfectionism and burnout within intercollegiate sport: A person-  
21 oriented approach. *Sport Psychologist, 25*, 489-510.

- 1           Gotwals, J. K., & Dunn, J. G. H. (2009). A multi-method multi-analytic approach to  
2 establish internal construct validity evidence: The Sport Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale 2.  
3 *Measurement in Physical Education and Exercise Science, 13*, 71-92.
- 4           Gotwals, J. K., Stoeber, J., Dunn, J. G., & Stoll, O. (2012). Are perfectionistic strivings in  
5 sport adaptive? A systematic review of confirmatory, contradictory, and mixed  
6 evidence. *Canadian Psychology, 53*, 263-279.
- 7           Gould, D. R. (1996). Personal motivation gone awry: Burnout in competitive  
8 athletes. *Quest, 48*, 275-289.
- 9           Gould, D. R., Dieffenbach, K., & Moffett, A. (2002). Psychological characteristics and  
10 their development in Olympic champions. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 14*, 172-204.
- 11          Gould, D. R., Tuffey, S., Udry, E., & Loehr, J. (1996). Burnout in competitive junior  
12 tennis players: I. A quantitative psychological assessment. *Sport Psychologist, 10*, 332-340.
- 13          Gustafsson, H., & Skoog, T. (2012). The mediational role of perceived stress in the  
14 relation between optimism and burnout in competitive athletes. *Anxiety, Stress & Coping, 25*,  
15 183-199.
- 16          Hewitt, P. L., & Flett, G. L. (1991). Perfectionism in the self and social contexts:  
17 Conceptualization, assessment, and association with psychopathology. *Journal of Personality  
18 and Social Psychology, 60*, 456-470.
- 19          Hewitt, P. L., & Flett, G. L. (1996). Personality traits and the coping process. In M.  
20 Zeidner & N. S. Endler (Eds.), *Handbook of coping* (pp. 410-133). New York: Wiley.
- 21          Higgins, J., & Thompson, S. G. (2002). Quantifying heterogeneity in a  
22 meta-analysis. *Statistics in Medicine, 21*, 1539-1558.

1 Higgins, J., Thompson, S. G., Deeks, J. J., & Altman, D. G. (2003). Measuring  
2 inconsistency in meta-analyzes. *BMJ*, *327*, 557-560.

3 \*Hill, A. P. (2013). Perfectionism and burnout in junior soccer players: A test of the 2 x 2  
4 model of dispositional perfectionism. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, *35*, 18-29.

5 Hill, A. P. (2014). Perfectionistic strivings and the perils of partialling. *International  
6 Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, *12*, 302-315.

7 \*Hill, A. P., & Appleton, P. R. (2011). The predictive ability of the frequency of  
8 perfectionistic cognitions, self-oriented perfectionism, and socially prescribed perfectionism in  
9 relation to symptoms of burnout in youth rugby players. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *29*, 695-703.

10 \*Hill, A. P., Hall, H. K., & Appleton, P. R. (2010). Perfectionism and athlete burnout in  
11 junior elite athletes: The mediating role of coping tendencies. *Anxiety, Stress, & Coping*, *23*,  
12 415-430.

13 Hill, A. P., Hall, H. K., & Appleton, P. R. (2011). The relationship between  
14 multidimensional perfectionism and contingencies of self-worth. *Personality and Individual  
15 Differences*, *50*, 238-242.

16 \*Hill, A. P., Hall, H. K., Appleton, P. R., & Kozub, S. A. (2008). Perfectionism and  
17 burnout in junior elite soccer players: The mediating influence of unconditional self-acceptance.  
18 *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, *9*, 630-644.

19 \*Hill, A. P., Hall, H. K., Appleton, P. R., & Murray, J. J. (2010). Perfectionism and  
20 burnout in canoe polo and kayak slalom athletes: The mediating influence of validation and  
21 growth-seeking. *The Sport Psychologist*, *24*, 16-34.

22 Hill, A. P., Stoeber, J., Brown, A., & Appleton, P. R. (2014). Team perfectionism and  
23 team performance: A prospective study. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, *36*, 303-315.

- 1 Hill, R.W., Huelsman, T.J., Furr, R.M., Kibler, J., Vicente, B.B., & Kennedy, C. (2004).  
2 A new measure of perfectionism: The Perfectionism Inventory. *Journal of Personality*  
3 *Assessment*, 82, 80-91.
- 4 Ho, M., Appleton, R. P., Cumming, J., & Duda, J. L. (n.d). [Perfectionism and athlete  
5 burnout: Data set 1]. Unpublished raw data.
- 6 Ho, M., Appleton, R. P., Cumming, J., & Duda, J. L. (n.d). [Perfectionism and athlete  
7 burnout: Data set 2]. Unpublished raw data.
- 8 Hunter, J. E., & Schmidt, F. L. (2004). *Methods of meta-analysis: Correcting error and*  
9 *bias in research findings*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- 10 Hunthausen, J. M., Truxillo, D. M., Bauer, T. N., & Hammer, L. B. (2003). A field study  
11 of frame-of-reference effects on personality test validity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88,  
12 545-551.
- 13 Jiang, W., Huang, Y., & Chen, G. (2012). How cooperativeness and competitiveness  
14 influence student burnout: The moderating effect of neuroticism. *Social Behavior and*  
15 *Personality: An International Journal*, 40, 805-813.
- 16 \*Jowett, G. E., Hill, A. P., Hall, H. K., & Curran, T. (2013). Perfectionism and junior  
17 athlete burnout: The mediating role of autonomous and controlled motivation. *Sport, Exercise,*  
18 *and Performance Psychology*, 2, 48-61.
- 19 Jowett, G. E., Hill, A. P., & Hall, H. K. (n.d). [Perfectionism and athlete burnout: Data  
20 set 1]. Unpublished raw data.
- 21 Jowett, G. E., Hill, A. P., & Hall, H. K. (n.d). [Perfectionism and athlete burnout: Data  
22 set 2]. Unpublished raw data.

