

Est.  
1841

YORK  
ST JOHN  
UNIVERSITY

Glassbrook, Daniel ORCID:

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3317-8791>, Chazot, Paul L. and Hind, Karen (2023) Precision of the Integrated Cognitive Assessment for the assessment of neurocognitive performance in athletes at risk of concussion. BioRxiv.

Downloaded from: <http://ray.yorks.ac.uk/id/eprint/8355/>

The version presented here may differ from the published version or version of record. If you intend to cite from the work you are advised to consult the publisher's version:

<https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.22.533746>

Research at York St John (RaY) is an institutional repository. It supports the principles of open access by making the research outputs of the University available in digital form. Copyright of the items stored in RaY reside with the authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full text items free of charge, and may download a copy for private study or non-commercial research. For further reuse terms, see licence terms governing individual outputs. [Institutional Repository Policy Statement](#)

# RaY

Research at the University of York St John

For more information please contact RaY at [ray@yorks.ac.uk](mailto:ray@yorks.ac.uk)

1 **Precision of the Integrated Cognitive Assessment for the assessment of neurocognitive**  
2 **performance in athletes at risk of concussion**

3

4 Daniel J. Glassbrook\*<sup>¶</sup>, Paul L. Chazot<sup>¶</sup>, and Karen Hind<sup>¶</sup>

5

6 <sup>¶</sup>Wolfson Research Institute for Health and Wellbeing, Durham University, United Kingdom.

7

8 **\*Corresponding author:**

9 Email: [daniel.glassbrook@durham.ac.uk](mailto:daniel.glassbrook@durham.ac.uk) (DG)

10

11 <sup>¶</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

12

13 **Abstract**

14 Choice reaction time tests are commonly used for the assessment of cognitive function, and  
15 may be useful to assess the effect of mild traumatic injuries or concussions. This study  
16 investigated the precision of the Integrated Cognitive Assessment (ICA; Cognetivity  
17 Neurosciences Ltd., Vancouver, Canada) test for the assessment of cognitive function in  
18 athletes. Thirty-one participants volunteered to take part in this study, from both contact ( $n =$   
19 22) and non-contact sports ( $n = 9$ ). Participants performed the ICA test consecutively both  
20 before and after normal training session to simulate resting and post-sport conditions. Precision  
21 errors were calculated for three variables, ICA Index (overall information processing ability),  
22 ICA Speed (information processing speed) and ICA Accuracy (information processing  
23 accuracy). ICA precision errors [root mean squared-standard deviation, RMS-SD (coefficient  
24 of variation, %CV)] pre-sport were ICA Index: 5.18 (7.14%), ICA Speed: 3.98 (4.64%), and  
25 ICA Accuracy: 3.64 (5.00%); and post-sport were ICA Index: 3.96 (4.94%), ICA Speed: 2.14  
26 (2.32%), and ICA Accuracy 3.40 (4.25%). The ICA test demonstrates high in-vivo precision  
27 with all variables, with all variables except ICA Index (7.14%) demonstrating an acceptable  
28 precision error of  $\leq 5\%$  %CV. The ICA test is suitable for the assessment of cognitive function  
29 pre- and post-sport.

30

31 **Key words:** Choice Reaction, Information Processing, Reaction Time, Simple Reaction Time,  
32 Concussion

33

34

## 35 **Introduction**

36 Cognitive decline is a troubling consequence of normal ageing, and evidence has demonstrated  
37 links between mild traumatic brain injuries (mTBI) and persistent cognitive decline and long-  
38 term neurodegeneration (1-3). A mTBI is commonly known as a concussion, and is the result  
39 of a sudden movement of the brain within the cranium, and can arise from rapid rotational or  
40 linear acceleration or deceleration of the head (4). People who play contact sports may be at a  
41 higher risk of sustaining a concussion due to the nature of these sports and associated collisions  
42 (5). In fact, sports-related concussion is one of the highest reported injuries in rugby union  
43 players in the United Kingdom (1). A person with a concussion can experience symptoms such  
44 as loss of consciousness, altered mental state, nausea, headaches, vertigo and amnesia (6).  
45 However, concussion is currently difficult to objectively assess.

