

Deakin, Michelle, Petty, Stephanie, Heasman, Brett and Hamilton, Lorna G. (2024) A critical reflection on definitions of autistic wellbeing. *Brain and behavior*, 14 (7). e3572.

Downloaded from: <https://ray.yorks.ac.uk/id/eprint/9859/>

The version presented here may differ from the published version or version of record. If you intend to cite from the work you are advised to consult the publisher's version:

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC11214870/>

Research at York St John (RaY) is an institutional repository. It supports the principles of open access by making the research outputs of the University available in digital form. Copyright of the items stored in RaY reside with the authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full text items free of charge, and may download a copy for private study or non-commercial research. For further reuse terms, see licence terms governing individual outputs. [Institutional Repositories Policy Statement](#)


RaY

Research at the University of York St John

For more information please contact RaY at
ray@yorks.ac.uk

COMMENTARY

A critical reflection on definitions of autistic well-being

M. Deakin  | S. Petty | B. Heasman | L. G. Hamilton

School of Education, Language and Psychology, York St John University, York, UK

Correspondence

M. Deakin, School of Education, Language and Psychology, York St John University, York, UK.

Email: michele.deakin@yorks.ac.uk

Funding information

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

KEYWORDS

autism, neurodiversity, well-being

1 | A CRITICAL REFLECTION ON DEFINITIONS OF AUTISTIC WELL-BEING

Our ongoing systematic literature review of psychological well-being interventions for autistic adults highlighted that the concept of well-being has been poorly operationalized for autistic people in clinical literature. Our investigation aims to contribute a novel review of how well psychological well-being interventions are designed for autistic adults. While assumptions around the definition of autism have been critiqued extensively in literature (Heasman & Parfitt, 2023) our review of 69 studies found that the concept of “well-being”, as it applies to autistic people, has received comparatively little attention. We raise three issues with the current oversimplification of well-being when it is understood as a return to “normal” functioning through the remediation of ill-being. We suggest that a way forward is to embrace contemporary theorizing of both being autistic (Chapman, 2021; Kourti, 2021) and of experiencing wellness vulnerabilities (van Os et al., 2019). A review of what is meant by autistic well-being would inform clinical and research practices.

2 | REPRESENTATION OF AUTISTIC WELL-BEING

In our review we have found that representations of well-being of autistic people were diverse; however, they lacked critical evaluation. Well-being was operationalized as a wide range of outcomes, such as a reduction in anxious thoughts (Langdon et al., 2016), or an increase in

social relating (Oswald et al., 2018). Some studies measured changes in multiple domains, such as stress, anxiety, depression and quality of life (Pahnke et al., 2019). Importantly, many authors either (a) commented that the outcome measures used to demonstrate the effectiveness of a therapeutic intervention were not designed for autistic people or (b) did not specify how the measures would be appropriate for use with autistic people. This lack of criticality can lead to misinterpretations (Stewart et al., 2006). For example, an autistic person might reduce social demands temporarily as a way to improve their well-being, rather than this being a reflection of ill-being (Pearson & Rose, 2021). This highlights how autistic people have described their distress being misunderstood in clinical practice (Au-Yeung et al., 2019; Babb et al., 2021), emphasizing the need to hear lived experiences over default definitions. Systematic reviews have identified wide variability in the reported rates of anxiety, depression and suicide ideation for autistic people (Cassidy et al., 2018; Hollocks et al., 2019; Wigham et al., 2017). For example, one review identified that rates of depression reported for autistic people without cognitive impairment varied from 1% to 47% (Wigham et al., 2017). Potential reasons for this variation include the lack of definitive conceptualizations of depression for autistic people, as well as uncertain validity of the outcome measures used. One response to these concerns has been to develop measures designed with and for autistic adults, for example the *Anxiety Scale for Autism—Adults* (Rodgers et al., 2020). Together, these findings show the need for a stable definition of “autistic well-being” to aid further development of appropriate tools for research and clinical practice.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2024 The Author(s). *Brain and Behavior* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC.