1           Klibert, J., Lamis, D. A., Collins, W., Smalley, K. B., Warren, J. C., Yancey, C. T., &  
2 Winterowd, C. (2014). Resilience mediates the relations between perfectionism and college  
3 student distress. *Journal of Counseling & Development, 92*, 75-82.

4           \*Kristiansen, E., Abrahamsen, F. E., & Stensrud, T. (2012). Stress-related breathing  
5 problems: an issue for elite swimmers. *Journal of Swimming Research, 19*, 1-8.

6           Lee, R. T., & Ashforth, B. E. (1996). A meta-analytic examination of the correlates of the  
7 three dimensions of job burnout. *Journal of applied Psychology, 81*, 123-133.

8           Leiter, M. P. (1993). Burnout as developmental process: Consideration of models. In W.  
9 B. Schaufeli, C. Maslach, & T. Marek (Eds.), *Professional burnout: Recent developments in*  
10 *theory and research* (pp. 237–250). Washington , DC : Taylor and Francis.

11           \*Lemyre, P. N., Hall, H. K., & Roberts, G. C. (2008). A social cognitive approach to  
12 burnout in elite athletes. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports, 18*, 221-234.

13           \*Li, X., Hou, Z. J., Chi, H. Y., Liu, J., & Hager, M. J. (2014). The mediating role of  
14 coping in the relationship between subtypes of perfectionism and job burnout: A test of the 2× 2  
15 model of perfectionism with employees in China. *Personality and Individual Differences, 58*, 65-  
16 70.

17           Lievens, F., De Corte, W., & Schollaert, E. (2008). A closer look at the frame-of-  
18 reference effect in personality scale scores and validity. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 93*, 268-  
19 279.

20           Lipsey, M. W., & Wilson, D. B. (2001). *Practical meta-analysis*. Thousand Oaks, CA:  
21 Sage.

- 1 Little, T. D., Preacher, K. J., Selig, J. P., & Card, N. A. (2007). New developments in  
2 latent variable panel analyzes of longitudinal data. *International Journal of Behavioral*  
3 *Development, 31*, 357-365.
- 4 Lloyd, S., Schmidt, U., Khondoker, M., & Tchanturia, K. (in press). Can psychological  
5 interventions reduce perfectionism? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Behavioural and*  
6 *Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 1-27. doi: 10.1017/S1352465814000162
- 7 Maslach, C. (1982). *Burnout: The cost of caring*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- 8 Maslach, C. (1976). Burned-out. *Human Behavior, 5*, 16-22.
- 9 Maslach, C. (2001). What have we learned about burnout and health? *Psychology &*  
10 *Health, 16*, 607-611.
- 11 Maslach, C., & Jackson, S. E. (1981a). The measurement of experienced burnout,  
12 *Journal of Occupational Behaviour, 2*, 99-113.
- 13 Maslach, C., & Jackson, S. E. (1981b, 1996). *Maslach Burnout Inventory*. In C. Maslach,  
14 S. E. Jackson, & M. P. Leiter, MBI Manual (3rd ed.). Mountain View, CA: CPP, Inc.
- 15 Maslach, C., Schaufeli, W. B., & Leiter, M. P. (2001). Job burnout. *Annual Review of*  
16 *Psychology, 52*, 397-422.
- 17 Mazzetti, G., Schaufeli, W. B., & Guglielmi, D. (2014). Are workaholics born or made?  
18 Relations of workaholism with person characteristics and overwork climate. *International*  
19 *Journal of Stress Management, 21*, 227-254.
- 20 McArdle, S. (2010). Exploring domain-specific perfectionism. *Journal of*  
21 *Personality, 78*, 493-508.
- 22 \*Mitchelson, J. K., & Burns, L. R. (1998). Career mothers and perfectionism: Stress at  
23 work and at home. *Personality and Individual Differences, 25*, 477-485.

- 1 Molnar, D. S. Sadava, S. W., Flett, G. L. & Colautti, J. (2012). Perfectionism and health:  
2 A mediational analysis of the roles of stress, social support and health-related behaviours.  
3 *Psychology & Health, 27*, 846–864.
- 4 Mor, S., Day, H. I., & Flett, G. L. (1995). Perfectionism, control, and components of  
5 performance anxiety in professional artists. *Cognitive Therapy and Research, 19*, 207-225.
- 6 Ng, T. W., Sorensen, K. L., & Eby, L. T. (2006). Locus of control at work: a  
7 meta-analysis. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 27*, 1057-1087.
- 8 Noble, C. L., Ashby, J. S., & Gnilka, P. B. (2014). Multidimensional perfectionism,  
9 coping, and depression: Differential prediction of depression symptoms by perfectionism type.  
10 *Journal of College Counseling, 17*, 80-94.
- 11 O'Connor, R. C., O'Connor, D. B., O'Connor, S. M., Smallwood, J. & Miles, J. (2004).  
12 Hopelessness, stress, and perfectionism: The moderating effects of future thinking. *Cognition*  
13 *and Emotion, 18*, 1099-1120.
- 14 \*Ogus, E. D. (2007). *Burnout among professionals: Work stress, coping, and gender*.  
15 Unpublished doctoral dissertation: York University.
- 16 \*Ozbilir, T. (2011). *Perfectionism in the workplace*. Unpublished Master's degree  
17 dissertation: Saint Mary's University.
- 18 Philp, M., Egan, S. J., & Kane, R. T. (2012). Perfectionism, over commitment to work  
19 and burnout in employees seeking workplace counselling. *Australian Journal of Psychology, 64*,  
20 68-74.
- 21 Purvanova, R. K., & Muros, J. P. (2010). Gender differences in burnout: A meta-  
22 analysis. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 77*, 168-185.