46 One proposed method to assess the effects of concussion and cognitive function is via  
47 information processing and reaction time tests. An increase in reaction time (slower) is a  
48 commonly used indicator of cognitive change following concussion (7, 8). Additionally,  
49 information processing speed underpins several conditions of cognitive dysfunction, for  
50 example, multiple sclerosis (9, 10) and Alzheimer's disease (11). Two common types of  
51 reaction test include measurement of simple reaction time (SRT) or choice reaction time (CRT)  
52 (12). SRT is recorded when there is only one possible stimulus (signal) and one possible  
53 response (action), for example tapping anywhere on a screen when any image appears. In CRT  
54 tasks there are two or more possible stimuli, each of which requires a quite different response,  
55 for example, tapping on the left of the screen when an image of an object appears on the screen,  
56 and tapping on the right when an image of an animal appears on the screen.

57 The Integrated Cognitive Assessment (ICA; Cognetivity Neurosciences Ltd., Vancouver,  
58 Canada) (13, 14), is a newly developed method for the assessment of cognitive function, and

59 may be applicable to the assessment of concussion in athletic populations. The ICA is a short  
60 computerised cognitive test of cognitive function via an assessment of information processing  
61 (CRT) speed based on a rapid categorisation task, and is independent of language (13, 15). The  
62 ICA test can be completed on a handheld device such as an iPad, and each test takes  
63 approximately five minutes. The ICA has been shown to accurately detect mild cognitive  
64 impairment and be moderately to highly correlated with other popular pen-and-paper cognitive  
65 tests such as the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (Pearsons  $r = 0.58$ ) and Addenbrooke's  
66 Cognitive Examination (Pearsons  $r = 0.62$ ) cognitive tests (13). There are no associated risks  
67 with completing the ICA, and the test provides three variables, ICA Speed; information  
68 processing speed, ICA Accuracy; information processing accuracy, and ICA Index; overall  
69 information processing ability, a combination of ICA Speed and ICA Accuracy. There is a  
70 speed-accuracy trade-off in reaction test performance, and often scoring higher in either speed  
71 or accuracy is achieved at the expense of the other capacity (16, 17). To combat the potential  
72 negative reflection on overall information processing ability from a poor speed or accuracy  
73 score, a common solution is the inverse efficiency score (18), whereby speed and accuracy are  
74 combined into a single score. In the case of the ICA, this concept is applied and manifests as  
75 the ICA Index variable.

76 The ICA has been shown to accurately measure cognitive impairment in patients in the early  
77 stages of dementia (13). However, to date, no known study has investigated the intra-day  
78 precision of the ICA test. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine the same-day,  
79 in-vivo precision of the ICA test to assess cognitive function.

## 80 **Materials and Methods**

81 Thirty-one participants volunteered to take part in this study. Participant characteristics are  
82 presented in Table 1. Participants were eligible for participation if they were a current contact

83 sport or non-contact sport athletes (Table 2) aged 18-40 years, and healthy; having no  
 84 underlying medical issues that affect participation in sport. Participants were excluded if they  
 85 were injured, pregnant, or suffering from post-concussion syndrome. This study was approved  
 86 by the Durham University Sport and Exercise Sciences Ethics Committee (reference: SPORT-  
 87 2022-01-07T10\_44\_59-srhd22), and written informed consent was provided by each  
 88 participant prior to participation.

89 Table 1: Participant Characteristics

	Age (Yr.)	Height (m)	Body Mass (kg)
Total ( $n = 31$ )	$23.7 \pm 5.7$	$1.78 \pm 0.09$	$72.6 \pm 8.3$
Male ( $n = 16$ )	$22.9 \pm 4.7$	$1.82 \pm 0.08$	$75.7 \pm 7.4$
Female ( $n = 15$ )	$24.6 \pm 6.6$	$1.71 \pm 0.07$	$68.0 \pm 9.9$
Contact Sport ( $n = 22$ )	$24.9 \pm 6.3$	$1.80 \pm 0.07$	$75.5 \pm 6.0$
Non-Contact Sport ( $n = 9$ )	$20.6 \pm 0.6$	$174.1 \pm 8.3$	$77.2 \pm 12.2$

Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Yr., Years; m, Metres; kg, Kilograms;  $n$ , Number.

