Herbert, Katharine ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9437-0253, Ashton, Thomas M., Prevo, Remko, Pirovano, Giacomo and Higgins, Geoff S. (2018) T-LAK cell-originated protein kinase (TOPK): an emerging target for cancer-specific therapeutics. Cell Death & Disease, 9 (1089).

Downloaded from: http://ray.yorksj.ac.uk/id/eprint/9397/

The version presented here may differ from the published version or version of record. If you intend to cite from the work you are advised to consult the publisher's version: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-018-1131-7

Research at York St John (RaY) is an institutional repository. It supports the principles of open access by making the research outputs of the University available in digital form. Copyright of the items stored in RaY reside with the authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full text items free of charge, and may download a copy for private study or non-commercial research. For further reuse terms, see licence terms governing individual outputs. Institutional Repository Policy Statement



Research at the University of York St John For more information please contact RaY at <u>ray@yorksj.ac.uk</u>

REVIEW ARTICLE

Open Access

T-LAK cell-originated protein kinase (TOPK): an emerging target for cancer-specific therapeutics

Katharine J. Herbert¹, Thomas M. Ashton¹, Remko Prevo¹, Giacomo Pirovano² and Geoff S. Higgins¹

Abstract

Targeted' or 'biological' cancer treatments rely on differential gene expression between normal tissue and cancer, and genetic changes that render tumour cells especially sensitive to the agent being applied. Problems exist with the application of many agents as a result of damage to local tissues, tumour evolution and treatment resistance, or through systemic toxicity. Hence, there is a therapeutic need to uncover specific clinical targets which enhance the efficacy of cancer treatment whilst minimising the risk to healthy tissues. T-LAK cell-originated protein kinase (TOPK) is a MAPKK-like kinase which plays a role in cell cycle regulation and mitotic progression. As a consequence, TOPK expression is minimal in differentiated cells, although its overexpression is a pathophysiological feature of many tumours. Hence, TOPK has garnered interest as a cancer-specific biomarker and biochemical target with the potential to enhance cancer therapy whilst causing minimal harm to normal tissues. Small molecule inhibitors of TOPK have produced encouraging results as a stand-alone treatment in vitro and in vivo, and are expected to advance into clinical trials in the near future. In this review, we present the current literature pertaining to TOPK as a potential clinical target and describe the progress made in uncovering its role in tumour development. Firstly, we describe the functional role of TOPK as a pro-oncogenic kinase, followed by a discussion of its potential as a target for the treatment of cancers with high-TOPK expression. Next, we provide an overview of the current preclinical progress in TOPK inhibitor discovery and development, with respect to future adaptation for clinical use.

Facts

- TOPK activity facilitates cell cycle control and mitotic progression.
- Dysregulated TOPK expression potentiates cancer development and dissemination.
- High-TOPK expression is tumour-specific and is associated with poor clinical outcomes.
- TOPK inhibitors have anti-cancer potential when combined with chemo- or radiotherapy.

Correspondence: Katharine J. Herbert (katharine.herbert@oncology.ox.ac.uk) ¹CRUK/MRC Oxford Institute for Radiation Oncology, University of Oxford, Old Road Campus Research Building, Roosevelt Drive, Oxford OX3 7DQ, UK ²Department of Radiology, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA

Edited by G. Ciliberto

© The Author(s) 2018

Open questions

- What process contributes to dysregulation of TOPK expression during cancer progression?
- Are there parallels between the function of TOPK in embryonic stem cells and its behaviour in cancer stem cells?
- How does TOPK suppression contribute to the cancer-specific potency of DNA damaging therapies?
- Can TOPK inhibition function as a low risk alternative to MEK or CDK inhibition in the clinical treatment of TOPK overexpressing cancers?

Introduction

T-LAK cell-originated protein kinase (TOPK), also known as PDZ-binding kinase (PBK), is a dual specificity

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

serine/threonine kinase with sequence homology lying between the phylogenetic branches for MEK1/2 and MEK7, but with greater functional similarity to the p38activating MEKs, MKK $3/6^{1}$. As a member of the dual specificity family of kinases, it was anticipated that TOPK would play a role in activation of JNK, ERK and Akt. Early studies suggested that TOPK facilitates transformation by upregulating and activating ERK2 through an increase in AP-1 transcriptional activity^{2,3}. Indeed, a positive feedback loop between TOPK and ERK2 was found to amplify kinase signalling in HCT116 colon cancer cells. In this cell line, TOPK-mediated pathway activation was independent of B-Raf or C-Raf, leading the authors to conclude that a TOPK/ERK2 feedback loop may be contributing to transformation potential by bypassing the negative feedback loop regulating the Raf/MEK/ERK pathway³. This was later confirmed when TOPK was shown to activate ERK independently of MEK1/2 as part of the EGFR signalling pathway, and to contribute to MEK inhibitor resistance in MCF7 cells⁴. As a novel regulator of downstream Raf/MEK/ERK pathway activity, TOPK, therefore, provides an attractive potential target for chemotherapeutic inhibitor development.

Localisation, tissue distribution and function

TOPK expression is largely confined to tissues with high levels of proliferation. Its interest as an oncogenic target lies in the differential expression of TOPK in multiple tumour types relative to their normal tissue counterparts^{5–8}. Despite this, TOPK knockout does not confer embryonic lethality in mouse models, with the only phenotype reported being an impaired inflammatory response to UV light exposure in the skin⁹. TOPK is expressed in both the nucleus and cytoplasm, however, some reports indicate that nuclear expression is exclusive to tumour cells and to those undergoing mitosis⁶, whilst others do not detect any difference in localisation¹⁰. TOPK mRNA is detected in several tissue types; and is most abundant in human tissues derived from testis, placenta and thymus^{1,11,12}. Of these, the highest signal is measured in testicular tissue, with expression in this organ confined exclusively to spermatogenic germ cells in situ¹³. In brain tissue, TOPK-expressing cells arise from GFAP-positive neural progenitor cells in the adult sub-ependymal zone as well as in the external granule cell layer of the postnatal cerebellum¹⁴. Its expression is exclusive to proliferating and multipotent neurogenic precursors, and it is suppressed in mature or quiescent neurons.

