

Est.
1841

YORK
ST JOHN
UNIVERSITY

Forsdyke, Dale ORCID logoORCID:
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4283-4356> (2022) The Psychological Implications of Long Term Injury During Rehabilitation. In: BASEM Annual Conference, 23-24th May 2022, Brighton. (Submitted)

Downloaded from: <https://ray.yorks.ac.uk/id/eprint/7373/>

Research at York St John (RaY) is an institutional repository. It supports the principles of open access by making the research outputs of the University available in digital form. Copyright of the items stored in RaY reside with the authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full text items free of charge, and may download a copy for private study or non-commercial research. For further reuse terms, see licence terms governing individual outputs. [Institutional Repository Policy Statement](#)

RaY

Research at the University of York St John

For more information please contact RaY at ray@yorks.ac.uk



The Psychological Implications of Long Term Injury During Rehabilitation



Dr Dale Forsdyke MSST, CSCS




@forsdyke_dale



Aims of today's talk

- **Discuss why the psychological implications of long-term injury are important**
- **Provide practice-facing strategies so clinicians may more confidently address some of the psychological implications of long-term injury**

What do we mean by psychological factors?



Sociodemographic and Sociocontextual factors



COGNITIONS

EMOTIONS

BEHAVIOURS



Rehabilitation & Return to sport process



Forsdyke et al., 2016

The return to sport landscape



Chronic symptoms and impaired function
(Cotchett et al., 2022; Hind et al. 2020)

Return to pre-injury sport
(Arden et al. 2014)

Return to pre-injury levels of performance
(Drew, Raysmith & Charlton, 2017; Raya-Gonzalez et al., 2022)


Non-volitional retirement
(Ristolainen et al. 2012)

Career trajectory
(Larruskain et al. 2021)

Re-injury
(Ekstrand et al. 2020)



Are we missing something?



Could factors other than,
and additional to functional
and biological factors be
related to rehabilitation and
recovery outcomes?



Rarely are psychological factors considered in clinical decision making

Practitioners often feel under-skilled and over-challenged about psychological factors

VS

Psychological factors should be taken into account during rehabilitation and at the time the athlete is making the transition back to sport



Burgi et al., 2019; Chesterton, Alexanders & Rutter, 2020; Heaney et al., 2015



&



**More
psychologically
'ready'**

**More functionally
'ready'**

**More biologically
'ready'**

Ardern et al., 2013; Forsdyke et al., 2016; Gouin & Kiecolt-Glaser, 2012; McPherson et al., 2019; Sonesson et al., 2017; Wadey et al., 2014; Williams et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2014; Zarzycki et al., 2018

More so than biological or functional factors, psychological factors are dynamic and easier to mask



Forsdyke et al., 2016; Truong et al., 2020

How to be more 'psychologically informed'



Evaluate psychological factors using tools AND using your working knowledge of the athlete



Use these impressions to make more rounded clinical decisions



Continue to monitor in order to inform decisions throughout the rehabilitation and recovery journey

Forsdyke, Ardern & Gledhill, 2017; Sterns et al., 2021



How can I monitor psychological factors to help inform clinical decision?

ACL-RSI (Webster et al., 2008)

ACL-RSI (SF) (Webster et al., 2018)

SI-RSI (Geromotta et al., 2018)

ALR – RSI (Signonney et al., 2020)

PRIA-RS (Gomez-Piqueras et al., 2014)

I-PRRS scale (Glazer, 2009)


TSK (Miller, Kori & Todd, 1991)

RIAI (Walker, Thatcher & Lavalley, 2010)

**Psychological
readiness**


**Anxiety/ fear/
kinesiophobia**

ACL-RSI = ACL-Return to Sport after Injury scale, SI-RSI = Shoulder Instability-Return to Sport after Injury scale, ALR-RSI = Ankle Ligament Reconstruction-Return to Sport after Injury scale, PRIA-RS = Psychological Readiness of Injured Athletes to Return to Sport questionnaire, I-PRRS = Injury-Psychological Readiness to Return to Sport scale, TSK = Tampa Scale of Kinesiophobia, RIAI = Reinjury Anxiety Inventory

A close-up, blue-tinted image of a stopwatch. The dial is visible with numbers 35, 40, 45, 50, and 55. A white callout box with a black border is overlaid on the stopwatch, containing the text: "We work with complex 24/7 individuals. Our contact with them is but a 'drop in the ocean'".

**We work with complex 24/7 individuals
Our contact with them is but a 'drop in
the ocean'**

Let the patient/athlete off the leash! Boosting adherence & empowerment



Gledhill et al., 2021; Gledhill, Forsdyke & Goom, 2020,
Maciak et al., 2018; Zou et al., 2016

Some practice-facing ideas

Injury homework

Session plenaries

Rest is rust, motion is lotion

Early engagement with return to sport criteria

Maintain the social side of sport

Injury role models

Patient/athlete question framework

Maximise your pre-injury athlete relationships




Gledhill et al., 2021; Gledhill, Forsdyke & Goom, 2020, Maciak et al., 2018; Podlog, Heil & Schulte, 2014

In summary

- **You have an important role in managing psychological implications during long term injury rehabilitation**
- **Psychological factors are important precursors to more successful rehabilitation and recovery outcomes**
- **While all rehabilitation should be ‘psychologically informed’ there are ways to make it ‘more psychologically informed’**

- Screen, inform, monitor
- Provide high-quality social support
- Educate, and then educate some more
- Maximise patient/athlete involvement and ownership
- Set shared multifaceted goals



ANY QUESTIONS?