Est.	YORK
1841	ST JOHN
	UNIVERSITY

Brimmell, Jack ORCID logoORCID:

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7481-9711, Edwards, Elizabeth ORCID logoORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7549-205X and Vaughan, Robert S. ORCID logoORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1573-7000 (2022) Executive function and visual attention in sport: A systematic review. International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 17 (2). pp. 1278-1311.

Downloaded from: https://ray.yorksj.ac.uk/id/eprint/7087/

The version presented here may differ from the published version or version of record. If you intend to cite from the work you are advised to consult the publisher's version: https://doi.org/10.1080/1750984X.2022.2145574

Research at York St John (RaY) is an institutional repository. It supports the principles of open access by making the research outputs of the University available in digital form. Copyright of the items stored in RaY reside with the authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full text items free of charge, and may download a copy for private study or non-commercial research. For further reuse terms, see licence terms governing individual outputs. Institutional Repository Policy Statement



Research at the University of York St John For more information please contact RaY at <u>ray@yorksj.ac.uk</u>

	To cite: Brimmell, J., Edwards, E. J., & Vaughan, R. S. (2023). Executive function and
	visual attention in sport: A systematic review. International Review of Sport and Exercise
	Psychology. Advance Online Publication.
	https://doi.org/10.1080/1750984X.2022.2145574. Accepted 18-10-22.
2	
3	
4	Executive function and visual attention in sport: A systematic review
5	
6	Jack Brimmell ¹ *, Elizabeth J. Edwards ² , Robert S. Vaughan ³
7	
8	¹ School of Education and Psychology, University of Bolton, Bolton, UK
9	² School of Education, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia
10	³ School of Education, Language, and Psychology, York St. John University, York, UK
11	
12	ORCiD:
13	Jack Brimmell: 0000-0001-7481-9711
14	Elizabeth J. Edwards: 0000-0002-7549-205X
15	Robert S. Vaughan: 0000-0002-1573-7000
16	
17	*Author Note:
18	Correspondence for this article should be addressed to Jack Brimmell, School of Education
19	and Psychology, University of Bolton, Bolton, UK.
20	Address: Office T2-48, Eagle Tower, Deane Road, Bolton, BL3 5AB
21	Email: J.Brimmell@Bolton.ac.uk

Abstract

23	Research has attested to the importance of three lower-order executive functions (EFs
24	inhibition, shifting, and updating) and visual attention (VA) for sport performance. However,
25	there is limited research examining the association between EF and VA in sport. The present
26	study systematically reviewed literature from Web of Science, Scopus, MEDLINE, APA
27	PsycInfo, PubMed, SPORTDiscus, CINAHL, and Discover EBSCO that examined both EF
28	and VA in sport following the PRISMA guidelines. Experiments that were full-texts
29	published in English, contained original data, quantitatively measured EF and VA, and
30	allowed for direct or inferred comments on the relationship between EF and VA were eligible
31	for inclusion. Twenty-two experiments met the inclusion criteria. Results showed large
32	discrepancies in the labelling of sporting expertise, that EF outcomes typically focus on
33	response accuracy over response time, and that quiet eye and number and duration of
34	fixations are popular VA variables. Though limited, studies comparing EF and VA directly
35	indicated a positive relationship suggesting an important link between the two. In sum, more
36	direct assessments of the association between EF and VA are needed to understand their
37	respective and joint contribution to sport performance.
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	Keywords: Attentional control; Scoping study; Gaze; Cognition; Sport performance.

47

Introduction

Successful sport performance requires, in part, a combination of outstanding 48 cognition, perception, and visual attention (VA; see Furley & Wood, 2016). For example, a 49 basketballer may have to combine manipulating ever-moving player locations (i.e., 50 51 perceptual-cognition) with current player locations (i.e., VA) to successfully pass the ball. Recent research supports the importance of executive function (EF, i.e., cognitive processes 52 53 facilitating thoughts and behaviour; Scharfen & Memmert, 2019) and VA (e.g., the quiet eye; Lebeau et al., 2016) for successful sport performance. Studies have focused primarily on 54 55 group differences and suggest that sporting experts may possess enhanced cognitive and visual abilities (Furley & Wood, 2016). However, given the theoretical links between EF and 56 VA (Corbetta & Shulman, 2002) it is surprising that very few studies have considered the 57 58 association between these processes in sport. We provide the first systematic review of the 59 literature examining the EF and VA association in sporting samples. Research in this area encompasses a range of individual differences (e.g., sport type and participation level), 60 measurement tasks and outcomes (e.g., sport-specific, domain-general, accuracy-based, and 61 response times), and research designs (e.g., expert vs. novice, training paradigms, 62 manipulation studies, and direct comparisons). Therefore, given such methodological 63 64 heterogeneity, a qualitative synthesis of relevant studies was conducted.

65 **Executive Function**

Executive functions comprise a group of distinct, yet interrelated, top-down (i.e.,
conscious, and goal-directed) processes important for behavioural regulation (Zelazo &
Carlson, 2012). Executive functions can be distinguished into lower- and higher-order
processes (Diamond, 2013). The lower-order functions of inhibition (i.e., withholding a
dominant response), shifting (i.e., switching between or within tasks), and updating (i.e.,
monitoring information in working memory) were initially outlined as the most postulated

72 EFs by Miyake et al. (2000). These functions were then outlined by Attentional Control 73 Theory (Eysenck et al., 2007) and then Attentional Control Theory-Sport (ACT-S; Eysenck 74 & Wilson, 2016) as being susceptible to anxiety and stress (Wood & Wilson, 2010). By 75 comparison, higher-order functions comprise the co-ordination of lower-order cognitive processes working together (e.g., decision-making, planning, problem-solving; Diamond, 76 77 2013). Given its complex, constantly changing environment, sport provides an optimal 78 platform to examine both higher- and lower-order EFs. For example, soccer requires the 79 recognition and processing of game-specific situations (i.e., working-memory, updating; 80 Wood et al., 2016) in which, the player must select the optimal outcome (i.e., decisionmaking, planning, anticipation; Huijgen et al., 2015). Also, soccer players often need to cease 81 82 intended actions (i.e., inhibition; Verbruggen et al., 2019) and perform a new action instead (i.e., inhibition, shifting, problem solving; Sakamoto et al., 2018) based on internal and 83 external cues within the environment. 84

85 Higher-order EFs like decision-making, anticipation, and problem solving (often assessed with sport-specific video tasks; Roca et al., 2013) are some of the most researched in 86 sport psychology (e.g., Moore et al., 2019). Decision-making involves selecting the most 87 88 suitable option from two or more alternatives in obvious and complex situations (VandenBos, 2006). Anticipation is facilitated by complex knowledge structures which allow for 89 90 evaluative, predictive, and planning processes (North et al., 2011). Problem solving is 91 involved in overcoming difficulties and achieving goals via higher mental functions 92 (VandenBos, 2006) and may rely upon shifting and updating (Kotsopoulos & Lee, 2012). 93 Research generally indicates those with higher sporting expertise score better on higher-order 94 EF tasks compared to those with lower sporting expertise. For example, experts have outperformed novices in decision-making on both sport-specific (e.g., Moore et al., 2019) and 95 general decision-making tasks (e.g., Vaughan et al., 2019). Anticipation was superior in 96

97 skilled (professional/semi-professional) compared to less-skilled (recreational) soccer players
98 (Roca et al., 2013) and greater problem solving was shown in athletes compared to non99 athletes (Jacobson & Matthaeus, 2014).

100 Lower-order EFs (i.e., inhibition, shifting, updating), typically assessed via cognitive 101 tasks, have been shown to be important for sport performance and have distinguished between athletic expertise groups. For example, inhibition and shifting ability (assessed via a 102 Design Fluency task) were higher in 1st division soccer players (i.e., Swedish *Allsvenskan*) 103 compared to 2nd and 3rd division soccer players (i.e., Swedish *Superettan* and *Ettan*; Vestberg 104 105 et al., 2012) and inhibition (measured with a Stop Signal Task) significantly predicted selfreport and coach rated performance in open-skill sports (Hagyard et al., 2021). Further, 106 107 Vestberg et al. (2012) and Vestberg et al. (2017) found significant positive correlations 108 between inhibition, shifting, and updating scores and sport performance (i.e., goals and 109 assists), and Furley and Memmert (2012) reported that updating ability facilitated the focus of attention by enabling individuals to avoid distraction (Experiment 1) and resolve 110 interference (Experiment 2) in computerised sport decision-making tasks. 111

112 Visual Attention

Research typically assesses attentional control through gaze behaviour from eye-113 trackers as they allow researchers to observe online attention during in-situ sports tasks (e.g., 114 115 soccer penalty kicks; Brimmell et al., 2019). Visual attention typically refers to the current 116 foveal location of attention and is concerned with knowing where to look (Mann et al., 2007). 117 Popular foveal measures include the number and duration of fixations (sometimes together as search rate; Brimmell et al., 2019) and the location of fixations which may help understand 118 119 which visual stimuli provide athletes with the most information (Wilson, 2008). The quiet eye phenomenon, which encompasses the length and location of the final fixation before 120 initiating a critical movement (Vickers, 2007), is perhaps the most common visual measure in 121

sport-related aiming tasks. A recent review from Klostermann and Moeinrad (2020) attest to 122 the importance of this variable over and above other variables (e.g., number and duration of 123 fixations). Like research exploring EF, studies examining VA have focussed on expert-novice 124 performance differences (see Lebeau et al., 2016, for a review). However, such designs might 125 provide obvious conclusions (i.e., experts attend to more relevant stimuli) and not clarify the 126 mechanisms behind improved VA. Also, expert groups often include individuals with the 127 128 capacity to become experts (i.e., youth academy athletes; Vaeyens et al., 2007) rather than those already with expert status. 129

130 Also of interest are the effects of training interventions (e.g., Wood & Wilson, 2011) and performance under different psychological states (e.g., anxiety/stress manipulations; 131 Wilson, 2012). Training research has become popular as it may help individuals learn which 132 133 information is most associated with success and enhance certain VA variables (e.g., quiet eye 134 length and/or onset). For example, Wood and Wilson (2011) showed that orienteering individuals to areas of the soccer goal most associated with success could lead to improved 135 quiet eve durations and subsequent soccer penalty performance. Measuring VA under various 136 psychological states (e.g., anxiety or stress) is important given the prevalence of such states 137 in sport (Harris et al., 2019). Attentional biases in the brain caused by anxiety may manifest 138 as subpar VA in such anxiety-inducing situations. For example, anxious individuals may 139 140 suffer from a bias toward threat-related visual stimuli at the expense of more goal-directed 141 stimuli (Wilson, 2012).

142 Executive Function and Visual Attention

Though scant, sport research considering lower-order EFs and VA in the same
experiment allude to an association (e.g., Ducrocq et al., 2017; Klostermann, 2020). Scharfen
and Memmert (2021) provided one of the few examinations of a complete lower-order EF
model and VA and showed small but significant associations between inhibition and visual

147 clarity (i.e., processing non-moving information while stood still), but did not utilise an eyetracker. Research examining higher-order EFs and VA in athletic samples is more prevalent 148 but typically focuses on expertise group differences (e.g., expert vs novice; Alder et al., 149 150 2014). As a result, understanding around the direct relationship between EF and VA in sport is limited. However, there is ample neuroscientific evidence that a relationship between EF 151 and VA exists. For example, both VA and EF are housed in the fronto-parietal network 152 153 suggesting similar neurological bases (Carrasco, 2011; Gaillard & Ben Hamed, 2022). Within the human visual system, attention is typically directed to the most salient and 154 155 goal-orientated information (Fang et al., 2011). For example, a simple search for a red coloured target amongst blue distractor stimuli would require active and goal-directed visual 156 search for the red target and suppression of attending to the blue stimuli. Two cortical 157 158 attentional systems within the brain known as the dorsal (i.e., top-down) and ventral (i.e., 159 bottom-up) systems are believed influence VA (Itti & Koch, 2001). The top-down system is involved in the active search for goal-directed visual stimuli while the bottom-up system is 160 influenced more by unexpected but salient stimuli (Corbetta & Shulman, 2002). The neural 161 responses are faster in the parietal region, compared to the pre-frontal cortex, to unexpected 162 salient stimuli (i.e., bottom-up) while the reverse is true for goal-orientated stimuli (Gaillard 163 164 & Ben Hamed, 2022). This evidence may allow inferences to be drawn about how EF and VA relate in sport. That is, given the neurological association between areas of the brain, 165 166 particularly the fronto-parietal cortex, it may be reasonable to suggest that such a relationship 167 exists within a sporting context.