TOPK expression and phosphorylation consistently increases as proliferating cells enter mitosis¹³; and this process is regulated by Cdk1 in a Cyclin B1-dependent manner^{12,15}. Although TOPK expression is closely associated with Cdk1 during the cell cycle¹⁶, the relationship between Cdk1 and regulation of TOPK phosphorylation is





instead mediated via inactivation of protein phosphatase 1 α (PP1 α) through the Cyclin B1/Cdk1 complex¹⁷. Inactivation of PP1 α causes TOPK to become phosphorylated at Thr9, promoting TOPK activation (Fig. 1). TOPK activity is primed to peak on entry into prophase, when it binds to and phosphorylates mitotic C_2H_2 zinc finger proteins via its PDZ-binding domain¹⁸, and contributes to chromatin condensation by facilitating phosphorylation of histone H3 at Ser10¹⁹. Thus TOPK activity and expression contribute to the release of proliferating cells from midmitotic checkpoint control and maintain a timely exit from mitosis (Fig. 2).

Preclinical rationale: TOPK overexpression in cancer

High expression of TOPK has been linked to tumour aggressiveness, invasion and metastatic spread. Indeed, testing of clinical samples has revealed that a significant relationship exists between TOPK expression and poor prognosis in numerous cancers (Table 1). TOPK over-expression in cancer appears to be facilitated by suppression of microRNA-mediated regulatory control. Restoration of miR-216b-3p expression in lung cancer cell lines is sufficient to inhibit proliferation, enhance apoptotic cell death and overcome TOPK-associated down-regulation of p53 and p21²⁰.

Development of malignant potential is facilitated by TOPK-mediated responses to growth and migratory signalling. An increase in TOPK expression in JB6 epidermal cells accelerates cell growth and anchorage-independent colony formation in vitro, as well as promoting tumour formation in vivo^{3,21}. Conversely, suppression of TOPK in cancer cell lines inhibits in vivo tumour growth^{3,21,22}. In addition to its modulating effect on growth and migration, TOPK promotes epithelial–mesenchymal transition and facilitates tumour invasiveness. Anchorage-dependent growth and motility is enhanced by TOPK expression in prostate and breast cancer cell lines^{5,6,10,23}, and overexpression of TOPK in metastatic prostate cancer is



associated with an increase in the expression of matrix metalloproteinases MMP-2 and -9⁶. These changes were attributed to nuclear localisation of β -Catenin and activation of TCF/LEF signalling at gene promotor regions. Nuclear translocation and activation of β -Catenin signalling targets MMP-7, Cyclin D1, and TCF-1 is also enhanced in hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines with increased TOPK expression, a result supported by clinical data showing correlation between high-TOPK levels and nuclear β -Catenin localisation in tissues from hepatocellular carcinoma patients²¹.

High-throughput screening has raised the possibility of increased TOPK expression in cancer being representative of stemness in tumours, however future investigations are needed to clarify the relationship between TOPK and proliferation in cancer stem cells (CSC). Nonetheless, TOPK was ranked fifth in a screen of glioblastoma and breast cancer cell lines conducted to identify potential cancer stem cell targets²⁴, and was identified as a marker for proliferation potential in multipotent stromal cells derived from bone marrow²⁵. Inhibition of TOPK has been effective in suppressing growth and survival in CSC subpopulations of small cell lung cancer cell lines, an outcome which is thought to be associated with suppression of FOXM1 activity—a key transcription factor regulating expression of late cell cycle control genes²⁶.

Therapeutic targeting of TOPKssibility ofTOPK has demonstrated potential as a therapeuticepresenta-target for suppressing cancer development by overcomingtreatment resistance, preventing invasion and metastaticFOPK andgrowth, and promoting cell death signalling in oncogenictissues. At a mechanistic level, this appears to occurstoma andthrough multiple interactions, and in a tumour-specific

recurrence.

manner—predominantly due to the influence of TOPK overexpression on cancer cell physiology (Table 2). TOPK promotes tumour dissemination by direct phosphorylation of p53-related protein kinase (PRPK) at its Ser250 residue, which in turn regulates the phosphorylation status of p53 and Akt. Through this mechanism, manipulation of TOPK expression in

TOPK expression appears to maintain haematopoietic

stem cells in an undifferentiated state, as TOPK inhibition

enhances production of platelets and megakaryocytes in vivo, and induces myeloid maturation in AML cell lines

by downregulating STAT5 protein expression^{27,28}. Hence,

the interest in TOPK as a potential biomarker for patient

stratification and risk assessment in cancers with high

incidence of acquired treatment resistance and/or

HCT116 colon cancer xenografts is sufficient to influence migration and metastatic growth in mouse liver tissue²⁹.