90 Table 2: Sports Breakdown

Contact Sports ( $n = 22$ )		Non-Contact Sports ( $n = 9$ )	
Rugby Union ( $n = 7$ )	Semi-professional, Amateur	Touch Rugby ( $n = 5$ )	Amateur
Boxing ( $n = 6$ )	Amateur	Athletics ( $n = 4$ )	Amateur
Muay Thai (Kickboxing) ( $n = 5$ )	Professional, Amateur		
Indoor Football ( $n = 4$ )	Amateur		

$n$ , Number.

91 To simulate resting- and post-sport conditions, participants performed the ICA test (version  
 92 1.6.0 or 1.7.0) before and after a normal training session for their respective sports. Data  
 93 collection was performed in a quiet room to minimise distractions. Prior to their sports training,  
 94 participants completed two consecutive ICA tests. The participants then completed a normal  
 95 training session and then two consecutive ICA tests again.

96 All data analysis was performed in Microsoft Excel (2016). Raw data for ICA Index, ICA  
 97 Speed, and ICA Accuracy were extracted and exported to Microsoft Excel for analysis (19).

98 Precision of ICA scores and least significant change (LSC) were calculated at the 95%  
 99 confidence level. Precision was determined as root mean square standard deviation (RMS-SD),  
 100 coefficient of variation (CV), and percentage CV (%CV). RMS-SD represents the sample  
 101 standard deviation of the differences between predicted values and observed values, and is  
 102 calculated via the following formulae, where SD represents standard deviation and  $n$  represents  
 103 the number of participants:

$$104 \quad \sqrt{\left(\frac{\sum SD^2}{n}\right)}$$

105 The %CV expresses test variation relative to the mean of two tests and is corrected for small  
 106 sample bias, and was defined as acceptable <5% (20). The LSC represents a true meaningful  
 107 change was calculated from the precision errors (LSC = RMS-SD \* 2.77).

## 108 **Results**

109 Results of the precision analysis for each ICA variable pre- and post-sport are presented in  
 110 Table 3. All variables except for ICA Index pre-sport had a precision error of  $\leq 5\%$  %CV. LSC  
 111 results are presented in Table 4.

112 Table 3: Precision Analysis Results

Variable	Precision ( $n = 31$ )		
	RMS-SD	CV	%CV
<i>Pre</i>			
ICA Index	5.18	0.07	7.14
ICA Speed	3.98	0.05	4.64
ICA Accuracy	3.64	0.05	5.00
<i>Post</i>			
ICA Index	3.96	0.05	4.94
ICA Speed	2.14	0.02	2.32
ICA Accuracy	3.40	0.04	4.25

n, Number; ICA, Integrated Cognitive Assessment; RMS-SD, Root Mean Square Standard Deviation; CV, Coefficient of Variation; %, Percentage.

113

114 Table 4: Least Significant Change Results

Variable	LSC ( $n = 31$ )		
	RMS-SD	CV	%CV
<i>Pre</i>			
ICA Index	14.36	0.20	19.78
ICA Speed	11.01	0.13	12.86
ICA Accuracy	10.09	0.14	13.9
<i>Post</i>			
ICA Index	10.96	0.14	13.7
ICA Speed	5.94	0.06	6.43
ICA Accuracy	9.43	0.12	11.78

LSC, Least Significant Change; n, Number; ICA, Integrated Cognitive Assessment; RMS-SD, Root Mean Square Standard Deviation; CV, Coefficient of Variation; %, Percentage.

## 115 Discussion

116 The purpose of this study was to determine on the same-day, in-vivo precision of the ICA test  
 117 to assess cognitive function. The results of this study support the ICA as a tool with acceptable  
 118 precision to measure changes in cognitive ability pre- and post-sport. All ICA variables in this  
 119 study, except for ICA Index pre-sport demonstrated a precision error of  $\leq 5\%$  %CV.