Executive function and VA therefore, may be jointly housed under the perceptualcognition banner (Broadbent et al., 2015) and neuroscience infers a relationship between these two areas. Specifically, skilled athletes are believed to show distinct gaze behaviour and enhanced visual information processing which promotes improved perception and action

coupling (Klostermann & Moeinirad, 2020). Nevertheless, there is currently no synthesis of 172 the EF and VA in sport literature and little comment on the association between these 173 174 variables. Understanding the strength of the relationship may facilitate future work whereby researchers and practitioners can build training or intervention paradigms that target EF to 175 promote subsequent improvements in VA, or vice versa. It may also allow for more targeted 176 training by highlighting the underlying processes driving VA and information pick up. 177 178 Moreover, if an association is absent or weak it may be better to target functions individually for intervention work. 179

180 The Present Study

The literature on EF and VA in sport is yet to be synthesised making it difficult for 181 researchers to make informed decisions. Specifically, comparisons can be very difficult as 182 lower- and higher-order experiments tend to use different tasks (e.g., sport-specific for 183 184 higher-order EFs), the same EFs are measured with different tasks, outcome measures for EF and VA are varied, and study design, sport type, and sample characteristics vary. More 185 importantly, though there is reason to suggest a relationship exists based on neuroscientific 186 literature, our understanding of the relationship between EF and VA in sport is limited. 187 Therefore, we conducted a robust systematic review that provided a synthesis of studies 188 examining EF and VA in sport. Specifically, we aimed to provide the first comprehensive 189 190 systematic review of the sample characteristics, general methodology (i.e., study design and 191 sport type), and measurement and outcome variables for EF and VA. Although of 192 considerable interest individually, and together in neuroscience, research has made little to no effort to directly review experiments examining the relationship between EF and VA in sport. 193 194 Therefore, we aimed to offer future research a better understanding of how these constructs 195 may relate.

Method

8

196

197 Search Strategy, Inclusion Criteria and Screening

An electronic search of Web of Science, Scopus, MEDLINE, APA PsycInfo, 198 199 PubMed, SPORTDiscus, CINAHL, and Discover EBSCO was conducted. Unpublished dissertations and theses were also searched via ProQuest. Search terms were placed into one 200 201 of four groups: (a) EF (higher- and lower-order); (b) VA; (c) sport context; and (d) exclusion criteria (as in Scharfen & Memmert, 2019). Specifically, for (a) the terms "executive 202 203 function" OR "cognition" OR "executive control" OR "inhibition" OR "inhibitory control" OR "shifting" OR "cognitive flexibility" OR "updating" OR "working-memory" OR 204 205 "planning" OR "decision-making" OR "problem solving" were used. For (b) the terms "visual attention" OR "gaze behaviour" OR "eye-tracking" OR "eye movement" OR "visual 206 search" were used. Regarding (c), the terms "athlete" OR "sport" OR "sport performance" 207 208 were used. For (d) the terms (searched using the "NOT" function) "concussion" OR 209 "disability" OR "cognitive impairment" OR "clinical" were used. A backward search and search of reference lists for already to-be-included studies was conducted for further relevant 210 titles and abstracts by the first author. The search was not restricted by year of publication. 211 212 Researchers followed procedures outlined in the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Moher et al., 2009). The PRISMA 213 214 abstract checklist and PRISMA checklist are available as supplementary files. 215 Inclusion criteria were established to ensure relevant studies were identified and were: 216 1) published in English, 2) contained original empirical data, 3) had a full-text available at the 217 time of search, 4) quantitatively measured EF in sport, or simulated sport, 5) quantitatively measured VA with an eye-tracker in sport, or simulated sport-settings, and 6) used analytic 218

relationship between EF and VA. Study title and abstracts were initially screened by the first

techniques that allowed us to make a direct or inferred (i.e., indirect) statement about the

219

221 author before being verified in two stages. First, the third author independently screened titles

and abstracts with discrepancies discussed between the first and third authors. Next, the 222 second author screened a random 30% of all abstracts. Inter-rater reliability between the first 223 224 and second author was assessed via the percentage agreement rates (95.15%) and Cohen's Kappa ($\kappa = .87$). Studies selected for full-text screening underwent a similar two stage 225 verification. First, the first author and third author independently assessed full-texts for 226 inclusion with discrepancies discussed until consensus was reached. Next, the second author 227 assessed a random 30% of full-text articles and assessed the suitability for inclusion. Once 228 again, inter-rater reliability was assessed via percentage agreement rates (95.23%) and 229 Cohen's Kappa ($\kappa = .77$). 230

231 **Ouality**

Quality Assessment and Data Extraction

232 Quality assessment can help understand whether the reviewed experiments are methodologically sound (Gopalakrishnan & Ganeshkumar, 2013) and adhere to scientific 233 standards (Borenstein et al., 2011). Payne et al. (2019) outlined that a standardised quality 234 assessment instrument is yet to be established for laboratory-based observational studies. As 235 236 such, study quality was assessed using items from The Appraisal Instrument (Genaidy et al., 237 2007), The Quality Index (Downs & Black, 1998), and The Evaluation of Research Articles 238 Checklist (DuRant, 1994). As in Payne et al. (2019), the current study included an additional item to assess adherence to ethical procedures. The maximum possible score for study quality 239 was 23 (see Table 1 for items used in the present review) and scores for identified studies are 240 shown in Table 2. The first author completed the quality assessment and it was checked by 241 the third author. 242

243

INSERT TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE

244INSERT TABLE 2 ABOUT HERE

Data extraction for included studies was performed by the first author. As in previous
literature (e.g., Harris et al., 2021a) the following study characteristics were obtained:

authors, date of publication, sample characteristics (sample size, mean age, female 247 percentage, and sport), sport type (open- or closed-skill; Singer, 2000), expertise level, design 248 249 (between- or within-subjects), EF measured, task used, EF outcome measured, the VA outcome measured, eve-tracker used, key findings regarding EF and VA, and any additional 250 relevant notes. As an inclusion criterion was to include experiments that allowed for direct or 251 inferred (i.e., indirect) comments on the EF and VA relationship we also extracted whether 252 253 the analytic technique used in the experiment directly compared EF and VA (direct) or manipulated one key variable and measured the subsequent effect on the other (inferred). 254 255 **Results Search Results** 256 An initial database search resulted in 6,382 citations suitable for further inspection. 257 After an initial screening and then the removal of duplicates (n = 178), 344 titles were 258 identified for abstract screening. Eighty-seven papers were deemed to have appropriate 259 abstracts and subsequently received full-text review. Of the 87, 72 were located during the 260 initial search, 12 from a backward search of reference lists, and three from ProQuest. The 261 full-text review yielded a final total of 19 studies with 22 experiments (n = 21 from the initial 262 search, n = 1 from the backward search, and n = 0 from ProQuest) appropriate for the present 263 systematic review (see Figure 1 for a full breakdown and reasons for exclusion [n = 65]). 264 **INSERT FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE** 265 **Quality Assessment** 266 Quality assessment scores for the 22 experiments ranged from 78.3%-100%, (mean = 267 89.1%; see Table 2). Quality assessment revealed one experiment high (scores between 61%-268 269 80%) and 21 experiments very high (scores between 81%-100%) in methodological quality (Payne et al., 2019) with six experiments achieving a maximum score in methodological 270 quality (i.e., 100%). Items 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, and 21 were achieved in all 271

experiments within the systematic review. Lowest scoring (i.e., under 80%) items included
item nine (recording precise probability values), 12 (intended sample representing the general
population), 13 (actual sample representing the represented the general population), 22 (the
relevance of findings to the eligible population), and 23 (the relevance of findings to other
relevant populations).

277 Study Characteristics

278 Sample Characteristics, Sport Type and Design

The main information extracted from the experiments is presented in Table 3. The 279 280 total number of participants in the reviewed experiments was 696 with an age range of 14.60-38.00 years (mean = 23.44 ± 2.82 years). Sample size varied between experiments with 281 sample sizes ranging from 3-95 (mean = 31.64 ± 20.51). Gender information was reported in 282 18 of 22 experiments. Representation from female participants ranged from 0-100% (mean = 283 48.42 ± 32.60). The examined sports included: soccer (n = 5), volleyball (n = 2), basketball 284 (n = 1), gymnastics (n = 1), tennis (n = 3), badminton (n = 1), shooting (n = 1), golf (n = 1), 285 table tennis (n = 1), multiple sports (n = 5), and non-athletes (n = 1). Six sports were 286 287 classified as open-sports while three could be classed as closed-sports (Singer, 2000). Sport type could be further broken down into static (n = 3), interceptive (n = 4), and strategic (n = 4)288 2; see Krenn et al., 2018, for full definitions). Fourteen experiments used a between-subjects 289 290 design, six used a within-subjects design, and two applied a mixed between- and withinsubjects design (Brimmell et al., 2021; van Maarseveen et al., 2018a). Substantial variation in 291 292 the labelling of expertise groups was found across the experiments (see Table 3).

293

INSERT TABLE 3 ABOUT HERE

294 Executive Function

Table 3 shows a full breakdown of EF results. Ten experiments (45.45%) examined
higher-order EF and 12 experiments (54.55%) examined lower-order EF. Higher-order EF

297 experiments examined decision-making (n = 5), anticipation (n = 4), and decision-making, anticipation, and pattern recall (n = 1). Lower-order EF experiments had a somewhat even 298 split between inhibition (n = 5) and working memory (n = 6) with only one experiment 299 300 examining multiple lower-order EFs (i.e., inhibition, shifting, and updating; Brimmell et al., 301 2021). Ten different tasks were used to assess EF including: sport-specific video tasks (n =8), sport-specific photo tasks (n = 1), visual search tasks (n = 2), Go/No-Go Task (n = 1), 302 303 Flanker Task (n = 1), N-back Task (n = 3), Operation Span Task (OSPAN; n = 3), Change Detection Task (n = 1), Structural Dimension Analysis of Mental Representation Task (n = 1)304 305 1), and in-situ manipulation tasks (n = 7). Five experiments used a combination of tasks (e.g., Brimmell et al., 2021). Higher-order EFs were more often measured with sport-specific 306 media (video and photo) while lower-order EFs tended to be assessed using computerised 307 308 cognitive tasks in manipulation designs.

309 A total of eight outcome measures were reported including: response accuracy (n =14), response time (n = 4), efficiency score (i.e., accuracy by time; n = 2), difficulty level 310 311 achieved (n = 2), recall scores (n = 1), distractor costs (n = 2), adjusted rand index (n = 1), 312 and none (i.e., no outcome measure[s] associated with EF; n = 4). Decision-making experiments tended to either report just response accuracy or response accuracy and response 313 time (see Bishop et al., 2014, for an exception). For anticipation experiments, all experiments 314 315 included response accuracy and two also considered response time (though never in 316 combination with accuracy). Inhibition experiments typically had no outcome measure (n =317 3) while working-memory experiments often used response accuracy (n = 3).