- 1           Raedeke, T. D. (1997). Is athlete burnout more than just stress? A sport commitment  
2 perspective. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology, 19*, 396-417.
- 3           Raedeke, T. D., & Smith, A. L. (2001). Development and preliminary validation of an  
4 athlete burnout measure. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 23*, 281–306.
- 5           Rice, K. G., Vergara, D. T., & Aldea, M. A. (2006). Cognitive-affective mediators of  
6 perfectionism and college student adjustment. *Personality and Individual Differences, 40*, 463-  
7 473.
- 8           Rosenberg, M. S., Adams, D. C., & Gurevitch, J. (2007). *Meta Win* (Version 2.1, Release  
9 5.10) [Software]. Available from <http://www.metawinsoft.com/>.
- 10          Rosenthal, R. (1979). The “file drawer problem” and tolerance for null results.  
11 *Psychological Bulletin, 86*, 638-641.
- 12          Schaufeli, W. B., Leiter, M. P., Maslach, C., & Jackson, S. E. (1996). The Maslach  
13 Burnout Inventory—General Survey. In C. Maslach, S. E. Jackson, & M. P. Leiter (Ed.), *MBI*  
14 *manual* (3rd ed.). Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.
- 15          Schaufeli, W. B., Martínez, I. M., Pinto, A. M., Salanova, M., & Bakker, A. B. (2002).  
16 Burnout and engagement in university students a cross-national study. *Journal of Cross-Cultural*  
17 *Psychology, 33*, 464-481.
- 18          Schmidt, F. L., Oh, I.-S., & Hayes, T. L. (2009). Fixed- versus random effects models in  
19 meta-analysis: Model properties and an empirical comparison of differences in results. *British*  
20 *Journal of Mathematical & Statistical Psychology, 62*, 97–128.
- 21          \*Schwenke, T. J. (2012). *The relationships between perfectionism, stress, coping*  
22 *resources, and burnout among sign language interpreters*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation:  
23 Georgia State University.

- 1 Sherry, S. B., Hewitt, P. L., Flett, G. L., Lee-Baggle, D. L., & Hall, P. A. (2007). Trait  
2 perfectionism and perfectionistic self-presentation in personality pathology. *Personality and*  
3 *Individual Differences, 42*, 477-490.
- 4 \*Shih, S. S. (2012). An examination of academic burnout versus work engagement  
5 among Taiwanese adolescents. *The Journal of Educational Research, 105*, 286-298.
- 6 Smith, R. E. (1986). Toward a cognitive-affective model of athletic burnout. *Journal of*  
7 *Sport Psychology, 8*, 36-50.
- 8 Slaney, R. B., Rice, K. G., Mobley, M., Trippi, J., & Ashby, J. S. (2001). The revised  
9 Almost Perfect Scale. *Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development, 34*, 130-  
10 145.
- 11 Stensrud, T., Kristiansen, E., & Abrahamsen, F. E. (n.d). [Perfectionism and athlete  
12 burnout]. Unpublished raw data.
- 13 Stoeber, J. (2011). The dual nature of perfectionism in sports: Relationships with  
14 emotion, motivation, and performance. *International Review of Sport and Exercise*  
15 *Psychology, 4*, 128-145.
- 16 \*Stoeber, J., & Childs, J. H. (2010). The assessment of self-oriented and socially  
17 prescribed perfectionism: Subscales make a difference. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 92*,  
18 577-585.
- 19 Stoeber, J., & Damian, L. E. (in press). Perfectionism in employees: Work engagement,  
20 workaholism, and burnout. In F. M. Sirois & D. S. Molnar (Eds.), *Perfectionism, health, and*  
21 *well-being*. New York: Springer.
- 22 Stoeber, J., Haskew, A. E., & Scott, C. (2015). Perfectionism and exam performance: The  
23 mediating effect of task-approach goals. *Personality and Individual Differences, 74*, 171-176.