Site	Cancer type	Methodology	Findings
Prostate	Neuroendocrine carcinoma, acinar adenocarcinoma	Transcriptome profiling, IHC	One of the top 10 genes overexpressed in small cell carcinoma
	Adenocarcinoma	IHC, qRT-PCR	Upregulation associated with age, Gleason score, clinicopathological stage, metastatic spread, survival and PSA failure. Predictor for biochemical recurrence-free survival
	Prostatic adenocarcinoma	IHC, immunoblot, microarray	Expression correlates with lack of tissue differentiation, disease aggression and metastatic spread
	Prostatic adenocarcinoma	Custom gRT-PCR Microarray	Cancer/testis antigen for prostate cancer, expression correlates with Gleason score

cancer
for
marker
prognostic
a
<u>s</u>
expression
торк
-
ø

Site	
	Cancer type
Prostate	Neuroendocrine carcinoma adenocarcinoma
	Adenocarcinoma
	Prostatic adenocarcinoma
	Prostatic adenocarcinoma
	Prostatic adenocarcinoma
Liver	Hepatocellular carcinoma, cholangiocarcinoma
	Hepatocellular carcinoma
Head and neck	Oral squamous cell carcino

		+ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
		nidel par
		dirocoro
		UISEASE.
Product of the first state of the second state		111-11
Epithelial ovarian cancer	IHC, Immunopiot, aki -f'CK	nign exp
		earlv-stan
		Spin Lupp
		-
Lioblastoma multiforme	Immunoblot, IHC aKI-PCK	Upregula

Ovarian

	early-stage cancer; Transactivation in EOC, less in borderline malignancy tumours
Immunoblot, IHC qRT-PCR	Upregulated in all GBM samples
IHC	High expression associated with poorly differentiated tumours, metastatic spread,
	high-TNM stage and reduced overall survival. Patients with combination of high
	TOPK and mutp53 had lowest prognosis

Adenocarcinoma

Lung Brain

Primary AML

Haematological

qRT-PCR, immunoblotting	TOPK detected in 9/12 samples; 3/3 ALL samples and in plasmocytoma and blastic	64
	type mantle cell lymphoma. Weak expression in peripheral blood stem cells	
IHC, immunoblotting	Expression independently correlated with poor outcome	65

No relationship between VEGFa gene amplification and TOPK expression/gene status Expression independently correlated with poor outcome qRT-PCR, IHC, immunoblotting IHC tissue microarray IHC, im Oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma Colorectal carcinoma Gastric carcinoma Oesophageal Colorectal Gastric

99 67 Expression of TOPK in primary tumours is linked to enhanced tumour aggression, invasion and metastatic spread in a variety of cancers. Multivariate analysis of clinical samples from numerous sites indicates that a significant

relationship exists between TOPK expression in tumour tissue and poor prognosis for patients. Key: *IHC* immunohistochemistry, *PSA* prostate specific antigen, *AML* acute myeloid leukaemia, *ALL* acute lymphocytic leukaemia, *TNM* tumour, node and metastasis, *EOC* epithelial ovarian cancer, *GBM* glioblastoma multiform, *CCA* cholangiocarcinoma, *VEGFa* vascular endothelial growth factor A, *TOPK* T-LAK cell-originated protein kinase.

28

9

59 8

Expression correlates with stage > T2c and Gleason score ≥ 8, PSA > 20 ng/ml

Ч Ш

but not age, stage, or preoperative PSA

High expression in cholangiocarcinoma, lower expression in hepatocellular carcinoma. Low expression associated with poor prognosis in CCA 61 62

Low expression associated with poor prognosis in young patients, high expression in

Upregulation in **all** HCC cases

qRT-PCR; immunoblot

ΗU

ents associated with poorly differentiated tumours, smokers, and late-stage

4

ession associated with poor progression-free survival and overall survival in

8

~

References

5

2

Characteristic	Mechanism	References
Tumour dissemination	PRPK phosphorylation (Ser250) by TOPK regulates p53- and Akt-mediated activation of tumour cell migration and invasion.	29
Proliferative potential, replicative immortality	TOPK expression is regulated by a negative feedback loop via FLT3 expression and CEBPA phosphorylation.	28
Apoptotic resistance	TOPK binds histone H2A, promoting nuclear colocalisation and phosphorylation of γ H2AX.	32
	TOPK and PRX1 colocalise in the nucleus. TOPK regulates PRX1 peroxidase activity by phosphorylation at Ser32.	33
Cell death signalling	TOPK suppresses p53-mediated transcription of pro-apoptotic intermediates in tumour cells.	28,36
	TOPK confers resistance to TRAIL-induced apoptotic cell death via NF-κB mediated transcriptional activity.	37
	TOPK directly phosphorylates $I\kappa B\alpha$ at Ser-32 and promotes RelA nuclear translocation. Overexpression enhances NF- κB and cIAP2 transcriptional activity.	38
Oxidative damage	TOPK activation protects against cell death by enhancing the Bcl-2/Bax ratio.	34
	pAkt and pTOPK colocalise in neural cells following ischaemia, increasing expression of peroxiredoxins-1 and 2, and thioredoxin-1.	35
	TOPK suppresses JNK/p38 signal pathway activation during exposure to oxidising conditions.	22
DNA damage	TOPK expression in cancer cell lines promotes resolution of chromosomal errors following DNA damage.	40
	TOPK expression is regulated by E2F and CREB/ATF-mediated transcription. TOPK directly interacts with p53 and promotes molecular destabilisation.	39

Table 2	TOPK is a	pro-oncogenic	kinase with	chemothera	peutic p	otential
---------	-----------	---------------	-------------	------------	----------	----------

Increased TOPK expression in cancer cells promotes the activation of multiple pathways involved in sustained growth and proliferation, evasion of cell death, invasive potential and metastatic growth. Inhibition of TOPK activity restores cellular responses to cell death signalling and replicative control mechanisms, as well as overcoming oncogenic chemoresistance by sensitising cancer cells to DNA damaging agents.

Key: TOPK T-LAK cell-originated protein kinase, FLT3 fms-like tyrosine kinase 3 (CD135), CEBPA CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein alpha, NF-kB nuclear factor kappa light chain enhancer of activated B cells, TRAIL TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand, IkBa inhibitor of kappa B, CREB/ATF cAMP response element binding protein activating transcription factor, PRPK p53-related protein kinase, PRX1 Peroxiredoxin 1.