318 Visual Attention

Table 3 shows a full breakdown of VA results. There was considerable variation in the eye-trackers used across experiments. Six eye-tracking brands were used across the 22 experiments including: Applied Science Laboratories (ASL; n = 8), SR Research (n = 4), 322 SensoMotoric Instruments (SMI; n = 4), EyeSeeCam (n = 3), Tobii (n = 2), and Pupil Labs (n= 1). Twenty-four different outcome measures were reported. Three experiments used one 323 324 outcome measure while 19 used at least two outcome measures (range 2-7). Outcome measures included: number of fixations (n = 11), fixation duration (n = 10), percentage time 325 spent viewing key locations (n = 10), quiet eye duration (n = 8), search rate (n = 7), quiet eye 326 onset (n = 4), quiet eye offset (n = 4), saccadic latency (n = 4), number of fixation locations 327 328 (n = 2), fixation order (n = 2), first fixation time (n = 2), percentage of incorrect saccades (n = 2)2), percentage dwell time (n = 1), saccadic amplitude (n = 1), entropy (n = 1), scan paths (n = 1)329 330 1), peak saccadic velocity (n = 1), quiet eye location (n = 1), visual search time (n = 1), first fixation on selection (n = 1), final fixation on selection (n = 1), number of fixations to correct 331 option when incorrect (n = 1), and inter-fixation rate (n = 1). 332

333 Executive Function and Visual Attention

334 All 22 of the included experiments allowed for a direct or inferred (i.e., indirect) EF and VA comparison. Whether the EF and VA relationship was direct or inferred, the 335 analytical method used, and key analytical result(s) are presented in Table 4. In sum, nine 336 experiments allowed direct comments and 13 allowed for indirect comments only. The 337 analytical methods of experiments allowing for direct comments were ANOVA (n = 1), 338 339 regression (n = 2), mediation (n = 1), correlation (n = 4), and correlation plus descriptive (n = 1)1). For experiments allowing only indirect comments, the analytical methods included 340 341 ANOVA (n = 10) and t-tests (n = 3). Such techniques were often used as the experimental design involved comparing groups. More specifically, the indirect experiments involved 342 comparing participants split based on EF performance (n = 6), training vs. control groups (n = 6)343 = 4), and across tasks where demands were differentially manipulated (n = 3). Only one 344 experiment that examined EF and VA found no significant association (i.e., Savelsbergh et 345 al., 2002). Overall, there appears to be an association between EF and VA (21/22 346

347	experiments reported significance between at least one measure of EF and VA). Although the
348	type of effect size calculated, the effect direction, and the size of the effect varied across
349	experiments better task performance on one is often associated with better outcomes on the
350	other (see Table 4, for full details).
351	INSERT TABLE 4 ABOUT HERE
352	Discussion
353	The present study reviewed experiments that examined the unique and associated
354	relationship between EF (higher- or lower-order) and VA in sport. One key focus was on
355	obtaining a greater understanding of how these two facets of perceptual-cognition (executive
356	and visual processes) may relate despite research often omitting direct comparisons. Indeed,
357	only 10 from 22 reviewed experiments were believed to allow for any direct comments on
358	this relationship. Included experiments encompassed a range of sample characteristics,
359	research designs, sport types, EF measures and VA tasks, and outcomes. The findings and
360	specific comparisons and contrasts across experiments are discussed in detail below. The
361	present study provides the first narrative and comprehensive review of research examining
362	the association between both higher- and lower-order EFs and VA in sport. In doing so, the
363	review has shown that neuroscientific accounts of attention may be relevant for sport research
364	(Corbetta & Shulman, 2002; Gaillard & Ben Hamed, 2022). Overall, the present review
365	provides substantial evidence of a relationship between EF and VA within sport and guides
366	future research and practice.
367	Quality Assessment

368 The quality assessment check raised a number of issues within the included 369 experiments. Reporting actual p values (item 9) rather than whether a value is greater or 370 lesser than a standardised alpha value (e.g., p < .05) was low. Including specific values 371 allows for greater transparency and for readers to interpret the findings themselves (Payne et al., 2019). However, in instances where the *p* value is less than .001, reporting p < .001 is suitable. Though exact *p* values are important, research has noted that best practice may be to prioritise effect sizes, rather than *p* values, given that large sample sizes can lead to a significant *p* value though statistical effects may actually be arbitrary (Sullivan & Feinn, 2012). Therefore, it is recommended that future work reports exact *p* values and the effect size in all relevant statistical analyses.

378 Various experiments were deemed to not meet item 12 (i.e., have a targeted sample that is fully representative of the larger population) nor item 13 (i.e., have an actual sample 379 380 that is fully representative of the larger population). This was typically due to issues around generalising results of low sample size experiments (average sample size = 31.64) and in 381 experiments examining athletes from a single sport (e.g., an experiment on basketball players 382 383 is not likely representative of all athletes/sports; van Maarseveen et al., 2018b). It is 384 recommended that future experiments opt for larger samples where possible, as we appreciate recruiting larger numbers, especially large professional/world class samples, is difficult, to 385 allow more definitive inferences. Perhaps more important is to include power calculations 386 387 that justify sample size to help avoid missing real effects (i.e., underpowered experiments) or over-spending on experimental resources (i.e., overpowered experiments; Green & MacLeod, 388 2015). Recommended methods for power calculations include G*Power (Faul et al., 2009) or 389 390 using R (e.g., the "simr" package; Green & MacLeod, 2015).

Item 22 and Item 23 assessed whether the results could be applied to the eligible and other relevant populations, respectively. As the present review outlined that results were not applicable to all individuals within the target sport, they are therefore unlikely to represent all eligible athletes, or other relevant populations, as a whole. It is important to consider that a number of experiments used lab-settings where ecological validity can be low and transferring results to the "real-world" is difficult. When using a lab-setting we recommend 397 that researchers consider ways to boost ecologically validity. For example, in a soccer task Roca et al. (2011) placed cameras at a height and angle reflective of a typical point of view 398 399 for soccer players. Also, virtual reality environments may provide a useful avenue for 400 increasing task validity (Wood et al., 2021). Some experiments utilised in-situ tasks which 401 may yield higher ecological validity and show that the design is possible. Only higher-order EF experiments considered outcome measures within in-situ tasks. Whereas lower-order EF 402 403 experiments opted instead to manipulate task demands and not use an outcome measure (e.g., Klostermann, 2020). 404

405 Twelve quality items were present in all 22 experiments suggested a generally high level of experimental quality. All experiments were deemed to meet item 20 (i.e., directly 406 measured outcome variables) and item 16 (i.e., validly assessed outcome variables). Though 407 408 all experiments included a direct and valid outcome for VA, not all experiments did so for 409 EF. This only occurred in experiments examining lower-order EFs where demands were manipulated in-situ for updating (Williams et al., 2002) and inhibition (Klostermann, 2020, 410 Experiment 1 and 2). While the use of in-situ tasks may increase ecological validity, it makes 411 412 capturing outcome measures difficult and subsequently reduces understanding of how an individual's EF influences VA. A number of lower-order EF tasks capture individual 413 414 differences in response accuracy, response time, and/or combined measures (e.g., the Stop Signal Task for inhibition; Verbruggen et al., 2019). Though it may be reasonable to assume 415 416 that tasks designed to place greater demands on the ability to withhold a prepotent response 417 would be performed better by individuals with greater inhibitory control, the inclusion of a task-specific measure of inhibition would allow researchers to draw equivocal conclusions. 418 419 **Discussion of Findings**

420 Sample Characteristics, Sport Type, and Design

17

Age, sample size, and gender provided some interesting points of discussion. Age 421 varied across studies. Given that EF develops with age (Diamond, 2013) caution should be 422 taken when reconciling findings using samples with different ages and therefore, disparate 423 cognitive development. Sample size was generally small so future works are encouraged to 424 use larger samples (to produce more generalisable results) and utilise a priori power 425 calculators (e.g., G*Power or R) to ensure confidence in effects. Indeed, Abt et al. (2020) 426 427 note that many papers submitted to the Journal of Sport Sciences were without sample size justification and recommend future work includes such calculations. Though it is 428 429 acknowledged that when working with elite groups obtaining a larger sample can be difficult (Koch & Krenn, 2021). Female representation was around 50% which appears acceptable. 430 However, numerous experiments failed to provide gender information. Increased female 431 432 representation in high-quality experiments will only help alleviate issues around the misapplication of previous findings from male samples to female samples (Emmonds et al., 433 2019) and act as a response to recent research calling for greater female specific research 434 (Kryger et al., 2021). 435

Open-skill sports were predominant amongst the reviewed literature and may have 436 been selected due to the increased attentional (executive and visual) demands of such sports 437 (e.g., the need to efficiently and effectively process complex stimuli; Mann et al., 2007). 438 Interestingly, ultra-marathon runners (e.g., closed-skill sport) have shown to be higher in 439 440 motivation (Hammer & Podlog, 2016) and resilience (Roebuck et al., 2020) compared to other sports-people and non-athletes. Future research could examine how EFs allow 441 individuals to maintain motivation and/or resilience rather than directly influence closed-skill 442 sport performance. In the case of an ultra-marathon runner, EF may not directly impact 443 performance outcomes but work with other desirable qualities for success (e.g., motivation) 444

thus, suggesting an interaction effect. This suggestion, however, remains hypothetical and yetto be tested.

447 *Expertise*

There were discrepancies in the labelling of athletic expertise that could lead to non-448 generalisable findings (Polman, 2012). For example, the expert group in Piras et al., (2014) 449 comprised professional volleyball players whereas the expert group in Alder et al. (2014) 450 451 comprised Team GB level development athletes with potential to reach expert status. Comparisons across studies therefore are difficult as groups potentially share a label yet 452 453 differ greatly in expertise. Vila-Maldonado et al. (2019) and van Maarseveen et al. (2018a) used different group labels but similar group definitions. Specifically, both included national 454 level individuals in their participant definition but labelled the participants as players (Vila-455 Maldonado et al., 2019) and highly talented (van Maarseveen et al., 2018a), respectively. 456

The issue here is that researchers may not compare these experiments due to labels 457 used, when really the experiments are comparable. The problem around defining expertise 458 groups is not new (see Swann et al., 2015, a review). Swann et al. (2015) provided a 459 taxonomy for rating expertise on a continuum, rather than using dichotomous groups, which 460 may be pertinent for future research. This method was applied in one experiment in the 461 current review (Brimmell et al., 2021) but has been applied in other relevant work (e.g., 462 Hagyard et al., 2021). Also, creating an expertise score or placing individuals in groups can 463 464 be difficult given potential inter- and intra-sport differences in what defines "expert". In response to this McAuley et al. (2022) propose a neat and simple option whereby 465 experiments are more transparent and include all relevant information on the sample. From 466 this, future researchers can then re-categorise or assess current categorisation with more 467 accuracy. This method may also help alleviate issues when interpreting and assessing youth 468 athlete expertise for inclusion. As youth athletes often only have potential to become world 469

470 class conclusions must be cautious. This simple reporting method may help future researchers471 make more informed choices.

472 Executive Function, Tasks and Outcomes

Higher- (e.g., decision-making) and lower-order EFs (e.g., inhibition) were fairly 473 evenly represented within reviewed literature. Higher-order EF experiments tended to focus 474 on complex processes like decision-making and anticipation. This is not surprising given the 475 476 importance of such complex processes in many sports, particularly open-skill sports (e.g., soccer). Therefore, training such processes in athletes may be desirable to increase on-field 477 478 performance. It is important to consider that higher-order EFs are inherently more complex (i.e., driven by multiple simpler lower-order EFs), and as such it may be difficult to isolate 479 specific functions and maximise intervention training. Experiments examining lower-order 480 481 EFs (i.e., working memory and inhibition) alluded to their impact on sport performance and 482 previous work has linked these EFs to sport performance (Vestberg et al., 2012; 2017). Future work may consider training lower-order EFs, or combined higher- and lower-order 483 EFs, to compliment sport-training regimes as they are more easily isolated within a task (as in 484 485 Ducrocq et al., 2016; 2017).

Higher-order EFs are predominately examined using sport-specific video tasks 486 whereas lower-order EFs are often assessed with domain-general cognitive tasks or through 487 488 task manipulation. The ecological validity and transferability to real word sport contexts has 489 been questioned, though (van der Kamp et al., 2008). Specifically, sport-specific videos are 490 sport-relevant but often lack haptic feedback while domain-general cognitive tasks assess the 491 underlying cognitive procedures but lack sport-specificity. Future work may wish to focus on 492 how performance on EF tasks that include haptic feedback, are sport-relevant, and assess how the underlying cognitive processes can influence subsequent sport performance. Though 493 numerous lower-order EFs were examined, they were often not considered in the same 494

experiment (see Brimmell et al., 2021, for an exception). This is surprising giving the
proposed interplay between inhibition, shifting, and updating (Miyake et al., 2000). Also,
Miyake et al. (2000), and the present review, note that experiments examining lower-order
EFs often utilise a single task which may only allow researchers to comment on task-specific
performance. Therefore, we call for more studies to examine multiple EFs in the same
experiment and use multiple measures of the same EF to better understand the latent
construct over task-specific performance.

502 Response accuracy appears to be the most common EF outcome measure. It is 503 important to add that accuracy measures should include errors in their calculation to avoid negative participant effects (e.g., speed-accuracy trade-offs; Vaughan & Laborde, 2021). 504 Somewhat surprisingly, few experiments combined time and accuracy (often termed 505 506 efficiency score; Bishop et al., 2014) with experiments showing a tendency to report response 507 accuracy only (effectiveness). Future research may wish to consider combined accuracy and time measures as success in sport often requires rapid and accurate responses under time 508 509 constraints. Second, ACT-S outlines that response accuracy is usually an indicator of 510 effectiveness only (i.e., performance quality) and does not consider performance efficiency (i.e., the relationship between effectiveness and resources used; Eysenck & Wilson, 2016). 511 512 To better reflect genuine sporting situations and theoretical assumptions, it is recommended 513 that future work include both indices of effectiveness (accuracy) and efficiency (ratio of 514 accuracy to time).