3 | WELL-BEING MAY BE DIFFERENT FOR AUTISTIC PEOPLE

The experience of well-being may be different for autistic people compared with their non-autistic peers, but measures of autistic well-being are often based on normative models (Ayres et al., 2018; Lam et al., 2021). Assuming that being well means being “typical” is counterproductive (Milton & Sims, 2016). Current guidance tells practitioners to follow established therapeutic intervention protocols for the general population, and to tailor these for autistic people (NICE, 2021; Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network, 2016). A critical review of the conceptualization of autistic well-being will open up research possibilities. Many studies featured in our ongoing review were exploratory pilot or feasibility studies, reflecting the early development of research in this area. Developing work is considering concepts of marginalization and societal justice more broadly (Petty, Hamilton et al., 2023). There are associated implications for individual well-being, including an autistic person’s sense of belonging (Milton & Sims, 2016; Sonuga-Barke, 2023).

To direct future research, it is helpful to note that the challenge of identifying a stable definition of well-being is not limited to autistic people. Dodge et al. (2012) defined well-being as “the balance point between an individual’s resource pool and the challenges faced, where resources and challenges can be psychological, social and physical,” (p. 230). However, well-being is more than an absence of illness or negative stressors (Dodge et al., 2012; Waters et al., 2009). The idea that ill-being inevitably stems from being autistic needs to be challenged; instead ill-being stems from a combination of external and internal factors (Chapman, 2020). A revised understanding of autistic well-being will need to consider elements often missed from definitions created for a neurotypical majority, including sensory processing differences (Milton & Sims, 2016; Petty, Lambarth et al., 2023; Robeyns, 2016). Sensory processing is a dimension of well-being that can help understand pain and fatigue for autistic people (Robeyns, 2016). A scale of overwhelm or fatigue may offer a better metric of emotional distress than standardized tools assessing anxiety or depression (Petty, Lambarth et al., 2023). A stronger conceptualization of autistic well-being requires a theoretical rationale about what well-being is, and a nuanced approach to how this interacts with characteristics of being autistic, intersectional complexities, and support needs. This conceptualization would appreciate how well-being is situated within societal and structural environments that may support or limit well-being capabilities (Lam et al., 2021; Robeyns, 2016). Importantly, the potential to flourish also needs to be recognized. Chapman and Carel (2022) write about the implications of epistemic injustice that denies autistic flourishing, and can mislead some autistic individuals’ attempts to thrive. We recommend a way of conceptualizing well-being to underpin meaningful measures that fairly represent autistic people’s various diverse experiences, rather than “adding to” concepts developed for the general population.

4 | RECONCEPTUALIZED AUTISTIC WELL-BEING

Contemporary theorizing provides the opportunity to refocus the purpose of therapeutic interventions, disentangle the need to reduce problematic “symptoms” alone, and promote thriving (Sonuga-Barke, 2023; van Os et al., 2019). Our mixed-methods review had deliberately broad inclusion criteria for psychological interventions, outcomes and study designs. Yet the studies reviewed predominantly focused on reducing symptoms of ill-being. Alternatively, mental health can be conceptualized as a person’s vulnerabilities, within a resilience-building approach that would strengthen social and existential domains (van Os et al., 2019). Having a positive autistic social identity appears to offer a protective mechanism for psychological well-being through improved personal and collective self-esteem (Cooper et al., 2017).

In conclusion, we recommend that the ontological reframing of autism flows through into clinical practice. We suggest that emerging research into therapeutic interventions for autistic people foregrounds a valid well-being definition and personally important lifespan outcomes, without comparison with a neuromajority default. This approach would align with a neurodiversity paradigm model for translational science (Sonuga-Barke, 2023).