- 1           Stoeber, J., Kobori, O., & Tanno, Y. (2013). Perfectionism and self-conscious emotions  
2 in British and Japanese students: Predicting pride and embarrassment after success and failure.  
3 *European Journal of Personality, 27*, 59-70.
- 4           Stoeber, J., & Otto, K. (2006). Positive conceptions of perfectionism: Approaches,  
5 evidence, challenges. *Personality and Social Psychology Review, 10*, 295-319.
- 6           Stoeber, J., Otto, K., & Stoll, O. (2006). *Multidimensional Inventory of Perfectionism*  
7 (*MIPS*): *English version*. Unpublished manuscript.
- 8           \*Stoeber, J., & Rennert, D. (2008). Perfectionism in school teachers: Relations with  
9 stress appraisals, coping styles, and burnout. *Anxiety, Stress, and Coping, 21*, 37-53.
- 10          Stoeber, J., & Stoeber, F. S. (2009). Domains of perfectionism: Prevalence and  
11 relationships with perfectionism, gender, age, and satisfaction with life. *Personality and*  
12 *Individual Differences, 46*, 530-535.
- 13          Sturman, E. D., Flett, G. L., Hewitt, P. L., & Rudolph, S. G. (2009). Dimensions of  
14 perfectionism and self-worth contingencies in depression. *Journal of Rational-Emotive &*  
15 *Cognitive-Behavior Therapy, 27*, 213-231.
- 16          Swider, B. W., & Zimmerman, R. D. (2010). Born to burnout: A meta-analytic path  
17 model of personality, job burnout, and work outcomes. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 76*, 487-  
18 506.
- 19          \*Taris, T. W., Beek I., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2010). Why do perfectionists have a higher  
20 burnout risk than others? The mediational effect of workaholism. *Romanian Journal of Applied*  
21 *Psychology, 12*, 1-7.

1           \*Tashman, L. S., Tenenbaum, G., & Eklund, R. (2010). The effect of perceived stress on  
2 the relationship between perfectionism and burnout in coaches. *Anxiety, Stress, & Coping*, *23*,  
3 195-212.

4           Terry-Short, L. A., Owens, R. G., Slade, P. D., & Dewey, M. E. (1995). Positive and  
5 negative perfectionism. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *18*, 663-668.

6           Vallerand, R.J. (2004). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation in sport. In C.D. Spielberger  
7 (Ed.), *Encyclopaedia of Applied Psychology* (pp. 427–435). San Diego: Elsevier Academic  
8 Press.

9           Van Beek, I., Taris, T. W., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2011). Workaholic and work engaged  
10 employees: dead ringers or worlds apart? *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, *16*, 468-  
11 482.

12           \*Van Yperen, N. W., Verbraak, M., & Spoor, E. (2011). Perfectionism and clinical  
13 disorders among employees. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *50*, 1126-1130.

14           Walburg, V. (2014). Burnout among high school students: A literature review. *Children  
15 And Youth Services Review*, *42*, 28-33.

16           Yang, H. J. (2004). Factors affecting student burnout and academic achievement in  
17 multiple enrolment programs in Taiwan's technical–vocational colleges. *International  
18 Journal of Educational Development*, *24*, 283-301.

19           \*Zhang, Y., Gan, Y., & Cham, H. (2007). Perfectionism, academic burnout and  
20 engagement among Chinese college students: A structural equation modeling  
21 analysis. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *43*, 1529-1540.

22

23

Table 1 *Characteristics of Studies included in the Meta-Analysis*

Study	Sample		Measurement			Effect Sizes																	
	Domain	N	Intru.	PS	PC	PS-PC		PS-RA		PS-EX		PS-DE		PS-BO		PC-RA		PC-EX		PC-DE		PC-BO	
						<i>r</i>	<i>sr</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>sr</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>sr</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>sr</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>sr</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>sr</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>sr</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>sr</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>sr</i>
Appleton & Hill (2012)	Sport	231	CAPS	SOP	SPP	.23	-.24	-.29	-.03	-.11	-.29	-.34	-.26	-.35	.21	.27	.31	.32	.18	.39	.33	.47	
Appleton et al. (2009)	Sport	201	HMPS	SOP	SPP	.24	-.19	-.26	.07	.01	-.17	.25	-.13	.00	.27	.32	.27	.25	.29	.34	.36	.40	
Barcza (2012)*	Sport	507	HMPS	SOP	SPP	.34	.04	-.07	.05	-.09	-.11	-.21	-.01	-.17	.32	.31	.38	.36	.27	.31	.44	.45	
Caliskan et al. (2014)	Work	342	APS-R	HS	D	.28	-.51	-.51	-.06	-.16	-.08	-.22	-.43	-.59	.00	.16	.33	.35	.42	.44	.49	.63	
Chang (2012)	Work	314	FMPS	--	CM/DA	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	.23	--	.25	--	--	--	
Chen et al. (2009)	Sport	188	MIPS	SP	NRI	.60	-.33	-.28	-.18	-.16	-.34	-.25	-.45	-.37	-.08	.13	-.04	.07	-.15	.06	-.15	.14	
Childs & Stoeber (2010)	Work	106	HMPS	SOP	SPP	.49	-.19	-.28	.00	-.15	-.17	-.27	-.20	-.23	.18	.28	.29	.29	.19	.28	.38	.48	
Childs & Stoeber (2012)																							
Study 1	Work	69	HMPS	SOP	SPP	.26	-.15	-.22	.04	-.11	.06	-.14	-.02	-.21	.24	.28	.52	.51	.30	.33	.50	.53	
Study 2	Work	195	HMPS	SOP	SPP	.48	.09	-.16	.23	.01	.01	-.20	.15	-.16	.48	.44	.46	.36	.40	.40	.60	.52	
Comerchero (2008)*	Work	285	APS-R	HS	D	-.09	-.40	-.39	-.06	-.05	-.39	-.38	-.47	-.46	.36	.35	.10	.09	.26	.24	.40	.38	
Corrigan (1997)*	Work	508	HMPS	SOP	SPP	.60	.10	-.04	.28	.04	.20	-.02	.35	-.01	.24	.18	.41	.25	.37	.26	.61	.41	
Cumming & Duda (2012)	Sport	194	FMPS	PS <sub>t</sub>	CM/DA	.15	--	--	-.06	-.10	--	--	--	--	--	--	.26	.27	--	--	--	--	
Fairlie (2011)*	Work	278	HMPS	SOP	SPP	.47	-.11	-.18	.07	-.06	.05	-.07	.01	-.16	.15	.20	.27	.24	.26	.24	.36	.46	
Flaxman et al. (2012)	Work	111	FMPS	--	DA	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	.26	--	--	--	--	--	
Gotwals (2011)	Sport	117	SMPS-2	PS <sub>t</sub>	CM/DA	.25	.04	-.07	.02	-.06	-.18	-.24	-.06	-.19	.41	.41	.30	.30	.22	.27	.49	.51	
Hill & Appleton (2011)	Sport	202	HMPS	SOP	SPP	.12	-.12	-.17	-.15	-.19	-.45	-.47	-.34	-.40	.35	.38	.30	.32	.14	.22	.38	.45	
Hill (2013) ‡	Sport	167	MULT <sup>a</sup>	SOP/PS <sub>t</sub>	SPP/CM/DA	-.04	-.27	-.27	-.03	-.02	-.19	-.19	-.23	-.22	.18	.18	.21	.21	.18	.18	.27	.27	