515 Finally, a number of experiments examining lower-order EFs recorded no outcome 516 measure at all. Instead, such experiments opted to manipulate task demands and attribute 517 subsequent performance differences across conditions to the executive demands placed on the 518 individual (e.g., Klostermann, 2020). Klostermann (2020) built a target-throwing paradigm 519 with four conditions each of which placed different demands on inhibition. Klostermann (2020) then assessed VA (quiet eye duration) differences across the conditions and assumed differences were due to varying inhibitory demands across conditions. However, this task also placed demands on peripheral attention (participants were asked to fixate centrally) and working memory (targets were shown only for a short time-period). Without any specific outcome measure of inhibition, it is difficult to understand the individual contribution to performance. It is suggested for future work to first include a direct outcome measure when examining lower-order EF.

527 Visual Attention

528 The reviewed experiments tended to use similar eye-tracker brands with 8/22 experiments opting for the ASL brand. However, the experimental results showed that, 529 despite the eye-tracker used, the reported significance of VA variables was similar. It has 530 531 become common to use multiple outcome measures when assessing VA (19/22 experiments 532 used multiple) as single measures may not be sensitive enough to capture the complex visual processes involved in sport performance. Outcome measures like the number of fixations, 533 fixation duration, fixation location, search rate, and the quiet eve have featured heavily in 534 review work which may explain these decisions (Leabeau et al., 2016; Mann et al., 2007). An 535 updated review from Klostermann and Moeinirad (2020) suggested that the number and 536 duration of fixations may not be as meaningful as previously stated and that quiet eye 537 variables and gaze location may be more informative. Interestingly, Klostermann and 538 539 Moeinirad (2020) also suggest that VA outcome measures may be dependent on the operational task utilised (i.e., decision-making or aiming task) thus, VA outcomes may not be 540 generalisable across tasks. 541

Rather than explicitly state the advantages of certain VA outcomes, the present review suggests that the importance of VA variables may fluctuate across sports and tasks. For example, Brimmell et al. (2021) found better soccer penalty performance was associated with

a lower search rate while Vaeyens et al. (2007) reported that a higher search rate was 545 associated with greater decision-making in soccer. Brams et al. (2019) may support this point 546 547 in their systematic review on decision-making and anticipation (i.e., higher-order EFs). A medium-large effect size for fixation duration, fixations to key locations, and scan patterns 548 was noted in experiments comparing experts and novices which supports the importance of 549 such outcomes in higher-order tasks. We argue that researchers should carefully consider the 550 551 sport and task being used when ascertaining the relevance of VA outcomes rather than using a generic approach. Finally, an interesting avenue for future work might be time course 552 553 analysis (Vansteenkiste et al., 2014). Time course analysis focuses on the time at which performers fixated certain stimuli for successful performance, rather than using cumulative 554 gaze behaviour. Such methods may help show the importance of measures like fixation 555 556 duration and number and address Klostermann and Moeinirad's (2020) concerns.

557 Executive Function and Visual Attention

558 A key purpose of the present review was to better understand the association between EF and VA and in general, better EF appears to be positively associated with better VA. 559 Although outside of the sport domain, neuroscience may provide explanation for the EF and 560 VA relationship (Corbetta & Shulman, 2002; Gaillard & Ben Hamed, 2022). Specifically, 561 key attentional systems within the fronto-parietal areas of the brain (i.e., dorsal and ventral 562 streams; Itti & Koch, 2001) are proposed to facilitate VA and information processing. 563 564 Though research on this relationship in a sport-specific setting is lacking and is yet to be synthesised it may be that a similar relationship exists in sport. Gregoriou et al. (2009) 565 outlined that the striate and extra-striate areas of the brain allow for enhanced visual 566 processing of certain visual stimuli and the suppression of other, less relevant, stimuli. The 567 results within the current review support the idea that a neurological basis may be at least 568 partially responsible for the EF and VA relationship in sport. 569

570 Though the type and size of effect sizes varied, results suggested a positive relationship between EF and VA. For example, the reported effect sizes involving quiet eye 571 572 variables (i.e., quiet eye duration, location, onset, and offset; Brimmell et al., 2021; Klostermann, 2020) were always positive in the reviewed experiments (r = .29, d = .61-.78, 573 and $\eta \rho^2 = .16-.46$). This consistent finding may suggest that a practical and meaningful 574 relationship exists between the EF and quiet eye variables. This has important applications 575 for understanding the underlying processes of the quiet eye. For example, this review 576 corroborates Klostermann's (2019; 2020) idea of an "inhibition hypothesis" that underpins 577 the quiet eye. A number of experiments that examined fixation duration and fixations to key 578 locations reported negative effect sizes. For example, Piras et al. (2014) showed that 579 580 decision-making was improved when fixation durations were shorter. Regarding the location of fixations, it seems that this variable is less predictable and may vary between tasks and 581 582 sports. For example, Van Maarseveen et al. (2018a) reported a negative effect between greater decision-making and fixations to the ball while Vila-Maldonado et al. (2019) reported 583 a positive effect size between the same variables (i.e., decision-making and fixations to the 584 585 ball).

Bishop et al. (2014) reported that an earlier first fixation to the soccer ball predicted 586 greater decision-making efficiency. In this situation, early first soccer ball fixations may 587 588 support the processing of such visual stimuli (i.e., individual assessment of how to interact with the object) at a certain time while suppressing the want/need to fixate other stimuli (e.g., 589 590 upper body; Bishop et al., 2014). The ability to attend to this key visual stimulus then positively influence decision-making efficiency (i.e., faster and more accurate assessments of 591 592 player movement direction). Interestingly, whether this effect is mono-directional (and if so, which way) or bi-directional remains unclear from the present review (i.e., does VA facilitate 593 EF, vice versa, or do they influence one another). Brimmell et al. (2021) showed that 594

inhibition (a lower-order EF located within the pre-frontal cortex) predicted soccer penalty
performance through the mediator of quiet eye duration. This finding may suggest cognitive
attentional processes like inhibition influence an individual's soccer penalty performance
through their VA while the opposite could be said for Bishop et al. (2014). Though the
direction is unclear, the present review shows how neuroscientific theory on EF and VA may
extend to sport.

601 Making direct comment on the relationship between EF and VA in sport is difficult. This is predominately due to two factors: 1) the pool of experiments that allowed us to 602 603 comment on the EF and VA relationship was small (i.e., 22 experiments) and 2) of these limited experiments only 10 allowed for direct comments on the relationship (with the 604 remaining 12 experiments only affording indirect comments). Therefore, more work 605 606 assessing the direct relationship between EF and VA is needed to be able to comprehensively 607 comment on the application of neuroscientific theory in the sport context. It may also be of benefit to test specific neurological propositions within sport (e.g., rhythmic neural 608 609 mechanisms; Gaillard & Ben Hamed, 2022). To this point, increased aerobic activity has 610 been associated with greater attention performance (on a Posner visuospatial task) and increased beta and theta rhythm power (Wang et al., 2015). Though this finding is from 611 612 exercise, the results may be applicable to sport performance.

A substantial number of the reviewed experiments allowed only indirect comments on the relationship between EF and VA. Indirect here refers to the idea that, though a predictor and dependent variable for EF and VA were not explicitly included, the design still allowed us to make a reasonable comment on the relationship. The specific designs included splitting participants based on EF score before comparing VA (Williams et al., 2002), training a group of participants on EF before comparing VA between groups (Ducrocq et al., 2017), and altering task demands to have greater and lesser effects on EF before measuring VA (Klostermann, 2019). Such designs are informative as we now know that high working memory is associated with more task-relevant fixations (i.e., Williams et al., 2002), training working memory leads to lengthened quiet eye durations (Ducrocq et al., 2017), and that increasing inhibition demands can facilitate longer quiet eye durations and earlier quiet eye onsets (Klostermann, 2019). The issue is centred around a lack of direct outcome measures and therefore, less tangible evidence of a relationship. Also, future meta-analytic work is more difficult when a lack of outcome measures associated with EF in sport are available.

Experiments that included a measure of EF and VA in the same analyses allowed for 627 628 a more direct comment on the relationship. Direct comments enable precise and strong statements on whether EF and VA do or do not relate. The types of analyses used in direct 629 experiments were markedly different from those indirect ones. For example, regression, 630 631 correlation, and mediation were more popular for direct comparisons compared to ANOVA which was more popular in indirect experiments. These experiments also show, to a greater 632 extent than indirect experiments, that a relationship exists between EF and VA in sport. This 633 is supportive of theoretical accounts from neuroscience and may help bring research 634 concerned with Attentional Control Theory (Eysenck et al., 2007) and ACT-S (Eysenck & 635 Wilson, 2016) together. Also, regarding strength of association, this evidence is perhaps 636 indicating that training and intervention programmes can target EF and/or VA alone and 637 expect subsequent developments in the untrained area (e.g., EF training may also lead to 638 639 enhanced gaze). Moreover, it may show that an optimal approach combines sport-specific EF and visual training or intervention. 640

Together the direct and indirect experiments provide a strong argument that EF and VA relate in a sporting context, though more research is needed. Given the vast number of divergent EF tasks and variables, VA variables, and study designs a meta-analytical approach is beyond the scope of the literature at this time. Though we hope that this systematic review 645 provides future researchers with a starting point to run targeted meta-analyses on more 646 homogenous samples. Such an approach would further our understanding of the strength of 647 the association between EF and VA. It is important to note that, so far, the focus has been on 648 significant relationships between EF and VA. Attention to non-significant effects is also 649 important for understanding the EF and VA relationship given their ability to further inform 650 intervention work.

651 Despite forming part of the theoretical model of EF (Miyake et al., 2000) and appearing in relevant theory (i.e., ACT-S; Eysenck & Wilson, 2016) only one experiment 652 653 examined shifting. Shifting has been outlined as important for attention (Ionescu, 2012) and has been positively related to sport performance (e.g., Vestberg et al., 2017). Results from 654 Brimmell et al. (2021) suggested that shifting was not significant in any of the mediation 655 models examining EF, VA, and soccer penalty performance. However, the authors noted that 656 the Flanker task may not have been optimal and future works should use an alternate task 657 before concluding on the relevance of shifting to VA (e.g., Category Switch Task; Friedman 658 et al., 2008). Of the experiments that outlined a relationship between EF and VA only one 659 found no significant relationships at all. Savelsbergh et al. (2002) compared VA across 660 successful and unsuccessful anticipation trials and found no differences in performance 661 attributable to gaze behaviour. This experiment may indicate that other perceptual processes 662 are more important for anticipation than VA. In addition, the authors suggested that 663 664 individuals may be able to extract and process information effectively during fixations (hypothetically through enhanced quiet eye periods) and make better use of peripheral vision 665 (i.e., use anchor points and/or visual pivots; Vater et al., 2020). 666

667 Quiet eye variables are very popular in this research area. This could be because 668 lengthened quiet eye durations can facilitate information processing in sport aiming tasks 669 (Lebeau et al., 2016). Despite the popularity of duration, other quiet eye variables were 670 examined by experiments and showed mixed results. Quiet eye offset results were mixed, but most often non-significant. Specifically, Klostermann (2019) and Klostermann (2020 671 672 experiment 2) showed that when inhibition demands were high, quiet eye offset was not significantly impacted while quiet eye duration was longer and onset was earlier. This may 673 suggest that an enhanced ability to inhibit irrelevant stimuli (perhaps stemming from 674 improved ventral/dorsal suppression; Itti & Kock, 2001) allows earlier quiet eye onset and 675 676 longer quiet eye durations. In lay terms, helping athletes block distracting visual stimuli may allow the individual to begin selecting and performing the optimal motor action earlier. 677 678 Caution is paramount here given the reported non-linear relationship between quiet eye duration and performance. Specifically, research from Harris et al. (2021b) showed that 679 simply elongating the quiet eye duration doesn't always lead to performance improvements 680 681 when target location is known. Also, an increased time internally focusing on upcoming 682 motor action can negatively impact subsequent performance (Beilock et al., 2002). In a recent review, Klostermann and Moeinirad (2020) reported that the number and 683 duration of fixations may not differentiate between sport performers as well as previous 684 reviews noted (e.g., Mann et al., 2007). A number of the non-significant results between EF 685 and VA in the present review involved both the number and duration of fixations. This may 686 support Klostermann and Moeinirad (2020) and suggest that experts use alternate 687

components of perceptual-cognition, or other skills, to perform optimally. However, this may
not be a blanket statement given the notion that various fixation number and duration results
were found to be significant between EF and VA in the present review. Therefore, we do not
offer a blanket recommendation on the use of these variables but rather suggest that VA
variables should be selected relative to the task, sport type, or study goals as they may require
different variables of attentional patterns (i.e., fewer and longer fixations vs. more and shorter
fixations).