Relative expression of TOPK and its downstream target, PRPK, are also related to metastatic potential in colorectal carcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma^{30,31}. Further, TOPK knockout mice are resistant to solar stimulated light-induced skin cancer³¹. For patients with acute myeloid leukaemia (AML), myeloid maturation in *FTL3*-ITD positive AML cells appears to be partially suppressed by a feedback loop between TOPK and the transcription factor responsible for granulocyte differentiation, CEBPA. In CD34 positive myeloblasts, inhibition of TOPK in *FTL3*-ITD positive AML promotes apoptotic cell death and increased myeloid differentiation²⁸.

TOPK activation increases during oxidative stress, and provides protection to cells in pro-inflammatory environments when overexpressed. TOPK-mediated phosphorylation of γ H2AX and peroxiredoxin 1 significantly suppresses induction of apoptotic signalling cascades in melanoma cell lines, conferring resistance to arseniteinduced and UVB-induced apoptotic cell death^{32,33}. Overexpression of TOPK was also found to provide protection against myocardial and neuronal ischaemiareperfusion injury by enhancing activation of the Akt signalling pathway and by upregulating expression of antioxidative proteins^{34,35}. In both these investigations, inhibition of TOPK reduced cell viability and aggravated ischaemia-induced injury in vitro and in vivo.

Combination TOPK targeting in cancer therapy regimens has the potential to enhance treatment efficacy when coupled with DNA damaging agents. Suppression of TOPK activity proportionately increases the apoptotic fraction of tumour cells by upregulating pro-apoptotic p53 transcriptional targets, NOXA, BAK, FAS and Caspase 10^{28} , downregulating *Bcl-XL* expression³⁶ and increasing PARP and caspase 3 cleavage via JNK and p38 signal pathway activation²². TOPK also confers resistance to TRAIL-induced apoptotic cell death³⁷, which, along with IkB α phosphorylation and RelA nuclear translocation³⁸, link TOPK activity to aberrant NF-KB signalling and cancer progression. An increase in polyploidy following doxorubicin treatment indicates that mitosis occurs aberrantly for TOPK overexpressing cells as a response to damaged DNA³⁹. Indeed, TOPK knockdown or inhibition enhances radiation sensitivity in a tumour-specific manner by impeding resolution of DNA damage and increasing apoptotic cell death⁴⁰. Taken together, increased TOPK expression in cancer cells promotes the activation of multiple signalling pathways involved in reinforcing the hallmarks of cancer. Thus TOPK represents a master regulatory kinase whose manipulation has the potential to disrupt pro-carcinogenic processes, support the efficacy of DNA damaging agents and to overcome chemoresistance during cancer treatment.

Development of TOPK inhibitors

Three inhibitors have been developed to specifically target TOPK: HI-TOPK-032;⁴¹ OTS514/OTS964;²⁷ and ADA-07⁴² (Table 3). The first of these, HI-TOPK-032, suppressed proliferation of colon cancer cells in vitro and partially suppressed colorectal and nasopharyngeal xenograft growth in vivo^{22,43}. More recently, ADA-07 showed potential as a chemopreventive and therapeutic agent in the treatment of skin cancer. Inhibition of TOPK by topical application of ADA-07 suppressed development of solar ultraviolet-induced tumour development in mouse skin following exposure to solar irradiation 42 .

Two novel high potency compounds (OTS514 and its methylated derivative, OTS964) effectively inhibit lung tumour growth in murine xenografts when delivered by either oral or intravenous routes²⁷. TOPK inhibition with OTS964 or OTS514 abolished ex vivo growth of patientderived ovarian cancer cells in a dose-dependent manner, and completely suppressed dissemination of ovarian malignancy in peritoneal xenografts⁴⁴, although free OTS964 and OTS514 triggered significant weight loss and haematological toxicity during administration. Liposomal delivery was then adopted to improve tumour-specific uptake through the enhanced permeation and retention effect. This strategy prevented anaemia and leukocytopenia associated with intravenous treatment from developing, and enabled full recovery following oral delivery of the compounds. More recently, ¹⁸F-labelled OTS964 has shown favourable pharmacokinetics and biodistribution when injected intravenously in a glioblastoma-carrying mouse model⁴⁵. Preclinical validation of [¹⁸F]FE-OTS964 is a promising first step towards the future use of TOPK inhibitors in a clinical context by enabling PET imaging of TOPK positive tumours.

Investigations into the mechanistic potential for tumour growth suppression by OTS514 in TOPK-expressing tumour cell lines have found that dose-dependent growth suppression and increased apoptotic cell death is associated with a reduction in FOXM1 activity and downregulation of TOPK and MELK expression^{8,26}. These studies hint at a role for TOPK in stem cell differentiation through regulation of FOXM1-mediated transcriptional activity and MELK signalling. Indeed, whilst in vivo administration of OTS514 causes leukocytopenia, it concurrently increases the megakaryocyte subpopulation and peripheral platelets in treated mice, indicating that differentiation of haematopoietic stem cells is altered by