The authors have clinical and research experience of working with autistic clients, and align with a neurodiversity approach that values differences (Dwyer, 2022). They are not diagnosed as autistic.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Michele Deakin: Conceptualization; writing—original draft; writing—review and editing. **Stephanie Petty:** Conceptualization; writing—original draft; writing—review and editing. **Brett Heasman:** Conceptualization; writing—original draft; writing—review and editing. **Lorna G Hamilton:** Conceptualization; writing—original draft; writing—review and editing.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ORCID

M. Deakin  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6210-4866>

PEER REVIEW

The peer review history for this article is available at <https://publons.com/publon/10.1002/brb3.3572>.

REFERENCES

- Au-Yeung, S. K., Bradley, L., Robertson, A. E., Shaw, R., Baron-Cohen, S., & Cassidy, S. (2019). Experience of mental health diagnosis and perceived misdiagnosis in autistic, possibly autistic and non-autistic adults. *Autism*, 23(6), 1508–1518. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361318818167>

- Ayres, M., Parr, J. R., Rodgers, J., Mason, D., Avery, L., & Flynn, D. (2018). A systematic review of quality of life of adults on the autism spectrum. *Autism*, 22(7), 774–783. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361317714988>
- Babb, C., Brede, J., Jones, C. R. G., Elliott, M., Zanker, C., Tchanturia, K., Serpell, L., Mandy, W., & Fox, J. R. E. (2021). 'It's not that they don't want to access the support ... it's the impact of the autism': The experience of eating disorder services from the perspective of autistic women, parents and healthcare professionals. *Autism*, 25(5), 1409–1421. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13623613211991257>
- Cassidy, S. A., Bradley, L., Bowen, E., Wigham, S., & Rodgers, J. (2018). Measurement properties of tools used to assess depression in adults with and without autism spectrum conditions: A systematic review. *Autism Research*, 11(5), 738–754. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.1922>
- Chapman, R. (2020). Neurodiversity, disability, wellbeing. In A. S. N. Chown, & H. Rosqvist (Eds.), *Neurodiversity studies: A new critical paradigm* (pp. 57–72). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429322297-7>
- Chapman, R. (2021). Neurodiversity and the social ecology of mental functions. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 16(6), 1360–1372. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691620959833>
- Chapman, R., & Carel, H. (2022). Neurodiversity, epistemic injustice, and the good human life. *Journal of Social Philosophy*, 53(4), 614–631. <https://doi.org/10.1111/josp.12456>
- Cooper, K., Smith, L. G. E., & Russell, A. (2017). Social identity, self-esteem, and mental health in autism. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 47(7), 844–854. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2297>
- Dodge, R., Daly, A., Huyton, J., & Sanders, L. (2012). The challenge of defining wellbeing. *International Journal of Wellbeing*, 2, <https://doi.org/10.5502/ijw.v2i3.4>
- Dwyer, P. (2022). The neurodiversity approach(es): What are they and what do they mean for researchers? *Human Development*, 66(2), 73–92. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000523723>
- Heasman, B., & Parfitt, L. (2023). Theoretical problems with oversimplifying autistic diversity into a single category. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 53(3), 333–336. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jtsb.12388>
- Hollocks, M. J., Lerh, J. W., Magiati, I., Meiser-Stedman, R., & Brugha, T. S. (2019). Anxiety and depression in adults with autism spectrum disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychological Medicine*, 49(4), 559–572. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291718002283>
- Kourtí, M. (2021). A critical realist approach on autism: Ontological and epistemological implications for knowledge production in autism research [hypothesis and theory]. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.713423>
- Lam, G. Y. H., Sabnis, S., Migueliz Valcarlos, M., & Wolgemuth, J. R. (2021). A critical review of academic literature constructing well-being in autistic adults. *Autism Adulthood*, 3(1), 61–71. <https://doi.org/10.1089/aut.2020.0053>
- Langdon, P. E., Murphy, G. H., Shepstone, L., Wilson, E. C. F., Fowler, D., Heavens, D., Malovic, A., Russell, A., Rose, A., & Mullineaux, L. (2016). The People with Asperger syndrome and anxiety disorders (PAsSA) trial: A pilot multicentre, single-blind randomised trial of group cognitive-behavioural therapy. *BJPsych Open*, 2(2), 179–186. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjpo.bp.115.002527>