695 Implications

The present review has a number of implications for future applied and theoretical 696 work. Perhaps the largest implication is that, despite very little in the way of direct 697 698 examination, there appears to be an association between EF and VA in sport. The present 699 review outlines that EF and VA appear relevant for sport performance. From a practical standpoint, this information may provide individuals with an area to work on with their own 700 701 athletes. Specifically, to look for ways to enhance EF and/or VA and hopefully see subsequent performance benefits. An interesting place to start might be with dual EF and VA 702 703 training given their association. More work is needed to better understand the precise manner 704 in which the two relate (e.g., longitudinal work where EF and VA are tracked over time). The 705 present review highlights an issue with unstandardised expertise labels, and we suggest a 706 unified method for labelling athletic expertise. Future studies could consider using Swann et 707 al.'s (2015) framework for creating a continuous measure of expertise that does not require the artificial categorisation of participants into groups. Also, given the link between expertise 708 709 and EF it would be important to consider other known covariates like physical activity and 710 age (see Diamond, 2013) even when not explicitly looking for such differences between 711 athletic groups.

712 The present review found that there is generally a lack of research examining EFs and 713 VA together. Lower-order EFs comprise the fundamental processes used in perceptual-714 cognition and likely underpin more complex higher-order EFs (e.g., decision-making). Tasks 715 designed to assess lower-order EFs are also more likely to tap only the targeted function providing a more sensitive examination of the underlying processes at work during gaze. The 716 717 present review recommends that future work should deploy EF tasks that, where possible, assess both response time and accuracy together (i.e., efficiency scores) and VA tasks that 718 719 assess some or all of fixation number and duration, fixation percentage to key locations, and

quiet eye (despite concerns from Klostermann & Moeinirad, 2020). The key may be deciding
on the relevance of certain outcome variables based on the sport and task being examined.
The present review also highlights that theoretical accounts from neuroscience may be
relevant and transferable to sport. Moreover, cognitive attentional processes that are housed
within the fronto-parietal areas of the brain (i.e., EF) relate to VA when considered in a
sporting context.

726 Limitations

Though the present review is an informative resource for understanding the current 727 728 state of EF and VA literature in sport, it has some weaknesses. Despite following PRISMA guidelines (Moher et al., 2009) and adopting similar criteria to previous reviews (e.g., Payne 729 et al., 2019) the items used for quality assessment were not specific to all the identified 730 731 studies. Items were adapted from relevant previous works (Downs & Black, 1998; DuRant, 732 1994; Genaidy et al., 2007; Payne et al., 2019) yet the lack of general agreement in how to assess experiment quality can lead to differences in opinion (Payne et al., 2019). Until a list is 733 734 psychometrically tested criteria may be considered somewhat hypothetical. Further, the review included many experiments covering a variety of sports, EFs, VA measures, and 735 sample characteristics, and this may raise questions around comparing and drawing 736 conclusions from very different works. However, we hope that our review provides a critique 737 738 of the necessary 'ingredients' for future studies and becomes the catalyst for further work in 739 EF and VA in sport.

740 **Conclusion**

The examination of EF and VA in sport is an exciting and growing area for
researchers and sport practitioners alike. Overall, there appears to be a positive link between
EF and VA that may suggest some interplay between the two for sports performance. Though
the exact relationship, and between which variables the relationship is strongest or weakest,

745	remains unclear. Moreover, it is likely that this relationship is more nuanced and dependent
746	on the design used, such as type of task, as reported in the neuroscientific literature. The
747	present review highlighted differences in tasks with sport-specific video tasks utilised for
748	examining higher-order EFs and domain-general or manipulation tasks used for investigating
749	lower-order EFs. A number of experiments examining lower-order EFs were limited by their
750	outcome variables (i.e., some including no outcome measures and not all measured both
751	effectiveness and efficiency) and the representation of EFs in relation to VA in the literature
752	was limited (especially shifting). For athletic expertise there is an issue around definition
753	with large discrepancies in how labels are used and a unified method of operationalising
754	expertise is required. In sum, despite very limited direct research, it seems that EF and VA
755	are positively associated and more focus on how this relationship impacts sport performance
756	is needed.
757	
758	
759	
760	
761	
762	
763	
764	
765	
766	
767	
768	
769	

770	References
771	References preceded with an asterisk were included in the systematic review.
772	Abt, G., Boreham, C., Davison, G., Jackson, R., Nevill, A., Wallace, E., & Williams, M.
773	(2020). Power, precision, and sample size estimation in sport and exercise science
774	research. Journal of Sport Sciences, 38(17), 1933-1935.
775	*Alder, D., Ford, P. R., Causer, J., & Williams, A. M. (2014). The coupling between gaze
776	behavior and opponent kinematics during anticipation of badminton shots. Human
777	Movement Science, 37, 167-179.
778	Beilock, S. L., Carr, T. H., MacMahon, C., & Starkes, J. L. (2002). When paying attention
779	becomes counterproductive: impact of divided versus skill-focused attention on
780	novice and experienced performance of sensorimotor skills. Journal of Experimental
781	Psychology: Applied, 8(1), 6.
782	*Bishop, D., Kuhn, G., & Maton, C. (2014). Telling people where to look in a soccer-based
783	decision task: A nomothetic approach. Journal of Eye Movement Research, 7(2).
784	Borenstein, M., Hedges, L. V., Higgins, J. P., & Rothstein, H. R. (2011). Introduction to
785	meta-analysis. Chichester, U.K: John Wiley & Sons.
786	Brams, S., Ziv, G., Levin, O., Spitz, J., Wagemans, J., Williams, A. M., & Helsen, W. F.
787	(2019). The relationship between gaze behavior, expertise, and performance: A
788	systematic review. Psychological Bulletin, 145(10), 980.
789	Brimmell, J., Parker, J., Wilson, M. R., Vine, S. J., & Moore, L. J. (2019). Challenge and
790	threat states, performance, and attentional control during a pressurized soccer penalty
791	task. Sport, Exercise, and Performance Psychology, 8(1), 63.
792	*Brimmell, J., Edwards, E. J., Smith, M., & Vaughan, R. S. (2021). Think, see, do: Executive
793	function, visual attention, and soccer penalty performance. Sport, Exercise, and
794	Performance Psychology, 10(2), 290-309.

- Broadbent, D. P., Causer, J., Williams, A. M., & Ford, P. R. (2015). Perceptual-cognitive
 skill training and its transfer to expert performance in the field: Future research
 directions. *European Journal of Sport Science*, *15*(4), 322-331.
- Carrasco, M. (2011). Visual attention: The past 25 years. *Vision Research*, *51*(13), 14841525.
- 800 Corbetta, M., & Shulman, G. L. (2002). Control of goal-directed and stimulus-driven
 801 attention in the brain. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *3*(3), 201-215.
- 802 *del Campo, V., & Gracia, I. (2018). Exploring Visual Patterns and Judgments Predicated on

803 Role Specificity: Case Studies of Expertise in Gymnastics. *Current*

- 804 *Psychology*, *37*(4).
- Diamond, A. (2013). Executive functions. Annual Review of Psychology, 64, 135-168.
- Bowns, S. H., & Black, N. (1998). The feasibility of creating a checklist for the assessment
 of the methodological quality both of randomised and non-randomised studies of
 health care interventions. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health*, 52(6), 377384.
- *Ducrocq, E., Wilson, M., Smith, T. J., & Derakshan, N. (2017). Adaptive working memory
- 811 training reduces the negative impact of anxiety on competitive motor

812 performance. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, *39*(6), 412-422.

- *Ducrocq, E., Wilson, M., Vine, S., & Derakshan, N. (2016). Training attentional control
- 814 improves cognitive and motor task performance. *Journal of sport and exercise*
- 815 *psychology*, *38*(5), 521-533.
- BuRant, R. H. (1994). Checklist for the evaluation of research articles. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 15(1), 4-8.
- Emmonds, S., Heyward, O., & Jones, B. (2019). The challenge of applying and undertaking
 research in female sport. *Sports Medicine-Open*, 5(1), 1-4.

- 820 Eysenck, M. W., Derakshan, N., Santos, R., & Calvo, M. G. (2007). Anxiety and cognitive performance: attentional control theory. *Emotion*, 7(2), 336. 821
- 822 Eysenck, M. W., & Wilson, M. R. (2016). Pressure and sport performance: A cognitive approach. Introducing attentional control theory: Sport. In D. Groome & M. W. 823 Eysenck (Eds.) An introduction to applied cognitive psychology, 329-350. 824
- Fang, Y., Lin, W., Lau, C. T., & Lee, B. S. (2011, May). A visual attention model combining
- 826 top-down and bottom-up mechanisms for salient object detection. In 2011 IEEE
- International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP) (pp. 827
- 828 1293-1296). IEEE.

825

- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Buchner, A., & Lang, A. G. (2009). Statistical power analyses using 829 G* Power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behavior Research* 830 Methods, 41(4), 1149-1160. 831
- 832 *Frank, C., Land, W. M., & Schack, T. (2016). Perceptual-cognitive changes during motor learning: The influence of mental and physical practice on mental representation, gaze 833 behavior, and performance of a complex action. Frontiers in Psychology, 6, 1981. 834
- Friedman, N. P., Miyake, A., Young, S. E., DeFries, J. C., Corley, R. P., & Hewitt, J. K. 835
- (2008). Individual differences in executive functions are almost entirely genetic in 836 origin. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 137(2), 201. 837
- Furley, P. A., & Memmert, D. (2012). Working memory capacity as controlled attention in 838 839 tactical decision making. Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 34(3), 322-344.
- Furley, P., & Wood, G. (2016). Working memory, attentional control, and expertise in sports: 840
- A review of current literature and directions for future research. Journal of Applied 841 842 Research in Memory and Cognition, 5(4), 415-425.
- Gaillard, C., & Ben Hamed, S. (2022). The neural bases of spatial attention and perceptual 843 rhythms. European Journal of Neuroscience, 55(11-12), 3209-3223. 844

- Genaidy, A. M., Lemasters, G. K., Lockey, J., Succop, P., Deddens, J., Sobeih, T., &
- Bunning, K. (2007). An epidemiological appraisal instrument–a tool for evaluation of
 epidemiological studies. *Ergonomics*, *50*(6), 920-960.
- 848 Gopalakrishnan, S., & Ganeshkumar, P. (2013). Systematic reviews and meta-analysis:
- 849 understanding the best evidence in primary healthcare. *Journal of Family Medicine*850 *and Primary Care*, 2(1), 9.
- Green, P., & MacLeod, C. J. (2015). SIMR: An R package for power analysis of generalized
 linear mixed models by simulation. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *7*, 493-498.
- Gregoriou, G. G., Gotts, S. J., Zhou, H., & Desimone, R. (2009). Long-range neural coupling
 through synchronization with attention. *Progress in Brain Research*, *176*, 35-45.
- Hagyard, J., Brimmell, J., Edwards, E. J., & Vaughan, R. S. (2021). Inhibitory control across
- athletic expertise and its relationship with sport performance. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 43(1), 14-27.
- Hammer, C., & Podlog, L. (2016). Motivation and marathon running. In C. Zinner & B.
 Erlich (Eds.), *Marathon running: Physiology, psychology, nutrition and training aspects* (pp. 107-124). Springer, Champaign.
- Harris, D. J., Allen, K. L., Vine, S. J., & Wilson, M. R. (2021a). A systematic review and
 meta-analysis of the relationship between flow states and performance. *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 1-29.
- Harris, D. J., Vine, S. J., Eysenck, M. W., & Wilson, M. R. (2019). To err again is human:
- Exploring a bidirectional relationship between pressure and performance failure
 feedback. *Anxiety, Stress, & Coping, 32*(6), 670-678.
- Harris, D. J., Wilson, M. R., & Vine, S. J. (2021b). A critical analysis of the functional
 parameters of the quiet eye using immersive virtual reality. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 47(2), 308.