Table 3	Characterisation of TOPK inhibi	itors—preclinical studies		
Inhibitor	Model	Sensitivity	Specificty	References
HI-TOPK-03	32 Kinase activity [% Inhibition]	ТОРК (60%) [2 µМ НІ-ТОРК-32]	Other kinase targets: MEK1 (20%), ERK1 (0%), JNK1 (0%), p38 (0%)	41
	Colon cancer cell lines nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell lines	0.5–5 µM, 10 µM	↓ Growth, ↓ Anchorage-independent colony formation	22,41
		0.5–8 µM	\uparrow DNA fragmentation and cell death, \uparrow Intracellular ROS	43
	s.c. xenograft	HCT-116 [10 mg/kg t.i.w. (25 days)] CNF-2 [5 mg/kg t.i.w. (14 days)] HT-29 [5 mg/kg t.i.w. (20 days)]	 U Tumour growth, No weight loss U Tumour growth, No weight loss Chemosensitisation (oxaliplatin) 	41
ADA-07	Kinase assay NHDF, A431 & SCC12 JB6 P +	Inhibition [5-10 μΜ ADA-07] 0.625-10 μΜ 1.25-10 μΜ	Co-precipitation, no MEKI inhibition 4 Growth, 4 Anchorage-dependent colony formation 4 Transformation potential	42
	SUV-induced papilloma formation	[0.1 mg, 1 mg (topical) t.i.w. (28 weeks)]	↓ Tumorigenesis (early-stage), ↓ Papilloma formation (late-stage) No cytotoxicity	42

Table 3 cc	ontinued			
Inhibitor	Model	Sensitivity	Specificty	References
OTS514	Kinase activity [% Inhibition]	TOPK (84%) [0.2 µM OTS514]	Other kinase targets: cSrc (61%), FLT3 (44%), FYN (19%), LYN (28%), CDK2/Cyclin A (60%), BTK (12%), DAPK1 (29%), GSK3b (25%), IGF1R (28%), IRAK4 (21%), RET (13%), TAK1 (42%)	27
	SCLC cell lines, Kidney cancer cell lines, Ovarian cancer cell lines	IC ₅₀ values: DM5114 (1.3 nM), H69AR (7.3 nM), H446 (8.4 nM), H69 (0.4 nM), H82 (7.2 nM), H146 (39.3 nM), H524 (2.6 nM), H2171 (42.6 nM), DMS273 (4.1 nM), SBC-3 (2.0 nM), SBC-5 (3.7 nM), VMRC-RCW (19.9 nM), Caki-1 (27.8 nM), Caki-2 (20.1 nM), 769-P (20.7 nM), 786-O (44.1 nM), CaOV3 (3 nM), OVTOKO (46 nM).	 4 Growth, 1 apoptotic cell death 5ensitivity is relative to intrinsic TOPK expression 4 FOXM1 transcription 4 TOPK protein expression 	8.26.44
	s.c. xenograft	A549, LU-99 [1, 2.5, 5 mg/kg ti.w. (14 days)]	4 Tumour growth, Haematopoietic toxicity (free); slight reduction in body weight (liposomal, high dose)	27
	Metastatic growth	[25 mg/kg, q.d. (14 days) 50 mg/kg, q.d. (11 days)]	Abolition of tumour growth in 15% > 20% weight loss in high dose group ↓ Growth, Sensitivity does not vary according to tumour site or histological type	44
	Ex vivo (patient derived)	Ovarian cancer (10 nM, 100 nM)		
OTS964	Kinase activity [% Inhibition]	ТОРК (80%) [2 µМ ОТ5964]	Other kinase targets: CSrc (88%), FLT3 (72%), FYN (63%), LYN (77%), MELK (61%), CDK2/Cyclin A (44%), BTK (52%), DAPK1 (42%), GSK3b (45%), IGF1R (40%), IRAK4 (43%), PIM1 (59%), RET (41%), TAK1 (44%)	27
	Various cancer cell lines	 IC₅₀ values: A549 (31 nM), LU99 (7.6 nM), DU4475 (53 nM), MDA-MB-231 (73 nM), T47D (72 nM), Daudi (25 nM), UM-UC-3 (32 nM), HCT-116 (33 nM), HT29 (290 nM), MKN1 (38 nM), MKN45 (39 nM), HepG2 (19 nM), MIAPaca-2 (30 nM), 22Rv1 (50 nM), CaOV3 (14 nM), RMG-1 (110 nM), Hela (60 nM), MRC5 (185 nM), HFL-1 (175 nM), DU145 (43 nM), PC3 (147 nM), H1299 (88 nM), T24 (153 nM), SQ20B (59 nM), HAP1 (83 nM). 	L Growth, 1 apoptotic cell death Sensitivity is tumour cell line-specific, tumour-specific radiosensitisation	27,40
	s.c. xenograft	LU-99 [40 mg/kg i.v. (×6 in 18 days) 50 or 100 mg/kg p.o.]	Tumour growth with complete regression, transient haematopoietic toxicity	27
Key: ROS read	ctive oxygen species, s.c. subcutaneous, i.v. ii	ntravenous, t.i.w. three times per week, SUV solar ultraviolet radiation	n, SCLC small cell lung cancer, <i>q.d.</i> daily <i>, p.o.</i> oral dosing	

TOPK inhibition²⁷. Furthermore, in vitro exposure to OTS964 decreases the CSC subpopulation in CD56 positive small cell lung cancer cell lines²⁶.

Conclusions and future directions

Current therapeutic strategies to interfere with the proliferative potential of cancer involve either inhibition of mitogenic activating factors, or manipulation of cell cycle regulatory proteins. Of the mitogenic activators, dual specificity MEK homologues are highly prised potential targets for tackling cancers with dysregulated EGFR, Ras or Raf activity^{46,47}. The small molecule inhibitors of MEK1/2 developed to date are highly potent and selective for the MEK-ERK pathway, however, systemic toxicity and acquired resistance have been limiting factors in clinical development⁴⁸. The most promising regulatory targets are cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs) (most recently CDK4/ 6 selective inhibitors) and checkpoint kinases (particularly WEE1, CHK1 and PLK inhibitors). However, despite demonstrating high potency in preclinical studies, early clinical trials with first and second-generation pan-CDK inhibitors have been disappointing. More highly selective CDK4/6 inhibitors have produced more encouraging outcomes, although dosing is limited by neutropoenia, and efficacy is modest when these inhibitors are used as single agents^{49,50}. It appears that in order to maximise cancer treatment strategies in the future, a range of potential options will be required in the clinician's arsenal to personalise combination therapies and to combat refractory resistance. As an emerging alternative to the current cell cycle regulatory targets described above, TOPK's chemotherapeutic potential is threefold:

- (1) TOPK expression is enhanced in tumours arising from numerous histological subtypes and is suppressed in non-transformed cells from differentiated tissues. Dysregulation of TOPK appears to promote tumour growth and progression by enabling cancer cells to overcome cell death signalling pathways, bypass regulatory checkpoint control mechanisms and migrate beyond their point of origin. Hence, inhibition of TOPK is an attractive biochemical strategy for overcoming tumour aggression, metastatic growth and therapeutic resistance.
- (2) Expression in untransformed tissues is relatively low and appears to be non-essential to development or cellular function. TOPK activity rises during the progression towards mitosis and peaks in prophase, however evidence suggests that it is functionally redundant for cell division. Cells with TOPK knockdown continue to undergo growth and proliferation in vitro, and TOPK knockout mice are healthy, unlike MEK1^{-/-} mice, which are non-

viable⁵¹. Consequently, TOPK inhibition is less likely to be associated with normal tissue toxicity compared to existing MEK1/2 and CDK inhibitors.

(3) TOPK facilitates the fidelity and duration of mitosis in actively dividing tissues, predominantly via its influence over checkpoint control proteins. Enhanced expression of TOPK supports the demands of increased proliferative activity in carcinogenic tissue by optimising mitotic efficiency. Equally, suppression of TOPK activity weakens the regulatory efficacy of mid-mitotic signalling, with the potential to elongate the transition between prophase and anaphase and either stall, or delay, mitotic progression. Mitotic defects facilitate tumorigenesis⁵², however, complete disabling of mitotic checkpoint control has been proposed as a potential anticancer strategy^{53–55}.

Adaptation to TOPK-mediated signalling by cancer cells creates a dependence on TOPK for mitotic checkpoint control which can be exploited by chemotherapeutic inhibition in combination with DNA damaging agents. As yet, development of pharmaceutical strategies for targeting TOPK overexpression in cancer patients remain in the preclinical stage, and sensitivity and specificity for the majority of compounds developed to date is low. Of the possibilities outlined in this review, OTS514 and OTS964 are the only compounds which inhibit TOPK activity with high potency, however, these agents are particularly non-specific, with co-inhibition of other kinases demonstrated to various degrees in either case²⁷. Both of these compounds have been associated with haematological toxicity in vivo, and therefore it is highly likely that their mechanism of action involves indirect targeting of multiple kinases, so their effect on tumour growth may be combinatorial. Nonetheless, novel MEK family members, such as TOPK, represent druggable targets of dysregulated signalling pathways with the potential to bypass the adverse outcomes posed by MEK1/2-targeting inhibitors. As single agents or in combination with therapeutics, selective TOPK inhibitors have the capacity to potentiate the impact of DNA damaging agents by abrogating cell cycle checkpoint control and by re-establishing proapoptotic signalling in resistant tumours.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 3 August 2018 Revised: 3 October 2018 Accepted: 8 October 2018