120 The higher ICA Index precision score (7.14 %CV) pre-sport than post-sport (4.94 %CV) in  
 121 this study may be explained by a large difference between test one and test two pre-sport,  
 122 compared to a smaller difference in ICA scores between test three and test four post-sport. This  
 123 is exemplified by a larger ICA Index RMS-SD pre-sport than post-sport, which indicates more  
 124 variance in observed data around the mean. This result may be due to an increased level of  
 125 comfort with the test from the first pre-sport ICA test to the subsequent test, and possibly a  
 126 learning effect. However, this is in contrast to previous work which showed no learning effect  
 127 for the ICA test in healthy participants and those diagnosed with dementia (13).

128 All variables showed greater precision post-sport compared to pre-sport. This may be due to  
 129 the many positive physiological benefits that exercise has, such as an increase in blood flow to  
 130 muscles and brain (21), structural and functional changes in the brain (22), and increases



131 information processing ability (23). Indeed, improvements in cognitive function after a bout of  
132 exercise is supported by previous research (24, 25).

133 Previous research looking at precision in a similar cognitive test to the ICA, the CogSport  
134 choice reaction time test, has shown lower %CV for mean choice reaction time (speed) (1.4  
135 %CV), and higher %CV for choice reaction time accuracy (11.4 %CV) (26). These results are  
136 interesting as the ICA is shown to be less precise in measuring reaction speed (2.32 - 4.64 %CV  
137 vs 1.4 %CV), however, the ICA test is shown to be more precise in terms of accuracy (4.25 –  
138 5.00 %CV vs 11.4 %CV). These results may indicate that the test you adopt needs to be specific  
139 to the variable of interest (i.e., speed or accuracy), however, this should be negated in the case  
140 of the ICA via the ICA Index variable as an inverse efficiency score (18), whereby speed and  
141 accuracy are combined into a single score. The contrasting results between the present study  
142 and that of Straume-Naesheim, Andersen (26) may be due to the populations used; the  
143 CogSport test was used in elite football players only, whereas only a small percentage of the  
144 participants in the present study are practicing professionally (Table 2). Additionally, the  
145 present study recruited participants from a variety of sports, each with their own decision  
146 making and reaction characteristics, in comparison to only football.

147 In conclusion, the ICA is a practical test which can be used to measure cognitive function  
148 before and after sport participation. The results of this study support the ICA as a precise  
149 measure of information processing speed and information processing accuracy, and overall  
150 information processing ability. The ICA can be used for the assessment of cognitive function,  
151 and may be useful as a method to assess the effects of concussion.

152

153 **References**

- 154 1. Hume PA, Theadom A, Lewis GN, Quarrie KL, Brown SR, Hill R, et al. A  
155 Comparison of cognitive function in former rugby union players compared with former non-  
156 contact-sport players and the impact of concussion history. *Sports Medicine*.  
157 2017;47(6):1209-20.
- 158 2. Johnson VE, Stewart W, Smith DH. Traumatic brain injury and amyloid- $\beta$  pathology:  
159 a link to Alzheimer's disease? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2010;11(5):361-70.
- 160 3. McKee AC, Cantu RC, Nowinski CJ, Hedley-Whyte ET, Gavett BE, Budson AE, et  
161 al. Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy in Athletes: Progressive Tauopathy After Repetitive  
162 Head Injury. *Journal of Neuropathology & Experimental Neurology*. 2009;68(7):709-35.
- 163 4. Jordan BD. The clinical spectrum of sport-related traumatic brain injury. *Nature*  
164 *Reviews Neurology*. 2013;9(4):222-30.
- 165 5. La Fountaine MF, Toda M, Testa AJ, Hill-Lombardi V. Autonomic nervous system  
166 responses to concussion: Arterial pulse contour analysis. *Frontiers in Neurology*. 2016;7.
- 167 6. Sharma A, Hind K, Hume P, Singh J, Neary JP. Neurovascular coupling by functional  
168 near infra-red spectroscopy and sport-related concussion in retired rugby players: The UK  
169 rugby health project. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*. 2020;14.
- 170 7. Collie A, Maruff P, Makdissi M, McCrory P, McStephen M, Darby D. CogSport:  
171 reliability and correlation with conventional cognitive tests used in postconcussion medical  
172 evaluations. *Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine*. 2003;13(1):28-32.
- 173 8. Erlanger D, Saliba E, Barth J, Almquist J, Webright W, Freeman J. Monitoring  
174 resolution of postconcussion symptoms in athletes: preliminary results of a web-based  
175 neuropsychological test protocol. *Journal of Athletic Training*. 2001;36(3):280.
- 176 9. Costa SL, Genova HM, DeLuca J, Chiaravalloti ND. Information processing speed in  
177 multiple sclerosis: Past, present, and future. *Multiple Sclerosis Journal*. 2016;23(6):772-89.