- 870 Huijgen, B. C., Leemhuis, S., Kok, N. M., Verburgh, L., Oosterlaan, J., Elferink-Gemser, M.
- T., & Visscher, C. (2015). Cognitive functions in elite and sub-elite youth soccer
 players aged 13 to 17 years. *PLoS One*, *10*(12), e0144580.
- 873 Ionescu, T. (2012). Exploring the nature of cognitive flexibility. *New Ideas in Psychology*,
 874 30(2), 190-200.
- 875 Itti, L., & Koch, C. (2001). Computational modelling of visual attention. *Nature Reviews*876 *Neuroscience*, 2(3), 194-203.
- Jacobson, J., & Matthaeus, L. (2014). Athletics and executive functioning: How athletic
- participation and sport type correlate with cognitive performance. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 15(5), 521-527.
- *Klostermann, A. (2019). Picking an apple from a tree: Response-selection demands,
- inhibition requirements, and the functionality of the Quiet Eye in a far-aiming
 task. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 72(5), 1233-1241.
- *Klostermann, A. (2020). Perception and action in a far-aiming task: Inhibition demands and
- the functionality of the Quiet Eye in motor performance. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 101736.
- Klostermann, A., & Moeinirad, S. (2020). Fewer fixations of longer duration? Expert gaze
 behavior revisited. *German Journal of Exercise and Sport Research*, 50(1), 146-161.
- Koch, P., & Krenn, B. (2021). Executive functions in elite athletes–Comparing open-skill and
 closed-skill sports and considering the role of athletes' past involvement in both sport
- 890 categories. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 55, 101925.
- 891 Kotsopoulos, D., & Lee, J. (2012). A naturalistic study of executive function and
- mathematical problem-solving. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, *31*(2), 196208.

894	Krenn, B., Finkenzeller, T., Wurth, S., & Amesberger, G. (2018). Sport type determines
895	differences in executive functions in elite athletes. Psychology of Sport and Exercise,
896	38, 72-79.

- Kryger, K., Wang, A., Mehta, R., Impellizzeri, F. M., Massey, A., & McCall, A. (2021). 897
- Research on women's football: a scoping review. Science and Medicine in Football, 898 1-10. 899
- 900 Lebeau, J. C., Liu, S., Sáenz-Moncaleano, C., Sanduvete-Chaves, S., Chacón-Moscoso, S., Becker, B. J., & Tenenbaum, G. (2016). Quiet eye and performance in sport: A meta-901

902 analysis. Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 38(5), 441-457.

- *Luo, X., Zhang, L., & Wang, J. (2017). The benefits of working memory capacity on 903 904 attentional control under pressure. Frontiers in Psychology, 8, 1105.
- 905 Mann, D. T., Williams, A. M., Ward, P., & Janelle, C. M. (2007). Perceptual-cognitive 906 expertise in sport: A meta-analysis. Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 29(4), 457-478. 907
- McAuley, A. B., Baker, J., & Kelly, A. L. (2022). Defining "elite" status in sport: from chaos 908 to clarity. German Journal of Exercise and Sport Research, 52(1), 193-197. 909
- Miyake, A., Friedman, N. P., Emerson, M. J., Witzki, A. H., Howerter, A., & Wager, T. D. 910
- (2000). The unity and diversity of executive functions and their contributions to 912 complex "frontal lobe" tasks: A latent variable analysis. Cognitive Psychology, 41(1), 913 49-100.
- 914 Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., & Prisma Group. (2009). Preferred
- reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA 915
- 916 statement. *PLoS med*, 6(7), e1000097.

911

- Moore, L. J., Harris, D. J., Sharpe, B. T., Vine, S. J., & Wilson, M. R. (2019). Perceptualcognitive expertise when refereeing the scrum in rugby union. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *37*(15), 1778-1786.
- North, J. S., Ward, P., Ericsson, A., & Williams, A. M. (2011). Mechanisms underlying
 skilled anticipation and recognition in a dynamic and temporally constrained
 domain. *Memory*, *19*(2), 155-168.
- Payne, K. L., Wilson, M. R., & Vine, S. J. (2019). A systematic review of the anxietyattention relationship in far-aiming skills. *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, *12*(1), 325-355.
- *Piras, A., Lobietti, R., & Squatrito, S. (2014). Response time, visual search strategy, and
 anticipatory skills in volleyball players. *Journal of Ophthalmology*, 2014.
- Polman, R. (2012). Elite athletes experiences of coping with stress. In J. Thatcher, M. Jones,
 & D. Lavellee (Eds.), *Coping and emotion in sport* (2nd ed., pp. 284-301). Abingdon:
 Routledge.
- Roca, A., Ford, P. R., McRobert, A. P., & Williams, A. M. (2011). Identifying the processes
 underpinning anticipation and decision-making in a dynamic time-constrained
 task. *Cognitive Processing*, *12*(3), 301-310.
- Roca, A., Ford, P. R., McRobert, A. P., & Williams, A. M. (2013). Perceptual-cognitive skills
 and their interaction as a function of task constraints in soccer. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, *35*(2), 144-155.
- 937 Roebuck, G. S., Urquhart, D. M., Che, X., Knox, L., Fitzgerald, P. B., Cicuttini, F. M., Lee,
- 938 S., Segrave, R., & Fitzgibbon, B. M. (2020). Psychological characteristics associated
- 939 with ultra-marathon running: An exploratory self-report and psychophysiological
- 940 study. *Australian Journal of Psychology*, 72(3), 235-247.

941	Sakamoto, S., Takeuchi, H., Ihara, N., Ligao, B., & Suzukawa, K. (2018). Possible
942	requirement of executive functions for high performance in soccer. PloS One, 13(8),
943	e0201871.
944	*Savelsbergh, G. J., Van der Kamp, J., Williams, A. M., & Ward, P. (2005). Anticipation and
945	visual search behaviour in expert soccer goalkeepers. Ergonomics, 48(11-14), 1686-
946	1697.
947	*Savelsbergh, G. J., Williams, A. M., van der Kamp, J., & Ward, P. (2002). Visual search,
948	anticipation and expertise in soccer goalkeepers. Journal of Sports Sciences, 20(3),
949	279-287.
950	Scharfen, H. E., & Memmert, D. (2019). Measurement of cognitive functions in experts and
951	elite athletes: A meta-analytic review. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 33(5), 843-860.
952	Scharfen, H. E., & Memmert, D. (2021). Cognitive training in elite soccer players: evidence
953	of narrow, but not broad transfer to visual and executive function. German Journal of
954	Exercise and Sport Research, 51(2), 135-145.
955	Singer, R. N. (2000). Performance and human factors: Considerations about cognition and
956	attention for self-paced and externally-paced events. Ergonomics, 43(10), 1661-1680.
957	Sullivan, G. M., & Feinn, R. (2012). Using effect size—or why the P value is not
958	enough. Journal of Graduate Medical Education, 4(3), 279.
959	Swann, C., Moran, A., & Piggott, D. (2015). Defining elite athletes: Issues in the study of
960	expert performance in sport psychology. Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 16, 3-14.
961	*Vaeyens, R., Lenoir, M., Williams, A. M., & Philippaerts, R. M. (2007). Mechanisms
962	underpinning successful decision making in skilled youth soccer players: An analysis
963	of visual search behaviors. Journal of Motor Behavior, 39(5), 395-408.

964	van der Kamp, J., Rivas, F., Van Doorn, H., & Savelsbergh, G. (2008). Ventral and dorsal
965	system contributions to visual anticipation in fast ball sports. International Journal of
966	Sport Psychology, 39(2), 100.
967	*van Maarseveen, M. J., Oudejans, R. R., Mann, D. L., & Savelsbergh, G. J. (2018a).
968	Perceptual-cognitive skill and the in situ performance of soccer players. Quarterly
969	Journal of Experimental Psychology, 71(2), 455-470.
970	*van Maarseveen, M. J., Savelsbergh, G. J., & Oudejans, R. R. (2018). In situ examination of
971	decision-making skills and gaze behaviour of basketball players. Human Movement
972	Science, 57, 205-216.
973	VandenBos, G. R. (2006). Affect. APA Dictionary of Psychology. Washington, DC:
974	American Psychological Association, 26.
975	Vansteenkiste, P., Vaeyens, R., Zeuwts, L., Philippaerts, R., & Lenoir, M. (2014). Cue usage
976	in volleyball: A time course comparison of elite, intermediate and novice female
977	players. Biology of Sport, 31(4), 295
978	Vater, C., Williams, A. M., & Hossner, E. J. (2020). What do we see out of the corner of our
979	eye? The role of visual pivots and gaze anchors in sport. International Review of
980	Sport and Exercise Psychology, 13(1), 81-103
981	Vaughan, R. S., & Laborde, S. (2021). Attention, working-memory control, working-memory
982	capacity, and sport performance: The moderating role of athletic expertise. European
983	Journal of Sport Science, 21(2), 240-249.
984	Vaughan, R., Laborde, S., & McConville, C. (2019). The effect of athletic expertise and trait
985	emotional intelligence on decision-making. European Journal of Sport Sciences,
986	19(2), 225-233.
987	Verbruggen, F., Aron, A. R., Band, G. P., Beste, C., Bissett, P. G., Brockett, A. T., Brown, J.
988	W., Chamberlain, S. R., Chambers, C. D., Colonius, H., Colzato, L. S., Corneil, B. D.,

989	Coxon, J. P., Dupuis, A., Eagle, D. M., Garavan, H., Greenhouse, I., Heathcote, A.,
990	Huster, R. J., & Boehler, C. N. (2019). A consensus guide to capturing the ability
991	to inhibit actions and impulsive behaviors in the stop-signal task. <i>Elife</i> , 8, e46323.
992	Vestberg, T., Gustafson, R., Maurex, L., Ingvar, M., & Petrovic, P. (2012). Executive
993	functions predict the success of top-soccer players. PloS One, 7(4), e34731.
994	Vestberg, T., Reinebo, G., Maurex, L., Ingvar, M., & Petrovic, P. (2017). Core executive
995	functions are associated with success in young elite soccer players. PloS One, 12(2),
996	e0170845.
997	Vickers, J. N. (2007). Perception, cognition, and decision training: The quiet eye in action.
998	Human Kinetics.
999	*Vila-Maldonado, S., Saez-Gallego, N. M., García-Lopez, L. M., & Contreras, O. R. (2019).
1000	Influence of visual behaviour on decision making in volleyball blocking. Revista
1001	Internacional de Medicina y Ciencias de la Actividad Física y del Deporte, 19(75).
1002	Wang, C. H., Liang, W. K., Tseng, P., Muggleton, N. G., Juan, C. H., & Tsai, C. L. (2015).
1003	The relationship between aerobic fitness and neural oscillations during visuo-spatial
1004	attention in young adults. Experimental Brain Research, 233(4), 1069-1078.
1005	*Williams, A. M., Vickers, J., & Rodrigues, S. (2002). The effects of anxiety on visual
1006	search, movement kinematics, and performance in table tennis: A test of Eysenck and
1007	Calvo's processing efficiency theory. Journal of Sport and Exercise
1008	Psychology, 24(4), 438-455.
1009	Wilson, M. (2008). From processing efficiency to attentional control: a mechanistic account
1010	of the anxiety-performance relationship. International Review of Sport and Exercise
1011	Psychology, 1(2), 184-201.

- 1012 Wilson, M. (2012). Anxiety: Attention, the brain, the body, and performance. In S. M.
- 1013 Murphy (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of sport and performance psychology* (pp. 173-
- 1014 190. Oxford University Press.
- 1015 *Wood, G., Vine, S. J., & Wilson, M. R. (2016). Working memory capacity, controlled
- 1016 attention and aiming performance under pressure. *Psychological Research*, 80(4),
 1017 510-517.
- Wood, G., & Wilson, M. R. (2010). A moving goalkeeper distracts penalty takers and impairs
 shooting accuracy. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 28(9), 937-946.
- Wood, G., & Wilson, M. R. (2011). Quiet-eye training for soccer penalty kicks. *Cognitive Processing*, 12(3), 257-266.
- Wood, G., Wright, D. J., Harris, D., Pal, A., Franklin, Z. C., & Vine, S. J. (2021). Testing the
 construct validity of a soccer-specific virtual reality simulator using novice, academy,
 and professional soccer players. *Virtual Reality*, 25(1), 43-51.
- 1025 Zelazo, P. D., & Carlson, S. M. (2012). Hot and cool executive function in childhood and
- adolescence: Development and plasticity. *Child Development Perspectives*, 6(4), 354360.

Item number	Item description
1	Is/are the hypothesis/aim/objective(s) of the study clearly described?
2	Are the main outcomes to be measured clearly described?
3	Have the authors established a theoretical framework for the study?
4	Is the study design clearly described and appropriate to test the hypothesis?
5	Are the characteristics of participants in the study clearly described?
6	Is there evidence of attention to ethical issues?
7	Are the main findings of the study clearly described?
8	Does the study provide estimates of the statistical parameters?
9	Have actual probability values been reported for the main outcomes, except where the probability
	value is less than .001?
10	Are conclusions substantiated by the data that are presented in the results?
11	Are results adequately compared to previous studies and in relation to theoretical frameworks?
12	Are the subjects asked to participate in the study representative of the entire population from which
	they were recruited?
13	Are those subjects who were prepared to participate, representative of the entire population from
	which they were recruited?
14	Were the statistical tests used to assess the main outcomes appropriate?
15	Do the operational definitions of the variables match the theoretical definitions?
16	Are the methods of assessing the outcome variables valid?
17	Is the control group/condition comparable to the exposed group/condition?
18	Are the methods of assessing the exposure variables valid?
19	Is the manipulation of the exposure variable successful?
20	Are the methods of assessing the outcome variables direct measurement?
21	Are the outcome data reported by levels of exposure?
22	Can the study results be applied to the eligible population?
23	Can the study results be applied to other relevant populations?