PERFECTIONISM AND BURNOUT 50

Hill et al. (2008)	Sport	151	HMPS	SOP	SPP	.16	-.39	-.52	-.25	-.35	-.42	-.53	-.45	-.59	.46	.64	.41	.46	.40	.51	.54	.68
Hill et al. (2010a)	Sport	150	HMPS	SOP	SPP	.26	-.09	-.19	.04	-.03	-.14	-.20	-.11	-.24	.34	.36	.26	.25	.22	.26	.48	.52
Hill et al. (2010b)	Sport	206	HMPS	SOP	SPP	.27	-.17	-.23	-.03	-.13	-.31	-.36	-.24	-.35	.21	.26	.33	.34	.17	.27	.34	.42
Ho et al. (n.d)†																						
Dataset 1	Sport	212	HMPS	SOP	SPP	.37	-.19	-.18	.03	-.03	-.12	-.18	-.12	-.17	-.03	.04	.17	.16	.15	.20	.13	.17
Dataset 2	Sport	205	HMPS	SOP	SPP	.29	-.38	-.43	-.19	-.23	-.40	-.44	-.44	-.50	.15	.28	.12	.18	.12	.26	.18	.32
Jowett et al. (2013)	Sport	211	MULT <sup>a</sup>	SOP/PS <sub>t</sub>	SPP/CM/DA	.58	-.14	-.32	.05	-.07	-.14	-.31	-.10	-.31	.28	.36	.20	.17	.27	.35	.33	.39
Jowett et al. (n.d)†																						
Dataset 1	Sport	267	MULT <sup>a</sup>	SOP/PS <sub>t</sub>	SPP/CM/DA	.25	-.21	-.27	-.10	-.16	-.16	-.23	-.22	-.32	.23	.29	.21	.24	.24	.28	.32	.39
Dataset 2	Sport	244	MULT <sup>a</sup>	SOP/PS <sub>t</sub>	SPP/CM/DA	.15	-.08	-.12	.07	.04	-.19	-.22	-.10	-.15	.24	.25	.20	.19	.16	.19	.30	.31
Kristiansen et al. (2012)	Sport	24	FMPS	PS <sub>t</sub>	--	.29	-.17	-.22	-.18	-.26	-.37	-.62	-.36	-.55	.17	.22	.15	.21	.53	.59	.43	.51
Lemyre et al. (2008)	Sport	141	FMPS	PS <sub>t</sub>	CM/DA	.40	-.19	-.32	-.22	-.26	-.15	-.18	-.26	-.36	.29	.37	.09	.18	.08	.14	.22	.32
Li et al. (2014)	Work	345	APS-R	HS	D	.36	-.26	-.38	.08	-.06	-.04	-.19	-.12	-.34	.29	.40	.38	.35	.38	.39	.58	.62
Mitchelson & Burns (1998)	Work	67	HMPS	SOP	SPP	--	.19	--	.17	--	-.02	--	--	--	.07	--	.38	--	.40	--	--	--
Ogus (2007)*																						
Study 1	Work	594	HMPS	SOP	SPP	--	-.05	--	.23	--	-.01	--	.11	--	.20	--	.47	--	.30	--	.42	--
Study 2	Work	167	HMPS	SOP	SPP	--	.03	--	.20	--	.17	--	.24	--	.10	--	.54	--	.31	--	.58	--
Study 3	Work	298	HMPS	SOP	SPP	--	.27	--	.26	--	.22	--	.51	--	.08	--	.49	--	.27	--	.57	--
Ozibilir (2011)*																						
Study 1	Work	178	MULT <sup>b</sup>	SOP/HS	D	.31	--	--	-.09	-.14	-.26	-.39	--	--	--	--	.17	.20	.34	.44	--	--
Study 2	Work	167	MULT <sup>b</sup>	SOP/HS	D	.13	--	--	.06	.03	-.14	-.19	--	--	--	--	.22	.21	.30	.32	--	--
Schwenke (2013)*	Work	238	APS-R	HS	D	-.06	--	--	-.11	-.09	--	--	--	--	--	--	.35	.35	--	--	--	--
Shih (2012)	Educ.	456	FMPS	PS <sub>t</sub>	CM/DA	.35	-.52	-.53	-.29	-.36	-.29	-.35	-.49	-.55	.03	.25	.18	.29	.17	.28	.17	.36