Published online: 24 October 2018

References

- Abe, Y., Matsumoto, S., Kito, K. & Ueda, N. Cloning and expression of a novel MAPKK-like protein kinase, lymphokine-activated killer T-cell-originated protein kinase, specifically expressed in the testis and activated lymphoid cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275, 21525–21531 (2000).
- Oh, S. M. et al. T-lymphokine-activated killer cell-originated protein kinase functions as a positive regulator of c-Jun-NH2-kinase 1 signaling and H-Rasinduced cell transformation. *Cancer Res.* 67, 5186–5194 (2007).
- Zhu, F. et al. Bidirectional signals transduced by TOPK-ERK interaction increase tumorigenesis of HCT116 colorectal cancer cells. *Gastroenterology* 133, 219–231 (2007).
- Aksamitiene, E., Kholodenko, B. N., Kolch, W., Hoek, J. B. & Kiyatkin, A. Pl3K/Aktsensitive MEK-independent compensatory circuit of ERK activation in ERpositive Pl3K-mutant T47D breast cancer cells. *Cell. Signal.* 22, 1369–1378 (2010).
- Ayllon, V. & O'Connor, R. PBK/TOPK promotes tumour cell proliferation through p38 MAPK activity and regulation of the DNA damage response. *Oncogene* 26, 3451–3461 (2007).
- Brown-Clay, J. D. et al. PBK/TOPK enhances aggressive phenotype in prostate cancer via beta-catenin-TCF/LEF-mediated matrix metalloproteinases production and invasion. *Oncotarget* 6, 15594–15609 (2015).
- Joel, M. et al. Targeting PBK/TOPK decreases growth and survival of glioma initiating cells in vitro and attenuates tumor growth in vivo. *Mol. Cancer* 14, 121 (2015).
- Kato, T. et al. Oncogenic roles of TOPK and MELK, and effective growth suppression by small molecular inhibitors in kidney cancer cells. *Oncotarget* 7, 17652–17664 (2016).
- Li, S. et al. T-LAK cell-originated protein kinase (TOPK) phosphorylation of MKP1 protein prevents solar ultraviolet light-induced inflammation through inhibition of the p38 protein signaling pathway. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 29601–29609 (2011).
- Chen, J. H. et al. Overexpression of PDZ-binding kinase confers malignant phenotype in prostate cancer via the regulation of E2F1. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 81, 615–623 (2015).
- Simons-Evelyn, M. et al. PBK/TOPK is a novel mitotic kinase which is upregulated in Burkitt's lymphoma and other highly proliferative malignant cells. *Blood. Cells Mol. Dis.* 27, 825–829 (2001).
- Gaudet, S., Branton, D. & Lue, R. A. Characterization of PDZ-binding kinase, a mitotic kinase. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 97, 5167–5172 (2000).
- Fujibuchi, T. et al. Expression and phosphorylation of TOPK during spermatogenesis. *Dev. Growth Differ.* 47, 637–644 (2005).
- Dougherty, J. D. et al. PBK/TOPK, a proliferating neural progenitorspecific mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase. J. Neurosci. 25, 10773–10785 (2005).
- Matsumoto, S. et al. Characterization of a MAPKK-like protein kinase TOPK. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 325, 997–1004 (2004).
- Abe, Y. et al. A mitotic kinase TOPK enhances Cdk1/cyclin B1-dependent phosphorylation of PRC1 and promotes cytokinesis. J. Mol. Biol. 370, 231–245 (2007).
- Park, J. H., Nishidate, T., Nakamura, Y. & Katagiri, T. Critical roles of T-LAK celloriginated protein kinase in cytokinesis. *Cancer Sci.* 101, 403–411 (2010).
- Rizkallah, R., Batsomboon, P., Dudley, G. B. & Hurt, M. M. Identification of the oncogenic kinase TOPK/PBK as a master mitotic regulator of C2H2 zinc finger proteins. *Oncotarget* 6, 1446–1461 (2015).
- Park, J. H., Lin, M. L., Nishidate, T., Nakamura, Y. & Katagiri, T. PDZ-binding kinase/T-LAK cell-originated protein kinase, a putative cancer/testis antigen with an oncogenic activity in breast cancer. *Cancer Res.* 66, 9186–9195 (2006).
- Chai, Y. et al. MicroRNA-216b-3p inhibits lung adenocarcinoma cell growth via regulating PDZ binding kinase/T-LAK-cell-originated protein kinase. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 15, 4822–4828 (2018).
- Yang, Y. F., et al. PDZ binding kinase, regulated by FoxM1, enhances malignant phenotype via activation of beta-Catenin signaling in hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncotarget 8, 47195-47205 (2017).
- Wang, M. Y. et al. PDZ binding kinase (PBK) is a theranostic target for nasopharyngeal carcinoma: driving tumor growth via ROS signaling and correlating with patient survival. *Oncotarget* 7, 26604–26616 (2016).
- Stauffer, S. et al. CDK1-mediated mitotic phosphorylation of PBK is involved in cytokinesis and inhibits its oncogenic activity. *Cell. Signal.* **39**, 74–83 (2017).
- 24. Shats, I. et al. Using a stem cell-based signature to guide therapeutic selection in cancer. *Cancer Res.* **71**, 1772–1780 (2011).

- Bellayr, I. H., Marklein, R. A., Lo Surdo, J. L., Bauer, S. R. & Puri, R. K. Identification of predictive gene markers for multipotent stromal cell proliferation. *Stem Cells Dev.* 25, 861–873 (2016).
- Park, J. H. et al. TOPK (T-LAK cell-originated protein kinase) inhibitor exhibits growth suppressive effect on small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Sci.* **108**, 488–496 (2017).
- Matsuo, Y. et al. TOPK inhibitor induces complete tumor regression in xenograft models of human cancer through inhibition of cytokinesis. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 6, 259ra145 (2014).
- Alachkar, H. et al. T-LAK cell-originated protein kinase presents a novel therapeutic target in FLT3-ITD mutated acute myeloid leukemia. *Oncotarget* 6, 33410–33425 (2015).
- Zykova, T. A. et al. The T-LAK cell-originated protein kinase signal pathway promotes colorectal cancer metastasis. *EBioMedicine* 18, 73–82 (2017).
- Zykova, T. et al. Targeting PRPK function blocks colon cancer metastasis. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 17, 1101–1113 (2018).
- Roh, E., et al. Targeting PRPK and TOPK for skin cancer prevention and therapy. Oncogene 37, 5633–5647 (2018).
- Zykova, T. A. et al. Lymphokine-activated killer T-cell-originated protein kinase phosphorylation of histone H2AX prevents arsenite-induced apoptosis in RPMI7951 melanoma cells. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 12, 6884–6893 (2006).
- Zykova, T. A. et al. T-LAK cell-originated protein kinase (TOPK) phosphorylation of Prx1 at Ser-32 prevents UVB-induced apoptosis in RPMI7951 melanoma cells through the regulation of Prx1 peroxidase activity. J. Biol. Chem. 285, 29138–29146 (2010).
- Sun, G. et al. The protective role of the TOPK/PBK pathway in myocardial ischemia/reperfusion and H(2)O(2)-induced injury in H9C2 cardiomyocytes. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 17, 267 (2016).
- Zhao, H. et al. Ischemic postconditioning relieves cerebral ischemia and reperfusion injury through activating T-LAK cell-originated protein kinase/ protein kinase B pathway in rats. *Stroke* 45, 2417–2424 (2014).
- Hu, F. et al. PBK/TOPK interacts with the DBD domain of tumor suppressor p53 and modulates expression of transcriptional targets including p21. *Oncogene* 29, 5464–5474 (2010).
- Kwon, H. R., Lee, K. W., Dong, Z., Lee, K. B. & Oh, S. M. Requirement of Tlymphokine-activated killer cell-originated protein kinase for TRAIL resistance of human HeLa cervical cancer cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **391**, 830-834 (2010).
- Park, J. H., Yoon, D. S., Choi, H. J., Hahm, D. H. & Oh, S. M. Phosphorylation of IkappaBalpha at serine 32 by T-lymphokine-activated killer cell-originated protein kinase is essential for chemoresistance against doxorubicin in cervical cancer cells. J. Biol. Chem. 288, 3585–3593 (2013).
- Nandi, A. K., Ford, T., Fleksher, D., Neuman, B. & Rapoport, A. P. Attenuation of DNA damage checkpoint by PBK, a novel mitotic kinase, involves proteinprotein interaction with tumor suppressor. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 358, 181–188 (2007). p53.
- Pirovano, G. et al. TOPK modulates tumour-specific radiosensitivity and correlates with recurrence after prostate radiotherapy. *Br. J. Cancer* **117**, 503–512 (2017).
- Kim, D. J. et al. Novel TOPK inhibitor HI-TOPK-032 effectively suppresses colon cancer growth. *Cancer Res.* 72, 3060–3068 (2012).
- 42. Gao, G., et al. ADA-07 suppresses solar ultraviolet-induced skin carcinogenesis by directly inhibiting TOPK. *Mol Cancer Ther* **16**, 1–12 (2017).
- Zou, J., Kuang, W., Hu, J. & Rao, H. miR-216b promotes cell growth and enhances chemosensitivity of colorectal cancer by suppressing PDZ-binding kinase. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 488, 247–252 (2017).
- Ikeda, Y. et al. T-LAK cell-originated protein kinase (TOPK) as a prognostic factor and a potential therapeutic target in ovarian cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 22, 6110–6117 (2016).
- Pirovano, G., et al. [¹⁸F]FE-OTS964: a small molecule targeting TOPK for in vivo PET imaging in a glioblastoma xenograft model. *Mol. Imaging Biol.* 2018 (in press).
- Roberts, P. J. & Der, C. J. Targeting the Raf-MEK-ERK mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade for the treatment of cancer. *Oncogene* 26, 3291–3310 (2007).
- McCubrey, J. A. et al. Emerging MEK inhibitors. Expert. Opin. Emerg. Drugs 15, 203–223 (2010).
- Montagut, C. & Settleman, J. Targeting the RAF-MEK-ERK pathway in cancer therapy. *Cancer Lett.* 283, 125–134 (2009).
- Asghar, U., Witkiewicz, A. K., Turner, N. C. & Knudsen, E. S. The history and future of targeting cyclin-dependent kinases in cancer therapy. *Nat. Rev. Drug. Discov.* 14, 130–146 (2015).