- 178 10. DeLuca J, Chelune GJ, Tulskey DS, Lengenfelder J, Chiaravalloti ND. Is speed of  
179 processing or working memory the primary information processing deficit in multiple  
180 sclerosis? *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*. 2004;26(4):550-62.
- 181 11. Lu H, Chan SS, Lam LC. 'Two-level' measurements of processing speed as cognitive  
182 markers in the differential diagnosis of DSM-5 mild neurocognitive disorders (NCD).  
183 *Scientific Reports*. 2017;7(1):1-8.
- 184 12. Deary IJ, Liewald D, Nissan J. A free, easy-to-use, computer-based simple and four-  
185 choice reaction time programme: The Deary-Liewald reaction time task. *Behavior Research*  
186 *Methods*. 2011;43(1):258-68.
- 187 13. Kalafatis C, Modarres MH, Apostolou P, Marefat H, Khanbagi M, Karimi H, et al.  
188 Validity and cultural generalisability of a 5-minute ai-based, computerised cognitive  
189 assessment in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's dementia. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*.  
190 2021;12.
- 191 14. Khaligh-Razavi S, Habibi S. System for assessing mental health disorder. UK  
192 Intellect Prop Off. 2013.
- 193 15. Khaligh-Razavi S-M, Habibi S, Sadeghi M, Marefat H, Khanbagi M, Nabavi SM, et  
194 al. Integrated cognitive assessment: Speed and accuracy of visual processing as a reliable  
195 proxy to cognitive performance. *Scientific Reports*. 2019;9(1):1102.
- 196 16. Wickelgren WA. Speed-accuracy tradeoff and information processing dynamics. *Acta*  
197 *psychologica*. 1977;41(1):67-85.
- 198 17. Liesefeld HR, Janczyk M. Combining speed and accuracy to control for speed-  
199 accuracy trade-offs. *Behavior Research Methods*. 2019;51(1):40-60.
- 200 18. Townsend JT, Ashby FG. *Stochastic Modeling of Elementary Psychological*  
201 *Processes*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press; 1983.
- 202 19. Glassbrook DJ, Chazot PL, Hind K. ICA Precision Raw Data.xlsx.: figshare; 2023.

- 203 20. Machin D, Campbell MJ, Walters SJ. Medical Statistics a Textbook for the Health  
204 Sciences: John Wiley & Sons, New York; 2007.
- 205 21. Poels MM, Ikram MA, Vernooij MW, Krestin GP, Hofman A, Messen WJ, et al.  
206 Total cerebral blood flow in relation to cognitive function: The Rotterdam Scan Study.  
207 Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism. 2008;28(10):1652-5.
- 208 22. Fernandes J, Arida RM, Gomez-Pinilla F. Physical exercise as an epigenetic  
209 modulator of brain plasticity and cognition. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews.  
210 2017;80:443-56.
- 211 23. Davranche K, Audiffren M. Facilitating effects of exercise on information processing.  
212 Journal of Sports Sciences. 2004;22(5):419-28.
- 213 24. Lemmink KAPM, Visscher C. Effect of intermittent exercise on multiple-choice  
214 reaction times of soccer players. Perceptual and Motor Skills. 2005;100(1):85-95.
- 215 25. Niedermeier M, Weiss EM, Steidl-Müller L, Burtscher M, Kopp M. Acute effects of a  
216 short bout of physical activity on cognitive function in sport students. International Journal of  
217 Environmental Research and Public Health. 2020;17(10):3678.
- 218 26. Straume-Naesheim TM, Andersen TE, Bahr R. Reproducibility of computer based  
219 neuropsychological testing among Norwegian elite football players. British Journal of Sports  
220 Medicine. 2005;39(suppl 1):i64.
- 221