Stensrud et al. (n.d)†	Sport	50	FMPS	PS <sub>t</sub>	CM	.48	.03	-.08	-.22	-.34	-.05	-.28	--	--	.38	.37	.23	.34	.42	.58	--	--
Stoeber & Childs (2010)	Educ.	111	HMPS	SOP	SPP	.43	-.50	-.56	-.07	-.21	-.34	-.43	-.41	-.54	.12	.37	.30	.33	.19	.36	.28	.48
Stoeber & Rennert (2008)	Work	118	MIPS	SP	NRI	.56	-.05	-.29	.07	-.32	-.01	-.22	.00	-.34	.39	.42	.59	.55	.35	.36	.54	.54
Taris et al. (2010)	Work	199	FMPS	PS <sub>t</sub>	CM	.53	-.07	-.19	.19	.02	.14	.02	.15	-.08	.22	.26	.32	.22	.23	.16	.43	.36
Tashman et al. (2009)	Work	177	PI	SE	CM	.46	.03	-.11	.28	.08	.24	.02	.27	.00	.29	.28	.45	.33	.48	.38	.60	.49
Van Peren et al. (2011)	Work	275	MULT <sup>b</sup>	SOP/HS	SPP/DA	.46	--	--	.16	.01	--	--	--	--	--	--	.32	.25	--	--	--	--
Zhang et al. (2007)	Educ.	482	FMPS	PS <sub>t</sub>	CM/DA	.15	-.40	-.42	-.07	-.12	-.18	-.22	-.33	-.38	.10	.17	.32	.33	.23	.26	.33	.38

*Note.* \* Thesis/Dissertation, ‡ So to avoid inclusion of aggregate indicators that include dimensions not considered indicators of perfectionistic concerns (viz. parental pressure), effect sizes for this study come from correlation coefficients not reported in the original publication of this study, †Unpublished dataset; Educ. = Education; Intru. = Instrument, CAPS-R = Child and Adolescent Perfectionism Scale (Flett et al., 2001), HMPS = Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale (Hewitt & Flett, 1991), APS-R = Almost Perfect Scale-Revised (Slaney et al., 2001), FMPS = Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale (Frost et al., 1990); SMPS-2 Sport Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale 2 (Gotwals et al., 2010), MULT<sup>a</sup> = Both SMPS-2 and HMPS were used, MULT<sup>b</sup> = Both HMPS and APS-R were used, MIPS = Multidimensional Inventory of Perfectionism in Sport or adaptation (Stoeber, Otto, & Stoll, 2006); PI = Perfectionism Inventory (R. W. Hill et al., 2004); PS = Perfectionistic strivings, SOP = self-oriented perfectionism, HS = High standards, SP = Striving for perfection, PS<sub>t</sub> = Personal standards, SE = Striving for excellence; PC = Perfectionistic concerns, SPP = Socially prescribed perfectionism, D = Discrepancy, CM = Concern over mistakes, DA = Doubts about action, NRI = Negative reactions to imperfection; RA = Reduced accomplishment; EX = Exhaustion; DE= Depersonalisation/Devaluation, BO = Overall burnout; *r* = bivariate correlation coefficient; *rs* = semi-partial correlation coefficient.

Table 2 *Meta-analytical relationships between perfectionism and burnout across all studies*

Relationship	<i>k</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>r</i> <sup>+</sup>	95% <i>CI</i>	<i>Q<sub>T</sub></i>	<i>I</i> <sup>2</sup> (%)	Fail-safe <i>N</i>	Egger's intercept	95% <i>CI</i>	<i>k</i> <sup>TF</sup>	"Trim and Fill" estimates
											<i>r</i> <sup>+</sup> [95% <i>CI</i> ]
Perfectionistic strivings											
Total burnout	34	8244	-.14*	[-.23, -.04]	672.64**	95.09	1072.0	-2.13	[-7.93, 3.66]	5	-.08 [-.17, .03]
Reduced personal accomplishment	36	8361	-.16*	[-.24, -.09]	399.92**	91.25	1998.0	0.70	[-3.23, 4.64]	6	-.22 [-.29, -.14]
Exhaustion	41	9413	.01	[-.04, .06]	237.68**	83.17	0†	-1.62	[-4.36, 1.23]	6	.05 [-.01, .10]
Depersonalization	38	8706	-.14*	[-.20, -.07]	303.70**	87.82	1272.0	-1.79	[-5.04, 1.45]	8	-.07 [-.13, -.01]
Pure perfectionistic strivings											
Total burnout	31	7035	-.31*	[-.37, -.24]	278.39**	89.22	5190.0	-0.24	[-4.49, 4.01]	0	n/a
Reduced personal accomplishment	32	7085	-.28*	[-.33, -.22]	209.67**	85.21	4211.0	0.57	[-2.82, 3.56]	6	-.32 [-.38, -.26]
Exhaustion	37	8137	-.11*	[-.14, -.07]	107.98**	66.66	778.0	-0.76	[-2.96, 1.44]	0	n/a
Depersonalization	34	7430	-.25*	[-.30, -.21]	143.34**	76.98	3914.0	-1.85	[-4.45, 0.75]	1	-.26 [-.31, -.21]
Perfectionistic concerns											
Total burnout	34	8244	.41*	[.36, .45]	225.79**	85.39	2267.0	-0.50	[-3.88, 2.89]	0	n/a