Table 1. Quality assessment items.

Note. Items were taken from The Appraisal Instrument (Genaidy et al., 2007), The Quality Index (Downs & Black, 1998), and The Evaluation of Research Checklist (DuRant, 1994). Item 6 was an additional item intended to assess attention to ethics as in Payne et al. (2019).

Table 2. Quality assessment sco

Article												Iter	ns											Тс	tal
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	Raw	%
Alder et al. (2014) exp. 2	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	21	91.3
Bishop et al. (2014) exp 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	18	78.3
Brimmell et al. (2021)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	19	82.6
del Campo & Gracia (2018)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	19	82.6
Ducrocq et al. (2016) exp 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	19	82.6
Ducrocq et al. (2016) exp 3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	23	100
Ducrocq et al. (2017)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	23	100
Frank et al. (2016)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	19	82.6
Klostermann (2019)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	19	82.6
Klostermann (2020) exp 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	19	82.6
Klostermann (2020) exp 2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	19	82.6
Luo et al. (2017) exp 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	19	82.6
Luo et al. (2017) exp 2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	19	82.6
Piras et al. (2014)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	23	100
Savelsbergh et al. (2002)	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	21	91.3
Savelsbergh et al. (2005)	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	21	91.3
Vaeyens et al. (2007)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	23	100
van Maarseveen et al. (2018a)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	23	100

																								45	
van Maarseveen et al. (2018b)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	23	100
Vila-Maldonado et al. (2019)	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	21	91.3
Williams et al. (2002)	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	21	91.3
Wood et al. (2016)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	19	82.6
Total item score	22	22	21	22	22	18	20	22	17	21	23	12	12	22	22	22	22	22	21	22	22	12	11		^Avg. %
Total item percentage	100	100	95.5	100	100	81.8	90.9	100	77.3	95.5	100	54.5	54.5	100	100	100	100	100	95.5	100	100	54.5	50.0		^ 89.1

Note. 1 = yes, 0 = no/unclear.

Article	Sample	Sport Study Female Executive Function Measured	Eye-	Visual Attention	Relevant Findings	Notes and Additional				
	characteristics		design	%	Executive task	Outcome variable	tracker	Measured		Findings
Alder et al. (2014) exp. 2	8 expert (28.90 ± 3.10) and 8 novice (18.50 ± 1.10) players	Badminton	B-S	NS	Antic Sport-specific video	cipation Response accuracy	ASL	No. fixations, fixation duration, final fixation duration, % time to key locations	Experts fixated on the racket more when responding correct than novices. Novices fixated on the wrist more when responding incorrectly than experts. Novices fixated the shuttle more in correct and incorrect conditions	Included various video occlusion points (pre-contact, contact, post-contact). Assessed type of error (depth, direction, or both). Looked at a preparation and execution phase. Experts had significantly longer fixation durations and final fixation durations. Experts showed significantly higher response accuracy
Bishop et al. (2014) exp. 1	26 male (21.00 ± 1.70) and 14 female (21.40 ± 2.00) novice to semi-professional players	Soccer	W-S	35	Decisio Sport-specific photos	n-making Response accuracy and response time (combined to create efficiency scores)	SR Research Eyelink 1000	No. fixations, % dwell time, fixation duration, 1 st fixation time, saccadic amplitude, saccadic latency, peak saccadic velocity	Despite 19 predictors (including no. fixations to 4 locations, % dwell time to 4 locations, time to first fixate 4 locations, mean fixation duration, mean saccade amplitude, mean peak saccade velocity, initial saccade latency, and 3 soccer participation items), the model accounted for 67% of the variance in efficiency scores. The only individual significant predictor was time to 1 st fixate the ball	Overall participants were highly accurate (88.70 ± 0.10%)
Brimmell et al. (2021)	95 undergraduate sport university students (25.07 ± 7.50) 1 expert judge (36.00), 1 expert	Various/uns pecified Gymnastics	B-S + W-S B-S	38.95 0	Inhibition, shift Go/No-Go, Flanker, and Nback Decisio	ting and updating Response accuracy and efficiency scores n-making	SMI ASL SE5000	Quiet eye duration, quiet eye location, search rate, no. fixations No. fixations, fixation duration,	Several significant mediation models were reported. The inhibition-soccer penalty performance relationship was mediated by quiet eye duration, search rate and no. fixations to goal. The updating-soccer penalty performance relationship was mediated by quiet eye duration and location, and no. fixations to the goal Improved decision-making when no. fixation and fixation duration near the shoulders	Between-subject analyses were non-significant (i.e., no group differences) so subsequent analyses collapsed across groups. Seem to be only study with mediation. Also, examined a performance outcome (soccer penalty performance) in relation to EF and VA Videos of three different gymnastics skills (vault, uneven bars, and floor). Include some

Table 3. Summary of reviewed studies that measured executive function and visual attention in a sporting context.

_

										47
del Campo	coach (38.00), and				Sport-specific	Response		% time to key	increased, but the opposite was	individual difference data (i.e.,
& Gracia (2018)	1 expert gymnast (22.00)				video	accuracy		locations	found for the judge. The coach showed greatest decision- making when no. fixations and fixation duration to the trunk increased	gymnast had higher mean no. fixations and fixation duration to hips vs trunk). Included different gymnastic movements in video. Significant differences in no. fixations and fixation duration to the hips and the near legs. Post hoc showed the gymnast had the highest no. fixations and fixation durations and there were no differences between the coach and judge. No significant differences in response accuracy, judge performed marginally better.
Ducrocq et al. (2016) exp. 1	33 participants (27.13 ± 4.86)	Tennis	B-S	66.66	Inhi Visual search inhibition task	bition Distractor costs	SR Research Eyelink 1000	Saccade latency (anti and pro saccade)	The task x group and time x group interactions were non- significant. There was a trend for the group x task and the time x group x task interaction to be significant. Follow up showed that improvements were largely driven by the training groups decrease in response latency in the antisaccade task. For prosaccade there were no significant pre to post changes	Participants were split into training and control groups. Pre, intervention, and post design. Inhibition improved across training (indicated by distractor costs). Antisaccade and prosaccade performance improved pre to post intervention. Antisaccade latencies were slower than prosaccade latencies. Groups did not differ from each other on saccadic latencies
Ducrocq et al. (2016) exp. 3	22 recreational tennis players (27.84 ± 5.63)	Tennis	B-S	50	Inhi Visual search inhibition task	bition Distractor costs	SR Research Eyelink 1000	Time to 1 st target fixation	A significant condition x group interaction was found. The control group had earlier first target fixations at high-pressure while the training group had later first target fixations (indicating greater attention)	Used cognitive anxiety measures to assess hypothesised differences between the high- pressure and low-pressure conditions. Also, included a physical tennis task. For performance, only the training group decreased the number of

for the training group, indicating improved inhibition.

target misses. Participants were split into training and control groups. Pre, intervention, and post design. Regression showed that first target fixation predicted 13% of the variance in the tennis task. Distractor costs were lower post-training

Condition was significant, showing that first target fixation was significantly earlier in the high-pressure compared to lowpressure

Ducrocq et al. (2017)	30 recreational tennis players (33.00)	Tennis	B-S	16.66	Working Nback and change detection task	g-memory Average level of difficulty in the nback and hits and false alarms in CDT	Pupil Labs	Quiet eye duration, quiet eye onset, quiet eye offset	Quiet eye duration was longer in the high-pressure condition, but not significant between groups. All quiet eye onset analyses were non-significant. Quiet eye offset was later in the high-pressure condition. The training group had a later quiet eye offset than the control group indicating improved working-memory	Included a physical tennis task. Participants were split into training and control groups. Pre, intervention, and post design. Anxiety measures showed that the high- and low-pressure conditions were distinct. Only the training group improved on the tennis task from pre-training to post-training. Training group performed significantly better post-training vs pre-training on
Frank et al. (2016)	15 combined practice group (24.38), 15 physical practice group (25.73), and 15 no training group (27.00) university students	Golf	B-S	60	Mental represent me Structural dimension analysis of mental representation	ation and working- mory Adjusted rand index	SMI iViewX HED	Quiet eye duration	A small significant positive correlation between the cognitive representations (adjusted rand index) and quiet eye duration was found	the nback task. In the near- transfer change detection task only the training group showed improvement from pre-training to post-training Measured performance on a golf-putting task. Placed participants into three groups (combined practice, physical practice, and no practice). Both types of practice (i.e., combined and physical) improved putting accuracy compared to no practice at a retention test. Assessed imagery ability to be sure it did not influence results. The combined practice group increased functional clusters in regard to the putting action.
										Adjusted rand index increased in similarity to that of the expert. Physical practice group also improved, and no practice group showed no improvements in adjusted rand index scores. Only combined practice group showed improved quiet eye durations compared to the no

practice group

										49
Klostermann	40 undergraduate	Non-athletes	B-S	45	Inh	ibition	EyeSeeC	Quiet eye	Quiet eye duration was longer	The study manipulated
(2019)	students (20.30 \pm						am	duration, quiet	and quiet eye onset was earlier when inhibition demands were	inhibition demands and placed participants in one of two
	1.30)				In	Nono		eye onset, quiet	high v low. No difference in	groups (i.e., high-response and
					111-	None		eye offset	quiet eye offset	low-response selection
					situ/Manipulat					performance as well between
					ion					the conditions. Finally, they
										measured ball flight and
										between the groups
Klostermann	14 male (24.00 \pm	Various/uns	W-S	46.15	Inh	ibition	EyeSeeC	Quiet eye	Quiet eye duration was longer,	Manipulated inhibition
(2020) exp	3.60) and 12	pecified					am	duration, quiet	quiet eye onset was earlier, and	demands via response demands
(2020) exp.	female (20.90 +	peenied					um	eve onset, quiet	inhibition demands were high	discriminability (i.e., high and
1	2(0) = a				In-	None		eve offset	vs low (i.e., target distance was	low). Measured throwing
	5.60) sport				situ/Manipulat			eye onset,	small vs large)	performance as well between
	science university				ion					median split and assessed
	students									throwing accuracy as well
Klostermann	22 male (20.70 \pm	Various/uns	W-S	15.38	Inh	ibition	EyeSeeC	Quiet eye	Quiet eye duration was longer	Manipulated inhibition
(2020) exp.	1.20) and 4	pecified					am	duration, quiet	and quiet eye onset was earlier when throwing to 1 of 4 targets	demands, but this time
2	female (20.00 \pm				T.,	N		eye onset, quiet	than when throwing to a single	demands during the throwing
2	1 20) sport				In-	None		eye offset,	target and when	action. Measured throwing
	soionoo university				situ/Manipulat			•	discriminability was low vs high No differences of quiet	the conditions. Used a quiet eve
	science university				ion				eye offset	median split and assessed
	students									throwing accuracy as well
Luo et al.	56 undergraduate	Various/uns	B-S	76.79	Workir	ng-memory	Tobii	Latency of 1 st	Working-memory significantly	Participants were placed into two groups based on OSPAN
(2017) exp 1	and graduate sport	pecified					T120	correct saccade,	saccade (with faster latencies in	scores. Participants completed
	university				OSPAN	Response		% incorrect	high working-memory group),	low- and high-anxiety
	students (21.34 \pm				OBITIT	Response		saccades	but not the % of incorrect	conditions. Successful creation
	2.41)					accuracy			saccades	Also, assessed effect of anxiety
	, 									conditions on gaze
Luo et al.	32 undergraduate	Various/uns	B-S	71.88	Workir	ng-memory	Tobii	Latency of 1 st	Working-memory trained group	Training study where
(2017) exp 2	and graduate sport	pecified					T120	correct saccade,	similar OSPAN task and also	training or control group. Also,
	university				Nback and	Response		% incorrect	shorter latency of the 1 st	assessed effect of anxiety
	students (21.00 \pm				OSDAN			saccades	saccade. No effect of % of incorrect saccades	conditions on gaze and
	1.48)				USPAN	accuracy and				attention levels of participants.
						achieved				Only the training group showed
						difficulty level				(indicated by nback scores)