- Otto, T. & Sicinski, P. Cell cycle proteins as promising targets in cancer therapy. Nat. Rev. Cancer 17, 93–115 (2017).
- Giroux, S. et al. Embryonic death of Mek1-deficient mice reveals a role for this kinase in angiogenesis in the labyrinthine region of the placenta. *Curr. Biol.* 9, 369–372 (1999).
- Holland, A. J. & Cleveland, D. W. Boveri revisited: chromosomal instability, aneuploidy and tumorigenesis. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 10, 478–487 (2009).
- Kops, G. J., Weaver, B. A. & Cleveland, D. W. On the road to cancer: aneuploidy and the mitotic checkpoint. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 5, 773–785 (2005).
- Weaver, B. A. & Cleveland, D. W. Decoding the links between mitosis, cancer, and chemotherapy: the mitotic checkpoint, adaptation, and cell death. *Cancer Cell.* 8, 7–12 (2005).
- Mc Gee, M. M. Targeting the mitotic catastrophe signaling pathway in cancer. Mediat. Inflamm. 2015, 146282 (2015).
- Rizkallah, R., Alexander, K. E. & Hurt, M. M. Global mitotic phosphorylation of C₂H₂ zinc finger protein linker peptides. *Cell Cycle* **10**, 3327–3336 (2011).
- 57. Hansel, D. E. et al. Shared TP53 gene mutation in morphologically and phenotypically distinct concurrent primary small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma and adenocarcinoma of the prostate. *Prostate* **69**, 603–609 (2009).
- Shiraishi, T. et al. Cancer/testis antigens as potential predictors of biochemical recurrence of prostate cancer following radical prostatectomy. *J. Transl. Med.* 9, 153 (2011).
- 59. Sun, H. et al. TOPK is highly expressed in circulating tumor cells, enabling metastasis of prostate cancer. *Oncotarget* **6**, 12392–12404 (2015).

- He, F. et al. PBK/TOPK in the differential diagnosis of cholangiocarcinoma from hepatocellular carcinoma and its involvement in prognosis of human cholangiocarcinoma. *Hum. Pathol.* **41**, 415–424 (2010).
- Chen, F. et al. T-LAK cell-originated protein kinase is essential for the proliferation of hepatocellular carcinoma SMMC-7721 cells. *Cell. Biochem. Funct.* 31, 736–742 (2013).
- Chang, C. F. et al. PBK/TOPK expression predicts prognosis in oral cancer. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 17, E1007 (2016).
- 63. Lei, B. et al. PBK/TOPK expression correlates with mutant p53 and affects patients' prognosis and cell proliferation and viability in lung adenocarcinoma. *Hum. Pathol.* **46**, 217–224 (2015).
- Nandi, A., Tidwell, M., Karp, J. & Rapoport, A. P. Protein expression of PDZbinding kinase is up-regulated in hematologic malignancies and strongly down-regulated during terminal differentiation of HL-60 leukemic cells. *Blood Cells Mol. Dis.* **32**, 240–245 (2004).
- Ohashi, T. et al. Overexpression of PBK/TOPK relates to tumour malignant potential and poor outcome of gastric carcinoma. *Br. J. Cancer* **116**, 218–226 (2017).
- Ohashi, T. et al. Overexpression of PBK/TOPK contributes to tumor development and poor outcome of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Anticancer Res.* 36, 6457–6466 (2016).
- 67. Vlajnic, T. et al. VEGFA gene locus (6p12) amplification identifies a small but highly aggressive subgroup of colorectal cancer [corrected] patients. *Mod. Pathol.* **24**, 1404–1412 (2011).