Reduced personal accomplishment	36	8361	.21*	[.16, .26]	193.74**	81.94	2925.0	1.08	[-1.64, 3.80]	5	.17 [.12, .23]
Exhaustion	43	9838	.30*	[.26, .34]	181.69**	76.88	9798.0	-1.10	[-3.41, 1.20]	7	.34 [.29, .38]
Depersonalization	39	9020	.26*	[.22, .30]	115.79**	67.18	5866.0	-0.11	[-2.11, 1.90]	3	.25 [.21, .28]
Pure perfectionistic concerns											
Total burnout	31	7035	.44*	[.39, .48]	144.66**	79.26	1288.0	0.51	[-2.54, 3.57]	8	.39 [.33, .44]
Reduced personal accomplishment	32	7085	.28*	[.24, .32]	99.71**	68.91	4381.0	1.21	[-1.08, 3.51]	0	n/a
Exhaustion	38	8137	.28*	[.25, .31]	77.59**	52.31	6134.0	0.11	[-1.70, 1.92]	8	.31 [.28, .34]
Depersonalization	34	7430	.29*	[.26, .33]	73.72**	55.24	5558.0	0.60	[-1.32, 2.51]	0	n/a
Perfectionistic concerns and strivings	37	8771	.32*	[.26, .38]	342.22**	89.48	7997.0	-0.22	[-4.16, 3.72]	0	n/a

*Note.* \*  $p < .01$ .  $r^+$  = weighted mean  $r$ . † signifies that the Fail-safe  $N$  falls below threshold.  $k^{TF}$  = Number of imputed studies as part of “Trim and

Fill” method. n/a = not applicable

1 Table 3 Comparison of effects sizes between sport, work, and education

Comparison	<i>k</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>r</i> <sup>+</sup>	95% <i>CI</i>	<i>Q<sub>B</sub></i>	<i>I</i> <sup>2</sup> (%)	Egger's		"Trim and Fill"		
							Fail-safe <i>N</i>	intercept	95% <i>CI</i>	<i>k</i> <sup>TF</sup>	<i>r</i> <sup>+</sup> [95% <i>CI</i> ]
PS and overall burnout					18.46**	0.00					
Sport <sup>a</sup>	17	3424	-.23*	[-.30, -.15]			687	-3.56	[-8.09, 0.97]	1	-.21 [-.28, -.14]
Work <sup>b</sup>	14	3831	.04	[-.13, .21]			14†	-1.74	[-13.07, 9.58]	3	-.06 [-.24, .12]
Education <sup>a</sup>	3	989	-.41*	[-.52, -.29]			141	-0.22	[-76.41, 75.98]	n/a	n/a
PS and reduced personal accomp.					32.35**	11.91					
Sport <sup>a</sup>	18	3474	-.18*	[-.24, -.11]			433	-1.60	[-5.23, -2.03]	0	n/a
Work <sup>a</sup>	15	3898	-.08	[-.20, .04]			85†	0.76	[-6.51, 8.03]	3	-.15 [-.26, -.02]
Education <sup>b</sup>	3	989	-.47*	[-.55, -.38]			191	-1.22	[-60.89, -58.45]	n/a	n/a
PS and exhaustion					19.10**	26.35					
Sport <sup>a</sup>	19	3668	-.06	[-.11, -.01]			33†	-2.30	[-4.91, 0.31]	4	-.01 [-.07 to .03]
Work <sup>b</sup>	19	4756	.11*	[.05, .17]			258	-2.11	[-5.88, 1.67]	0	n/a
Education <sup>a</sup>	3	989	-.15*	[-.31, -.02]			17†	1.94	[-89.26, 93.14]	n/a	n/a

PS and depersonalization					20.68**	31.29					
Sport <sup>a</sup>	18	3474	-.23*	[-.29, -.18]			813	-1.27	[-4.61, 2.07]	0	n/a
Work <sup>b</sup>	17	4243	-.01	[-.10, .08]			0†	-1.45	[-6.59, 3.68]	0	n/a
Education <sup>a</sup>	3	989	-.26*	[-.35, -.16]			49	-2.45	[-47.24, 42.33]	n/a	n/a
Pure PS and overall burnout					5.14	0.00					
Sport <sup>a</sup>	17	3424	-.31*	[-.39, -.22]			1382	-3.20	[-7.92, 1.51]	0	n/a
Work <sup>a</sup>	11	2622	-.25*	[-.35, -.14]			439	0.45	[-9.52, 10.42]	0	n/a
Education <sup>a</sup>	3	989	-.49*	[-.64, -.31]			204	-2.23	[-87.31, 82.84]	n/a	n/a
Pure PS and reduced personal accomp.					10.56*	8.86					
Sport <sup>a</sup>	18	3474	-.25*	[-.31, -.18]			886	-1.55	[-5.01, 1.90]	3	-.28 [-.34, -.21]
Work <sup>a</sup>	11	2622	-.26*	[-.34, -.17]			469	-0.16	[-7.75, 7.43]	1	-.28 [-.38, -.17]
Education <sup>b</sup>	3	989	-.50*	[-.62, -.36]			215	-2.50	[57.47, 52.48]	n/a	n/a
Pure PS and exhaustion					9.35*	16.98					
Sport <sup>ab</sup>	19	3668	-.12*	[-.17, -.07]			229	-1.63	[-4.20, 0.93]	2	-.10 [-.15, -.05]
Work <sup>a</sup>	15	3480	-.06*	[-.11, -.00]			24†	-1.97	[-5.20, 1.27]	4	-.01 [-.07, .04]