										50
Piras et al.	15 expert (24.87 \pm	Volleyball	B-S	NS	Antic	ripation	Eyelink	No. fixations,	Experts had a negative	Experts had higher response
(2014)	1.92) and 15						II	fixation duration,	duration and response time on	had a lower no. fixation, and
	novice (24.07 \pm				Sport-specific	Response		search rate, %	correct responses. When	shorter fixation duration.
	0.88) players				video	accuracy and		time to key	on legs and hands vs novices.	times when making correct vs
					1400	response time		locations	Experts spent more time fixating legs and hand area	incorrect decisions
Coulshanah	7	Secon	DC	NC	Antio	instion	ACT	No finations	when correct Recording successful and	No group difforences in
Saveisbergn	7 expert (29.90 \pm	Soccer	В-2	INS	Antic	apation	ASL	fination duration	unsuccessful anticipation trials	penalties saved but experts were
et al. (2002)	7.10) and 7 novice						4000SU	fixation duration,	(i.e., response accuracy) there	better at anticipating side and
	(21.30 ± 1.40)				Sport-specific	Response		search rate, no.	in search rate and % time	response accuracy). Experts
	goalkeepers				video	accuracy and		fixation locations,	fixating key locations across the	made fewer corrective
						response time		% time to key	trials	movements and initiated responses closer to foot-ball
						F		locations		contact. Experts had fewer
										fixations of longer durations to
										trunk, arm, and hips more while
										experts fixated the kicking leg,
										non-kicking leg, and ball. Early
										in the trial experts tended to
										fixated "unspecified" areas
Savelsbergh	16 expert	Soccer	B-S	NS	Antic	ripation	ASL	No. fixations,	No group differences on no.	A successful and unsuccessful
et al. (2005)	goalkeepers						4000SU	fixation duration,	fixations, fixation duration, or no. fixation locations. The	group was created based on no. penalties saved (i.e.,
	(25.70 ± 7.10)				Sport-specific	Response		search rate, no.	successful group fixated the	anticipation). The successful
					sport-speeme	Response		fixation locations,	non-kicking leg more, while the	group had higher overall response accuracy and greater
					video	accuracy		% time to key	head more. The successful	anticipation of kick side and
								locations	group fixated the "unspecified" region more	kick height. The successful group initiated movement closer to foot-ball contact
Vaeyens et	21 elite (14.70 \pm	Soccer	B-S	0	Decisio	n-making	ASL	No. fixations,	Successful players had a higher	Videos scenarios varied in the
al. (2007)	0.50), 21 sub-elite						5000	fixation duration,	number of fixations per second (search rate). No differences in	number of players present (2v1, 3v1, 3v2, 4v3, and 5v3

										51
van Maarseveen et al. (2018a)	(14.60 ± 0.30), 23 regional (14.60 ± 0.60) players 22 highly talented players (16.30 ± 1.10)	Soccer	B-S + W-S	100	Sport-specific video Anticipation, de patter Sport-specific video and in- situ	Response accuracy and response time cision-making, and rn recall Response accuracy and anticipatory recall score	SMI	search rate, % time to key locations, inter- fixation rate, fixation order No. fixation order No. fixations, fixation duration, search rate, % time to key locations, fixation order, entropy	fixation duration. No differences in inter-fixation between groups. Successful group alternated gaze more between the player in possession and other areas of the display more (fixation order). The groups differed in % time to key locations in two conditions (3v2 and 4v3) with successful players fixating the ball, player in possession, and attacker closely marked more. Overall, successful players spent more time fixating the player in possession Better performance on the in- situ task was only associated with less time fixating the ball in the decision-making task, no other VA measures.	51 scenarios). Participants were not compared across expertise level but rather split into "successful" and "unsuccessful" groups. Allocation was based on response accuracy. Authors offer more specific findings for differences between video scenarios. Successful players had faster response times across all video scenarios. Response time generally increased as the number of players increased. Successful group had higher response accuracy in all bar one condition (2v1) Used manipulation checks to assess the effect of different occlusion times (-100ms, 0ms, and 100ms) and whether repeated exposure to the same stimuli inadvertently facilitated learning effects. Also examined gaze differences across the three perceptual-cognitive (video) tasks. There was no relationship between in-situ performance and anticipation, decision-making, or pattern recall. A median split analysis using best and worst performers from both in-situ and parcentual coonitiva taska in
van Maarseveen et al. (2018b)	13 skilled players (16.90 ± 1.30)	Basketball	W-S	100	Decisio Sport-specific in-situ	on-making Response accuracy and response time	SMI	First fixation on selection, final fixation on selection, % time to key locations, scan paths, no. fixations to correct option when incorrect	Participants often fixated upon their final decision. 95 of 188 final fixations were toward their final decision. The option players chose was not influenced by the % time to key locations. A higher response accuracy was associated with lower % time viewing the free outer space. Scan paths were different, and more diverse, when selecting to pass to teammate rather than drive to basket or shoot. Different scan	separate analyses, revealed the same results Used a manipulation check to assess the impact of wearing an eye-tracker during an in-situ task. The defender in the in-situ task was given one of three instructions ("under", "over" and "hedge"). Also analysed potential performance differences based on the side of the court the action was performed (i.e., left and right). Looked at whether the side influenced preferences. Looked at the gaze behaviour across the

										52
									paths for correct and incorrect decisions were found. When incorrect, gaze was only directed to the optimal outcome in 12 of 56 trials	different decisions made (drive to basket, shoot, pass to teammate, or pass to corner). No differences based on defensive movement ("under", "over", "hedge") or court side (left/right) in response accuracy. Decisions were noted as different based on the side of the play. No differences in response time
Vila-	38 players (23.90	Volleyball	W-S	100	Decision	-making	ASL	No. fixations,	Regression showed that longer	Divide their response accuracy
Maldonado et al. (2019)	± 4.20)				Sport-specific video and in- situ	Response accuracy		fixation duration, % time to key locations	fixation durations to the shoulders and head negatively affected total response accuracy (with similar results for "zone 3" and "zone 4" accuracy). Total response accuracy was positively impacted by no. fixations to the ball-wrist and negatively impacted by no. fixations to the head	variable into three ("zone 3" accuracy, "zone 4" accuracy, and total accuracy). Zones refer to areas on the court
Williams et	10 recreational	Table tennis	W-S	20	Working-	-memory	ASL 501	No. fixations,	Participants fixated "other"	Used a manipulation check to
al. (2002)	players (28.90 ± 8.20)				In- situ/manipulati on	None		fixation duration, search rate, % time to key locations	areas of the display less vs the ball when anxiety was high in the high working-memory condition. No differences in fixation duration.	assess the effectiveness of their anxiety manipulation (used high- and low-anxiety conditions). Manipulated the task to have low- and high- demands on working-memory. Also obtained mental effort scores. Obtained some kinematic measures (ball velocity, arm velocity at contact, peak velocity, and initial position). Performance was better under low-anxiety conditions vs high-anxiety and better when working-memory demands were low vs high. Anxiety impacted frequency of
Wood et al. (2016)	12 low working- memory (20.30 \pm	Shooting	B-S	29.17	Working-	memory	ASL XG		Low working-memory individuals had slower visual search times and shorter quiet	gaze. Manipulated situation to create a high threat (high-anxiety) and low threat (low-anxiety). Task

					53
2.11) and 12 high	OSPAN	Response	Quiet eye	eye durations when the target	targets were congruent (word
working-memory		accuracy	duration, visual	was incongruent (marginally non-significant to congruent	matches ink colour) and incongruent (word does not
(20.00 ± 1.70)			search	targets)	match ink colour). Also
undergraduate					measured performance on the shooting task
students					

Note. all sample ages are shown in parentheses in "Sample Characteristics". B-S = between-subjects and W-S = within-subjects. NS = not specified.

Experiment	Direct or Inferred	Analysis	Key Results	Reported Effects
Alder et al. (2014) exp. 2	Direct	ANOVA	Significant three-way interaction between VA, anticipation accuracy and expertise	<i>d</i> = .31
Bishop et al. (2014) exp. 1	Direct	Regression	Time to 1 st ball fixation predicted decision-making efficiency	Only effect included all variables $R^2 = .67$
Brimmell et al. (2021)	Direct	Mediation	Inhibition predicted soccer penalty performance through mediators of quiet eye duration, search rate, and no. fixations on goal. Updating predicted soccer penalty performance through mediators of quiet eye duration and location, and no. fixations on goal	Only provided unstandardised beta coefficients ranging from06 to 8.54
del Campo & Gracia (2018)	Direct	Correlation	Greater decision-making accuracy was positively correlated with no. and duration of fixations at shoulder in the judge and trunk in the coach	None
Ducrocq et al. (2016) exp. 1	Inferred	ANOVA	Inhibition training group had significantly faster antisaccade reaction times	$\eta \rho^2 = .30$
Ducrocq et al. (2016) exp. 3	Inferred	ANOVA	Control group had significantly earlier 1 st fixation on tennis target vs inhibition training group	$\eta \rho^2 = .10$
Ducrocq et al. (2017)	Inferred	ANOVA	Working memory trained group had significantly later quiet eye offset	$\eta \rho^2 = .28$
Frank et al. (2016)	Direct	Correlation	Small significant positive correlation between adjusted rand index score and quiet eye duration	r = .29
Klostermann (2019)	Inferred	T-test	Longer quiet eye duration and earlier quiet eye onset in the "high" inhibition condition	Quiet eye duration $-d = .78$, quiet eye onset $-d = .61$
Klostermann (2020) exp. 1	Inferred	T-test	Longer quiet eye duration, earlier quiet eye onset, and later quiet eye offset in the "high" inhibition condition (i.e., small target distance)	Quiet eye duration - $\eta \rho^2 = .43$, quiet eye onset - $\eta \rho^2 = .46$, and quiet eye offset - $\eta \rho^2 = .30$
Klostermann (2020) exp. 2	Inferred	T-test	Longer quiet eye duration and earlier quiet eye onset when throwing to 1 of 4 targets and when discriminability was low	No. of targets: quiet eye duration - $\eta \rho^2 = .19$ and quiet eye onset - $\eta \rho^2 = .34$. Discriminability: quiet eye duration - $\eta \rho^2 = .16$ and quiet eye onset - $\eta \rho^2 = .16$
Luo et al. (2017) exp 1	Inferred	ANOVA	Higher working memory group had significantly shorter 1st saccade latency	$\eta \rho^2 = .19$
Luo et al. (2017) exp 2	Inferred	ANOVA	Working memory trained group had significantly shorter 1st saccade latency	$\eta \rho^2 = .19$
Piras et al. (2014)	Direct	Correlation	Experts had a negative correlation between fixation duration and response time on correct decisions.	<i>r</i> =22
Savelsbergh et al. (2002)	Inferred	ANOVA	Successful and unsuccessful decision groups did not significantly differ on any VA measure	None
Savelsbergh et al. (2005)	Inferred	ANOVA	Successful decision group fixated the non-kicking leg more, head less, and unspecified region more	Non-kicking leg - $\eta\rho^2$ = .36, head - $\eta\rho^2$ = .27, unspecified - $\eta\rho^2$ = .34
Vaeyens et al. (2007)	Inferred	ANOVA	Successful decision group had higher search rate, alternate fixation order, and differed in percentage time fixating "key" locations	Search rate - $\eta\rho^2$ = .20, alternate fixation order – $\eta\rho^2$ = .16, and "key" locations - $\eta\rho^2$ = .60 to .68
van Maarseveen et al. (2018a)	Direct	Correlation	In-situ soccer decisions accuracy significantly negatively correlated with time fixating the ball	r =66
van Maarseveen et al. (2018b)	Direct	Descriptive and Correlation	Half final fixations were to decision target. Greater decision accuracy associated with more time viewing free space. Difference scan paths in correct and incorrect decisions. When decision was wrong gaze was only at optimal choice 12/56 times	<i>r</i> =71
Vila-Maldonado et al. (2019)	Direct	Regression	Shorter fixations to head and shoulders lead to greater decision-making accuracy. Decision accuracy was positively influenced by the no. of fixations the ball and negatively by no. fixations to the head	Fixation length: head - β =32 and shoulders - β =90. No. of fixations: ball - β = .45 and head - β =36
Williams et al. (2002)	Inferred	ANOVA	High working memory group was associated with fewer fixations to "other" areas of the display and more to the ball	$\dot{\omega}^2$ = .23 for the whole interaction term
Wood et al. (2016)	Inferred	ANOVA	Low working memory group had shorter quiet eye durations and slower visual search times	Quiet eye duration - $\eta \rho^2 = .32$ and visual search time - $\eta \rho^2 = .44$

Table 4. The direction, analysis technique, key result(s), and effect size(s) of reviewed experiments