- Milton, D., & Sims, T. (2016). How is a sense of well-being and belonging constructed in the accounts of autistic adults? *Disability & Society*, 31(4), 520–534. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09687599.2016.1186529>
- NICE. (2021). *Autism. Recognition, referral, diagnosis and management of adults on the autism spectrum National Clinical Guideline Number 142*. Retrieved from <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg142/chapter/Research-recommendations>
- Oswald, T., Winder-Patel, B., Ruder, S., Xing, G., Stahmer, A., & Solomon, M. (2018). A Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial of the ACCESS Program: A group intervention to improve social, adaptive functioning, stress coping, and self-determination outcomes in young adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 48, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-017-3421-9>
- Pahnke, J., Hirvikoski, T., Bjureberg, J., Bölte, S., Jokinen, J., Bohman, B., & Lundgren, T. (2019). Acceptance and commitment therapy for autistic adults: An open pilot study in a psychiatric outpatient context. *Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science*, 13, 34–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcbs.2019.04.002>
- Pearson, A., & Rose, K. (2021). A conceptual analysis of autistic masking: Understanding the narrative of stigma and the illusion of choice. *Autism in Adulthood*, 3(1), 52–60. <https://doi.org/10.1089/aut.2020.0043>
- Petty, S., Hamilton, L., Heasman, B., & Fierresima, N. (2023). Social justice informed therapy and neurodiversity. In D. Charura & L. Winter (Eds.), *The SAGE handbook of social justice in the psychological therapies*. SAGE Publishing.
- Petty, S., Lambarth, S., & Hamilton, L. G. (2023). Rethinking anxiety and depression for autistic adults through personal narratives: A mixed-method analysis of blog data. *Counselling and Psychotherapy Research*, n/a(n/a). <https://doi.org/10.1002/capr.12729>
- Robeyns, I. (2016). Conceptualising well-being for autistic persons. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 42(6), 383–390. <https://doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2016-103508>
- Rodgers, J., Farquhar, K., Mason, D., Brice, S., Wigham, S., Ingham, B., Freeston, M., & Parr, J. R. (2020). Development and initial evaluation of the anxiety scale for autism-adults. *Autism in Adulthood*, 2(1), 24–33. <https://doi.org/10.1089/aut.2019.0044>
- Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network. (2016). *Assessment, diagnosis and interventions for autism spectrum disorders, A national clinical guideline*. SIGN publication no. 145.
- Sonuga-Barke, E. J. S. (2023). Paradigm 'flipping' to reinvigorate translational science: Outlining a neurodevelopmental science framework from a 'neurodiversity' perspective. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 64(10), 1405–1408. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13886>
- Stewart, M. E., Barnard, L., Pearson, J., Hasan, R., & O'Brien, G. (2006). Presentation of depression in autism and Asperger syndrome: A review. *Autism*, 10(1), 103–116. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361306062013>
- van Os, J., Guloksuz, S., Vijn, T. W., Hafkenscheid, A., & Delespaul, P. (2019). The evidence-based group-level symptom-reduction model as the organizing principle for mental health care: Time for change? *World Psychiatry*, 18(1), 88–96. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20609>
- Waters, E., Davis, E., Ronen, G. M., Rosenbaum, P., Livingston, M., & Saigal, S. (2009). Quality of life instruments for children and adolescents with neurodisabilities: How to choose the appropriate instrument. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology*, 51(8), 660–669. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8749.2009.03324.x>
- Wigham, S., Barton, S., Parr, J. R., & Rodgers, J. (2017). A systematic review of the rates of depression in children and adults with high-functioning autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Mental Health Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, 10(4), 267–287. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19315864.2017.1299267>

How to cite this article: Deakin, M., Petty, S., Heasman, B., & Hamilton, L. G. (2024). A critical reflection on definitions of autistic well-being. *Brain and Behavior*, 14, e3572. <https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.3572>