## PERFECTIONISM AND BURNOUT 56

Education <sup>b</sup>	3	989	-.24*	[-.34, -.13]		41	0.32	[-101.05, 101.70]	n/a	n/a
Pure PS and depersonalization					8.32*	17.17				
Sport <sup>a</sup>	18	3474	-.30*	[-.35, -.24]		1376	-1.96	[-5.10, 1.18]	1	-.30 [-.35, -.25]
Work <sup>b</sup>	13	2967	-.17*	[-.24, -.10]		263	-1.73	[-7.06, 3.61]	1	-.16 [-.23, -.07]
Education <sup>ab</sup>	3	989	-.32*	[-.45, -.19]		77	-3.52	[-57.72, 50.67]	n/a	n/a
PC and total burnout					27.07**	41.85				
Sport <sup>a</sup>	17	3424	.33*	[.28, .39]		1697	-0.30	[-4.37, 3.76]	0	n/a
Work <sup>b</sup>	14	3831	.51*	[.46, .56]		3867	0.12	[-4.31, 4.56]	2	.53 [.48, .58]
Education <sup>a</sup>	3	989	.26*	[.12, .39]		50	0.35	[-67.42, 68.42]	n/a	n/a
PC and reduced personal accomp.					6.34*	12.71				
Sport <sup>a</sup>	18	3474	.25*	[.18, .31]		904	0.25	[-3.50, 4.01]	3	.21 [.15, .28]
Work <sup>ab</sup>	15	3898	.20*	[.13, .28]		526	0.75	[-4.31, 5.81]	0	n/a
Education <sup>b</sup>	3	989	.02	[-.15, .18]		0†	-3.82	[-29.64, 22.00]	n/a	n/a
PC and exhaustion					11.16**	16.30				
Sport <sup>a</sup>	19	3668	.24*	[.18, .29]		974	-1.78	[-4.76, 1.20]	0	n/a
Work <sup>b</sup>	21	5181	.36*	[.31, .41]		3624	-0.30	[-4.00, 3.40]	0	n/a

Education <sup>ab</sup>	3	989	.26*	[.13, .39]		51	0.83	[-58.70, 60.35]	n/a	n/a
PC and depersonalization					17.82**	33.25				
Sport <sup>a</sup>	18	3747	.20*	[.15, .24]		613	0.88	[-2.39, 4.14]	2	.22 [.13, .24]
Work <sup>b</sup>	18	4557	.33*	[.28, .37]		2120	-0.10	[-2.37, 2.17]	0	n/a
Education <sup>ab</sup>	3	989	.20*	[.10, .30]		28	-0.31	[-24.84, 24.23]	n/a	n/a
Pure PC and total burnout					5.46	12.07				
Sport <sup>a</sup>	17	3424	.40*	[.34, .45]		2462	0.60	[-4.12, 5.33]	0	n/a
Work <sup>a</sup>	11	2622	.50*	[.43, .56]		2039	0.17	[-5.49, 5.84]	0	n/a
Education <sup>a</sup>	3	989	.40*	[.26, .52]		126	2.66	[-7.85, 13.16]	n/a	n/a
Pure PC and reduced personal accomp.					0.35	2.45				
Sport <sup>a</sup>	18	3474	.28*	[.22, .33]		1202	-0.29	[-4.02, 3.44]	3	.24 [.18, .31]
Work <sup>a</sup>	11	2622	.29*	[.22, .36]		602	2.33	[-2.11, 6.77]	0	n/a
Education <sup>a</sup>	3	989	.25*	[.12, .38]		43	3.63	[-27.59, 34.85]	n/a	n/a
Pure PC and exhaustion					1.85	3.06				
Sport <sup>a</sup>	19	3668	.26	[.21, .30]		1138	-0.80	[-3.44, 1.83]	5	.30 [.25, .34]

Work <sup>a</sup>	16	2967	.29	[.25, .34]		1256	2.23	[-1.32, 5.78]	0	n/a
Education <sup>a</sup>	3	989	.31	[.21, .41]		77	0.38	[-17.29, 18.05]	n/a	n/a
Pure PC and depersonalization					2.77	8.49				
Sport <sup>a</sup>	18	3474	.27	[.22, .32]		1140	0.75	[-2.20, 3.70]	0	n/a
Work <sup>a</sup>	13	2967	.33	[.28, .38]		1054	0.51	[3.29, 4.31]	0	n/a
Education <sup>a</sup>	3	989	.29	[.19, .39]		63	2.03	[-5.45, 9.51]	n/a	n/a
PC and PS					1.22	0.00				
Sport <sup>a</sup>	19	3668	.29	[.20, .37]		1459	-0.07	[2.22, -4.75]	4	.34 [.26, .41]
Work <sup>a</sup>	15	4114	.36	[.27, .45]		1842	-1.84	[4.34, -11.21]	2	.32 [.20, .44]
Education <sup>a</sup>	3	989	.31	[.08, .51]		63	4.36	[-78.04, 86.76]	n/a	n/a

1 Note. \*  $p < .05$  \*\*  $p < .01$ . † signifies that the Fail-safe  $N$  falls below threshold.  $r^+$  = weighted mean  $r$ . PS = Perfectionistic strivings,  
2 PC = Perfectionistic concerns. Pure perfectionistic strivings and pure perfectionistic concerns are residualized versions of the original  
3 variables having controlled for the relationship between them.  $I^2$  corresponds with the  $Q_T$  from each random effects model. Domains  
4 that share the same subscripts (<sup>abc</sup>) do not differ in their weighted mean effect sizes. “Trim and Fill” method is not used for studies  
5 from education due to the low number of studies. n/a = not applicable

6